



# General Assembly

Distr.: General  
13 July 2006

Original: English

---

## Sixty-first session

Item 130 of the preliminary list\*

### Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations

## Implementation of General Assembly resolutions 55/235 and 55/236

### Report of the Secretary-General

#### *Summary*

In its resolution 55/235, the General Assembly reaffirmed the principles underlying the financing of United Nations peacekeeping operations and adopted a new system of adjustments of the scale of assessments for the regular budget to be used in fixing rates of assessment applicable to peacekeeping operations. This system was based on assigning each Member State to one of 10 levels, using its average per capita gross national product during the period 1993-1998 and other criteria. In its resolution 55/236, the Assembly welcomed voluntary movements by a number of Member States to levels higher than would result from the application of the new system.

In its resolution 55/235, the General Assembly also requested the Secretary-General to update the composition of the levels on a triennial basis, in conjunction with the reviews of the scale of assessments for the regular budget, in accordance with the criteria established in the resolution, and to report thereon to the General Assembly. The first such report was submitted in 2003. The present report responds to the Assembly's request and provides information on changes in the peacekeeping levels of Member States based on average per capita gross national income (GNI) during the period 1999-2004. These are based on the data used by the Committee on Contributions in reviewing the scale of assessments for the period 2007-2009. In preparing this report, the Secretary-General has drawn on his earlier reports on the implementation of resolutions 55/235 and 55/236, and has also reflected a request from the Philippines (annex III).

---

\* A/61/50 and Corr.1.



## Contents

	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
I. Introduction .....	1–4	3
II. Composition of levels for the financing of peacekeeping operations .....	5–14	3
III. Rates of assessment for the financing of peacekeeping operations .....	15–16	6
IV. Conclusions .....	17	6
<b>Annexes</b>		
I. Peacekeeping levels, based on average per capita gross national income of Member States and other factors .....		7
II. Implementation of General Assembly resolutions 55/235 and 55/236, 2007-2009 .....		8
III. Letter dated 17 March 2006 from the Permanent Representative of the Philippines to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General .....		16
IV. Effective rates of assessment for peacekeeping, 1 January 2007 to 31 December 2009, based on the results of the application of the methodology used in preparing the scale of assessments for the period 2004-2006 to GNI data for the period 1999-2004 .....		17

## I. Introduction

1. In its resolution 1874 (S-IV) of 27 June 1963, the General Assembly established certain principles to be applied to the financing of peacekeeping operations. Subsequently, in its resolution 3101 (XXVIII) of 11 December 1973, the Assembly made ad hoc arrangements for the financing of the United Nations Emergency Force (UNEF) based on those principles. These ad hoc arrangements involved basing each Member State's rate of assessment for UNEF on its rate of assessment for the regular budget, as adjusted based on its assignment to one of four groups. Member States in groups C and D had their regular budget rates reduced by 80 and 90 per cent respectively, those in group B paid at the same rate and the permanent members of the Security Council, assigned to group A, paid the difference pro rata to their rates of assessment for the regular budget. This ad hoc formula was applied subsequently, subject to a number of changes over the years to the membership of groups B, C and D.

2. In its resolution 55/235 of 23 December 2000, the General Assembly reaffirmed the general principles underlying the financing of United Nations peacekeeping operations and established a new system of adjustments of regular budget scale rates in establishing Member States' rates of assessment for peacekeeping operations. This system was based on a number of criteria, including a comparison of the average per capita gross national product (GNP) of each Member State during the six-year base period used for preparing the scale of assessments with the corresponding average for all Member States. These criteria, which were set out in paragraph 10 of resolution 55/235, were used to place each Member State in one of 10 levels, from A to J.

3. In its resolution 55/236, also of 23 December 2000, the General Assembly welcomed decisions by a number of Member States to move voluntarily to higher levels than required under the criteria set out in paragraph 10 of resolution 55/235.

4. In his subsequent reports on the implementation of General Assembly resolutions 55/235 and 55/236,<sup>1</sup> the Secretary-General outlined his understanding of the provisions of the resolutions and how he intended to carry out his responsibilities under resolution 55/235. The present report reflects the understandings outlined in those earlier reports. It also reflects a request received from the Permanent Representative of the Philippines.

