

UNITED NATIONS
ECONOMIC
AND
SOCIAL COUNCIL



Distr.
GENERAL

E/CN.4/SR.408
9 October 1953

ENGLISH
Original: ENGLISH and
FRENCH

Dual Distribution

COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

Ninth Session

SUMMARY RECORD OF THE FOUR HUNDRED AND EIGHTH MEETING

held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva,
on Friday, 29 May 1953, at 4 p.m.

CONTENTS:

pages

1. Reports of the fourth and fifth sessions of the Sub-Commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities (item 4 of the agenda) (resumed from the 403rd meeting):

Draft resolutions annexed to the report on the fifth session (E/CN.4/670, E/CN.4/670/Corr.1) (continued); 4 - 11

Draft resolution F - Programme of work of the Sub-Commission; and Polish, French and United Kingdom amendments thereto (concluded)
2. Consideration of draft report of the ninth session of the Commission to the Economic and Social Council (item 21 of the agenda) (resumed from the 407th meeting) 11 - 16

Present:

<u>Chairman:</u>	Mr. AZMI (Egypt)
later,	Mr. CASSIN (France), First Vice-Chairman
<u>Rapporteur:</u>	Mr. KAECKENBEECK (Belgium)

Members:

Mr. WHITLAM	Australia
Mr. DIAZ-CASANUEVA	Chile
Mr. CHENG PAONAN	China
Mr. ABDEL-GHANI	Egypt
Mr. CASSIN	France
Mr. JUVIGNY	
Mrs. CHATTOPADHYAY	India
Mr. INGLES	Philippines
Mr. DRUTO	Poland
Mrs. RÖSSEL	Sweden
Mr. KRIVEN	Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic
Mr. MOROSOV	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
Mr. HOARE	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
Mrs. LORD	United States of America
Mr. PEROTTI	Uruguay
Mr. JEVREMOVIĆ	Yugoslavia
Mr. MELOVSKI	

Also present:

Mr. ROY	Chairman of the Sub-Commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities
---------	---

Representative of a specialized agency:

International Labour Organisation	Mr. BLAMONT
--------------------------------------	-------------

Representatives of non-governmental organizations:

Category A

World Federation of United Nations
Associations

Mr. de MADAY

Category B and Register

Commission of the Churches on
International Affairs

Mr. REES

International Federation of Business
and Professional Women

Mrs. SCHRADER-RIVOLLET

Pax Romana

Miss ARCHINARD

Women's International League for Peace
and Freedom

Mrs. BAER

World Jewish Congress

Mr. RIEGNER

Secretariat:

Mr. Humphrey

Representative of the
Secretary-General

Mr. Das)

Mrs. Bruce)

Secretaries to the
Commission

1. REPORTS OF THE FOURTH AND FIFTH SESSIONS OF THE SUB-COMMISSION ON PREVENTION OF DISCRIMINATION AND PROTECTION OF MINORITIES (item 4 of the agenda) (resumed from the 403rd meeting):

Draft resolutions annexed to the report on the fifth session (E/CN.4/670, E/CN.4/670/Corr.1) (continued):

Draft resolution F: Programme of work of the Sub-Commission; and Polish, French and United Kingdom amendments thereto (concluded).

The CHAIRMAN said that he would regard the Polish, French and United Kingdom amendments⁽¹⁾ as affecting also the second paragraph of draft resolution F, so that that paragraph would then read:

"Approves the programme of work contained therein subject to the following amendments".

Mr. CASSIN (France) said that, in order to simplify the Commission's work, his delegation was prepared to withdraw its amendments⁽¹⁾, provided the United Kingdom representative was willing to add at the end of the new paragraph which he proposed should be inserted after the first paragraph of Part A of the resolution on the Sub-Commission's programme of work the words "with special reference to the most serious and widespread forms of discrimination" (that was, the first French amendment).

Mr. HOARE (United Kingdom) accepted the French representative's suggestion. In the fifth paragraph of Part A he proposed to add a reference to the functions which would have been exercised by the special rapporteur, inserting at the end of his amendment to that paragraph the words "with a view to making concrete recommendations concerning practical action in this field". He withdrew his amendment relating to the substitution in the first paragraph of the word "the" for "a speedy", since all that was necessary was to bring the present English text into concordance with the French text of the paragraph.

