MEETING OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION ON PROHIBITIONS OR RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF CERTAIN CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS WHICH MAY BE DEEMED TO BE EXCESSIVELY INJURIOUS OR TO HAVE INDISCRIMINATE EFFECTS

CCW/MSP/2009/5 20 November 2009

Original: ENGLISH

2009 Session

Geneva, 12-13 November 2009

Item 12 of the agenda

Consideration and adoption of the final document

#### **FINAL REPORT**

#### **CONTENTS**

		<u>Paragraphs</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION	1 – 9
II.	ORGANIZATION OF THE MEETING OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES	10 – 16
III.	WORK OF THE MEETING OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES	17 – 27
IV.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	28 - 46
	Annexes	
I.	Agenda of the 2009 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties	
II.	Programme of Work of the 2009 Meeting of the High Contracting Part	ies
III.	Provisional Agenda of the 2010 Meeting of the High Contracting Parti	es
IV.	Estimated costs of the 2010 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional We Be Deemed to Be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effective Contraction of the Use of Certain Conventional We Be Deemed to Be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effective Contraction of the Use of Certain Conventional West Contraction of the Use of Certain Convention of the Use Contraction of the Use Contracti	eapons Which May
V.	Estimated costs of the 2010 Sessions of the Group of Governmental Ex- Contracting Parties to the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May Be Deemed to Be Excession Have Indiscriminate Effects	on the Use of
VI.	Status of the Convention and its annexed Protocols	

#### VII. List of documents

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. The Third Review Conference of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May be Deemed to be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects (CCW), held in Geneva from 7 to 17 November 2006, decided on a Plan of Action to Promote Universality of the Convention, as contained in Annex III of its Final Declaration (Final Document, CCW/CONF.III/11, Part II), and, in accordance with paragraph 10 (iv) of the Decision on the Plan of Action, to "review this issue during the meetings of the States Parties".
- 2. The Third Review Conference also decided, as contained in Decision 4 of the Final Declaration, to recommend, *inter alia*, that "the President of the Third Review Conference, on behalf of the High Contracting Parties, exercise [his] authority to achieve the goal of universality of the Convention and its annexed Protocols".
- 3. The Third Review Conference also decided, as contained in Decision 5 of the Final Declaration, "to establish a Sponsorship Programme within the framework of the Convention, as contained in Annex IV of the Final Declaration, and that, in accordance with paragraph 5 (iv) of the Decision, the Steering Committee of the Programme "will report annually on its activities, including on the beneficiaries of the Programme, to the States Parties".
- 4. The Third Review Conference also decided, as contained in Decision 3 of the Final Declaration, "to establish a Compliance mechanism applicable to the Convention and its annexed Protocols". Pursuant to the Decision on the establishment of a Compliance mechanism applicable to the Convention and its Protocols, the 2007 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties, held in Geneva from 7 to13 November 2007, decided to include the issue of the status of implementation of, and compliance with, the Convention and its Protocols in the Agenda of the annual Meetings of the High Contracting Parties, as contained in its final Report (CCW/MSP/2007/5).
- 5. The 2008 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties, held in Geneva on 13 and 14 November 2008, decided, as contained in its final Report (CCW/MSP/2008/4), that:

"The Group of Governmental Experts (GGE) will continue its negotiations, taking into account document CCW/GGE/2008-V/WP.1 and other present and future proposals by delegations, to address urgently the humanitarian impact of cluster munitions, while striking a balance between military and humanitarian considerations.

The GGE should make every effort to conclude its negotiations as rapidly as possible and report to the next Meeting of the High Contracting Parties.

The work of the GGE will be supported by military and technical experts.

The GGE will meet for up to two weeks in 2009, from 16 to 20 February 2009 and subsequently, if required, from 14 to 17 April 2009."

