



Report of the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation

**Seventeenth session
(22-25 May and 12 September 2012)**

**General Assembly
Official Records
Sixty-seventh Session
Supplement No. 39**



General Assembly
Official Records
Sixty-seventh Session
Supplement No. 39

Report of the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation

**Seventeenth session
(22-25 May and 12 September 2012)**



United Nations • New York, 2012

Note

Symbols of United Nations documents are composed of letters combined with figures. Mention of such a symbol indicates a reference to a United Nations document.

Contents

<i>Chapter</i>	<i>Page</i>
I. Decisions adopted by the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation at its seventeenth session	1
II. High-level plenary segment	5
A. Opening of the session	5
B. Introduction of reports on implementation	5
C. Discussion	5
III. Thematic discussion: “Promoting socioeconomic transformation through infrastructure development, employment creation, social cohesion and the transfer of appropriate technology using South-South cooperation”	10
IV. Report of the Working Group	13
V. Provisional agenda for the eighteenth session of the Committee	14
VI. Draft report	15
VII. Closure of the session	16
VIII. Organizational matters	17
A. Date and place of the session	17
B. Attendance	17
C. Election of officers	17
D. Adoption of the agenda and organization of work	18
Annexes	
I. List of those attending the seventeenth session of the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation	19
II. List of documents before the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation at its seventeenth session	21

Chapter I

Decisions adopted by the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation at its seventeenth session

1. The High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation adopted the following decisions at its seventeenth session:

Decision 17/1 **South-South cooperation**

The High-level Committee,

Reaffirming General Assembly resolution 33/134 of 19 December 1978, in which the Assembly endorsed the Buenos Aires Plan of Action for Promoting and Implementing Technical Cooperation among Developing Countries,¹

Reaffirming also the Nairobi outcome document of the High-level United Nations Conference on South-South Cooperation, held in Nairobi from 1 to 3 December 2009, endorsed by the General Assembly in its resolution 64/222 of 21 December 2009,²

Noting that the aid architecture has changed significantly in the current decade, with new aid providers and novel partnership approaches, which utilize new modalities of cooperation, having contributed to increasing the flow of resources, and that the interplay of development assistance with private investment, trade and new development actors provides new opportunities for aid to leverage private resource flows,

Reiterating its support for South-South cooperation as well as triangular cooperation, which provides much-needed additional resources for the implementation of development programmes,

Recognizing the importance and the different history and particularities of South-South cooperation, stressing that South-South cooperation should be seen as an expression of solidarity and cooperation among countries based on their shared experiences and objectives, and that both forms of cooperation support a development agenda that addresses the particular needs and expectations of developing countries, while also recognizing that South-South cooperation complements rather than substitutes for North-South cooperation, and acknowledging the role played by middle-income developing countries as providers and recipients of development cooperation,

Calling upon the developing countries, guided by a common spirit of solidarity and consistent with their capabilities, to provide support for the effective implementation of the Istanbul Programme of Action for the Least Developed Countries for the Decade 2011-2020³ and the Almaty Programme of Action: Addressing the Special Needs of Landlocked Developing Countries within a New Global

¹ *Report of the United Nations Conference on Technical Cooperation among Developing Countries, Buenos Aires, 30 August-12 September 1978* (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.78.II.A.11 and corrigendum), chap. I.

² General Assembly resolution 64/222, annex.

³ *Report of the Fourth United Nations Conference on the Least Developed Countries, Istanbul, Turkey, 9-13 May 2011* (United Nations publication, Sales No. 11.II.A.1), chap. II.

Framework for Transit Transport Cooperation for Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries⁴ in mutually agreed areas of cooperation within the framework of South-South cooperation, which is a complement to, but not a substitute for, North-South cooperation,

Recalling its decision 16/1 regarding the actions needed for the implementation of the Nairobi outcome document of the High-level United Nations Conference on South-South Cooperation,

1. *Takes note* of the reports on the state of South-South cooperation entitled “Review of progress made in implementing the Buenos Aires Plan of Action, the new directions strategy for South-South cooperation and the Nairobi outcome document of the High-level United Nations Conference on South-South Cooperation”⁵ and “Consideration of reports of the Administrator of the United Nations Development Programme”;⁶

2. *Also takes note* of the framework of operational guidelines on United Nations support to South-South and triangular cooperation,⁷ and, recognizing the guidelines as an evolving document, requests the Secretariat to take into account the perspectives, concerns, ideas and experiences of Member States when updating them, and decides to further consider them at the eighteenth session of the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation;

