UNITED NATIONS



FORTY-FOURTH SESSION

Official Records

FIRST COMMITTEE

43rd meeting held on

21 November 1989 Tuesday, at **10** a.m.

New York

VERBATIM RECORD OF THE 43rd MEETING

Chairman: Mr. FAHMY(Egypt) (Vice-Chairman)

CONTENTS

Question of Antarcticat general debate and consideration of and action on draft resolutions [70] (continued)

This record is subject to correction.

Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned within one week of the date of publication to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section. Res m DC2-750. 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session, in a separate corrigendum for each Committee.

Distr. GENERAL A/C.1/44/PV. 43 19 December 1989 ENGL ISH

In the absence of the Chairman; Mr. Fahmy (Egypt); Vice - Chairman; took the Chair.

The meeting was called to order at 10:40 a.m.

AGENDA ITEM 70 (continued)

QUESTION OF ANTARCTICA: GENERAL DEBATE AND CONSIDERATION OF AND ACTION ON DRAFT RESOLUTIONS

Mr: KOLANE (Lesotho): The Committee will recall that a draft resolution on the question of Antarctica was introduced in this Qmmittee in 1987. It was again before us last year and adopted by the General Assembly as resolution 43/83B. This draft resolution is before us once more at this forty-fourth session as draft resolution A/C.1/44/L.68 in view of the continued participation of South Africa in the meetings of the Antarctic Treaty Consultative Parties, despite the General Assembly resolutions of 1987 and 1988.

(Mr. Kolane, Lesotho)

The purpose of this draft recolution is to appeal once again to the Antarctic Treaty Consultative Parties to take urgent measures to exclude South Africa from 'participation in the meetings of the Consultative Parties at the earliest possible date. According to the draft resolution, the General Assembly would invite the States parties to the Antarctic Treaty to inform the Secretary-General of the actions taken regarding the provisions of the draft revolution.

The Committee will note that the fourth and fifth preambular paragraphs refer to the latest reaclution of the Organization of African Unity (OAU) and the Declaration adopted by the Ninth Conference of Heads of State or Quernment of Non-Aligned Countries, and the changes are therefore merely to update the draft, inasmuch as the same rerolution of the OAU and the Declaration of the non-aligned countries were reconfirmed once more at the latest sessions of those two organizations.

The African Group once again sppeals to the Treaty Parties to exclude South Africa from participation in their meetings at the earliest possible date and submits the draft resolution for the Comnittee's consideration.

Mt. WILENSKI (Austral ia) . I speak today to address the Committee on behalf of States Parties to the Antarctic Treaty, a remarkable instrument of unlimited duration, dedicated to furthering the purpoeer and principles embodied in the Charter, and one which, in less than two weeks' time, will celebrate the thirtieth anniversary of its adoption-

This is a joint statement, reflecting the united views of consultative and non-consultative parties, which collectively encompass a majority of humankind and about one-quarter of the membership of the Unibd Nations. The parties are not making separate rtatemente.

This is the first time that I have addressed the Committee on this subject.

In reviewing the history of this item since it was inscribed in the agenda in 1983. I was struck by the fact that since the initiators of the item broke with its consensus handling in 1985, it has become little more than an annual ritual.

Unfortunately, it would seem that consensus is again going to elude us at the forty-fourth session of the general Assembly. That is a matter of great regret. It is the profound hope of the Treaty Parties that there will be a return to consensus in any future deliberations of the Assembly on this question. It is only in this way #at a productive dialogue can occur in the interests of further enhancement of the already long-standing co-operative relationship between the United Nations and the Antarctic Treaty systems.

I wish **to** dwell for a moment on the record of achievement of the Antarctic Treaty system, including its important **contributions** to international peace and security, to the advancement of scientific knwledge and to global and regional **environmental** awareness and protection.

We have heard no serious challenge to this record and these achievements have been widely acknowledged, including in the Secretary-General's reports. In the opinion of the Parties they consolidate the Treaty's claim to be regarded as a valuable and successful instrument of international co-operation.

The main important features of the Treaty include its openness to accession by any State, the stipulation that Antarctics should for ever be used exclusively for peaceful purposes and not become the scene or object of discord, and the prohibition on nuclear explosions, on the disposal of nuclear waste, and on any military measures including the testing of weapons or the conduct of military manoeuvres. Indeed, Antarctica is a zone free of nuclear weapons and is the first entirely demilitarized continent.

