



# General Assembly

Distr.: General

20 July 2018

Original: English

---

## Seventy-third session

Item 74 (b) of the preliminary list\*

**Promotion and protection of human rights: human rights questions, including alternative approaches or improving the effective enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms**

## **Effective promotion of the Declaration on the Rights of Persons Belonging to National or Ethnic, Religious and Linguistic Minorities**

### **Note by the Secretary-General**

The Secretary-General has the honour to transmit to the members of the General Assembly the report of the Special Rapporteur on minority issues, Fernand de Varennnes, submitted pursuant to Assembly resolution [72/184](#) and Human Rights Council resolution 25/5.

---

\* [A/73/50](#).



## **Report of the Special Rapporteur on minority issues**

### **Statelessness: a minority issue**

#### *Summary*

The mandate of the Special Rapporteur on minority issues was established by the Commission on Human Rights in its resolution 2005/79. It was subsequently extended by the Human Rights Council, most recently in its resolution 34/6.

In the present report, in addition to giving an overview of his activities, the Special Rapporteur tackles the issue of statelessness and explores why most of the world's more than 10 million men, women and children who find themselves deprived of citizenship are persons belonging to national or ethnic, religious and linguistic minorities.

The Special Rapporteur presents the underlying causes and patterns that result in millions of minorities around the world losing or being denied citizenship out of all proportion. First, he investigates how and why certain minorities find themselves particularly affected, and even at times specifically targeted, by legislation, policies and practices contributing to or resulting in statelessness. Second, he describes the contexts and patterns that explain the disproportionate extent to which statelessness is experienced by persons belonging to minorities, and why this is at times directly linked to their affiliation to a minority group. Third, he identifies the contexts and patterns that involve breaches of international human rights obligations, in particular the international prohibition of discrimination.

## I. Introduction

1. In the present report, submitted in accordance with General Assembly resolution [72/184](#) and Human Rights Council resolution 25/5, the Special Rapporteur provides, in section II, a brief overview of his activities before, in section III, focusing on why the vast majority of the world's stateless persons are those belonging to minorities; the legislation, policies and practices that contribute to extreme vulnerability; and how persons belonging to minorities are disproportionately affected by a lack of citizenship. He considers how this situation relates to international human rights standards and provides suggestions for addressing it. The final section contains preliminary conclusions and recommendations.

## II. Activities of the Special Rapporteur

2. A summary of the activities of the Special Rapporteur (and of the previous holder of the mandate, Rita Izsák-Ndiaye, from January to July 2017) is available in his report submitted to the Human Rights Council at its thirty-seventh session ([A/HRC/37/66](#), paras. 7–30) and in the bulletin published on his website every six months, which provides a summary of activities, including communications,<sup>1</sup> press statements, public appearances, country visits and thematic reports.<sup>2</sup>

### A. Country missions during 2018

#### Slovenia

3. The Special Rapporteur undertook an official mission to Slovenia from 5 to 13 April to gather first-hand information on human rights issues involving minorities in the country. He noted the country's strong commitment to and tradition of human rights recognition and protection and commended it for its long-standing and positive measures in relation to minorities, such as the Hungarian and Italian communities. He recommended, however, that disaggregated data be collected so to have better and more effective policies and that the Government study how other countries collected, through national censuses, and analysed data disaggregated by ethnicity, religion or language while still being sensitive to and respecting privacy concerns.

4. He indicated that the national human rights system should be enhanced, given that it constituted the front line for the protection of society's most vulnerable and marginalized populations, including minorities. In that regard, he noted that adopting multi-year funding formulas for both the Human Rights Ombudsman and the Advocate of the Principle of Equality would be useful in promoting respect for human rights and tolerance for diversity and in raising awareness with a focus on Roma, minorities and migrants. He also suggested that a review of legislation for both the Ombudsman and the Advocate would remove ambiguities and inconsistencies and could provide for more effective legal remedies for victims of discrimination and other human rights violations.

5. He noted that additional changes were needed in various areas to address the particular vulnerability and marginalization of the Roma community, including removing the distinction in legislation and other measures between "autochthonous" and "non-autochthonous" Roma communities and adopting new legislation to include specific measures in the fields of education and social services to specifically and directly tackle instances of ongoing discrimination and the regularization of Roma

<sup>1</sup> See [www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/SP/Pages/CommunicationsreportsSP.aspx](http://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/SP/Pages/CommunicationsreportsSP.aspx).

<sup>2</sup> See [www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Minorities/SRMinorities/Pages/SRminorityissuesIndex.aspx](http://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Minorities/SRMinorities/Pages/SRminorityissuesIndex.aspx).

settlements. In addition, access to drinking water and basic services such as sanitation and power needed to be tackled as an emergency at the highest levels possible through a five-year action plan, pending the resolution of the status of Roma settlements and progress through other measures currently in place.

6. He addressed the effective implementation of comprehensive legislation for the protection of all minorities by noting that much had been accomplished for the protection of the rights of minorities such as Hungarians and Italians, but too many Slovenian citizens who were members of other minorities had been left out. He indicated that comprehensive legislation could be adopted to protect the rights of all Slovenian minorities, while respecting the currently established constitutional prominence and status of Hungarians, Italians and Roma. New legislation should be explored and include provisions on education in the mother tongue where there was sufficient demand in a locality, to the degree appropriate, or to at least provide for the teaching of a minority language, where possible, as well as provisions guaranteeing fair and proportionate funding of cultural and other activities of minorities, including in the media.