## II. Composition of levels for the financing of peacekeeping operations

5. The initial composition of levels used for establishing rates of assessment for peacekeeping operations for the period 1 July 2001 to 31 December 2003 was listed in the annex to General Assembly resolution 55/235. Permanent members of the Security Council were included in level A. Member States on the list of the least developed countries were included in level J. Specified Member States were included in level C. The placement of other Member States was based on their average per capita GNP during the six-year base period (data for 1993-1998) used for the scale of assessments for 2001-2003 in relation to the average for all Member States. The thresholds applied were set out in the table in paragraph 10 of resolution 55/235. The initial placement of Member States in levels B and D to I was based on

their average per capita GNP for the six-year base period 1993-1998 and the average per capita GNP of all Member States for that period, which was \$4,797.

6. In its resolution 55/5 B of 23 December 2000, the General Assembly decided that the elements used in preparing the scale of assessments for the period 2001-2003 should be fixed until 2006. On that basis, the scale of assessments for the period 2004-2006 was also prepared using two base periods, of six (1996-2001) and three (1999-2001) years. Based on the provisions of resolution 55/235 and the practice adopted by the General Assembly for the composition of levels for the period 2001-2003, the Secretary-General updated the composition of levels for the triennium 2004-2006 using the average data on gross national income (GNI) for the six-year base period, used by the Committee on Contributions in considering the scale of assessments for the period 2004-2006. As outlined in the Committee's report<sup>2</sup> on its sixty-second session, the concept of GNP in the System of National Accounts, 1968 has been renamed GNI in the System of National Accounts, 1993 but this is just a refinement of product and income concepts and does not entail a change in the actual coverage of the concept.

7. In its resolution 58/256 of 23 December 2003, the General Assembly took note of the Secretary-General's report, endorsed the updated composition of levels contained in the addendum to the report and requested the Secretary-General to report to the Assembly at its sixty-first session on the updating of the composition of levels of contribution for peacekeeping operations for the period 2007-2009, in accordance with the provisions of resolution 55/235. The present report is submitted in response to that request.

#### **Assignment of levels in 2006**

8. Following the declaration of independence adopted by the National Assembly of Montenegro on 3 June 2006, the Republic of Serbia continued the membership of the former Serbia and Montenegro in the United Nations. The Republic of Montenegro was admitted to membership of the United Nations on 28 June 2006. In the composition of levels of contribution for peacekeeping operations in the period 2004-2006, the former Serbia and Montenegro had been assigned to level I. **Based on national income and population data available at the United Nations Statistics Division, the Committee on Contributions recommended that the rate of assessment of Montenegro in 2006 should be 0.001 per cent.<sup>3</sup> Based on the same information, and corresponding information for Serbia, both Serbia and Montenegro would have been assigned to level I in 2006.**

#### **Updating the composition of levels for the period 2007-2009**

9. The General Assembly has not so far decided on the elements of the methodology to be used in preparing the scale of assessments for the period 2007-2009. In the absence of any specific guidance from the Assembly with regard to the new scale, the Committee on Contributions at its sixty-sixth session in 2006 decided to review the scale of assessments for the period 2007-2009 on the basis of its general mandate under rule 160 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly and the provisions of resolution 58/1 B of 23 December 2003. In doing so, it agreed on certain conclusions and recommendations with regard to the methodology, reviewed the data provided by the United Nations Statistics Division for the period 1999-2004, decided that the market exchange rates (MERs) of a number of Member

States should be adjusted and provided, for information, machine scales showing the results of applying to the GNI data for 1999-2004 the methodology used in preparing the scale of assessments for the period 2004-2006.

10. In updating the composition of levels of contribution for peacekeeping operations for the period 2007-2009, the Secretary-General was guided by the provisions of General Assembly resolutions 55/235 and 55/236, his understanding of the mandates therein as outlined in his earlier reports and the practice adopted by the Assembly in fixing the composition of levels for earlier periods. Accordingly, data for the six-year period 1999-2004 was used in updating the composition of levels for the period 2007-2009 and the corresponding thresholds are shown in annex I. These are based on the provisions of resolution 55/235 and each Member State's average per capita GNI for 1999-2004 in relation to the membership average of \$5,517.84.

