



General Assembly

Distr.: Limited
21 July 2010

Original: English

**United Nations Commission
on International Trade Law**
Working Group II (Arbitration and Conciliation)
Fifty-third session
Vienna, 4-8 October 2010

Annotated provisional agenda

I. Provisional agenda

1. Opening of the session.
2. Election of officers.
3. Adoption of the agenda.
4. Preparation of rules of uniform law on transparency in treaty-based investor-State arbitration.
5. Organization of future work.
6. Adoption of the report.

II. Composition of the Working Group

1. The Working Group is composed of all States members of the Commission, which are the following:¹ Algeria (2016), Argentina (2016), Armenia (2013), Australia (2016), Austria (2016), Bahrain (2013), Belarus (2011), Benin (2013), Bolivia (Plurinational State of) (2013), Botswana (2016), Brazil (2016), Bulgaria (2013), Cameroon (2013), Canada (2013), Chile (2013), China (2013), Colombia (2016), Czech Republic (2013), Egypt (2013), El Salvador (2013), Fiji (2016), France (2013), Gabon (2016), Germany (2013), Greece (2013), Honduras (2013), India (2016), Iran (Islamic Republic of) (2016), Israel (2016), Italy (2016),

¹ The following six State members elected by the General Assembly on 3 November 2009 agreed to alternate their membership among themselves until 2016 as follows: Belarus (2010-2011, 2013-2016), Czech Republic (2010-2013, 2015-2016), Poland (2010-2012, 2014-2016), Ukraine (2010-2014), Georgia (2011-2015) and Croatia (2012-2016).



Japan (2013), Jordan (2016), Kenya (2016), Latvia (2013), Malaysia (2013), Malta (2013), Mauritius (2016), Mexico (2013), Morocco (2013), Namibia (2013), Nigeria (2016), Norway (2013), Pakistan (2016), Paraguay (2016), Philippines (2016), Poland (2012), Republic of Korea (2013), Russian Federation (2013), Senegal (2013), Singapore (2013), South Africa (2013), Spain (2016), Sri Lanka (2013), Thailand (2016), Turkey (2016), Uganda (2016), Ukraine (2014), United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (2013), United States of America (2016) and Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) (2016).

2. States not members of the Commission and international governmental organizations may attend the session as observers and participate in the deliberations. In addition, invited international non-governmental organizations may attend the session as observers and represent the views of their organizations on matters where the organization concerned has expertise or international experience so as to facilitate the deliberations at the session.

III. Annotations to agenda items

Item 1. Opening of the session

3. The fifty-third session of the Working Group will be held at the Vienna International Centre, from 4 to 8 October 2010. Meeting hours will be from 9.30 a.m. to 12.30 p.m. and from 2 to 5 p.m., except on Monday, 4 October 2010, when the session will be opened at 10 a.m.

Item 2. Election of officers

4. In accordance with its practice at previous sessions, the Working Group may wish to elect a Chairman and a Rapporteur.

Item 4. Preparation of rules of uniform law on transparency in treaty-based investor-State arbitration

(a) Previous deliberations

5. At its thirty-ninth session (New York, 19 June-7 July 2006), the Commission mandated Working Group II to undertake work on the question of a revision of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules.²

6. At its fortieth session (Vienna, 25 June-12 July 2007), the Commission noted that the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules had not been amended since their adoption in 1976 and that the review should seek to modernize the Rules and to promote greater efficiency in arbitral proceedings. The Commission generally agreed that the mandate of the Working Group to maintain the original structure and spirit of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules had provided useful guidance to the Working Group in its deliberations to date and should continue to be a guiding principle for its work.³ The Commission noted that broad support had been expressed in the Working Group for a generic approach that sought to identify common

² *Official Records of the General Assembly, Sixty-first Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/61/17)*, para. 187.