- 6. The 2008 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties also decided to keep the issue of Mines Other Than Anti-Personnel Mines (MOTAPM) under consideration under the overall responsibility of the Chairperson-designate.
- 7. The 2008 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties also decided that the proposal to establish an Implementation Support Unit ("ISU") for the implementation of the Convention would be taken up for decision at the next Meeting of the High Contracting Parties.
- 8. The 2008 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties also decided to hold the next Meeting of the High Contracting Parties on 12 and 13 November 2009 at Geneva, and approved its Provisional Agenda, as contained in Annex IV of its final Report.
- 9. The 2008 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties also designated Ambassador Babacar Carlos Mbaye of Senegal as Chairperson of the 2009 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties, and appointed Mr. Gustavo Ainchil as Chairperson of the Group of Governmental Experts.

### II. ORGANIZATION OF THE MEETING OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES

- 10. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention was held at Geneva on 12 and 13 November 2009.
- 11. The following High Contracting Parties to the Convention participated in the work of the Meeting: Albania, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bangladesh, Belarus, Belgium, Benin, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brazil, Bulgaria, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Colombia, Croatia, Cuba, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, El Salvador, Estonia, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Greece, Guatemala, Holy See, Hungary, India, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Mali, Malta, Mexico, Monaco, Morocco, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sweden, Switzerland, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Togo, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America, Uruguay, and Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of).
- 12. The following Signatory States to the Convention also participated in the work of the Meeting: Afghanistan and Egypt.
- 13. The following States which are not parties to the Convention participated in the work of the Meeting as observers: Angola, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Burundi, Côte d'Ivoire, Dominican Republic, Guinea, Haiti, Iraq, Kenya, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Malaysia, Mozambique, Qatar, Samoa, Singapore, Syrian Arab Republic, and Thailand.

- 14. The representatives of the United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research (UNIDIR), United Nations Mine Action Service (UNMAS), and United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs (UNODA) took part in the work of the Meeting.
- 15. The representatives of the following international organizations also participated in the work of the Meeting: European Commission, Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining (GICHD) and International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC).
- 16. The representatives of the following non-governmental organizations also participated in the work of the Meeting: Acronym Institute for Disarmament Policy, Association of World Citizens, Cluster Munition Coalition, Handicap International, Human Rights Watch, International Campaign to Ban Landmines (ICBL), International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War (IPPNW), Landmine Action (UK), Landmine Monitor, Mines Action Canada, Norwegian People's Aid, Pax Christi, and Survivor Corps.

#### III. WORK OF THE MEETING OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES

- 17. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties was opened on 12 November 2009 by the Deputy Secretary-General of the Conference on Disarmament and Director of the United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs, Geneva Branch, Mr. Jarmo Sareva.
- 18. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties held plenary meetings and informal consultations. At its first plenary meeting, on 12 November 2009, the Meeting confirmed by acclamation the nomination of Ambassador Babacar Carlos Mbaye of Senegal as Chairperson of the Meeting.
- 19. At the same plenary meeting, the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties adopted its Agenda, as contained in Annex I, and its Programme of Work, as contained in Annex II, and confirmed the Rules of Procedure as adopted and used by the Third Review Conference (CCW/CONF.III/11, Part III).
- 20. At the same plenary meeting, the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties confirmed the nomination of Mr. Peter Kolarov, United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs, Geneva Branch, as Secretary-General of the Meeting, who was assisted by Mr. Bantan Nugroho, Political Affairs Officer, as Secretary of the Meeting.
- 21. At the same plenary meeting, the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties heard a message from the Secretary-General of the United Nations, Mr. Ban Ki-moon, which was delivered by the United Nations High Representative for Disarmament Affairs, Mr. Sergio Duarte.
- 22. The following States participated in the general exchange of views: Australia, Austria, Bangladesh, Belarus, Brazil, Canada, China, Cuba, France, Guatemala, India, Iraq, Israel, Japan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Mexico, Morocco, Norway, Pakistan, Republic of Korea,

Russian Federation, Slovakia, Sweden (on behalf of the European Union), Switzerland, Turkey, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, and United States of America. The representative of UNMAS (on behalf of the United Nations Mine Action Team) also took part in the general exchange of views. The statements made during the general exchange of views are reflected in the summary records of the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties, which will be issued at a later date.