7. As to the Hungarian and Italian minorities, although they had well-established rights and autonomy arrangements, there were still omissions or failures in implementation, with bilingual services not provided where they should be or bilingual officials and teachers either absent or lacking the required fluency levels. He recommended a review of hiring policies, language testing and bilingualism requirements for civil servants and teachers in order to remedy those issues.

8. He recommended that sign language be recognized as the language used by members of the deaf community and that legislation be amended or adopted to make it an official language or to grant it some other status, as had been occurring in recent years in a growing number of countries.

9. His full report on the visit will be submitted to the Human Rights Council in March 2019.

#### **Botswana**

10. The Special Rapporteur will conduct a mission to Botswana from 13 to 24 August 2018. A summary of the mission will be presented in his next report to the Human Rights Council.

### **B. Other activities**

11. In addition to the activities mentioned in his above-mentioned report to the Human Rights Council ([A/HRC/37/66](#), paras. 7–30), on 11 October 2017 the Special Rapporteur spoke on the theme “An international point of view of indigenous language rights: power, identity and opportunity” as part of the Assembly of First Nations engagement sessions in Halifax, Canada.

12. On 30 January 2018, the Special Rapporteur was a guest speaker at the sixty-first annual meeting of the Russian branch of the International Law Association, held at Moscow State University, where he focused on global challenges faced by minorities and the effectiveness of international law. On 2 and 3 March, in Budapest, he participated in an expert meeting organized by the Tom Lantos Institute on the theme “Challenges and ways forward: critical assessment of the international minority protection regime”. On 26 March, he was a keynote speaker for an event launching the Peter McMullin Centre on Statelessness at the University of Melbourne, Australia, where he highlighted why statelessness was, first and foremost, a minority issue, given that the vast majority of stateless persons are those who belong to

minorities. On 26 and 27 April, he was a keynote speaker at the closing ceremony of the thirty-sixth annual course on human rights, organized by the Catalan Institute of Human Rights in Barcelona, Spain. On 30 April and 1 May, he convened a regional expert consultation in Bangkok on statelessness and minority rights.

13. On 9 May, the Special Rapporteur addressed the International Muslim Communities Congress, held in Abu Dhabi, where he presented his mandate and tackled issues surrounding the rise of hate speech and intolerance of religious minorities around the world. On 10 May, he was a guest speaker at an event entitled “Arab human capital in Israel: organizing and activation”, held in Et Taiyiba, Israel. He addressed the topic of the human rights of minorities generally and the value of education and language more specifically. On 24 May, he was a speaker at the third global meeting of Global Action against Mass Atrocity Crimes, held in Kampala, where he discussed the issue of prevention and minorities. On 31 May, he participated as a speaker and panellist in Oslo at a conference marking the twentieth anniversary of the Oslo Recommendations regarding the Linguistic Rights of National Minorities, organized by the High Commissioner on National Minorities of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe and the Norwegian Resource Bank for Democracy and Human Rights.

14. On 18 June, the Special Rapporteur gave opening remarks at a conference to mark the seventieth anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, focusing on minority rights in conflict-ridden societies, organized by the Center for Studies of the Holocaust and Religious Minorities and the Minority Network, in Oslo. He dealt with human rights and autonomy as approaches for maintaining peace and stability and effectively protecting minorities. On 25 June, he was a panellist at the first World Conference on “Religions, Creeds and Value Systems: Joining Forces to Enhance Equal Citizenship Rights”, organized in Geneva by the Geneva Centre for Human Rights Advancement and Global Dialogue in collaboration with the International Catholic Migration Commission, the World Council of Churches, the World Council of Religious Leaders, Bridges to Common Ground and the European Center for Peace and Development. On 26 June, he attended an expert round-table discussion on citizenship stripping as a security measure, along with some 40 other experts in The Hague, Netherlands, organized by the Institute on Statelessness and Inclusion, in collaboration with the Asser Institute, Open Society Foundations and Ashurst. He pointed out, among other things, that statelessness was, by and large, a minority issue.

15. On 3 July, the Special Rapporteur was a guest speaker at the First Nations Governance Forum, organized by the Australian National University in Canberra. He dealt mainly with United Nations standards on the protection of the human rights of minorities and how those were important elements in ensuring stable and inclusive societies around the world. On 4 July, he participated in a seminar organized by the College of Law of the Australian National University on the nature and extent of minority rights in international law and described his mandate. On 5 July, he met a group of indigenous graduate students at the Australian National University and exchanged views on the advancement of human rights and the contemporary challenges being faced in terms of their promotion and implementation. On 11 July, in Geneva, he addressed the participants in the fifty-sixth United Nations Graduate Study Programme, organized by the United Nations Information Service. The theme was “Human rights at a crossroads: where we stand in 2018”, given that 2018 marks the seventieth anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. He pointed to the historical evolution of human rights since the end of the Second World War, explaining why their development was far from complete, or even straightforward, in the current context of resistance — and even opposition — to the international human rights system. On 12 July, he gave a lecture as part of the summer human rights

programme of the School of Law of Murdoch University, Australia, on his mandate and activities, as well as challenges pertaining to minority issues. On 16 July, he explained how he and the United Nations interpreted the human rights of minorities to the participants in the sixth Global Minority Rights Summer School, held in Budapest on the theme “Law and politics of minority rights: are norms and institutions failing us?”.

### **C. Annual report to the Human Rights Council for 2017**

16. The Special Rapporteur submitted his annual report for 2017 ([A/HRC/37/66](#)), which included an outline of the priorities and vision of his tenure as mandate holder on minority issues, to the Human Rights Council at its thirty-seventh session, in March 2018.