³ *Ibid.*, *Sixty-second Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/62/17)*, para. 174.

denominators that applied to all types of arbitration irrespective of the subject matter of the dispute, in preference to dealing with specific situations. The Commission noted, however, that the extent to which the revised UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules should take account of investor-State dispute settlement or administered arbitration remained to be considered by the Working Group at a future session.⁴

7. At its forty-first session (New York, 16 June-3 July 2008), the Commission noted that the Working Group had decided to proceed with its work on the revision of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules in their generic form and to seek guidance from the Commission on whether, after completion of its current work on the Rules, the Working Group should consider in further depth the specificity of treaty-based arbitration and, if so, which form that work should take (A/CN.9/646, para. 69). After discussion, the Commission agreed that it would not be desirable to include specific provisions on treaty-based arbitration in the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules themselves and that any work on investor-State disputes which the Working Group might have to undertake in the future should not delay the completion of the revision of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules in their generic form. As to timing, the Commission agreed that the topic of transparency in treaty-based investor-State arbitration was worthy of future consideration and should be dealt with as a matter of priority immediately after completion of the current revision of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules. As to the scope of such future work, the Commission agreed by consensus on the importance of ensuring transparency in investor-State dispute resolution. The Commission was of the view that, as noted by the Working Group at its forty-eighth session (A/CN.9/646, para. 57), the issue of transparency as a desirable objective in investor-State arbitration, should be addressed by future work. As to the form that any future work product might take, the Commission noted that various possibilities had been envisaged by the Working Group (*ibid.*, para. 69) in the field of treaty-based arbitration, including the preparation of instruments such as model clauses, specific rules or guidelines, an annex to the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules in their generic form, separate arbitration rules or optional clauses for adoption in specific treaties. The Commission decided that it was too early to make a decision on the form of a future instrument on treaty-based arbitration and that broad discretion should be left to the Working Group in that respect. With a view to facilitating consideration of the issues of transparency in treaty-based arbitration by the Working Group at a future session, the Commission requested the Secretariat, resources permitting, to undertake preliminary research and compile information regarding current practices. The Commission urged member States to contribute broad information to the Secretariat regarding their practices with respect to transparency in investor-State arbitration. It was emphasized that, when composing delegations to the Working Group sessions that would be devoted to that project, member States and observers should seek to achieve the highest level of expertise in treaty law and treaty-based investor-State arbitration.⁵

8. At its forty-third session, (New York, 21 June-9 July 2010), the Commission adopted the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (as revised in 2010).⁶

⁴ *Ibid.*, *Sixty-second Session, Supplement No. 17* (A/62/17), para. 175.

⁵ *Ibid.*, *Sixty-third Session, Supplement No. 17* (A/63/17), paras. 313-314.

⁶ Report of the Commission on the work of its forty-third session (in preparation).

9. At that session, with respect to future work in the field of settlement of commercial disputes, the Commission recalled the decision made at its forty-first session that the topic of transparency in treaty-based investor-State arbitration should be dealt with as a matter of priority immediately after completion of the current revision of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules. The Commission entrusted its Working Group II with the task of preparing a legal standard on that topic. The Commission was informed that, pursuant to the request received from the Commission at the forty-first session, the Secretariat had circulated a questionnaire to States with regard to their practice on transparency in investor-State arbitration and that replies thereto would be made available to the Working Group.⁷ Those replies are reproduced in document A/CN.9/WG.II/WP.159 and its addenda.

10. At its fifty-third session, the Working Group is expected to commence its work on the preparation of rules of uniform law on transparency in treaty-based investor-State arbitration on the basis of the notes prepared by the Secretariat (A/CN.9/WG.II/WP.159 and its addenda; A/CN.9/WG.II/WP.160 and, if applicable, its addendum).

11. Support was expressed for the view that the Working Group could also consider undertaking work in respect of those issues that arose more generally in treaty-based investor-State arbitration, and that would deserve additional work. The prevailing view, in line with the decision previously made by the Commission, was that it was too early to make a decision on the precise form and scope of a future instrument on treaty-based arbitration and that the mandate of the Working Group should be limited to the preparation of rules of uniform law on transparency in treaty-based investor-State arbitration. However, it was agreed that, while operating within that mandate, the Working Group might identify any other topic with respect to treaty-based investor-State arbitration that might also require future work by the Commission. It was agreed that any such topic might be brought to the attention of the Commission at its next session, in 2011.⁸

(b) Documentation

12. The Working Group will have before it notes by the Secretariat regarding the preparation of rules of uniform law on transparency in treaty-based investor-State arbitration (A/CN.9/WG.II/WP.159 and its addenda; A/CN.9/WG.II/WP.160 and, if applicable, its addendum).