- 23. At its second plenary meeting, on 12 November 2009, the Chairperson of the meetings of military and technical experts of the Group of Governmental Experts of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention, Navy Captain Roberto C. Juárez, reported on behalf of Mr. Gustavo Ainchil of Argentina, Chairperson of the Group, on the work of the Group of Governmental Experts to the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties for its consideration.
- 24. At its third plenary meeting, on 13 November 2009, the Chairperson of the 2008 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention, Ambassador Zamir Akram of Pakistan, reported on the implementation of the Plan of Action to promote the universality of the Convention. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties welcomed the new States Parties which had recently acceded to the Convention: Kazakhstan and United Arab Emirates.
- 25. At the same plenary meeting, the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties considered a report on the CCW Sponsorship Programme by the Coordinator of the Programme's Steering Committee, Mr. Pieter van Donkersgoed of the Netherlands.
- 26. In accordance with the decision of the Third Review Conference on the establishment of a Compliance mechanism applicable to the Convention and its annexed Protocols and the relevant decisions of the 2007 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties, the Meeting had before it national annual reports from the following States: Argentina, Australia, Belarus, Canada, China, Colombia, Croatia, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, India, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Lithuania, Mexico, Norway, Pakistan, Poland, Portugal, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, Tunisia, Turkey, and Ukraine. The reports cover, *inter alia*, the following matters:
  - (a) Dissemination of information on the Convention and its annexed Protocols to their armed forces and to the civilian population;
  - (b) Steps taken to meet the relevant technical requirements of the Convention and its annexed Protocols and any other relevant information pertaining thereto;
  - (c) Legislation related to the Convention and its annexed Protocols;
  - (d) Measures taken on technical cooperation and assistance; and
  - (e) Other relevant matters.
- 27. During the course of the Meeting, the High Contracting Parties considered documents CCW/MSP/2009/1 to CCW/MSP/2009/5, and CCW/MSP/2009/WP.1 and CCW/MSP/2009/WP.2, as listed in Annex VII. The official documents of the Meeting of the

High Contracting Parties are available in all official languages through the Official Document System of the United Nations at <a href="http://documents.un.org/">http://documents.un.org/</a>, and the official CCW website as part of the website of the United Nations Office at Geneva at <a href="http://www.unog.ch/ccw">http://www.unog.ch/ccw</a>.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 28. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties took note of the report on the implementation of the Plan of Action to Promote the Universality of the Convention and emphasized the importance of achieving universal adherence to, and compliance with, the Convention, the amendment to its Article 1, and its annexed Protocols. In particular, the Meeting expressed its satisfaction at the steps undertaken for the implementation of the Plan of Action to Promote the Universality of the Convention and its annexed Protocols. The Meeting urged all States that had not yet done so to become parties as soon as possible to the Convention, the amendment to its Article 1, and its annexed Protocols, pursuant to Article 4 of the Convention, and that all States respect and ensure respect for the provisions of these Protocols.
- 29. The Meeting also recommended that the Secretary-General of the United Nations, as Depositary of the Convention and its annexed Protocols, and the Chairperson, on behalf of the High Contracting Parties, exercise their authority to achieve the goal of universality of the Convention and its annexed Protocols. To this effect, the Meeting requested the Chairperson to consider reporting to the sixty-fifth session of the United Nations General Assembly on his endeavours.
- 30. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties took note of the report of the CCW Sponsorship Programme and recognized the value and importance of the Sponsorship Programme within the framework of the Convention for strengthening the implementation of the Convention and its annexed Protocols; promoting universal observance of the norms and principles enshrined therein; supporting their universalization; enhancing the cooperation and exchange of information among the High Contracting Parties; and ensuring broader geographical representation at meetings of the Convention. The Meeting called upon those States in a position to do so to contribute to the Sponsorship Programme.
- 31. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties emphasized once again the importance of compliance with the provisions of the Convention and its annexed Protocols by all High Contracting Parties, their commitment to fully implement the provisions enshrined therein, and their determination to consult and cooperate with each other in order to facilitate the full implementation of the obligations contained in the Convention and its annexed Protocols to which they are party, thereby promoting compliance.
- 32. The Meeting expressed, in particular, its satisfaction at the steps undertaken in the implementation of the relevant decisions on Compliance, including the establishment and the maintenance by the Secretariat of the Compliance database and the Roster of Experts. The Meeting encouraged the High Contracting Parties to submit, on an annual basis, national compliance reports and to nominate national experts for inclusion in the Pool of Experts. In this respect, it was recalled that the recommended deadline for submission of the national reports was