3. *Stresses* that the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation is a key intergovernmental body in the United Nations system for reviewing and assessing global and system-wide progress on and support for South-South cooperation and triangular cooperation, and in this context emphasizes the continuing need to evaluate the effectiveness of the work and processes of the High-level Committee;

4. *Recognizes* that South-South, triangular and North-South cooperation share internationally agreed development goals, including the Millennium Development Goals, and encourages Member States and relevant organizations to share their experiences in the delivery of development cooperation to accelerate efforts to achieve the Millennium Development Goals and in the formulation of the post-2015 United Nations development agenda;

5. *Reaffirms* the importance of strengthening South-South cooperation, especially in the current international economic environment, and reiterates its support for South-South cooperation as a strategy to sustain the development efforts of developing countries and also as a means of enhancing their participation in the global economy;

6. *Invites* United Nations funds and programmes as well as the specialized agencies, in accordance with their own mandates and programmes of work and priorities set by their governing bodies, to take concrete measures to mainstream support for South-South cooperation, including triangular cooperation, in order to

⁴ *Report of the International Ministerial Conference of Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries and Donor Countries and International Financial and Development Institutions on Transit Transport Cooperation, Almaty, Kazakhstan, 28 and 29 August 2003 (A/CONF.202/3), annex I.*

⁵ SSC/17/1.

⁶ SSC/17/2.

⁷ See SSC/17/3.

help developing countries to build capacities for maximizing the benefits and impact of South-South and triangular cooperation;

7. *Recognizes* the need to mobilize adequate resources for enhancing South-South cooperation, and in this context invites all countries in a position to do so, especially those providing South-South cooperation, to contribute to such cooperation through, inter alia, the Pérez-Guerrero Trust Fund for South-South Cooperation and the United Nations Fund for South-South Cooperation;

8. *Reaffirms* the mandate of the Special Unit for South-South Cooperation, hosted by the United Nations Development Programme, as a separate entity and coordinator for promoting and facilitating South-South and triangular cooperation for development on a global and United Nations system-wide basis, decides to rename the Special Unit for South-South Cooperation the “United Nations Office for South-South Cooperation”, and requests the Secretary-General, in consultation with Member States and as part of his comprehensive report to the eighteenth session of the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation, to present concrete recommendations for strengthening the Office, including its responsiveness, effectiveness and efficiency, as appropriate, to enable it to support the mainstreaming of South-South cooperation across the United Nations development system;

9. *Invites* the executive boards of the United Nations funds and programmes to consider, as part of the broader board-level discussion on cost recovery measures, measures to reduce the cost-sharing fees charged from the budget for South-South cooperation programmes and projects implemented with their support;

10. *Decides* to consider the report of the Joint Inspection Unit on South-South and triangular cooperation in the United Nations system⁸ and the related note by the Secretary-General⁹ as part of the agenda and official documentation of its seventeenth session, to hold proper discussions on the recommendations contained therein, and to take further action upon it;

11. *Also decides* that the present decision shall be submitted for consideration during the quadrennial comprehensive policy review of operational activities for development of the United Nations system;

12. *Requests* the Secretary-General of the United Nations to submit a comprehensive report on progress made in implementing the present decision to the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation at its eighteenth session.

Decision 17/2

Provisional agenda for the eighteenth session of the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation

The High-level Committee,

Taking into account the views expressed at its seventeenth session,

Approves the following provisional agenda for its eighteenth session, to be held in 2014:

⁸ See A/66/717.

⁹ A/66/717/Add.1.

1. Opening of the session.
2. Review of progress made in implementing the Buenos Aires Plan of Action, the new directions strategy for South-South cooperation and the Nairobi outcome document of the High-level United Nations Conference on South-South Cooperation, taking into account the complementary role of South-South cooperation in the implementation of relevant major United Nations conferences in the social, economic and related fields.
3. Follow-up to actions arising from previous sessions of the High-level Committee, notably at its seventeenth session, in 2012, including actions arising out of the consideration of the report of the Joint Inspection Unit on South-South and triangular cooperation in the United Nations system and the related note by the Secretary-General, as well as further consideration of the framework of operational guidelines on United Nations support to South-South and triangular cooperation, as noted by the High-level Committee at its seventeenth session.
4. Consideration of the comprehensive report of the Secretary-General on the progress made in implementing High-level Committee decision 17/1, including measures taken by the United Nations system in support of South-South cooperation.
5. Thematic discussion (theme to be decided on the basis of consultations that will be undertaken with Member States by the Bureau of the High-level Committee).
6. Adoption of the report of the Working Group.
7. Approval of the provisional agenda for the nineteenth session of the High-level Committee.
8. Other matters.
9. Adoption of the report of the High-level Committee on its eighteenth session.