The other important features of the Treaty are: the guarantees of freedom of scientific research throughout Antarctica and the promotion of exchange of scientific information and personnel; the establishment of a comprehensive system of on-site inspection to promote the objectives, and ensure the observance, of the Treaty; and the removal of the potential for political and sovereignty disputes by the unique accommodation of the positions of claimants and non-claimants, which allows co-operation on scientific and other matters to proceed.

Antarctica does not exist in a legal vacuum. It has a special political and legal status based to the system that has developed from and around the Antarctic Treaty. This system is strong, dynamic, flexible and in full conformity with international law and the Charter of the United Nations. Since 1983 the number of Contracting Parties has increased by 25 per cent to 39. The number of Consultative Parties has recently grown by 3 to 25 and there are 2 other notifications under Consideration. The Parties to the Treaty comprise a diverse and formidable range of countries with differing political, economic and social complexions and include all those geographically closest to Antarctica, the most populous nations on Earth, developed and developing, aligned and non-aligned, large and small countries, all nuclear-weapon States and all permanent members of the United Nations Security Council.

The steady increase in participation in its work is testimony to the system's continuing relevance and to the rejection of criticisms made of it and Of suggestions that it needs to be replaced by some other system. It is clear that the system works and works well, and every effort should be made to maintain and further strengthen it.

This work of the Treaty system is reflected in the substantial body of measures adopted and decisions taken over the past 30 years and recorded in many

reportta and publicationa inaluding in the <u>Antarctic Treaty System Handbook</u>. These measures touch upon all aspects of human activity in Antarctica and have ensured the smooth functioning of the conculta tive process and the necessary evolution and adapta tion of the ayatem to meet new challengee.

The outcome of the recent XVth Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting in Paris has maintained the momentum of this work, and is a further testimony to the strength and dynamism of the Treaty system and its ability to reach consensus decision6 in the increasingly complex areas requiring co-operation in respect of Antarctica. In keeping with standing practice, the government of France, as host nation, will convey the report of the meeting to the Secretary-General as soon as it has been prepared and will also be drawing parts of it to the attention of the relevant specialized agencies and organizations.

The work of the Antarctic Treaty system in respect of the protection of the Antarctic environment and dependent and associated ecosystems is impreaeive. It should be noted that some 60 per cent of the measures adapted to da ta apply to the This recent, moat successful consultative meeting was marked by a rededication of the Treaty Parties to their major goals of scientific achievement and environmental protection. I ehould like briefly to summar ize the practical The meeting adopted some 22 recommendations to Government and a major outcome. declaration on the ozone layer and clima te change. In this context it should not be forgotten that scientific research conducted in Antarctica by Treaty parties has played an important role in underetanding the nature of our planet and how it works. The ozone hole phenomenon was discovered by scientists of the Antarctic Treaty parties, and monitoring and meteorological data from Antarctica are making an indispensable contribution to the global effort to predict and understand cl imate change.

Among the important recommendations to Governments of the XVth Consultative Meeting ace those in respect of: the convening of separate meetings in 1990 to explore and discuss all proposals relating to the comprehensive protection of the Antarctic environment and it8 dependent and associated ecosystems, and relating to the liability protocol envisaged under article 8 (7) of the Convention and Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities; a major revision and strengthening of the code of conduct on waste management in Antarctica; action by States to prevent and control marine pollution; and expanded monitoring of local impactr of human activity and of the indicators of global environmen tal change.

A second group of recommendations relates to the Antarctic protected area

• yrtem radinal values, the establishment of three new sites of special scientific interest and the redesignating of a specially protected area as a site of special scientific interest so that it can be used as a biological and ecosystem monitoring site, the introduction of management plane and improved descriptions for specially protected areas, and protection for newly designated historic sites and monuments.

A significant development was the establishment of two new categories of protected area in Antarctica: the single-purpose category of special reserve area to provide for the probation of areas of outstanding geological, recreational, scenic and wilderness values, and the multiple-use planning area to prevent multiple activities in certain areas interfering with each other or causing cumulative environmental • tteatm.

A third group of recommendations includes, proposals for the establishment of a scientific md environmental data system, the promotion of maximum efficiency and effectiveness of scientific work in Antarctica, and the prevention and allaviation of problems arising from the concentration of stations in some areas of Antarctica.