13. A limited number of the following background documents will be made available at the session:

- UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (1976);
- UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (as revised in 2010);
- UNCITRAL Notes on Organizing Arbitral Proceedings;
- UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985, as amended in 2006);

⁷ Report of the Commission on the work of its forty-third session (in preparation).

⁸ Report of the Commission on the work of its forty-third session (in preparation).

- Reports of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law on the work of its thirty-ninth session (*Official Records of the General Assembly, Sixty-first Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/61/17)*); fortieth session (*Official Records of the General Assembly, Sixty-second Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/62/17 (part I))*); forty-first session (*Official Records of the General Assembly, Sixty-third Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/63/17)*); forty-second session (*Official Records of the General Assembly, Sixty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/64/17)*); and forty-third session (*Official Records of the General Assembly, Sixty-fifth Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/65/17)*);
- Report of Working Group II (Arbitration) on the work of its forty-eighth session (A/CN.9/646).

14. UNCITRAL documents are posted on the UNCITRAL website (<http://www.uncitral.org>) upon their issuance in all the official languages of the United Nations. Delegates may wish to check the availability of the documents by accessing the Working Group's page in the "Working Groups" section of the UNCITRAL website.

Item 5. Organization of future work

15. At its fifty-third session, the Working Group might wish to consider organization of its work in respect of the matters which were mentioned by the Commission at its thirty-ninth session as matters for future work of the Working Group.⁹ At that session, the topic of arbitrability was said to be an important question, which should also be given priority. It was said that it would be for the Working Group to consider whether arbitrable matters could be defined in a generic manner, possibly with an illustrative list of such matters, or whether the legislative provision to be prepared in respect of arbitrability should identify the topics that were not arbitrable. It was suggested that studying the question of arbitrability in the context of immovable property, unfair competition and insolvency could provide useful guidance for States. It was cautioned however that the topic of arbitrability was a matter raising questions of public policy, which was notoriously difficult to define in a uniform manner, and that providing a pre-defined list of arbitrable matters could unnecessarily restrict a State's ability to meet certain public policy concerns that were likely to evolve over time.¹⁰ Another topic was the issue of arbitration in the field of insolvency. Yet another suggestion was made to address the impact of anti-suit injunctions on international arbitration. A further suggestion was made to consider clarifying the notions used in article I, paragraph (1), of the New York Convention of "arbitral awards made in the territory of a State other than the State where the recognition and enforcement of such awards are sought" or "arbitral awards not considered as domestic awards in the State where their recognition and enforcement are sought", which were said to have raised uncertainty in some State courts. The Commission also heard with interest a statement made on behalf of the International Cotton Advisory Committee suggesting that work could

⁹ *Official Records of the General Assembly, Sixty-first Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/61/17)*, paras. 182-187.

¹⁰ *Ibid.*, para. 185.

be undertaken by the Commission to promote contract discipline, effectiveness of arbitration agreements and enforcement of awards in that industry.¹¹

Item 6. Adoption of the report

16. The Working Group may wish to adopt, at the close of its session, a report for submission to the forty-fourth session of the Commission, scheduled to be held in Vienna, from 27 June to 15 July 2011. The main conclusions reached by the Working Group at its ninth meeting (on Friday morning) will be summarily read out for the record at the tenth meeting and subsequently incorporated into the report.

IV. Scheduling of meetings

17. The Working Group's fifty-third session will last for five working days. There will be ten half-day meetings available for consideration of the agenda items. The Working Group may wish to note that, consistent with decisions taken by the Commission at its thirty-fourth session,¹² the Working Group is expected to hold substantive deliberations during the first nine half-day meetings (that is, from Monday to Friday morning), with a draft report on the entire period being prepared by the Secretariat for adoption at the tenth and last meeting of the Working Group (on Friday afternoon).

18. The Working Group may wish to note that its fifty-fourth session is scheduled to be held in New York, from 7-11 February 2011.

¹¹ Ibid., para. 186.

¹² Ibid., *Fifty-sixth Session, Supplement No. 17* and corrigendum (A/56/17 and Corr.3), para. 381.