Chapter II

High-level plenary segment

A. Opening of the session

2. At the 1st meeting, on 22 May 2012, the President of the seventeenth session of the Committee, the Representative of Antigua and Barbuda, opened the session and made an introductory statement.
3. At the same meeting, a statement was made by the President of the General Assembly.
4. Also at the same meeting, a statement was made by the President of the sixteenth session of the Committee.
5. At the same meeting, the Administrator of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) made a statement.
6. Also at the same meeting, a statement was made by the Deputy Executive Director of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).

B. Introduction of reports on implementation

7. At its 1st and 2nd meetings, on 22 May 2012, and at its 3rd meeting, on 23 May, the Committee considered items 2, 3 and 4 of its agenda.
8. The Director of the Special Unit for South-South Cooperation introduced the following reports:
 - (a) Review of progress made in implementing the Buenos Aires Plan of Action, the new directions strategy for South-South cooperation and the Nairobi outcome document of the High-level United Nations Conference on South-South Cooperation (SSC/17/1);
 - (b) Consideration of reports of the Administrator of the United Nations Development Programme (SSC/17/2);
 - (c) Note by the Secretary-General on the framework of operational guidelines on United Nations support to South-South and triangular cooperation (SSC/17/3).

In introducing the reports, the Director also drew attention to the report of the Joint Inspection Unit on the review of United Nations institutional arrangements in support of South-South and triangular cooperation, and to a related note by the Secretary-General (see A/66/717 and Add.1).

C. Discussion

9. With all speakers lauding the value of South-South and triangular cooperation, the tone of the general debate of the Committee was very positive overall. Group representatives and individual States alike noted the growing importance and scope of South-South and triangular cooperation in the achievement of development goals, especially the Millennium Development Goals. Many speakers sounded the theme

that the modality offered great potential to transform the global development landscape.

10. Developing countries were unanimous in reaffirming their political commitment to and strong support for South-South cooperation. They saw it as a complement to North-South cooperation for development, not its substitute, and as their collective endeavour based on the principle of solidarity and on premises, conditions and objectives specific to the historical and political context of developing countries and to their needs and expectations. As such, South-South cooperation deserved its own separate and independent promotion, as reaffirmed in the Nairobi outcome document. Developing countries collectively regretted that the report of the Joint Inspection Unit on its review of South-South and triangular cooperation in the United Nations system was not on the official agenda of the Committee and urged its inclusion and its due consideration.

11. To ensure adequate support for South-South cooperation, delegations expressed the need for the strengthening of current institutional mechanisms. It was noted that the Nairobi outcome document and General Assembly resolutions repeatedly requested the Secretary-General, in consultation with Member States, to take concrete measures to further strengthen the Special Unit for South-South Cooperation as a separate entity and coordinator for South-South and triangular cooperation on a global and United Nations system-wide basis. It was noted that the core resources of the Special Unit had actually declined even as the demands on it had grown in recent years. An intergovernmental organization representing a group of States noted that it had benefited from the services and support of the Special Unit. At the same time, it had observed with interest and concern that, with the weight of expectations being placed on the Special Unit and its current mandates, including those from the General Assembly, its capacity needed to be strengthened.

12. The Special Unit, with its three-in-one multilateral South-South support architecture, was seen as having successfully created a space where United Nations actors, Member States, the private sector and non-governmental development actors could forge inclusive partnerships for effective development. The Special Unit's positioning, functions and resources were seen as being in need of strengthening to ensure its capacity to support, track, analyse and study the dynamic process of South-South cooperation, and to lay down and implement policy measures. One delegation indicated "flexibility" on the issue of relocating the Special Unit within the United Nations system. Some delegations urged the continuous strengthening of the monitoring and implementation of the Buenos Aires Plan of Action and the Nairobi outcome document. Speakers noted that intergovernmental consultations on strengthening the Special Unit were necessary but had not commenced.

13. Delegations welcomed the preparation of the draft framework of operational guidelines on United Nations support to South-South and triangular cooperation. Speakers noted, however, that the guidelines were meant for United Nations organizations, not for countries, which had to adopt a flexible, practical approach to South-South and triangular cooperation. A group of countries saw the guidelines as a practical tool for follow-up to the 2009 Nairobi High-level United Nations Conference on South-South Cooperation.