fixed by the 2007 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties at 1 October of each calendar year. The Meeting also encouraged the High Contracting Parties to nominate national experts for inclusion in the Pool of Experts.

- 33. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties decided to keep the issue of Mines Other Than Anti-Personnel Mines (MOTAPM) under consideration under the overall responsibility of the Chairperson-designate.
- 34. Taking into account the importance of securing the continuity and stability of the support provided by the Secretariat to the CCW High Contracting Parties and observer States with regards to the effective and comprehensive implementation and universalization of the Convention and its annexed Protocols, including the exchange of information and cooperation among the High Contracting Parties, the Meeting decided that an "Implementation Support Unit" (ISU) shall be established, while noting that the increased work relating to the CCW and its Protocols could benefit from a strengthened UNODA, Geneva branch.
- 35. The ISU will consist of two full-time staff members within the Geneva Branch of the United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs and will be funded by the States through the estimated costs of the CCW meetings. It will work under the authority of the annual Meetings of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention.
- 36. The CCW ISU will operate in the most efficient way and will perform the following tasks:
  - (a) Provide administrative support to and prepare documentation for meetings agreed by the meetings of the High Contracting Parties to the CCW, Amended Protocol II and Protocol V;
  - (b) Facilitate communications among High Contracting Parties and, upon request, with international organizations;
  - (c) Serve as a focal point for submission of information by and to the High Contracting Parties related to the Convention and its annexed Protocols; develop and maintain the CCW website and the CCW databases as directed by the annual Meetings of the High Contracting Parties;
  - (d) Support the High Contracting Parties, on request, in the implementation of the CCW and its Protocols and assist the Secretary-General of the United Nations in the discharge of his/her responsibilities pursuant to Article 11 (2) of Protocol V, Article 14 (4) of Amended Protocol II and the CCW Compliance mechanism;
  - (e) Contribute to the promotion of the universalization of the CCW and its annexed Protocols and support the High Contracting Parties in the implementation of the CCW Plan of Action for the Universalization of the CCW and its Protocols and the CCW Sponsorship Programme;

- (f) Perform any other duty as mandated by the High Contracting Parties of the CCW or its Protocols.
- 37. The Head of the ISU will report to the annual Meetings of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention on the operation of the ISU. The Unit's performance will be evaluated by the annual Meetings of the High Contracting Parties to the CCW. The number of staff employed and the functions of the ISU will be reviewed by the Fourth Review Conference.
- 38. The Meeting of the States Parties decided that the Fourth Review Conference of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention will take place in 2011. The Meeting also decided that the Chairperson-designate of the 2010 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties shall undertake consultations during the intersessional period on the preparation of the Fourth Review Conference and shall report to the next Meeting of the States Parties.
- 39. The Meeting took note of the report of the Chairperson of the GGE on the negotiations in the Group, including his consolidated text, titled "Draft Protocol on Cluster Munitions".
- 40. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties also decided that:

"The Group of Governmental Experts (GGE) will continue its negotiations, informed by the Chairperson's consolidated text dated 26 August 2009<sup>1</sup>, and taking into account document CCW/GGE/2009-II/2, Annex I<sup>2</sup>, and other past, present and future proposals by delegations, to address urgently the humanitarian impact of cluster munitions, while striking a balance between military and humanitarian considerations.

The GGE will conclude its negotiations as rapidly as possible and report to the next Meeting of the High Contracting Parties.

The work of the GGE will be supported by experts on issues relevant to the negotiations.