Mr. MOROSOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) suggested that separate votes first be taken on the amendments to Parts A and B of the Sub-Commission's resolution on its programme of work. The Commission could then vote on the

(1) For texts of the Polish and French amendments, see Annexes I and II respectively to the summary record of the 402nd meeting (E/CN.4/SR.402); for text of the United Kingdom amendments, see Annex to this summary record.

amendment to draft resolution F just read out by the Chairman. He suggested, furthermore, that a separate vote be taken on the phrase transferred to the United Kingdom amendment from the withdrawn French amendment.

It was so agreed.

The CHAIRMAN said he would put to the vote the Polish and United Kingdom amendments to Parts A and B of the Sub-Commission's resolution on its programme of work, to be found on pages 19-21 of the report on its fifth session (E/CN.4/670).

Part A: Prevention of Discrimination

The Commission decided unanimously to adopt the proposal for the insertion of the words: "with special reference to the most serious and widespread forms of discrimination" at the end of the new paragraph proposed in the United Kingdom amendments.

The United Kingdom amendment to the first paragraph, with the proposed new paragraph as itself amended, was adopted by 12 votes to 3, with 1 abstention.

The United Kingdom amendment to the second paragraph was adopted by 6 votes to 3, with 7 abstentions.

A separate vote on the third and fourth paragraphs having been requested by Mr. DIAZ-CASANUEVA (Chile),

the United Kingdom proposal that the third paragraph be deleted was rejected by 7 votes to 6, with 3 abstentions.

After a procedural discussion in which the CHAIRMAN, Mr. DRUTO (Poland) and Mr. MOROSOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) took part, the CHAIRMAN put separately to the vote the first two paragraphs of the Polish amendments.

Paragraph 1 of the Polish amendments was rejected by 5 votes to 4, with 6 abstentions.

Paragraph 2 of the Polish amendments was rejected by 7 votes to 6, with 3 abstentions.

The United Kingdom proposal that the fourth paragraph be deleted was rejected by 9 votes to 6, with 1 abstention.

Mr. MOROSOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) having asked for two separate votes on paragraph 3 of the Polish amendments, Mr. DIAZ-CASANUEVA (Chile) suggested a further separate vote on the three words "exclusiveness, hatred and contempt" in the Polish amendment. Furthermore, in view of the statement he had

made during the discussion on the additional article on condemnation of incitement to violence in the covenant on civil and political rights⁽¹⁾, he would propose substituting for the word "violence" the words "hatred or violence jointly or separately".

Mr. MOROSOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), supporting the Chilean proposal, said that its adoption would bring the text of the resolution into line with the relevant additional article of the draft covenant on civil and political rights. A separate vote should be taken on what amounted to a simple and clear amendment to the original text that did not affect the Polish amendment, for which he would vote. In the event of its rejection he would, however, vote for the Chilean proposal.

The CHAIRMAN said that under rule 58 of the rules of procedure no further amendments could be accepted after the voting had commenced.

Mr. MOROSOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) urged that, despite the rule quoted, the Commission be consulted in what was an exceptional case.

The CHAIRMAN put to the vote the Soviet Union proposal that rule 58 of the rules of procedure be suspended for the sole purpose of allowing the Chilean oral amendment to be put to the vote.

The Soviet Union proposal was adopted by 9 votes to none, with 6 abstentions.

That part of paragraph 3 of the Polish amendments relating to the insertion of the words "exclusiveness, hatred and contempt" was rejected by 7 votes to 3, with 6 abstentions.

The Chilean amendment to substitute for the word "violence" the words "hatred and violence jointly or separately" in the fourth paragraph of the Sub-Commission's resolution was adopted by 8 votes to 3, with 5 abstentions.

Mr. DRUTO (Poland) withdrew the proposal contained in paragraph 3 of his amendments, namely, to substitute the words "particularly where they constitute" for the words "that constitutes".

The United Kingdom amendment to the fifth paragraph, with the addition proposed orally by the United Kingdom representative at the beginning of the meeting, was rejected by 6 votes to 4, with 5 abstentions.

(1) See summary record of the 378th meeting (E/CN.4/SR.378).

After a procedural discussion in which Mr. INGLÉS (Philippines), Mr. HOARE (United Kingdom), Mr. ROY, Chairman of the Sub-Commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities, speaking at the invitation of the CHAIRMAN, and Mr. CASSIN (France) took part,

the United Kingdom amendment to the remainder of the text of Part A was rejected by 8 votes to 6, with 2 abstentions.

Part B: Protection of Minorities

The United Kingdom proposal that sub-paragraphs (b) and (c) be deleted from the second paragraph was rejected by 9 votes to 5, with 2 abstentions.