14. Citing the report of the Joint Inspection Unit on South-South cooperation, developing countries collectively called on United Nations organizations, specialized agencies, funds and programmes, including regional commissions, to

establish or strengthen existing institutional and financial arrangements within their respective areas of competence under the overall policy guidance of the Committee and relevant General Assembly resolutions. In that regard, it was proposed that the Committee submit the operational guidelines for consideration during the quadrennial comprehensive policy review scheduled for November 2012.

15. Ancillary to the discussion of the special role and status of South-South and triangular cooperation was the objection raised by developing countries collectively to references, made in the draft framework of operational guidelines on United Nations support to South-South and triangular cooperation, to the “Busan Partnership for Effective Development Cooperation”, as that was not an outcome document of a United Nations process. It was emphasized that the said document should not be considered as a source of guidance on any United Nations process. As South-South cooperation required policy space for developing countries, they could not be “straitjacketed” in terms of rigid rules and regulations or policy prescriptions, including in the name of aid effectiveness. It was noted that the effectiveness of aid had to be judged by the results in each case, and that no across-the-board standard would be relevant. Furthermore, there was a paradigm difference between North-South and South-South assistance that no common approach could bridge.

16. There was general agreement that South-South cooperation should not be seen as compensating for declining support from the North for the least developed countries. A number of delegations urged developed countries not to renege on promised aid.

17. A number of developed countries observed that while aid commitments should be fulfilled as pledged, there was also a need, in the context of a fast-changing international landscape, to move beyond the traditional cooperation to incorporate South-South and triangular cooperation perspectives and development experience. Moreover, there was a need to be open to the exchange of information on lessons learned from different development cooperation models and to build further understanding of the respective advantages, complementarities and synergies.

18. A group of developed countries expressed the view that the Fourth High-level Forum on Aid Effectiveness, held in Busan, Republic of Korea, had invoked principles familiar to all development actors, including those in the Buenos Aires Plan of Action (1978) and the Nairobi outcome document (2009), and thus should guide all forms of development partnership. The aim was to make development, not just aid, effective. The Busan Forum had recognized that the nature, modalities and responsibilities of South-South cooperation differed from those that applied to North-South cooperation, and that the principles, commitments and actions agreed in the Busan outcome document should be the reference for South-South partners on a voluntary basis.

19. Noting that developing countries had emerged as major economic actors, that trade, investment, remittances and philanthropic and other private-sector resources had dwarfed official development assistance, and that three of the top five trading partners of least developed countries were other developing countries, a delegation argued that traditional differences between donor and recipient countries were becoming less relevant. A full third of foreign direct investment now originated in developing countries. A delegation observed that in that new situation, a set of international standards applicable to all forms of assistance was essential. Developing countries expressed their concern about using indicators that were

constantly changing as a basis for codifying the influence of South-South cooperation.

20. Some delegations saw no fundamental conflict between North-South and South-South and triangular cooperation in terms of common objectives; they said that a new global development partnership encompassing all three modalities was needed.

21. Speakers noted the increasing volume and scope of South-South cooperation in terms of regional integration projects, groundbreaking intraregional initiatives and bilateral, regional and multilateral frameworks. Many speakers noted the important role of South-South cooperation in technology transfer, easing market access, providing development assistance and directing investment flows. The increased connectivity within and among developing countries had opened up new opportunities for South-South cooperation, and several speakers called attention to existing and planned initiatives related to Internet portals for knowledge-sharing.

22. In calls for the substantial scaling up and institutionalization of South-South cooperation, it was noted that the global South had effective knowledge-sharing systems, proven development policy options, tested institutional capacity-building solutions and affordable and appropriate technologies in the areas of food security, climate change and HIV/AIDS research. In addition, it was noted that the South was a tremendous source of tested, relevant solutions to development challenges that landlocked developing countries could use. There was a need for South-South cooperation focused on the priority needs of least developed countries, as outlined in the Istanbul Programme of Action. A number of speakers noted that negative macroeconomic conditions continued to constrain the development of entire categories of countries, especially the least developed, landlocked and small island developing countries. A number of speakers stressed that the generation of productive capacities and job creation were among the most important priorities of South-South and triangular cooperation.

23. The unprecedented waves of change sweeping the world clearly underlined the need to scale up South-South cooperation. The United Nations had an important role in the expansion and mainstreaming of South-South cooperation as a cross-cutting issue at the heart of the development agendas of all its funds, programmes and specialized agencies in New York and in the field. Delegations emphasized the need for long-term vision and global arrangements to optimize the impact of South-South cooperation.