Recommendations were also adopted onr promoting co-operation in the fields of weather and sea ice information services for marl time and air navigation in Antarctica, promoting co-operation in the hydrographic aharting of Antarctic waters, establishing information exchange arrangements and programmes of action and study to promote air safety in Antarctica, calling far further studies on the question of ice usage, and calling for the issue of commemorative etampe to mark the thirtieth anniversary of the entry into force of the Antarctic Treaty.

In addition, the mee ting also took decisions on practical aspects of the operation of the Treaty system, exchanges of information and future work in many areas, including some of those I have just mentioned, as well an environmental

impa at assessment, tour ism and non-governmental activity, and inspection and dompliance arrangements.

A word is also necessary about the process of interchange and dialogue with the wider in ternational community, including the United Nations. The following special ised agencies and other international organizations attended the XVth Antarctic Treaty Conrultativa Meeting to assist deliberations on certain items, the International Hydrographic Organization, the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commiss ion, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, the International Civil Aviation Organization, the International Maritime Organization, the World Meteorological Organization, the International Union for the Conservation Of Nature and Natural Resources, the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Marine Living Resources. This represents the largest number of ruch bodies to have attended a consultative meeting to date and is illustrative of the praatical co-operation which exists between the Treaty system and the intrrnctional community.

In respect of information flows, the meeting made further decisions in respect of the public availability of documents from past treaty meetings. This ongoing prograture of release will add to the enormous volume of mm terial from Treaty Parties which is already on the public record and is available in scientific publication md through national contact points and international data centres. In addition the Treaty Parties send material to the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Major contributions were made to his rtudy which was completed in 1984. Much of thits material is, of course, of greater interest to scientists, reflecting the fact that science is, and will continue to be, the major product from activity in Antarctica.

My report on the XVth Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting would not be oomplete without a reference to the important discussions proceeding within the Treaty system on how beat to protect the fragile Antarctic environment and its dependent and associated ecosystems, which reflect heightened concern about environmental matters. They ace taking place against the background of a fine and univeteally acknowledged record of environmental protection achievement by the Treaty eye term. I must also stress that all Antarctic Treaty Parties have dedicated themselves to scientific research of global importance and share the objective of wishing to provide maximum environmental protection and conservation in Antarctica. The current discussions within the Treaty system, including those relating to the Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral. Resource Activities and to comprehensive protection of the Antarctic environment and its dependent and associated ecosystems, are about the bent means to that common end.

There are important and complex mattere involved which will require some time to work through within the Antarctic Treaty system. However, in keeping with the traditional spirit and practice of Antarctic Treaty concultations, there will be a post tive outcome. In this context I wish to reassure this Committee that the environment remains a fundamental concern of the Treaty Parties, and, as we have been stating before the General Assembly and in other forums for A number of years, the reetcalnt from any commercial mineral activity in Antarctica as previously agreed upon remains in place. These par ticulae discuss ions ace a prime example of the fact that the Antarctic Treaty system's consultative and consensus processes, which ace a central feature of its work, ace working well and can accommodate all viewpoints and changing circumatancea.

Shortly the Committee will he voting on draft resolution A/C.1/44/L. 69, although for our part the Treaty Parties are, even at this late stage, willing to

work for consensus on it. Requettably, as it stands the draft is unacceptable to the Treaty Parties in a number of respects, particularly the attempts to issue directions on how their deliberations should be conducted, who chould be involved and how they conduct their scientific research in Antarctica and protect the environment, and the underlying premise that there is something wrong with the Treaty system.

The international community in a number of ways recognises the international value of the Antarctic Treaty, which has preserved the peace, advanced scientific knowledge and protected the Antarctic environment in a practical, effective and flexible way. The goal of the increasing number of Treaty Parties is the enhancement of that aystem and its operation in the interests of humankind. There exists a co-operative and ongoing relationship with the United Nations, and on the basis of mutual agreement we are prepared to develop this further.