The United Kingdom amendment to the third paragraph was rejected by 9 votes to 6, with 1 abstention.

Mr. HOARE (United Kingdom) requested that his proposals that the fourth and fifth paragraphs be deleted be put to the vote separately.

The United Kingdom proposal that the fourth paragraph be deleted was rejected by 8 votes to 4, with 4 abstentions.

The United Kingdom proposal that the fifth paragraph be deleted was rejected by 9 votes to 5, with 2 abstentions.

Draft Resolution F: Programme of work of the Sub-Commission

The United Kingdom proposal that the words "as amended by the Commission on Human Rights" be added at the end of the first paragraph was adopted by 15 votes to none.

The first paragraph, as amended, was adopted unanimously.

Mr. HOARE (United Kingdom) said that, in view of the Commission's foregoing decisions, he would withdraw his amendment to the third paragraph of draft resolution F.

Mr. CHENG PAONAN (China) asked that sub-paragraphs (a) and (b) of the third paragraph be voted upon separately.

The CHAIRMAN stated that, as a result of the Commission's decisions, the second paragraph would read as follows:

"Approves the programme of work contained therein, subject to the following amendments:"

The text of the three amendments just adopted by the Commission to Part A of the resolution in paragraph 48 of the report would then follow.

The second paragraph of draft resolution F, as amended, was adopted by 11 votes to 3, with 2 abstentions.

The third paragraph opening with the words: "Recommends to the Economic and Social Council that:" together with sub-paragraph (a), was adopted by 10 votes to none, with 6 abstentions.

Sub-paragraph (b) was adopted by 9 votes to 2, with 5 abstentions.

Draft resolution F, as a whole and as amended, was adopted by 10 votes to 1, with 5 abstentions.

The CHAIRMAN noted that the Commission had now adopted the programme of work of the Sub-Commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities, and assumed that, since the resolutions submitted by the latter had been considered in detail and voted upon, it would suffice for the Commission to take note of the Sub-Commission's reports on its fourth and fifth sessions (E/CN.4/641, E/CN.4/641/Corr.1, E/CN.4/670, E/CN.4/670/Corr.1).

The Commission took note of the reports of the Sub-Commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities on its fourth and fifth sessions.

Mr. INGLES (Philippines), speaking in explanation of his votes, said that he had not taken part in the discussions, and in voting on the amendments to the various resolutions of the Sub-Commission had been guided solely by the consideration that the Commission should not modify the conclusions arrived at by the Sub-Commission. It had reaffirmed its desire that the Sub-Commission should continue to be a body of experts whose opinions as such should carry weight.

The amendments had been of two types: those which aimed at expanding the Sub-Commission's programme of work and those which aimed at restricting it. His delegation had approached the first group with some caution, and had abstained on the Polish amendments on the ground that the subjects mentioned therein were already included in the Sub-Commission's own draft of the programme. As to the second group the United Kingdom amendments would have had the effect of deleting several proposed subjects of study, and would have given the Sub-Commission's work an orientation wholly different from that envisaged in its own proposals. He had supported the Chilean amendment, the purpose of which was to make the text of the Sub-Commission's proposals conform with that of a corresponding article in the draft covenant on civil and political rights.

Mrs. LORD (United States of America), speaking in explanation of her votes, said that her delegation was greatly interested in the Sub-Commission's work, and in its scheme of special studies. It had abstained from voting on the draft resolutions because the subjects enumerated in the third paragraph of Part A of the resolution on the programme of work were far too wide, and might lead to duplication of the work being done by other international agencies. She feared that there would not be time for the Sub-Commission to deal with all these matters, and that its work would accordingly be impaired. As for the fourth paragraph of that resolution, she would recall that her delegation had opposed the inclusion in the draft covenant of a provision relating to the cessation of any advocacy of national, racial or religious hostility. As the United States representative had said at that time, the objectives were too vague and might permit propaganda of a kind which would tend to interfere with the free exchange of thought.

Mr. CHENG PAONAN (China) stated that he had abstained from voting on Part B of the resolution on the programme of work because he considered that the Sub-Commission would have far too much to do at its next session. As a result of the Commission's decisions it would, within the framework of Part A, have to take up not only the question of the advocacy of national, racial or religious hostility, the study of discrimination in the field of education, an interim report of the special rapporteur, and the study of a preliminary report from the International Labour Office on the procedure to be followed in the preparation of the study of discrimination in the field of employment and occupation, but also the study of the actions and measures referred to in sub-paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of the third paragraph of Part B. Its session, however, was to last only three weeks. He would remind the Commission that even after three years' work the Ad hoc Committee on Forced Labour and the Ad hoc Committee on Slavery had not yet submitted their reports.