24. While noting the important contribution of the South in maintaining the momentum of global growth amid major economic and financial crises, a number of delegations underlined the fact that even rapidly growing developing countries continued to face serious problems, including persistent poverty, rising inequality and problems of economic and social exclusion. In fact, the majority of the world's poor lived in middle-income countries, which had dealt with a sharp drop in official development assistance through the innovative use of South-South cooperation.

25. A major theme of the discussion was the key role of the United Nations system in supporting South-South and triangular cooperation, including through regional and subregional bodies. Many speakers welcomed the mainstreaming of South-South cooperation by United Nations agencies, funds and programmes, and a number of them noted that there was considerable room for improvement. In that

context, the report of the Joint Inspection Unit on South-South cooperation was a special topic of interest. One delegation said that in implementing the recommendations of the Joint Inspection Unit, United Nations agencies, funds and programmes should be guided by the priorities set by their own governing bodies; it encouraged the UNDP Administrator to consult with the Programme's Executive Board on the matter as it shaped the next strategic plan and integrated budget.

26. A number of delegations noted that South-South and triangular cooperation included a diverse range of participants: in addition to Governments, the most prominent players were the private sector, civil society and academic institutions. That was seen as a new global development partnership, which included all stakeholders, both traditional and new. In that context, policy and operational coordination were important to ensure the optimal use of resources.

27. It was noted that developing countries were increasingly competitive internationally both in production and investment. The private sector in the South was beginning to play an active role beyond traditional inter-State development partnerships. Many multilateral development institutions not associated with the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development had also become engaged through different channels, such as direct fund transfers and joint partnerships with State and non-State stakeholders.

28. The positive role of South-South and triangular cooperation in improving transport and communications infrastructure was a theme stressed by a number of speakers, especially those from landlocked countries. A delegation speaking for a group of developing countries cited a World Bank study on the costs of doing business that showed the disproportionately high costs imposed on the trade of landlocked countries. It called for work towards an investment preference regime of tax breaks and guarantees of credit and risk to encourage corporations from the South to invest in infrastructure and productive capacity-building in landlocked developing countries.

29. One delegation noted a few points of difference with the reports before the Committee; they were noted by the secretariat.

30. Twelve specialized agencies and programmes participated in the general debate, presenting a wide diversity of South-South activities, initiatives and exchange mechanisms, including those for strengthening productive capacities. Some noted the creation of special arrangements and strategies for the mainstreaming of South-South and triangular cooperation, and all described operational activities reflecting the new importance attached to the modality as a means of expanding growth and development potential. It was noted that the Committee meeting was opportune for considering the role of South-South and triangular cooperation in dealing with the issues pertaining to sustainable development to be considered at the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development in June 2012.

Chapter III

Thematic discussion: “Promoting socioeconomic transformation through infrastructure development, employment creation, social cohesion and the transfer of appropriate technology using South-South cooperation”

31. The Committee considered item 5 of its agenda at its 4th meeting, on 23 May 2012.

32. The representative of Nepal, who served as the moderator of the thematic discussion, introduced the keynote speaker and the five panel members.¹⁰

33. The thematic debate of the Committee, held on 23 May, explored the role of South-South and triangular cooperation in promoting socioeconomic transformation through infrastructure development, employment creation, social cohesion and the transfer of appropriate technology. Inaugurating the discussions, the moderator noted that developing countries accounted for one third of world gross domestic product, a share that was expected to rise to 50 per cent by 2015. The question at issue was how South-South and triangular cooperation could leverage that strong growth to bring about a general socioeconomic transformation of the South.

34. Each of the five experts who made a presentation during the thematic discussion addressed a specific aspect of that issue.

Keynote speech

35. In the keynote speech, the Deputy Executive Director of UNEP stressed the importance of South-South cooperation policies and programmes in dealing with environmental change. Failure to address ecological challenges would have an impact on the sustainability of all development, including that achieved through South-South cooperation. In 2010, more than 90 per cent of natural disasters that had caused social displacements — floods, droughts and storms — had been related to climate. The development of environmental infrastructure and capacity to deal with ecological changes would create decent jobs and increase social cohesion, whereas failure to do so could have very negative results. Accelerating environmental change, including climate change, would determine the development paths of individual countries; the efficacy of international cooperation, especially South-South cooperation, in mustering collective responses to common challenges was of critical importance. Developing countries had much to learn from one another and South-South cooperation could build the capacity required for the necessary transformations and adaptations. To facilitate such learning, UNEP had designed a web portal, the South-South Cooperation Exchange Mechanism. The Deputy Executive Director had officially launched the Mechanism, which was designed to promote information exchange, develop networks of practitioners and serve as a clearing house for news, event reports, case studies, blogs and other tools supportive of South-South cooperation on environmental matters.