11. On this basis, and prior to the application of any phasing or voluntary movements, Antigua and Barbuda would move down from level E to level F, Argentina would move down from level G to level I, the Czech Republic would move up from level H to level G — equivalent to its current voluntary level of H\*, Hungary would move up from level I to level H — but is assumed to remain voluntarily at level B, the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya would move down from level G to level I, Malta would move up from level E to level D — but is assumed to remain voluntarily at level B, Mexico would move up from level I to level H, Oman would move up from level G to level F, Palau would move down from level E to level H, the Republic of Korea would move up from level D to level B, Slovenia would move up from level D to level B — currently its voluntary level, Timor-Leste would move down from level I to level J — following its inclusion in the list of least developed countries, Trinidad and Tobago would move up from level I to level G and Uruguay would move down from level H to level I. Following the succession of Serbia to the former Serbia and Montenegro, it would remain at level I as would Montenegro.

12. Based on the provisions of General Assembly resolution 55/235, the movement upwards of the Republic of Korea and Trinidad and Tobago are subject to the appropriate transitional periods. These have been applied in the manner outlined in the Secretary-General's earlier report<sup>4</sup> and are reflected in annex II. As Slovenia is currently at level B voluntarily, it is assumed that no phasing would be required during 2007-2009.

13. In its resolution 55/236, the General Assembly welcomed the voluntary commitment of a number of Member States to contribute to peacekeeping operations at a rate higher than required by their per capita income. In updating the composition of levels, both in 2003 and in 2006, it has been the Secretariat's understanding that the Member States concerned should be included at their voluntarily established levels unless their revised levels would be higher or unless they indicate a decision to revert to a lower level for which they are eligible in the new scale period. In this connection, the Secretary-General has received a letter dated 17 March 2006 from the Permanent Representative of the Philippines to the United Nations requesting that the Philippines be allowed to return to level I, from its current level of H\*, in keeping with the fundamental principle of capacity to pay. The text of this letter is attached as annex III. The updated composition of levels in annex II reflects this request.

14. In the case of the Czech Republic, its voluntary movement from I to H\* involved its paying at 30 per cent, rather than 20 per cent, of its regular budget rates of assessment. This was due to the provision in resolution 55/235 that those Member States that volunteered to move up to level H (designated in annex II as H\*) would pay at 30 per cent, rather than the 20 per cent rate applicable to those initially falling under level H. As the Czech Republic is moving up to level G in 2007-2009, and as the Member States in level G are assessed for peacekeeping operations at 30 per cent of their regular budget rates of assessment, it is assumed that its new level will supersede its former voluntary level.

### **III. Rates of assessment for the financing of peacekeeping operations**

15. In accordance with the provisions of General Assembly resolution 55/235, the updated composition of levels, reflected in annex II, will be used together with the scale of assessments for 2007-2009, to establish each Member State's peacekeeping rate/s of assessment. The General Assembly will consider the scale of assessments for the period 2007-2009 during its sixty-first session. Until it has adopted a new scale it will not be possible to determine the corresponding rates of assessment for peacekeeping for the period 2007-2009.

16. For illustrative purposes, however, the table in annex IV shows the peacekeeping rates of assessment (correct to four decimal places) corresponding to the scale of assessments for the period 2007-2009 included for information in the report of the Committee on Contributions.<sup>5</sup>

### **IV. Conclusions**

17. The General Assembly may wish to take note of this report, endorse the updated composition of levels of contribution for peacekeeping operations for the period 2007-2009 and decide that both Serbia and Montenegro should be assigned to level I in 2006.

#### *Notes*

<sup>1</sup> A/C.5/55/38 and A/58/157 and Add.1.

<sup>2</sup> *Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-seventh Session, Supplement No. 11* (A/57/11) para. 8.

<sup>3</sup> *Ibid.*, *Sixty-first Session, Supplement No. 11* (A/61/11), para. 128.

<sup>4</sup> A/C.5/55/38.