The GGE will meet from 12 to 16 April 2010 and from 30 August to 3 September 2010."

- 41. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties decided to hold the next Meeting of the High Contracting Parties on 25 and 26 November 2010 at Geneva, and approved its Provisional Agenda, as contained in Annex III.
- 42. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties addressed the issue of the dates and duration of the Fourth Conference of the High Contracting Parties to CCW Protocol V and decided that it be held on 22 and 23 November 2010 at Geneva.
- 43. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties addressed the issue of the dates and duration of the Twelfth Annual Conference of the High Contracting Parties to Amended Protocol II and decided that it be held on 24 November 2010 at Geneva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Document CCW/MSP/2009/WP.1, titled "Draft Protocol on Cluster Munitions".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Titled "Cluster Munitions".

- 44. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties decided to designate Ambassador Gancho Ganev of Bulgaria as Chairperson of the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to be held in 2010, and appointed Minister Jesus S. Domingo of the Philippines as Chairperson of the Group of Governmental Experts.
- 45. At its final plenary meeting, on 13 November 2009, the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties adopted the cost estimates of the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention and of the sessions of the Group of Governmental Experts to be held in 2010, as contained in Annex IV and Annex V.
- 46. At the same plenary meeting, the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties adopted its report, as contained in document CCW/MSP/2009/CRP.1, as orally amended, which is being issued as document CCW/MSP/2009/5.

#### Annex I

#### AGENDA OF THE 2009 MEETING OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES

(as adopted at the first plenary meeting on 12 November 2009)

- 1. Opening of the Meeting
- 2. Confirmation of the nomination of the Chairperson of the Meeting
- 3. Adoption of the Agenda
- 4. Confirmation of the Rules of Procedure
- 5. Confirmation of the nomination of the Secretary-General of the Meeting
- 6. Organization of work, including that of any subsidiary body of the Meeting. Election of other officers of the Meeting
- 7. General exchange of views
- 8. Plan of Action to promote the universality of the Convention, including the implementation of the CCW Sponsorship Programme
- 9. Status of implementation of and compliance with the Convention and its Protocols
- 10. Consideration of the report of the work of the Group of Governmental Experts
- 11. Mines Other Than Anti-Personnel Mines
- 12. Consideration and adoption of the final document
- 13. Other matters

#### Annex II

## PROGRAMME OF WORK OF THE 2009 MEETING OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES

(as adopted at the first plenary meeting on 12 November 2009)

		Opening of the Conference
THURSDAY	10:00 - 13:00	<ul> <li>General exchange of views</li> </ul>
<b>12 NOVEMBER 2009</b>		Report of the Group of Governmental Experts
		• Report of the Group of Governmental Experts
	15:00 - 18:00	(cont.)
		• Status of implementation of and compliance
		with the Convention and its Protocols
		Plan of Action to promote the universality of
FRIDAY	10:00 - 13:00	the Convention, including the implementation
<b>13 NOVEMBER 2009</b>		of the CCW Sponsorship Programme
		Mines Other Than Anti-Personnel Mines
		Wrap-up
	15:00 – 18:00	<ul> <li>Consideration and adoption of the final</li> </ul>
		document and closure of the Meeting

#### Annex III

### PROVISIONAL AGENDA OF THE 2010 MEETING OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES

(as adopted at the final plenary meeting on 13 November 2009)

- 1. Opening of the Meeting
- 2. Confirmation of the nomination of the Chairperson of the Meeting
- 3. Adoption of the Agenda
- 4. Confirmation of the Rules of Procedure
- 5. Confirmation of the nomination of the Secretary-General of the Meeting
- 6. Organization of work, including that of any subsidiary body of the Meeting. Election of other officers of the Meeting
- 7. General exchange of views
- 8. Plan of Action to promote the universality of the Convention, including the implementation of the CCW Sponsorship Programme
- 9. Status of implementation of and compliance with the Convention and its Protocols
- 10. Consideration of the report of the work of the Group of Governmental Experts
- 11. Mines Other Than Anti-Personnel Mines
- 12. Preparation for the Fourth Review Conference
- 13. Consideration and adoption of the final document
- 14. Other matters