### **D. Forum on Minority Issues**

17. Information on the tenth session of the Forum on Minority Issues, held on 30 November and 1 December 2017 on the theme “Minority youth: towards diverse and inclusive societies”, can be found in the annual report of the Special Rapporteur for 2017 (*ibid.*, paras. 59–68).

18. The eleventh session of the Forum, which will be focused on statelessness and minorities, will be held in Geneva on 29 and 30 November 2018.

## **III. Statelessness: a minority issue**

### **A. Introduction**

19. In 2008, the Independent Expert on minority issues presented in her annual report to the Human Rights Council ([A/HRC/7/23](#)) a thematic assessment of the issue of the discriminatory denial or deprivation of citizenship as a tool for the exclusion of minorities. A decade later, in her report to the Human Rights Council ([A/HRC/38/52](#)), the Special Rapporteur on contemporary forms of racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance also addressed the issue of racial discrimination in the context of laws, policies and practices concerning citizenship, nationality and immigration status.

20. Both mandate holders made important contributions to advancing the understanding of the root causes of statelessness, in particular the frequent presence of discriminatory practices, in breach of international human rights obligations, that result in patterns of statelessness affecting, in particular, minorities around the world. What was not known in 2008 or perhaps emphasized sufficiently in 2018 is the extent to which statelessness is, first and foremost, a minority issue.

21. The vast majority of stateless populations today — more than three quarters, according to the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) in 2017 — are persons belonging to national or ethnic, religious and linguistic minorities.<sup>3</sup> This massive overrepresentation is no coincidence: patterns regarding the statelessness of minorities clearly suggest that the denial or deprivation of citizenship is too often neither entirely arbitrary nor accidental, but rather, for many millions of people, the result of deliberate policies and practices that render too many

---

<sup>3</sup> UNHCR, “‘This is our home’: stateless minorities and their search for citizenship”, 2017 Statelessness Report (November 2017), p. 1.

of those who belong to minorities stateless and therefore particularly vulnerable in many societies. Unless the systematic targeting of or disproportionate impact on certain minorities, resulting in their statelessness, is directly acknowledged and addressed, the predicament and challenges of the statelessness of more than 10 million individuals will not be significantly reduced. Unfortunately, this appears to be the case, notwithstanding the positive results obtained in some sectors through the important efforts of various stakeholders,<sup>4</sup> including the UNHCR #IBelong campaign, intended to end statelessness by 2024.<sup>5</sup>

22. Stateless minorities are also often doubly vulnerable. The discriminatory denial or removal of citizenship may have long-lasting and extreme consequences for the enjoyment of other rights and/or access to services. In addition, women belonging to minorities may be further marginalized by gender-based discrimination in relation to their acquisition, change or retention of nationality and the conferral of nationality on their children.

23. For these reasons, the Special Rapporteur identified statelessness as a key thematic priority in his first oral statement to the General Assembly, in October 2017, and in his first report to the Human Rights Council (A/HRC/37/66), presented in March 2018. In that context, he convened, on 30 April and 1 May 2018 in Bangkok, a regional expert consultation so as to benefit from the knowledge and experience of academics, advocates, affected communities, United Nations bodies and agencies, regional intergovernmental institutions and civil society. He has sought to strengthen communication and collaboration with relevant United Nations bodies such as UNHCR, as well as with non-governmental organizations that are focused on addressing the challenge of statelessness, including the Institute on Statelessness and Inclusion, the Statelessness Network Asia Pacific, the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, the European Network on Statelessness, the Canadian Centre on Statelessness and the Peter McMullin Centre on Statelessness. In addition, a call for submissions was made on 25 April to States Members of the United Nations and other interested parties for information on issues relating to minorities and citizenship.

24. Section B below contains a preliminary analysis of the denial or deprivation of citizenship resulting in statelessness as it affects persons belonging to minorities. It is based on the invaluable information and responses provided by the individuals, organizations and States listed in the annex to the present report, to which the Special Rapporteur is extremely grateful.

25. A full thematic report on the subject will be submitted to the Human Rights Council in March 2019.

## **B. Contextualizing statelessness and minorities**

### **History repeats itself**

26. A decade ago, in her report (A/HRC/7/23, summary), the Independent Expert on minority issues wrote on the issue of statelessness and minorities:

Minorities often face discrimination and exclusion, and they struggle to gain access to their human rights, even under conditions of full and unquestioned

<sup>4</sup> One notable example is the Urdu-speaking Bihari minority in Bangladesh. Despite qualifying for citizenship under the Constitution and legislation, in practice some 300,000 Biharis were denied citizenship and faced severe discrimination in terms of employment opportunities and access to education, among other things. Following litigation, the Government of Bangladesh moved towards granting citizenship to most of them after 2007.

<sup>5</sup> See [www.unhcr.org/ibelong/](http://www.unhcr.org/ibelong/).

citizenship. Denying or stripping them of citizenship can be an effective method of compounding their vulnerability and can even lead to mass expulsion. Once denied or deprived of citizenship, minorities are inevitably denied protection of their basic rights and freedoms, including minority rights as established in the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Persons Belonging to National or Ethnic, Religious and Linguistic Minorities.

27. Her comments were almost prescient in relation to what would befall the Rohingya minority of Myanmar, currently one of the largest stateless groups in the world and the fastest-growing humanitarian crisis. It was at the same time a recognition of the plight of other minorities who have, throughout the twentieth century, lost or been denied citizenship. These include, to name but a few, the Kurds of the modern-day Syrian Arab Republic and the Palestinians in the 1960s,<sup>6</sup> the Dioula and other northern ethnic groups in Côte d'Ivoire and the Lhotshampa minority of Bhutan in the 1970s, the Banyamulenge in the Democratic Republic of the Congo and the Russian minorities in Estonia and Latvia in the 1990s, and the Haitian minority in the Dominican Republic in the 2010s.