<sup>5</sup> *Official Records of the General Assembly, Sixty-first Session, Supplement No. 11* (A/61/11), table below para. 64.

## Annex I

**Peacekeeping levels, based on average per capita gross national income (PCGNI) of Member States and other factors**

Level	Criteria	Thresholds in US dollars (2007-2009)	Discount (percent)
A	Permanent members of the Security Council	N/A	Premium
B	All Member States, except those covered below and level A	N/A	0
C	As listed in the annex to General Assembly resolution 55/235	N/A	7.5
D	Member States with per capita GNI less than 2 times the average for all Member States (except level A, C and J contributors)	Under 11,036	20
E	Member States with per capita GNI less than 1.8 times the average for all Member States (except level A, C and J contributors)	Under 9,932	40
F	Member States with per capita GNI less than 1.6 times the average for all Member States (except level A, C and J contributors)	Under 8,829	60
G	Member States with per capita GNI less than 1.4 times the average for all Member States (except level A, C and J contributors)	Under 7,725	70
H	Member States with per capita GNI less than 1.2 times the average for all Member States (except level A, C and J contributors)	Under 6,621	80 (or 70 on a voluntary basis)
I	Member States with per capita GNI less than the average for all Member States (except level A, C and J contributors)	Under 5,518	80
J	Least developed countries (except level A and C contributors)	N/A	90

## Implementation of General Assembly resolutions 55/235 and 55/236 — 2007-2009

Member State	Level in 2006	Voluntary level during 2006 if different		Level in 2007-09 based on data for 1999-2004	Assumed voluntary level in 2006 if different		Phasing for Members moving up - in years		2007	2008-09
									Percentage of regular budget rates payable	
Afghanistan	J			J					10	10
Albania	I			I					20	20
Algeria	I			I					20	20
Andorra	B			B					100	100
Angola	J			J					10	10
Antigua and Barbuda	E			F					40	40
Argentina	G			I					20	20
Armenia	I			I					20	20
Australia	B			B					100	100
Austria	B			B					100	100
Azerbaijan	I			I					20	20
Bahamas	B			B					100	100
Bahrain	B			B					100	100
Bangladesh	J			J					10	10
Barbados	E			E					60	60
Belarus	I			I					20	20
Belgium	B			B					100	100
Belize	I			I					20	20
Benin	J			J					10	10
Bhutan	J			J					10	10
Bolivia	I			I					20	20
Bosnia and Herzegovina	I			I					20	20
Botswana	I			I					20	20
Brazil	I			I					20	20



Member State	Level in 2006	Voluntary level during 2006 if different		Level in 2007-09 based on data for 1999-2004	Assumed voluntary level in 2006 if different		Phasing for Members moving up - in years		2007	2008-09
									Percentage of regular budget rates payable	
Brunei Darussalam	C			C					92.5	92.5
Bulgaria	I	H *	a	I	H *	a			30	30
Burkina Faso	J			J					10	10
Burundi	J			J					10	10
Cambodia	J			J					10	10
Cameroon	I			I					20	20
Canada	B			B					100	100
Cape Verde	J			J					10	10
Central African Republic	J			J					10	10
Chad	J			J					10	10
Chile	I			I					20	20
China	A			A					100 +	100 +
Colombia	I			I					20	20
Comoros	J			J					10	10
Congo	I			I					20	20
Costa Rica	I			I					20	20
Côte d'Ivoire	I			I					20	20
Croatia	I			I					20	20
Cuba	I			I					20	20
Cyprus	B			B					100	100
Czech Republic	H	H *	a	G					30	30
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	I			I					20	20
Democratic Republic of the Congo	J			J					10	10
Denmark	B			B					100	100
Djibouti	J			J					10	10
Dominica	I			I					20	20
Dominican Republic	I			I					20	20