#### Annex IV

# ESTIMATED COSTS OF THE 2010 MEETING OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION ON PROHIBITIONS OR RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF CERTAIN CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS WHICH MAY BE DEEMED TO BE EXCESSIVELY INJURIOUS OR TO HAVE INDISCRIMINATE EFFECTS

(as adopted at the final plenary meeting on 13 November 2009)

- 1. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May Be Deemed to Be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects, held in Geneva from 12 to 13 November 2009, decided to convene the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention on 25 and 26 November 2010.
- 2. This document is submitted pursuant to the above-mentioned decision of the States Parties and provides estimated costs in the amount of US\$ 452,700 for convening that meeting. A breakdown of these costs is provided in the attached table.
- 3. It should be noted that the costs are estimated on the basis of past experience and anticipated workload. The actual costs will be determined after the closure of the meeting and completion of related work, with all relevant expenditures recorded in the accounts. At that time, any adjustments in contributions by the participants sharing the costs will be determined accordingly.
- 4. With regard to the financial arrangements, it will be recalled that, in accordance with the practice followed on the occasion of previous multilateral disarmament conferences and related meetings, and as reflected in their Rules of Procedure, the costs are shared among the States Parties participating in the conferences, based upon the United Nations scale of assessment prorated to take into account the number of States Parties participating in the meetings. States that are not States Parties but that have accepted the invitation to take part in the meetings share in the costs to the extent of their respective rates of assessment under the United Nations scale of assessments.
- 5. Subject to the States Parties' approval of the estimated costs and cost-sharing formula, assessment notices will be prepared based on the overall estimated costs and applicable cost-sharing formula. Since the above-mentioned activities shall have no financial implication for the regular budget of the Organization, States Parties should proceed with the payment of their share of the estimated costs as soon as assessment notices have been received.

Title of session:

# MEETING OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION ON CERTAIN CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS GENEVA, 25-26 NOVEMBER 2010

	Meeting	Pre-session	In-session	Summary	Post-session	Support Services	Other	
Conference-servicing items	Servicing *	documentation *	1 1	records *	documentation *	requirements *	requirements *	Total *
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Interpretation and	20.100							20.200
meeting servicing	30,100							30,100
Translation of documentation		36,900	12,000	91,000	37,200			177,100
Translation of documentation		30,300	12,000	91,000	37,200			177,100
Support Services requirements						2,600		2,600
						,		8
Other requirements							10,500	10,500
Total	30,100	36,900	12,000	91,000	37,200	2,600	10,500	220,300
* - at US\$ 1=CHF	1.02							
	A.	Total conference-se	ervicing requireme	nts (inclusive of	13% programme s	upport costs)		220,300
	В.	Non-conference-se	rvicing requiremen	ıts*				
		1) one Head of CCI	VISU for twelve m	onths				193,700
		2) Travel						10,000
		3) Equipment and r	naterials					2,000
				Sub-total				205,700
			Programme suppo	rt costs @13% ov	er B			26,700
	Subtotal B							232,400
					Grand total (roun	ded) A+B		452,700

#### Annex V

# ESTIMATED COSTS OF THE 2010 SESSIONS OF THE GROUP OF GOVERNMENTAL EXPERTS OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION ON PROHIBITIONS OR RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF CERTAIN CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS WHICH MAY BE DEEMED TO BE EXCESSIVELY INJURIOUS OR TO HAVE INDISCRIMINATE EFFECTS

(as adopted at the final plenary meeting on 13 November 2009)