28. There are other, far from savoury, historical precedents that predate the Second World War and highlight the dangers of targeting minorities as “undesirables” to be excluded from the body politic. At various times, indigenous peoples, colonial populations or those of certain despised “races” would not be deemed worthy of equal citizenship to their “superiors” or “masters”. Of the many examples in this category is, of course, one of the most notorious: the “Nuremberg Laws” announced at the annual rally of the Nazi Party in September 1935 would begin a process of institutionalized racism that would result in the statelessness of some German Jews who were excluded from Reich citizenship and would contribute to such dehumanization of minorities that the “final solution” and the Holocaust would become possible in one of the most “civilized” societies in Europe.

29. The reasons why minorities are those who so often have no nationality are of course diverse, and many do not involve patterns of racism or discrimination, but common threads clearly appear around the world in terms of legislation and practices that result in massive statelessness affecting particular minorities.

### **Importance of being a citizen**

30. Having a nationality, i.e. the formal status of citizenship, is of overwhelming importance to everyone’s lives. Not being a citizen often has tremendous dire consequences:

The harsh reality for many stateless persons is a story of lack of opportunity, of lack of human rights protection and of lack of participation. They face challenges in all areas of life, including: accessing education and healthcare, finding gainful employment, buying or inheriting property, registering a car or a business, obtaining a birth certificate, driving license, marriage certificate or even death certificate, opening a bank account or getting a loan; falling back on social security, and enjoying a pension. Obtaining a passport or being issued any form of identity documentation is extremely difficult, such that many stateless persons have no proof that they exist and no means by which to identify

<sup>6</sup> Palestine was admitted to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization in 2011; the General Assembly adopted resolution 67/19, by which it accorded Palestine non-member observer State status in the United Nations, in 2012; and from 2014 the State of Palestine acceded to numerous multilateral treaties. A majority of the world’s Governments have recognized the State of Palestine. However, there are still no clear rules relating to acquisition or loss of Palestinian citizenship and there remains uncertainty as to who is eligible to be recognized as a national of the State of Palestine.



themselves in their day-to-day interactions with the state or with private entities. International travel is almost inconceivable, unless by illicit — and dangerous — means. Free movement within the state of residence, even if it is where the person was born and has all of his or her ties, can also be difficult. Arbitrary arrest and detention, including in the person’s home country, is not uncommon. In some cases, detention becomes prolonged or even indefinite, if the state is intent on expulsion, but no other country would allow the person to enter. Where a stateless person wants to assert their rights, or where they have become a victim of crime or exploitation, their statelessness can also stand in the way of accessing justice.<sup>7</sup>

31. While citizenship may not exactly be the famous cliché of “the right to have rights”,<sup>8</sup> written by Hannah Arendt, herself an exiled German Jew deprived of her citizenship, it is close enough that to be stateless is to find oneself at a locked door, vulnerable and marginalized, unable to seek shelter or solace from the dangers and threats of a hostile world. As noted elsewhere, the disproportionate vulnerability of minorities to statelessness, as a result of State policies and legislation, “can leave them excluded from state structures, without the right to vote or access basic services such as healthcare or education. In extreme cases, statelessness may leave them vulnerable to violence and mass displacement”.<sup>9</sup>

32. These dire consequences of statelessness have led UNHCR to conclude, in its Global Action Plan to End Statelessness 2014–2024, that it would be deeply unethical to perpetuate these effects to the point that statelessness itself “is a profound violation of an individual’s human rights” when “solutions are so clearly within reach”. At the very least, it is clear that, for millions of stateless individuals, usually persons who are members of a minority, their statelessness is neither coincidence nor accident, but a direct result of a law, policy or practice in violation of international human rights law.

#### **Extent of statelessness as a minority issue**

33. A pattern repeats itself in all regions: the largest groups of stateless persons are connected to a handful of specific minorities. In Africa, although there are difficulties in determining the status of numerous population groups owing to a lack of verifiable data, the vast majority of the continent’s stateless persons are to be found in one country, Côte d’Ivoire, where they number almost 700,000 and are members of the Dioula and other minorities. This situation is the result of changes to nationality legislation in the 1990s.<sup>10</sup> In the Democratic Republic of the Congo, there are also thought to be many stateless persons, in particular among minorities such as the Banyamulenge, although precise figures are difficult to obtain. In both countries, the situation has been one of the main causes of conflict. In the Americas, the pattern repeats itself: almost all the 210,032 stateless persons whom UNHCR reported in its statistics for 2013 were found in a single country, the Dominican Republic, and almost all were members of a single minority, persons of Haitian descent. Some 10,000 persons were able to confirm their, or obtain, Dominican citizenship, and the others

<sup>7</sup> Institute on Statelessness and Inclusion, “Impact of statelessness”. Available at [www.institutesi.org/world/impact.php](http://www.institutesi.org/world/impact.php).

<sup>8</sup> The human rights enumerated in various treaties are not, with a few exceptions, limited to citizens. All persons falling within a State’s jurisdiction or territory, whether citizens or not, are entitled to the vast majority of human rights recognized in international law. This is also confirmed by the Human Rights Committee in paragraph 10 of its general comment No. 31 (2004) on the nature of the general legal obligation imposed on States parties to the Covenant.

<sup>9</sup> Minority Rights Group International, “Denial and denigration: how racism feeds statelessness” (October 2017). Available at <http://stories.minorityrights.org/statelessness/home/>.