As to draft resolution F, he had voted against sub-paragraph (b) of the third paragraph not because he wished to deprive the Sub-Commission of funds, but simply because every resolution carried financial implications and explicit reference thereto was accordingly unnecessary.

Mr. CASSIN (France) was glad that the sentence proposed by his delegation, to the effect that the Sub-Commission's studies on discrimination should relate in

particular to the most serious and widespread forms of discrimination, appeared in resolution F. Nevertheless, he had voted against resolution F as a whole, for two reasons. In the first place, he was afraid that the large amount of work assigned to the Sub-Commission might prevent it from completing its task. Secondly, he felt that, in view of the General Assembly's decision that special rapporteurs should not be remunerated, a voluntary rapporteur could hardly be asked to undertake a very onerous task which could quite well be done by the Secretary-General.

Mr. HOARE (United Kingdom) said he had voted against the second paragraph of draft resolution F and had abstained from voting on the resolution as a whole. He had submitted his amendments in the light of the Commission's discussions, which had led him to assume, in view of the criticisms expressed by many delegations from different points of view, that the Commission was desirous of suggesting a more rational scheme of work for the Sub-Commission. Had he had any inkling of the reception that would be given to his amendments, he would not have wasted on them either his own time or that of the Commission, which had devoted two hours to a discussion unaccompanied by any results that could not have been reached equally well by a direct vote on the Sub-Commission's proposals.

The CHAIRMAN wished to make one general comment. Throughout the discussion on item 4 of the agenda he had hoped that some member might suggest an alternative method of work, namely, that instead of criticizing the Sub-Commission's proposals in detail the Commission should itself propose a limited and clearly defined programme. Unfortunately, that course of action had occurred to no-one and a great deal of time had been wasted.

Mr. ROY, Chairman of the Sub-Commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities, said that he would like, both on behalf of the Sub-Commission and personally, to thank the Commission for inviting him to take part in its discussions, which had been conducted in a cordial atmosphere greatly to be admired. He was, of course, sorry that, owing to pressure of time, the Commission had been unable to deal at greater length with some of the resolutions submitted by the Sub-Commission. Nevertheless, he would like to say that the Sub-Commission felt much gratified by the attention given to the reports on its fourth and fifth sessions. It might not come amiss to reiterate that the Sub-Commission regarded itself as a subsidiary organ of the Commission on Human Rights, to which it looked

for counsel and directives, which were essential if it was to complete successfully a task it was tackling in entire good faith. He had greatly appreciated the spirit of co-operation shown by members of the Commission during the discussions on the Sub-Commission's reports. . It was a spirit that could not fail to further the aims and objects of the United Nations.

The CHAIRMAN said that the Commission had several times expressed its pleasure at Mr. Roy's presence. Those members who knew him, greatly appreciated his energy and talents. The Commission hoped that the Sub-Commission's work would bear good fruit, and was certain that the members of the Sub-Commission would study the discussions at the present session with great attention and in doing so note the various views that had been expressed.

The meeting was suspended at 6.20 p.m. and was resumed at 6.55 p.m.

2. CONSIDERATION OF DRAFT REPORT OF THE NINTH SESSION OF THE COMMISSION TO THE ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL (item 22 of the agenda) (resumed from the 407th meeting)

Chapter III - Draft international covenants on human rights and measures of implementation (continued)

Mr. Cassin (France), First Vice-Chairman, took the Chair.

The CHAIRMAN hoped that the Commission would be able to conclude the examination of Chapter III of its draft report on the ninth session to the Economic and Social Council at the present meeting. ⁽¹⁾

Mr. HOARE (United Kingdom) said that he had informed the Rapporteur of all the minor drafting changes that he wished to be made, and would himself have to introduce only those changes which were more substantive in character, and on which representatives might accordingly wish to comment.

Mr. JUVIGNY (France) said that the French delegation had submitted a number of amendments to the Rapporteur, all of them, with one exception, drafting changes,

(1) The draft report was issued as a working paper with limited distribution, for the use of those attending the session, under the symbols E/CN.4/L.298 and Addenda 1-5 thereto.

Paragraphs 91-94

Paragraph 91 was adopted without discussion.

At the request of Mr. JEVREMOVIĆ (Yugoslavia),
it was agreed to add at the end of the first sentence of paragraph 92 the following phrase:

"unless he was re-nominated by a State other than the State which had nominated him previously".