Member State	Level in 2006	Voluntary level during 2006 if different		Level in 2007-09 based on data for 1999-2004	Assumed voluntary level in 2006 if different		Phasing for Members moving up - in years		2007	2008-09
									Percentage of regular budget rates payable	
Ecuador	I			I					20	20
Egypt	I			I					20	20
El Salvador	I			I					20	20
Equatorial Guinea	J			J					10	10
Eritrea	J			J					10	10
Estonia	I	B		I	B				100	100
Ethiopia	J			J					10	10
Fiji	I			I					20	20
Finland	B			B					100	100
France	A			A					100 +	100 +
Gabon	I			I					20	20
Gambia	J			J					10	10
Georgia	I			I					20	20
Germany	B			B					100	100
Ghana	I			I					20	20
Greece	B			B					100	100
Grenada	I			I					20	20
Guatemala	I			I					20	20
Guinea	J			J					10	10
Guinea-Bissau	J			J					10	10
Guyana	I			I					20	20
Haiti	J			J					10	10
Honduras	I			I					20	20
Hungary	I	B	b	H	B	b		b	100	100
Iceland	B			B					100	100
India	I			I					20	20
Indonesia	I			I					20	20

Member State	Level in 2006	Voluntary level during 2006 if different		Level in 2007-09 based on data for 1999-2004	Assumed voluntary level in 2006 if different		Phasing for Members moving up - in years		2007	2008-09
									Percentage of regular budget rates payable	
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	I			I					20	20
Iraq	I			I					20	20
Ireland	B			B					100	100
Israel	B			B					100	100
Italy	B			B					100	100
Jamaica	I			I					20	20
Japan	B			B					100	100
Jordan	I			I					20	20
Kazakhstan	I			I					20	20
Kenya	I			I					20	20
Kiribati	J			J					10	10
Kuwait	C			C					92.5	92.5
Kyrgyzstan	I			I					20	20
Lao People's Democratic Republic	J			J					10	10
Latvia	I	H *	a	I	H *	a			30	30
Lebanon	I			I					20	20
Lesotho	J			J					10	10
Liberia	J			J					10	10
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	G			I					20	20
Liechtenstein	B			B					100	100
Lithuania	I	H *	a	I	H *	a			30	30
Luxembourg	B			B					100	100
Madagascar	J			J					10	10
Malawi	J			J					10	10
Malaysia	I			I					20	20
Maldives	J			J					10	10
Mali	J			J					10	10

Member State	Level in 2006	Voluntary level during 2006 if different		Level in 2007-09 based on data for 1999-2004	Assumed voluntary level in 2006 if different		Phasing for Members moving up - in years		2007	2008-09
									Percentage of regular budget rates payable	
Malta	E	B		D	B				100	100
Marshall Islands	I			I					20	20
Mauritania	J			J					10	10
Mauritius	I			I					20	20
Mexico	I			H					20	20
Micronesia (Federated States of)	I			I					20	20
Monaco	B			B					100	100
Mongolia	I			I					20	20
Montenegro			c	I		c			20	20
Morocco	I			I					20	20
Mozambique	J			J					10	10
Myanmar	J			J					10	10
Namibia	I			I					20	20
Nauru	I			I					20	20
Nepal	J			J					10	10
Netherlands	B			B					100	100
New Zealand	B			B					100	100
Nicaragua	I			I					20	20
Niger	J			J					10	10
Nigeria	I			I					20	20
Norway	B			B					100	100
Oman	G			F					40	40
Pakistan	I			I					20	20
Palau	E			H					20	20
Panama	I			I					20	20
Papua New Guinea	I			I					20	20
Paraguay	I			I					20	20

Member State	Level in 2006	Voluntary level during 2006 if different		Level in 2007-09 based on data for 1999-2004	Assumed voluntary level in 2006 if different		Phasing for Members moving up - in years		2007	2008-09
									Percentage of regular budget rates payable	
Peru	I			I					20	20
Philippines	I	H *	a	I		d			30	30
Poland	I	H *	a	I	H *	a			30	30
Portugal	B			B					100	100
Qatar	C			C					92.5	92.5
Republic of Korea	D			B			2		90	100
Republic of Moldova	I			I					20	20
Romania	I	H *	a	I	H *	a			30	30
Russian Federation	A			A					100 +	100 +
Rwanda	J			J					10	10
Saint Kitts and Nevis	G			G					30	30
Saint Lucia	I			I					20	20
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	I			I					20	20
Samoa	J			J					10	10
San Marino	B			B					100	100
Sao Tome and Principe	J			J					10	10
Saudi Arabia	F			F					40	40
Senegal	J			J					10	10
Serbia	I		c	I		c			20	20
Seychelles	F			F					40	40
Sierra Leone	J			J					10	10
Singapore	C			C					92.5	92.5
Slovakia	I	H *	a	I	H *	a			30	30
Slovenia	D	B		B	B	e			100	100
Solomon Islands	J			J					10	10
Somalia	J			J					10	10
South Africa	I			I					20	20