<sup>10</sup> According to UNHCR, some 692,000 individuals were stateless in 2017. See [http://popstats.unhcr.org/en/persons\\_of\\_concern](http://popstats.unhcr.org/en/persons_of_concern).

were deported, left voluntarily or were forced to leave for makeshift refugee camps in Haiti.

34. The pattern is seen again in Asia and the Pacific: the stateless Rohingya in Myanmar, of whom there were close to 1 million in 2016, are by far the largest concentration of stateless individuals in this vast region, although they are closely followed by Palestinians in the Middle East (notwithstanding the uncertainty as to the status of many), followed by some 500,000 individuals in Thailand in 2017, most of whom come from various ethnic minorities, including many who may originate from Myanmar and indigenous populations. Neither is Europe exempt from the same phenomenon. According to UNHCR statistics for 2017, almost all the stateless persons in Europe belong to two minority groups, Russians and Roma. The Russian minority is found in Estonia and Latvia, where its members number more than 310,000, while the Roma are more dispersed throughout Europe but may not be able to demonstrate their citizenship in countries with more demanding formalities.<sup>11</sup>

35. There are, of course, many more individuals or groups affected by statelessness, not all of them belonging to minorities. It is, however, undeniable and striking that only a handful of minorities represent a staggeringly high proportion of the world's stateless population and is indicative of a common and persistent systemic problem. Indeed, this type of pattern may arise in new contexts. In India, for example, a proposed amendment to the Citizenship Act of 1955 would facilitate eligibility for citizenship for most religious groups, but exclude the Muslim minority. Fears have been expressed that that situation would lead to millions of Muslims in India being unable to formalize their citizen status, thus leaving them stateless.<sup>12</sup>

### C. Main causes of statelessness

36. Statelessness does not equally affect populations around the world: it is, by and large, a minority issue, given that more than three quarters of the world's stateless populations are persons belonging to minorities. Notwithstanding some initiatives and successes connected to the Global Action Plan to End Statelessness,<sup>13</sup> this number does not appear to have changed dramatically as at 2018.

37. A preliminary conclusion from the expert consultation and other contributions to the present report is that the large-scale occurrence of statelessness is usually connected to the following main situations:

(a) Changes in legislation resulting in denial or deprivation of previously held citizenship. This is the most common cause of statelessness for millions of minorities, including the Rohingya in Myanmar and the Kurds in Iraq and the Syrian Arab Republic, and most other cases of large-scale statelessness affecting specific minority groups;

(b) State succession, secession or changing borders where individuals held citizenship in a previously existing State. The authorities, in some cases, do not extend to some individuals, in particular those who belong to a distinct ethnic

<sup>11</sup> European Network on Statelessness, European Roma Rights Centre, Institute on Statelessness and Inclusion, "Roma belong: statelessness, discrimination and marginalisation of Roma in the western Balkans and Ukraine" (Budapest, October 2017). Available at [www.errc.org/cms/upload/file/roma-belong.pdf](http://www.errc.org/cms/upload/file/roma-belong.pdf).

<sup>12</sup> Saba Sharma, "India's plan to tweak its citizenship law will fundamentally alter the country", India Quartz, 9 July 2018. Available at <https://qz.com/1321289/why-tweaking-indias-citizenship-law-is-a-bad-idea/>.

<sup>13</sup> For example, in May 2017, West Africa became the first region to develop a binding regional action plan, under the auspices of the Economic Community of West African States, with the goal of eradicating statelessness.

minority, citizenship of the new country or with a change of borders that includes new populations. This is the case for some of the larger stateless populations, such as the Russian minority in Estonia and Latvia and (previously) the Biharis of Bangladesh. In addition, the break-up of Czechoslovakia left thousands of Roma with a citizenship status disputed by both successor States;

(c) Requirements for documentary evidence establishing citizenship may give rise to the existence of nomadic minorities or indigenous populations, in part because of their lifestyles or their isolated locations (often near borders), who may operate largely outside the reach of the State authorities and therefore have no documentation to support their citizenship claims. Among these are some of the Roma in Europe, the seafaring Moken of Myanmar and Thailand and the Tuaregs of northern Africa;

(d) Arbitrary, onerous and other requirements can at times be imposed on populations from a particular region (and thus disproportionately affect particular minorities), religion, ethnicity or language, creating de facto obstacles for certain minorities when it comes to proving, maintaining or obtaining citizenship. The Lhotshampa of Bhutan and some Kurds in the Syrian Arab Republic were subjected to such types of requirements;

(e) Conflicts and refugee movements can often lead to individuals fleeing their State of origin and either losing or being unable to prove their previous citizenship and being unable to acquire new citizenship in their country of refuge. These populations, many of whom are minorities, may face barriers to the issuance of birth and other civil registration documents. Palestinians and Syrians, for example, fit into this category;

(f) The historical denial of citizenship is a final pattern that occurs when a particular segment of a State's population has always been excluded from citizenship. For example, the Bidun were not granted citizenship in Kuwait upon the country's independence, nor were, initially, the so-called "estate" or "plantation" Tamils in Sri Lanka;<sup>14</sup>

(g) Stripping of citizenship ostensibly involves reasons of national security or to combat terrorist threats. Specific individuals are targeted by the State authorities through judicial or administrative processes. In some situations, there may be a discriminatory or arbitrary dimension to such exceptional processes, affecting in particular members of certain minorities.

38. There can, of course, be other situations leading to individuals becoming stateless, although the numbers involved would tend to be relatively low, such as in the case of gaps in citizenship laws, where a child may be born outside a parent's home country and the country of birth recognizes only *jus sanguinis* (citizenship by descent) and the home country only *jus soli* (citizenship by birth).