Paragraphs 93 and 94 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraphs 95-97

Paragraphs 95-97 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraphs 98-103

Paragraph 98 was adopted without discussion.

At the request of Mr. HOARE (United Kingdom),
it was agreed to amend the first sentence of paragraph 99 to read:

"The Commission had before it a proposal which dealt separately with the question of vacancies arising otherwise than on expiration of the term of office and provided that....."

Paragraphs 100 and 101 were adopted without discussion.

It was agreed, in view of the amendment made to paragraph 99, that paragraph 100 should be amended correspondingly, the word "matters" in the third line of the English text being replaced by the words "casual vacancies".

It was also agreed that in paragraph 103 the word "other" before "vacancies" should be replaced by the word "casual".

Paragraphs 104-106

Paragraphs 104-106 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraphs 107-122

Paragraphs 107-122 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraph 123

It was agreed that the first line of the last sub-paragraph of paragraph 123 should be amended to read:

"The revised joint proposal replacing the second and third proposals mentioned above, provided that...."

Paragraphs 124-126

Paragraphs 124-126 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraph 127

At the request of Mr. JUVIGNY (France),

it was agreed to add the following sentence after the words "political propaganda would ensue" in the twelfth line of paragraph 127:

"Some members believed that progress might be achieved by means of either a separate protocol or an optional clause on the lines of that in the European Convention on Human Rights of 4 November 1950, the acceptance of which by a large number of States might pave the way".

Paragraphs 128 and 129

Paragraphs 128 and 129 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraph 130

At the request of Mr. HOARE (United Kingdom),

it was agreed to amend the sentence beginning with the words "They pointed out ..." in the twentieth line of paragraph 130 to read:

"They pointed out that the proposals did not adequately or precisely cover the question of the rights to be accorded to the individuals or organizations on the one hand and to the State impugned on the other, to present their case before the Committee, and other questions of procedure, machinery etc.,....."

Paragraph 131

At the request of Mr. CHENG PAONAN (China),

it was agreed to amend the title of paragraph 131 to read: "Decisions of the Commission".

Paragraphs 132-139

Paragraphs 132 and 133 were adopted without discussion.

At the request of Mr. HOARE (United Kingdom),

it was agreed that the last sentence of paragraph 134 should be amended to read:

"Some drew attention to paragraph 3 of that article providing for permanent sovereignty of peoples over their natural wealth and resources which stipulated that "in no case may a people be deprived of its own means of subsistence on the grounds of any rights that may be claimed by other States", and they considered that the Committee should certainly be empowered to consider breaches of that provision."

At the request of Mr. JUVIGNY (France),

it was agreed that the words "or with another government" should be inserted after the words "their own government" in the second line of the same paragraph.

Paragraph 135 was adopted without discussion.

It was agreed that the words "in an autonomous way" should be replaced by word "separately" in paragraph 136.

Paragraphs 137 - 139 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraphs 140-154

Paragraphs 140-151 were adopted without discussion.

It was agreed that, in the eighth line of paragraph 152, the word "only" should be inserted before the word "States", and the words "entitled to be" before the word "represented".

It was also agreed that in the French text only the first sentence of paragraph 152 should be amended to read:

"De l'avis de certains membres, le projet existant qui permettait tout Etat partie de soumettre à son gré des observations touchant toute affaire quelle qu'elle soit, alors qu'un autre article du Pacte (voir article ...) conférerait au Comité le pouvoir de demander tous renseignements utiles à un Etat en cause, ne faciliterait pas la conciliation."

Paragraphs 153 and 154 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraphs 155-158

Paragraphs 155-158 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraphs 159-163

Paragraphs 159 and 160 were adopted without discussion.

At the request of Mr. HOARE (United Kingdom),

it was agreed to add the following sentence at the end of paragraph 161:

"On the other hand it was urged that the provisions would only be applied in a country which had been recalcitrant in providing information and such a country would be unlikely to clothe the Committee by its domestic law with the powers necessary for a successful outcome of the enquiry."

Paragraphs 162 and 163 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraphs 164 and 165

Paragraphs 164 and 165 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraphs 166-168

Paragraph 166 was adopted without discussion.

At the request of Mr. HOARE (United Kingdom),

it was agreed to replace the word "appeal" by the words "bring a case" in the twenty-first line of paragraph 167.

Paragraph 168 was adopted without discussion.

Paragraphs 169-174

Paragraphs 169-174 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraphs 175-179

Paragraphs 175-179 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraphs 180-184

Paragraphs 180-184 were adopted without discussion.