Member State	Level in 2006	Voluntary level during 2006 if different		Level in 2007-09 based on data for 1999-2004	Assumed voluntary level in 2006 if different		Phasing for Members moving up - in years		2007	2008-09
									Percentage of regular budget rates payable	
Spain	B			B					100	100
Sri Lanka	I			I					20	20
Sudan	J			J					10	10
Suriname	I			I					20	20
Swaziland	I			I					20	20
Sweden	B			B					100	100
Switzerland	B			B					100	100
Syrian Arab Republic	I			I					20	20
Tajikistan	I			I					20	20
Thailand	I			I					20	20
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	I			I					20	20
Timor-Leste	I			J					20	20
Togo	J			J					10	10
Tonga	I			I					20	20
Trinidad and Tobago	I			G			2		25	30
Tunisia	I			I					20	20
Turkey	I			I					20	20
Turkmenistan	I			I					20	20
Tuvalu	J			J					10	10
Uganda	J			J					10	10
Ukraine	I			I					20	20
United Arab Emirates	C			C					92.5	92.5
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	A			A					100 +	100 +
United Republic of Tanzania	J			J					10	10
United States of America	A			A					100 +	100 +
Uruguay	H			I					20	20
Uzbekistan	I			I					20	20

Member State	Level in 2006	Voluntary level during 2006 if different		Level in 2007-09 based on data for 1999-2004	Assumed voluntary level in 2006 if different		Phasing for Members moving up - in years		2007	2008-09
									Percentage of regular budget rates payable	
Vanuatu	J			J					10	10
Venezuela	I			I					20	20
Viet Nam	I			I					20	20
Yemen	J			J					10	10
Zambia	J			J					10	10
Zimbabwe	I			I					20	20

## NOTES:

- <sup>a</sup> - Member States that moved voluntarily up to level H paid at 30 per cent of their regular budget rate and it is assumed that this would continue, except in the case of the Philippines which indicated that it no longer wishes to pay at a higher level (see annex III).
- <sup>b</sup> - Hungary agreed to move up to level B with a transition period of five years, which ended on 1 July 2005.
- <sup>c</sup> - Serbia took over the membership of the former Serbia and Montenegro in 2006 and Montenegro was later admitted to membership on 28 June 2006.
- <sup>d</sup> - See annex III.
- <sup>e</sup> - As Slovenia was placed in level B voluntarily in 2006, it is assumed that its movement from level D to level B based on per capita GNI data would not involve a transition period.

### **Annex III**

#### **Letter dated 17 March 2006 from the Permanent Representative of the Philippines to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General**

[Original: English]

I have the honour to refer to the scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations, where the Philippines was listed under Category H\* in General Assembly resolutions 55/235 and 55/236.

It will be recalled that the decision to contribute to peacekeeping operations at a rate higher than required was voluntary on the part of the Philippines. In view of the significant increase in the peacekeeping budget over the last three years and the current economic situation which has made it difficult for the Philippines to meet its financial obligations to the United Nations, my Government requests that the Philippines be allowed to return to Category I of the peacekeeping scale of assessments in keeping with the fundamental principle of “capacity to pay”.

I should be grateful if you would arrange to have my Government’s request duly reflected in your report on updating the composition of the peacekeeping scale levels on a triennial basis, to be submitted to the General Assembly at its sixty-first session.