39. The above patterns, which underpin most situations of statelessness around the world, do not in and of themselves necessarily involve breaches of international human rights obligations. There are, in addition, various human rights obligations that may be relevant, although it is clear that at least in the situations of large-scale

<sup>14</sup> Numbering some 300,000 in 2003, this Sri Lankan minority was largely stateless. Legislation and other measures have led to one of the few cases of mass statelessness, along with the Biharis in Bangladesh, being resolved. The legislation passed in October 2003 granted to all stateless persons of Indian origin who had lived in Sri Lanka since 30 October 1964, and their descendants, Sri Lankan citizenship upon request. Another successful move to stamp out statelessness among minorities occurred when steps, including the introduction of a new citizenship law and mobile teams for remote regions to issue citizenship certificates, were taken by the authorities in Nepal from 2006 to address the statelessness of more than 2 million people among the Madhesi, Dalit and Janajati minorities.

statelessness involving minorities — and therefore for millions of those who are stateless globally — there appears to be a consensus among experts, international organizations and other interested parties that serious human rights considerations are at play.

#### **D. Statelessness and human rights obligations**

40. It is a long-standing rule in customary and treaty law, under article 1 of the Convention on Certain Questions relating to the Conflict of Nationality Laws, of 1930, that “it is for each State to determine under its own law who are its nationals”. Nevertheless, this must be understood to be subject to a clear limit in that any national law, policy or practice in relation to citizenship — whether it is its acquisition, maintenance or loss — must at the same time conform to, again in accordance with article 1, “international conventions, international custom, and the principles of law generally recognised with regard to nationality”, including international human rights obligations.

41. The Inter-American Court of Human Rights has ruled that “the manners in which States regulate matters bearing on nationality cannot today be deemed within their sole jurisdiction; those powers of the State are also circumscribed by their obligations to ensure the full protection of human rights”.<sup>15</sup>

42. The denial or deprivation of citizenship is, in very limited instances, permissible under international law, even if it results in statelessness, but only if no international human rights obligations have been breached. To put it simply, this is highly unlikely to be the case, in particular if the result is the exclusion or loss of citizenship affecting a large number of individuals who are members of a minority.

43. There are four main intersecting human rights standards that are clearly of utmost importance in relation to statelessness:

- (a) Right to nationality;
- (b) Obligation to prevent statelessness;
- (c) Prohibition of discrimination;
- (d) Arbitrary denial or deprivation of citizenship.<sup>16</sup>

44. All four operate differently from a legal perspective, although there have been rulings in relation to the latter two standards to the effect that any form of discriminatory denial or deprivation of citizenship would automatically be considered arbitrary in international law. These four standards, which are likely to have a significant impact on the legislation, policies or practices that result in millions of persons belonging to minorities being stateless, will be subject to more in-depth consideration in the final version of the present report, to be submitted in March 2019.

<sup>15</sup> Inter-American Court of Human Rights, advisory opinion OC-4/84 of 19 January 1984, para. 32.

<sup>16</sup> A number of recent initiatives in Africa, for example, emphasize more this dimension, including the Abidjan Declaration of Ministers of ECOWAS Member States on the Eradication of Statelessness and a draft protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights on the specific aspects of the right to a nationality and the eradication of statelessness in Africa, adopted by the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights in 2015 and formally submitted to the African Union in May 2017. Under the protocol, minimum conditions would be established for States to be required to recognize or grant nationality to individuals with strong connections to their territory, recognizing also the specific challenges caused by the colonial establishment of arbitrary borders in Africa, population and labour transfers before independence and low levels of birth registration and the nomadic lifestyle of many on the continent.

45. In more recent years, especially in relation to individuals from another ethnic, religious or linguistic background who are denied or deprived of citizenship, it is the right to equality without discrimination that has come increasingly to the fore as one of the strongest human rights provisions that may successfully be invoked.<sup>17</sup> For example, the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights found<sup>18</sup> that nationality had been denied to particular ethnic groups on the basis of their actual or perceived ethnic origin, their religion and/or their patronym and that the citizenship legislation and practice in place had led to discriminatory policies against persons belonging to ethnic minorities, with the result being statelessness for hundreds of thousands of individuals in Côte d'Ivoire.

46. As listed earlier herein, similar legislation and practices appear to be at the root of the denial or deprivation of citizenship of Palestinian, Rohingya, Russian, Roma, Bidun, Haitian, Lhotshampa and other minorities, of whom there are millions. In the final version of the present report, the Special Rapporteur will detail whether and how exactly these human rights obligations are involved.

## **E. Effective eradication of statelessness with regard to minorities**

47. It is also important to highlight recent constructive and effective initiatives that have been launched to address the particular vulnerability or marginalization of minorities in relation to statelessness. Thousands of indigenous people in Costa Rica and Panama, who are also a numerical minority in those countries, have had their citizenship recognized thanks to a recent registration drive by the Costa Rican Civil Registry and its Panamanian counterpart, supported by UNHCR. Nationality laws in a number of States, including recently Madagascar, have been amended to give women and men equal rights to pass on their nationality to their children as part of the UNHCR #IBelong campaign and one of its "10 actions to end statelessness by 2024".

48. The key action in the Global Action Plan to End Statelessness is to "resolve existing major situations of statelessness". As underlined herein, the major situations of statelessness everywhere in the world, involving more than three quarters of the total number of stateless persons, appear to involve targeted minorities who are denied or deprived of citizenship.