¹⁰ The views expressed by the panellists are not necessarily those of the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation.

First presentation

36. The presenter of the theme “The role of export-import banks in fostering South-South cooperation through infrastructure development and its contribution to socioeconomic development” described how risk mitigation and financing for trade fostered vital South-South linkages that had important economic, technical and service impacts. Among the results of his Bank’s activities were improved food grain production, rural electrification and infrastructure development that involved Indian companies in bringing socioeconomic change and growth to other developing countries. In facilitating trade and “cluster development” in Africa, Asia and Latin America and the Caribbean, the Bank had transferred agricultural expertise and industrial technology, helped the institutional development of microfinance services, fostered the growth of cooperatives and developed the competitiveness of small and medium-sized enterprises.

Second presentation

37. The presenter of the theme “The role that South-South cooperation plays in infrastructure development to foster socioeconomic transformation in Asia” stated that infrastructure development was booming in Asia and that much of it was supported by South-South cooperation. However, if that was to be translated into social transformation and cohesion, several criteria had to be met. Environmental and social costs had to be studied and monitored; the distribution of costs and benefits both locally and among the Southern partners involved should be equitable; and projects had to be cost-effective over the long term. Growing inequality in the distribution of infrastructure was a problem in Asia and had to be addressed. Even after those issues were addressed, the overall outcome in terms of social impact was hard to predict, for the interrelations in question were complex and involved a multiplicity of actors. However, South-South cooperation for infrastructure development was a growth sector because the benefits were usually shared by partners, especially when, as was generally true in Asia, there were cultural bonds across national borders.

Third presentation

38. The presenter of the theme “Employment-intensive investment: a credible instrument for employment creation through infrastructure development” stated that although infrastructure investments were generally having great multiplier effects on the economy, their role in improving equality and social cohesion was far from tapped. For instance, while employment creation was understood to be important, only about one third of countries set targets for job creation at the planning stage in their infrastructure stimulus packages. As the creation of infrastructure was normally a capital-intensive process, there was a tendency to take decisions within small groups of financiers and decision makers. Jobless growth was a frequent result of such development. To avoid that, developers had to take into account the challenges and multipliers of beneficial impacts, engage in a transparent and inclusive consultative process, and involve local actors in planning, building, maintaining and owning their projects. That would maximize backward and forward linkages, strengthen local markets, improve distributional effects and combat inequality. Studies had shown that the benefits of using local resources were dramatic. A study conducted in Madagascar comparing school-building projects with similar outputs had found that a project using local resources had cost 45 per cent less and had generated five times more income for workers than one using

imported modular construction elements. In South-South cooperation projects, it was important to have employment impact assessments prepared nationally and regionally, with the participation of interministerial bodies and various stakeholders to improve the distributional effects of those projects. A sectoral approach would enable countries to increase employment and make greater use of local resources, including enterprises and skilled and unskilled labour. Regional forums could facilitate South-South cooperation, as could other knowledge-sharing mechanisms, such as South-South regional seminars, university networks, and national technical colleges linked for the exchange of curricula and staff.

Fourth presentation

39. The presenter of the theme “How developing countries can better support each other to gain greater access to essential medicine through South-South cooperation” stated that there was considerable anecdotal evidence of South-South cooperation in the health sector, but there had been little effort to map what was happening overall. Studies by the Research and Information System for Developing Countries had found that just four major developing countries were contributing some \$200 million per year to health cooperation. One country had a major role in facilitating the development of health systems, including pharmaceutical industries. Two developing countries had programmes deploying doctors to other countries. A number of countries provided medical training. There appeared to be relatively strong South-South collaboration in African health delivery, but systematic research on its scale was lacking. In the area of biotechnology, authors from developing countries had contributed 8.2 per cent of the more than 640,000 papers published worldwide from 1994 to 2005. Only about 3 per cent of the papers had South-South co-authors, whereas 40 per cent were North-North co-authored. South-South cooperation in research lowered drug costs, as evidenced by treatments for meningitis and cholera. In moving to promote South-South cooperation in the medical field, the challenge was how to link market incentives with actual research and development needs.

General discussion

40. The general discussion that followed engaged delegations in questions and answers about how the Committee could encourage and facilitate greater integration of South-South cooperation in infrastructure development with national plans; the extent of medical South-South cooperation; the conditionalities governing the operations of the Export-Import Bank of India; and how best to engage developed countries in triangular cooperation infrastructure development.