- 1. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention on the Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May Be Deemed to Be Excessively Injurious or to have Indiscriminate Effects, held in Geneva on 12 and 13 November 2009, decided that the Group of Governmental Experts will meet for two sessions each of five days duration, from to 12 to 16 April, and from 30 August to 3 September 2010.
- 2. This document is submitted pursuant to the above-mentioned decision of the States Parties and provides the estimated costs of those sessions.
- 3. The costs of the sessions are estimated at US\$212,000 each or US\$424,000. A breakdown of the estimated costs is provided in the attached tables.
- 4. It should be noted that the costs are estimated on the basis of past experience and anticipated workload. The actual costs will be determined after the closure of the sessions and completion of related work, with all relevant expenditures recorded in the accounts. At that time, any adjustments in the contributions made by the participants sharing the costs will be determined accordingly.
- 5. With regard to the financial arrangements, it will be recalled that in accordance with the practice followed on the occasion of previous multilateral disarmament conferences, and as reflected in their Rules of Procedure, the costs of such conferences, including those pertaining to preparatory meetings, would be shared among the States Parties participating in the conferences or meetings, based upon the United Nations scale of assessment pro-rated to take into account the number of States Parties participating in the conference. States that are not States Parties but that have accepted the invitation to take part in the meeting would share in the costs to the extent of their respective rates of assessment under the United Nations scale of assessment.
- 6. Subject to the States Parties' approval of the estimated costs and cost-sharing formula, assessment notices will be prepared based on the overall estimated costs and applicable cost-sharing formula. Since the above-mentioned activities shall have no financial implication for the regular budget of the Organization, States Parties should proceed with the payment of their share of the estimated costs as soon as assessment notices have been received.

# Title of session: FIRST 2010 SESSION OF THE GROUP OF GOVERNMENTAL EXPERTS OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION ON CERTAIN CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS GENEVA, 12-16 APRIL 2010

Conference-servicing items	Meeting Servicing * \$	Pre-session documentation *	In-session documentation * \$	Summary records * \$	Post-session documentation * \$	Support Services requirements * \$	Other requirements * \$	Total * \$
Interpretation and								
meeting servicing	105,900							105,900
Translation of documentation		29,800	19,800	0	37,200			86,800
Support Services requirements						5,900		5,900
Other requirements							13,400	13,400
Total	105,900	29,800	19,800	-	37,200	5,900	13,400	212,000
* at 1188 1-CHE	1.02							

<sup>\* -</sup> at US\$ 1=CHF 1.02

A. Total conference-servicing requirements (inclusive of 13% programme support costs)

Grand total (rounded) A+B

212,000

B. Non-conference-servicing requirements

212,000

## Title of session: SECOND 2010 SESSION OF THE GROUP OF GOVERNMENTAL EXPERTS OF THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES TO THE CONVENTION ON CERTAIN CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS

GENEVA, 30 AUGUST- 3 SEPTEMBER 2010

Conference-servicing items	Meeting Servicing * S	Pre-session documentation *	In-session documentation * \$	Summary records * \$	Post-session documentation *	Support Services requirements * \$	Other requirements * \$	Total *
Interpretation and								
meeting servicing	105,900							105,900
Translation of documentation		29,800	19,800	0	37,200			86,800
Support Services requirements						5,900		5,900
Other requirements							13,400	13,400
Total	105,900	29,800	19,800	-	37,200	5,900	13,400	212,000
* - at US\$ 1=CHF 1.02								

A. Total conference-servicing requirements (inclusive of 13% programme support costs)

212,000

B. Non-conference-servicing requirements

Grand total (rounded) A+B

212,000

#### Annex VI

#### STATUS OF THE CONVENTION AND ITS ANNEXED PROTOCOLS

(as at 12 November 2009)

CCW	Amended Article 1	Protocol I	Protocol II	Amended Protocol II	Protocol III	Protocol IV	Protocol V
STATES PARTIES: 110	72	108	92	93	104	95	61
Albania	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Argentina	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Australia	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Austria	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Bangladesh		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Belarus	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>
Belgium	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Benin		<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>		
Bolivia (Plurinational state of)		<i>✓</i>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	<b>✓</b>	<i>✓</i>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>
Brazil		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	
Bulgaria	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Burkina Faso	<b>✓</b>	<i>✓</i>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Cambodia		<i>✓</i>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Cameroon		<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Canada	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Cape Verde		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Chile	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
China	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Colombia	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Costa Rica	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Croatia	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Cuba	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>		
Cyprus		<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	
Czech Republic	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>
Denmark	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Djibouti		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>		
Ecuador	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>
El Salvador	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>