49. Although they predate the current UNCHR campaign, it is worth drawing attention to at least two situations where statelessness has been eradicated, resulting in the systematic recognition of or granting of citizenship to more than half a million persons belonging to minorities in just two countries, a hugely significant number overall. In Bangladesh (after 2007 for the Biharis) and Sri Lanka (after 2003 for the "estate" Tamils), litigation and changes in legislation eventually removed obstacles to the acquisition or effective recognition of citizenship for these minorities. This is also an indication of existing specific practices that show how similar measures could be adopted to resolve existing major situations of statelessness.

50. The Special Rapporteur will be holding further consultations and discussions with State and non-State actors in order to obtain feedback on the present report before submitting his report to the Human Rights Council in 2019. In addition, the theme will be examined by the Forum on Minority Issues in November 2019. It is hoped that practical guidelines will eventually be developed, following an additional

<sup>17</sup> Inter-American Court of Human Rights, *Case of Expelled Dominicans and Haitians v. Dominican Republic*, judgment of 28 August 2014, and African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, *Open Society Justice Initiative v. Côte d'Ivoire*, decision 318/06 of 28 February 2015.

<sup>18</sup> African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, *Open Society Justice Initiative v. Côte d'Ivoire*.

meeting of experts, that will incorporate the result of previous work undertaken in this field.

#### **IV. Preliminary conclusions and recommendations**

51. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the exceptional work being done by UNHCR and a number of Governments to combat statelessness, including positive practices in a few countries that have corrected previous discriminatory denial or deprivation of citizenship affecting some minorities. He is grateful in particular to the many international and regional organizations, national Governments, civil society organizations and individual experts who have responded to his call for submissions and participated in the regional expert consultation held in Bangkok or met him for discussions and consultations in 2017 and 2018.

52. He encourages the international community and other relevant actors, in 2018, to pursue a debate on and examination of the intimate connections between statelessness and the denial or deprivation of citizenship, which mainly affects persons belonging to minorities.

53. The Special Rapporteur calls upon, in particular, States and other interested parties to continue to reflect on statelessness as a minority issue and to participate in his activities to complete the work begun through the present report, including the possible development of a practical guide to avoid citizenship legislation that may run afoul of the four international human rights standards most likely to be involved in practices leading to the statelessness of millions of minorities.

54. The Special Rapporteur believes that efforts must be intensified by all relevant actors, in particular States, if the statelessness of some 10 million individuals, more than three quarters of whom are minorities, is to be tackled effectively. For these reasons, he makes the preliminary recommendations set out below.

55. States have a prerogative to establish laws governing the acquisition, recognition or loss of citizenship, although this is clearly circumscribed by international human rights law.

56. States must not arbitrarily or discriminatorily deny or deprive minorities of citizenship, the main cause of statelessness around the world.

57. The Special Rapporteur reiterates the invitation of the first holder of the mandate of Independent Expert on minority issues to UNHCR and the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights to undertake a study to reveal the extent to which statelessness is a minority issue globally. This should include, insofar as possible, the collection and analysis of statistical data disaggregated on the basis of gender and ethnic, linguistic and religious criteria.

58. States must register all children and issue birth certificates immediately after birth. States should also consider registration campaigns and simplify registration requirements, targeting indigenous peoples and nomadic and isolated minority communities, who tend to be excluded or disadvantaged by burdensome or arbitrary requirements, resulting in later difficulty when it comes to demonstrating their nationality.

59. States must grant nationality to all children born on their territory if the child would otherwise be stateless, regardless of the immigration status of the parents.

60. **State requirements for the granting of citizenship, including in relation to any preference in terms of linguistic, religious or ethnic characteristics, must be reasonable and justified in order not to constitute a form of discrimination prohibited under international law.**
61. **There needs to be further clarification of what constitutes discriminatory denial or deprivation of citizenship. The Special Rapporteur calls upon the international community, Member States, international organizations and other interested parties to assist in the development of further practical guidelines, including their production in the official languages of the United Nations and their dissemination through social and other media so as to ensure as wide access as possible.**
62. **The Special Rapporteur invites UNHCR, the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights and other international, regional and national interested parties to consider, as part of the Global Action Plan to End Statelessness, organizing a dedicated international forum in 2019 or 2020 on how to implement action 1, on resolving existing major situations of statelessness, specifically in relation to the major situations of statelessness, which all involve minorities around the world.**

## Annex

### Contributions to the report

From 1 August 2005 to 1 December 2016, the holders of the mandate on minority issues have sent 239 individual communications to Governments. In 2005, the first year of the mandate's existence, only one communication was sent. Since then, however, there has been an almost steady increase, with the highest number sent in 2011 (37), when the current mandate holder took office (see table).

<i>Year</i>	<i>Communications sent</i>
2005	1
2006	10
2007	16
2008	10
2009	10
2010	10
2011	37
2012	30
2013	31
2014	34
2015	29
2016 (until 1 Dec)	21
<b>Total</b>	<b>239</b>

#### A. Contributions to and participants in the regional expert consultation held in Bangkok on 30 April and 1 May 2018

<i>Name and title</i>	<i>Organization</i>
José-María Arraiza, leader of the Information, Counselling and Legal Assistance Programme	Norwegian Refugee Council, Myanmar
Timnah Baker, Research Fellow	Peter McMullin Centre on Statelessness, Melbourne Law School, Australia
Patrick Balazo, Researcher	Canadian Centre on Statelessness and Justice Centre Hong Kong, China
Laura Bingham, Senior Managing Legal Officer for Equality and Citizenship	Open Society Justice Initiative, United States of America
Helen Brunt, Senior Migration Officer	International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, Thailand
Amal de Chickera, Co-Director and Co-Founder	Institute on Statelessness and Inclusion, Netherlands
Suu Chit, Director	The Seagull, Myanmar