Paragraphs 185-188

Mr. INGLES (Philippines) objected to the statement in the sixth sentence of paragraph 185 that the whole of Chapter XI of the Charter was discriminatory. No judgment to that effect had been passed by the Commission.

Mr. MOROSOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), concurring, suggested that the fifth and sixth sentences of paragraph 185 be amended to read:

"Acceptance of the theory of discrimination would, however erroneously, amount to stating that the Charter was discriminatory. However, Chapter XI of the Charter had been accepted by the original States Members of the United Nations."

Alternatively, the two sentences might be deleted.

It was agreed that the fifth and sixth sentences of paragraph 185 should be deleted.

Paragraphs 186-188 were adopted without discussion.

Annex III - Proposals and amendments relating to the draft covenant on civil and political rights and voting thereon.

On the proposal of Mr. CHENG PAONAN (China),

it was agreed, with the concurrence of the RAPPORTEUR, that, Annex III being a recapitulation of texts and a record of the voting, the accuracy of the facts might be safely left to the Secretariat, so that there was no need for the Commission to study them in detail.

Paragraph 12

At the request of Mr. MOROSOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), supported by Mr. KRIVEN (Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic) and Mr. DRUTO (Poland).

it was agreed to amend paragraph 12 to read:

"At the 393rd meeting the representatives of Poland, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics declared that, after consideration of the question, they desired to be considered as having voted for the adopted text of the article, and requested that this be recorded in the report of the Commission".

Paragraph 54

Mr. DIAZ-CASANUEVA (Chile) pointed out that the English text of paragraph 4 of the article quoted in paragraph 54 was not in accordance with the French, and that the words "of the marriage" should be deleted.

Mr. JEVREMOVIC (Yugoslavia) said that he had already pointed out that the word used in the Russian text to translat "age nubile" did not render the same idea in Russian; in that language it meant the age at which minors attained their majority.

The RAPPORTEUR drew the attention of the Chilean and Yugoslav representatives to the paper prepared by the Secretariat on the concordance of texts, which had not so far been examined by the Commission. He suggested that consideration of paragraph 54 be held over until the Commission came to collate the texts in the various languages.

It was so agreed.

Section B

At the request of Mr. JEVREMOVIC (Yugoslavia),

It was agreed that a note should be inserted in Section B to indicate that the measures of implementation in the draft covenant on economic, social, and cultural rights had not been studied by the Commission at its ninth session.

The meeting rose at 8 p.m.

ANNEX

UNITED KINGDOM: Amendments to the resolutions on programme of work of the Sub-Commission in Section D of the report on its fifth session (E/CN.4/670, paragraph 48, and Annex 1).

Part A - Prevention of discrimination

First paragraph:

Substitute for the words "a speedy" the word "the".

Insert after the first paragraph the following paragraph:-

"Considering that for this purpose studies on discrimination should be undertaken in particular fields in accordance with a programme approved by the Commission on Human Rights".

Second paragraph:

Substitute for the second paragraph the following:

"Considering further that for the purpose of such studies it is necessary to obtain all relevant information from Governments, specialized agencies, and national or international non-governmental organizations, and any other relevant material;"

Third and fourth paragraphs:

Delete the third and fourth paragraphs.

Fifth paragraph:

Substitute for the fifth paragraph the following:

"Decides to begin with a study of discrimination in the field of education;"

Remainder of text:

Substitute for the remainder of the text the following:

"Requests the Secretary-General, in collaboration with UNESCO and any other specialized agencies concerned, and national and international non-governmental organizations, to obtain and submit to the Sub-Commission the necessary material for study of this subject by the Sub-Commission at its next session;

Proposes to submit to the Commission on Human Rights for its approval at its next session the subject of its next study and at following sessions the subjects of further studies"

Part B - Protection of minorities

Second paragraph:

Delete sub-paragraphs (b) and (c)

Third paragraph:

Substitute for the third paragraph the following:

"Requests the Secretary-General to make available to the Sub-Commission, if possible at its sixth session, the collection of provisions for the protection of minorities referred to in Resolution D of the fifth session of the Sub-Commission, together with any other available information in regard to legislation, judicial decisions or administrative practices related to the above-mentioned problem."

Fourth and fifth paragraphs:

Delete the fourth and fifth paragraphs.

Draft Resolution F - Programme of work of the Sub-Commission

First paragraph:

Add at the end the words "as amended by the Commission on Human Rights".

Third paragraph:

Delete the third paragraph.