Mr. KIBIDI NGOWIKA (Zaire) (interpretation from French) Once again the delegation of Zaire is participating in the general debate on the question of Antarctica. We should like at the outset to say that we have been participating in this debate since 1985 with the very painful feeling that often stimulating and useful exchanges take place but that no progress is being made, because Of! a certain deliberate obstruction by certain States under the pretext that the draft resolutions on Antarctica should be adopted by consensus. Worse yet, these States systematically refuse to participate in the voting, because their demands are not taken into account by the majority of Member States. If Zaire is participating in the discussion of this question again at this session, it is because there are new reasons to justify it. Among these reasons, there is, first of all, the fact that Antarctica in the common heritage of mankind, and this in itself explains Zaire's profound interest in the question.

(Mr. Kibidi Ngovuka, Zaire)

African Unity (OAU) - in a resolution that everyone will remember - proclaimed

Antarctica the "common heritage of mankind". The Poll tical Declaration adopted by
the Eighth Conference of Heads of State or Quernment of Non-Aligned Ccuntriee,
held at Harare from 1 to 6 September 1986, should also be recalled. In other
words, the continent is not under the jurisdiction of any one country or group of
countries and any attempt to appropr is to it violates its legal statue.

None the less, Zaire highly appreciates the fact that the signatories to the Antarctic Treaty of 1959 have, over the past 30 years, been conducting research on that continent, research which has made it possible to better understand the importance of the role it plays in the climatology of the world as well as its role in the preservation Of the ecosystem. Hence, Zaire would not in any way want to call into question the basic merits of that. Treaty and is therefore pleased that it has established a system which, for 30 years, has succeeded in freezing the claims that have been made on Antarctica and in preserving the demilitarized and denuclearized status of that continent.

This legal instrument does, however, have its defects, foremost of which is undoubtedly its non-miversality. In fact, the Treaty is open only to a few States with scientific potential and with considerable financial means. It therefore functions on a selective, and therefore discrimina tory and arbitrary, bas is. The States Parties to the Antarctic Treaty act as the privileged members of a hermetically sealed club and do not communicate the results of their research to the United Nations and do not want to receive guidelines or recommendations from the General Assembly. They therefore disregard the authority of the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

(Mr. Kibidi Ngovuka, Zaire)

At the beginning of my statement I spoke of recent and new reasons for our Participation in this debate. I should Like now to explain the reasons why Zaire attaches so much importance to the question of Antarctica.

The international community issued a serious warning to States Parties to the Antarctic Treaty through the adoption last year of recolution 43/83 A. Under the terms of that resolution, the General Assembly expressed its regret that the Antarctic Treaty Consultative Parties had proceeded with negotiations and had adopted, on 2 June 1988, a convention on the regulation of Antarctic mineral resource activities, notwithstanding its resolutions 41/88 B and 42/46 B, calling for the imposition of a moratorium on negotiations to establish a minerals régime until such time as all members of the international community could fully Participate in such negotiations. Of course, the States Parties to the Treaty did not take into account the recommendation of the General Assembly and proceeded to conduct negotiations which led to a convention on the mineral régime of Antarctica.

In spite of the existence of that agreement, we now learn that the Governments of France, Italy, Australia and Belgium refuse to follow up on the Convention because of the clear dangers to the ecosystem of any mineral exploitation on the continent of Antarctica. Zaire commends the clear-sightedness of those States that realized in time the seriousness of the situation and refrained from going ahead and taking action that would have been irreparable.

We also commend, as a promising event, the convening in 1990 in Paris of a Consultative meeting of the States Parties to the Treaty for the purpose of exchanging views with regard to the establishment of a régime for the protection Of the ecosystems and the Antarctic environment and its associated ecosystems.

Zaire is a sponsor of the two draft resolutions on Antarctica, A/C.1/44/L.68 and A/C.1/44/L.69, and hopes that there will he A decisive turning-point in the question of Antarctica in the very near future.

(Mr. Kibidi Ngovuka, Zaire)

Zaire maintains its objection to the presence of South Africa as a Consultative Party to the Antarctic Treaty for obvioue moral reasons.

We can in no way be deceived but at the same time we are not closed to dialogue. Apartheid cannot be reformed, it must be fought against, it must disappear. That is the basic reason why we demand the withdrawal of the Pretoria régime from the Treaty. Zaire is participating in the efforts of the international community with a view to the dismantling of apartheid. We are doing so through different channels, convinced as we are that the winds of change blowing in South Africa will put an end to apartheid - in both its legal and its philosophical bases and in its social or political manifestations.

The meeting rose at 11. OS a.m.