<i>Name and title</i>	<i>Organization</i>
Nikola Errington, Protection Officer (Statelessness)	UNHCR, Myanmar
Nicole Girard, South-East Asia Coordinator	Minority Rights Group International, Thailand
Khalid Hussain, human rights lawyer, minority rights activist and Vice-President	Statelessness Network Asia Pacific, Bangladesh
Jerald Joseph, Commissioner	Human Rights Commission of Malaysia
Nina Murray, Head of Policy and Research	European Network on Statelessness, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
Jelvas Musau, Senior Regional Protection Officer (Statelessness) and Regional Officer for South-East Asia	Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), Pakistan
Maalini Ramalo, Senior Manager for Social Protection	Development of Human Resources in Rural Areas, Malaysia
Butmao Sourn, Executive Director	Minority Rights Organization, Cambodia
Davina Wadley, Coordinator	Statelessness Network Asia Pacific, Australia
Myo Win, Executive Director	Smile Education and Development Foundation, Myanmar

## **B. Responses to call for submissions from States, civil society and other experts**

### **1. States**

<i>State</i>	<i>Response</i>
Argentina	Letter explaining legislation on statelessness
Australia	Letter explaining legislation on statelessness
Austria	Letter, UNHCR report entitled “Mapping statelessness in Austria” and explanation of legislation on deprivation of citizenship, including in relation to foreign terrorist fighters
Azerbaijan	Response to questionnaire and explanation of legislation on statelessness and other aspects
Costa Rica	Response to questionnaire and explanation of legislation on statelessness and other aspects
Croatia	General response to questionnaire and letter explaining legislation, with focus on stateless Roma
Hungary	General response to questionnaire with reference to legislation and policies on statelessness, and explanation of situation of statelessness with regard to Slovakia
India	Direct response to questionnaire

<i>State</i>	<i>Response</i>
Lebanon	Response to questionnaire and explanation of legislation on statelessness and other aspects
Mauritania	Response to questionnaire and description of legislative and policy response to statelessness
Montenegro	General response to questionnaire and letter containing explanation of policy and legislative provisions on statelessness
Portugal	Direct response to questionnaire
Ukraine	Direct response to questionnaire
United States of America	General response addressing some issues in questionnaire
Uruguay	Direct response to questionnaire

## 2. National human rights institutions

<i>Institution</i>	<i>Nature of response</i>
Afghanistan (Independent Human Rights Commission)	Letter addressing some issues in questionnaire
Argentina (Office of the Ombudsman)	Direct response to questionnaire
Denmark (Danish Institute for Human Rights)	Direct response to some questions
Guatemala (Office of the Human Rights Advocate)	Direct response to some questions
Serbia (Office of the Protector of Citizens)	General response
Togo (National Human Rights Commission)	Direct response to questionnaire
Kosovo <sup>a</sup> (Office of the Ombudsperson)	General response

<sup>a</sup> References to Kosovo shall be understood to be in the context of Security Council resolution [1244 \(1999\)](#). The Office of the Ombudsperson in Kosovo was established in 2000 by United Nations Interim Administration Mission in Regulation No. 2000/38.

## 3. International organizations

<i>Organization</i>	<i>Nature of response</i>
Council of Europe (Roma and Travellers Team, Directorate General of Democracy)	Response to questionnaire addressing various cases affecting Roma in countries including Serbia, Italy, Ukraine and other legislative changes
UNHCR	Specific response to the questionnaire covering challenges facing different regions, includes its report on statelessness in South-Eastern Europe
UNHCR (Tajikistan)	Direct response to questionnaire explaining UNHCR role and challenges faced in Tajikistan in tackling statelessness at the legislative and policy levels

#### 4. Civil society organizations

<i>Organization</i>	<i>Nature of response</i>
European Network on Statelessness, European Roma Rights Centre, Institute on Statelessness and Inclusion (joint submission)	Research on Roma and challenges in obtaining citizenship
First Modern Agro Tools Common Initiative Group	Comprehensive response to questionnaire addressing statelessness affecting the English-speaking minority in Cameroon
Hong Kong Society for Asylum-Seekers and Refugees	Direct response to questionnaire, including a study by the Education University of Hong Kong on understanding the plight of asylum seekers, and addressing how statelessness is affecting asylum seekers in Hong Kong, China
Journalists and Writers Foundation	General response and addressing deprivation of nationality of Turkish citizens abroad
Justice Centre Hong Kong	Direct response to questionnaire addressing issues pertaining to statelessness in Hong Kong, China
Latvian Human Rights Committee	Direct response to questionnaire addressing aspects of statelessness in Latvia
Liberian Council of Churches	Direct response to questionnaire and provision of a press release on a call for a world stateless day, covering many situations in West Africa and Asia
Minority Rights Group International	Specific response to questionnaire and addressing obstacles to statelessness in Mauritania
Right to a State (Benin)	Specific response to questionnaire, report on statelessness in Benin and addressing obstacles to ending or addressing statelessness at the social, political and legislative levels in Benin
Scalabrini Centre of Cape Town and Legal Resource Centre (South Africa)	Direct response to questionnaire covering challenges and causes of statelessness in South Africa
United Stateless	General submission addressing statelessness in the United States

#### 5. Other experts and responses

<i>Name and title or affiliation</i>	<i>Nature of response</i>
Ewelina Ochab, Legal Researcher	General report addressing Iraqi Christian minority status after Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant
Vlada Poļisadova, Maastricht University	Report addressing the rights of non-citizens in Latvia
Yana Toom, Member of the European Parliament	General response on how statelessness is addressed by the European Parliament and legislation
William Worster, Senior Lecturer, The Hague University	Report addressing child statelessness