CCW	Amended Article 1	Protocol I	Protocol II	Amended Protocol II	Protocol III	Protocol IV	Protocol V
Estonia	✓	<b>√</b>		<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Finland	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>
France	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Gabon		<b>√</b>			<b>✓</b>		
Georgia	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>
Germany	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Greece	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Guatemala	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Guinea-Bissau	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Holy See	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Honduras		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Hungary	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Iceland	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	$\checkmark$	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
India	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Ireland	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Israel		<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	
Italy	✓	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Jamaica	✓	<b>√</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Japan	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Jordan		<b>√</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>		
Kazakhstan		<b>√</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Lao PDR		<b>√</b>	<b></b>		<b>√</b>		
Latvia	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Lesotho		<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>√</b>		
Liberia	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
Liechtenstein	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Lithuania	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>
Luxembourg	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b></b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>
Madagascar		<b>√</b>	<b></b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>
Maldives		<b>√</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	
Mali		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Malta	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Mauritius		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Mexico	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Moldova	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>

CCW	Amended Article 1	Protocol I	Protocol II	Amended Protocol II	Protocol III	Protocol IV	Protocol V
Monaco		<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>			
Mongolia		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Morocco			<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>		<b>✓</b>	
Nauru		<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Netherlands	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
New Zealand		<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Nicaragua	<b>√</b>	✓		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Niger	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Norway	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<i>✓</i>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Pakistan		<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>
Panama	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Paraguay	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>
Peru	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>
Philippines		<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	
Poland	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	
Portugal	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Republic of Korea	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>√</b>			<b>✓</b>
Republic of Montenegro	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	
Romania	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>
Russian Federation	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Saudi Arabia		<b>√</b>			<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Senegal				<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>√</b>
Serbia	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Seychelles		<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Sierra Leone	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>
Slovakia	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Slovenia	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
South Africa		<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Spain	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
Sri Lanka	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	
Sweden	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
Switzerland	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
Tajikistan		<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>\</b>

CCW	Amended Article 1	Protocol I	Protocol II	Amended Protocol II	Protocol III	Protocol IV	Protocol V
Togo		✓	<b>✓</b>		✓		
Tunisia	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>
Turkey	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		<b>✓</b>		<b>√</b>	
Turkmenistan		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>			
Uganda		✓	<b>✓</b>		✓		
Ukraine	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
United Kingdom	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	
United Arab Emirates		<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>		<b>√</b>
United States of America	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>
Uruguay	<b>√</b>	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>
Uzbekistan		<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Venezuela		✓	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		

#### Annex VII

#### LIST OF DOCUMENTS

Symbol	Title	Submitted by
CCW/MSP/2009/1	Provisional Agenda	Chairperson-designate
CCW/MSP/2009/2	Provisional Programme of Work	Chairperson-designate
CCW/MSP/2009/3	Promoting the Universality of the Convention and its Annexed Protocols	Secretariat
CCW/MSP/2009/4	Report on the Sponsorship Programme Within the Framework of the Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons	Coordinator of the Steering Committee
CCW/MSP/2009/5	Final Report	
CCW/MSP/2009/WP.1	Draft Protocol on Cluster Munitions	Chairperson of the CCW GGE
CCW/MSP/2009/WP.2	Draft Decision – Establishment of an Implementation Support Unit for the Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons	Chairperson-designate
CCW/MSP/2009/INF.1	List of Participants	Secretariat
CCW/MSP/2009/MISC.1	Provisional List of Participants	Secretariat
CCW/MSP/2009/CRP.1	Draft Report	

The documents above are available in all official languages through the Official Document System of the United Nations at <a href="http://documents.un.org">http://documents.un.org</a>, and the official CCW website as part of the website of the United Nations Office at Geneva at <a href="http://www.unog.ch/ccw">http://www.unog.ch/ccw</a>.

\_\_\_\_