41. The expert panellists underlined the importance of closing the institutional, information and accountability gaps in dealing with inefficiencies caused by dispersed authority and accountability. Unless the host country itself moved to overcome the existing obstacles, it would be difficult to address the issue.

42. With regard to the conditionality governing export-import financing, the expert said that market demand and bilateral arrangements were critical factors.

43. One expert said that there was a convergence of views among developed and developing countries on the need for greater investment in infrastructure development. In eliciting greater support from developed countries in the health sector, developing countries should identify their priorities and bring together various stakeholders. Developing-country diasporas could be a key multiplier of effectiveness.

Chapter IV

Report of the Working Group

44. At its 5th meeting, on 25 May 2012, the Committee considered item 6 of its agenda.

45. The Chair-cum-Rapporteur of the Working Group and Vice-President of the Committee reported that the Working Group had not completed its work on two draft decisions that had been submitted to it. Therefore, the Working Group recommended to the Committee that the seventeenth session be suspended, not closed, to be resumed when the Working Group had concluded its consultations and was in a position to submit the draft decisions to the Committee for action.

46. At the same meeting, the Committee approved the recommendation of the Working Group to suspend the session pending further consultations on its decisions. Accordingly, the seventeenth session was adjourned, to be resumed when the Working Group had concluded its work and was in a position to submit its final report and decisions to the Committee for action.

Chapter V

Provisional agenda for the eighteenth session of the Committee

47. At its 6th meeting, on 12 September 2012, the Committee considered items 3, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of its agenda.
48. At the same meeting, the Committee approved the provisional agenda for its eighteenth session (see chap. I, decision 17/2).
49. By the same decision, the Committee authorized its President to consult with the representatives of Member States on the thematic discussion for the eighteenth session and to communicate the decision taken on the basis of those consultations to Member States in advance of the eighteenth session in order to enable delegates to take appropriate preparatory actions.

Chapter VI

Draft report

50. At its 6th meeting, on 12 September 2012, the Committee considered item 9 of its agenda.
51. The Rapporteur, Joshua Mugodo (Kenya), introduced the draft report of the Committee.
52. The Committee adopted the draft report and entrusted the Rapporteur with its completion.

Chapter VII

Closure of the session

53. At the 6th meeting, on 12 September 2012, a closing statement was made by the President of the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation.
54. At the same meeting, closing statements were also made by the representative of Argentina and the Director of the Special Unit for South-South Cooperation.

Chapter VIII

Organizational matters

A. Date and place of the session

55. The High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation held its seventeenth session at United Nations Headquarters from 22 to 25 May and on 12 September 2012. The Committee held six meetings (1st to 6th). It also held an organizational meeting on 3 May 2012.

56. The establishment, background, history, chronology and reports of previous sessions of the Committee are contained in the reports of the Committee to the General Assembly.¹¹

57. In accordance with paragraph 3 of General Assembly resolution 35/202, the session was convened by the Administrator of UNDP under the usual procedural arrangements.

B. Attendance

58. The seventeenth session of the Committee was attended by representatives of States Members of the United Nations or States participating in UNDP. Also attending were a non-member State and an entity each having received a standing invitation to participate as observer in the sessions and the work of the General Assembly and maintaining a permanent observer mission at Headquarters; United Nations bodies, funds and programmes; specialized agencies; intergovernmental organizations; non-governmental and other entities invited to participate as observers; and individuals invited to participate as keynote speakers and/or panellists. The list of participants is contained in annex I to the present report.

C. Election of officers

59. The Committee elected its officers by acclamation, as follows:

President:

John W. Ashe (Antigua and Barbuda)

Vice-Presidents:

The Committee originally elected Alison Helena Chartres (Australia), Attila Zimonyi (Hungary) and Le Hoai Trung (Viet Nam) as Vice-Presidents. However, at

¹¹ *Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirty-fifth Session, Supplement No. 39 and corrigendum (A/35/39 and Corr.1); ibid., Thirty-sixth Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/36/39); ibid., Thirty-eighth Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/38/39); ibid., Fortieth Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/40/39); ibid., Forty-second Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/42/39); ibid., Forty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/44/39); ibid., Forty-sixth Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/46/39); ibid., Forty-eighth Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/48/39); ibid., Fiftieth Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/50/39); ibid., Fifty-second Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/52/39); ibid., Fifty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/54/39); ibid., Fifty-sixth Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/56/39); ibid., Fifty-eighth Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/58/39); ibid., Sixtieth Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/60/39); ibid., Sixty-second Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/62/39); and ibid., Sixty-fifth Session, Supplement No. 39 (A/65/39).*

its 6th meeting, at the request of the respective delegations, the Committee agreed to the replacement of Attila Zimonyi (Hungary) by Zsolt Hetesy (Hungary) and Le Hoai Trung (Viet Nam) by Ba Trac Nguyen (Viet Nam). Accordingly, the Vice-Presidents of the Committee are:

Alison Helena Chartres (Australia)
Zsolt Hetesy (Hungary)
Ba Trac Nguyen (Viet Nam)

Rapporteur:

Joshua Mugodo (Kenya)

60. The Committee approved the President's recommendation that Vice-President Alison Helena Chartres (Australia) serve as Chair of the Working Group. It was subsequently agreed that the Chair should also serve as Rapporteur of the Working Group.

D. Adoption of the agenda and organization of work

61. The Committee held its organizational meeting in New York on 3 May 2012 to elect the Bureau of the seventeenth session and to adopt the provisional agenda and programme of work.

62. The Committee adopted the provisional annotated agenda (SSC/17/L.2) and organization of work (SSC/17/L.3) for its seventeenth session. A general debate was held in the plenary on 22 and 23 May 2012 on items 2, 3 and 4. The Working Group, scheduled to begin its work on 24 May 2012, was assigned agenda items 2, 3 and 4 for substantive discussion, and charged with making recommendations to the Committee. The list of documents before the Committee at its seventeenth session is contained in annex II to the present report.

Annex I

List of those attending the seventeenth session of the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation

States Members of the United Nations or States participating in the United Nations Development Programme

Algeria, Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Australia, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czech Republic, Djibouti, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, France, Gabon, Germany, Guatemala, Guinea, Guyana, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Israel, Italy, Japan, Jordan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Liberia, Malawi, Malaysia, Mali, Mauritania, Mexico, Morocco, Nepal, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Romania, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Senegal, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sri Lanka, Suriname, Thailand, Tunisia, Turkey, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United Republic of Tanzania, United States of America, Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of), Viet Nam and Yemen

Non-member State having received a standing invitation to participate as observer in the sessions and the work of the General Assembly and maintaining a permanent observer mission at Headquarters

Holy See

Entity having received a standing invitation to participate as observer in the sessions and the work of the General Assembly and maintaining a permanent observer mission at Headquarters

Palestine

United Nations Secretariat and other units

Department of Economic and Social Affairs and United Nations Development Operations Coordination Office

United Nations bodies, funds and programmes

International Trade Centre, United Nations Children's Fund, United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, United Nations Development Programme, United Nations Environment Programme, United Nations Population Fund, United Nations System Staff College, United Nations Volunteers and World Food Programme

Specialized agencies

International Labour Organization, International Monetary Fund, International Telecommunication Union, United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, United Nations Industrial Development Organization, World Bank, World Health Organization, World Intellectual Property Organization, World Meteorological Organization and World Tourism Organization

Intergovernmental organizations

Commonwealth Secretariat, Islamic Development Bank, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, European Union, Organization of American States, Organization of Islamic Cooperation and Partners in Population and Development

Non-governmental and other entities invited to participate as observers

Export-Import Bank of India, Inter-American Cooperation Network, Princeton University and Research and Information System for Developing Countries

Individuals invited to participate as keynote speakers and/or panellists

Sachin Chaturvedi (Senior Fellow, Research and Information System for Developing Countries), Amina Mohamed (Deputy Executive Director, United Nations Environment Programme), Rita Nangia (expert on infrastructure and on information systems and technology), T. D. Sivakumar (Resident Representative (Americas), Export-Import Bank of India) and Terje Tessem (Chief, Employment-Intensive Investment Programme, International Labour Organization)

Annex II

List of documents before the High-level Committee on South-South Cooperation at its seventeenth session

Note by the Secretary-General transmitting the report of the Joint Inspection Unit on South-South and triangular cooperation in the United Nations system (A/66/717)

Note by the Secretary-General transmitting his comments and those of the United Nations System Chief Executives Board for Coordination on the report of the Joint Inspection Unit (A/66/717/Add.1)

Review of progress made in implementing the Buenos Aires Plan of Action, the new directions strategy for South-South cooperation and the Nairobi outcome document of the High-level United Nations Conference on South-South Cooperation (SSC/17/1)

Consideration of reports of the Administrator of the United Nations Development Programme (SSC/17/2)

Note by the Secretary-General on the framework of operational guidelines on United Nations support to South-South and triangular cooperation (SSC/17/3)

Provisional annotated agenda and list of documents (SSC/17/L.2)

Note by the Administrator on the adoption of the agenda and organization of work (SSC/17/L.3)

12-51972 (E) 031012