(Signed) Lauro L. **Baja**, Jr.  
Permanent Representative



## Annex IV

**Effective rates of assessment for peacekeeping, 1 January 2007  
to 31 December 2009, based on the results of the application  
of the methodology used in preparing the scale of assessments  
for the period 2004-2006 to GNI data for the period 1999-2004<sup>a</sup>**

Member State	PK effective rates in 2006	Regular budget 2007-2009	PK effective rates	
			2007	2008-09
<b>Level A</b>				
China	2.4910	2.716	3.2530	3.2375
France	7.3164	6.301	7.5468	7.5108
Russian Federation	1.3347	0.672	0.8049	0.8010
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	7.4341	6.642	7.9552	7.9173
United States of America	26.6932	22.000	26.3497	26.2240
<b>Total A</b>	<b>45.2693</b>	<b>38.331</b>	<b>45.9096</b>	<b>45.6906</b>
<b>Level B</b>				
Andorra	0.0050	0.008	0.0080	0.0080
Australia	1.5920	1.787	1.7870	1.7870
Austria	0.8590	0.887	0.8870	0.8870
Bahamas	0.0130	0.020	0.0200	0.0200
Bahrain	0.0300	0.033	0.0330	0.0330
Belgium	1.0690	1.102	1.1020	1.1020
Canada	2.8130	2.977	2.9770	2.9770
Cyprus	0.0390	0.044	0.0440	0.0440
Denmark	0.7180	0.739	0.7390	0.7390
Estonia	0.0120	0.021	0.0210	0.0210
Finland	0.5330	0.564	0.5640	0.5640
Germany	8.6620	8.577	8.5770	8.5770
Greece	0.5300	0.596	0.5960	0.5960
Hungary	0.1260	0.244	0.2440	0.2440
Iceland	0.0340	0.037	0.0370	0.0370
Ireland	0.3500	0.445	0.4450	0.4450
Israel	0.4670	0.419	0.4190	0.4190
Italy	4.8850	5.079	5.0790	5.0790
Japan	19.4680	16.624	16.6240	16.6240
Liechtenstein	0.0050	0.010	0.0100	0.0100
Luxembourg	0.0770	0.085	0.0850	0.0850
Malta	0.0140	0.017	0.0170	0.0170
Monaco	0.0030	0.003	0.0030	0.0030

Member State	PK effective rates in 2006	Regular budget 2007-2009	PK effective rates	
			2007	2008-09
Netherlands	1.6900	1.873	1.8730	1.8730
New Zealand	0.2210	0.256	0.2560	0.2560
Norway	0.6790	0.782	0.7820	0.7820
Portugal	0.4700	0.527	0.5270	0.5270
San Marino	0.0030	0.003	0.0030	0.0030
Slovenia	0.0820	0.096	0.0960	0.0960
Spain	2.5200	2.968	2.9680	2.9680
Sweden	0.9980	1.071	1.0710	1.0710
Switzerland	1.1970	1.216	1.2160	1.2160
<b>Total B</b>	<b>50.1640</b>	<b>49.110</b>	<b>49.1100</b>	<b>49.1100</b>
<b>Transition to level B</b>				
Republic of Korea	1.4368	2.173	1.9557	2.1730
<b>Level C</b>				
Brunei Darussalam	0.0315	0.026	0.0241	0.0241
Kuwait	0.1499	0.182	0.1684	0.1684
Qatar	0.0592	0.085	0.0786	0.0786
Singapore	0.3589	0.347	0.3210	0.3210
United Arab Emirates	0.2174	0.302	0.2794	0.2794
<b>Total C</b>	<b>0.8168</b>	<b>0.942</b>	<b>0.8714</b>	<b>0.8714</b>
<b>Level D</b>				
[NONE]				
<b>Level E</b>				
Barbados	0.0060	0.009	0.0054	0.0054
<b>Level F</b>				
Antigua and Barbuda	0.0018	0.002	0.0008	0.0008
Oman	0.0210	0.073	0.0292	0.0292
Saudi Arabia	0.2852	0.748	0.2992	0.2992
Seychelles	0.0008	0.002	0.0008	0.0008
<b>Total F</b>	<b>0.3088</b>	<b>0.825</b>	<b>0.3300</b>	<b>0.3300</b>
<b>Level G</b>				
Czech Republic	0.0549	0.281	0.0843	0.0843
Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.0003	0.001	0.0003	0.0003
<b>Total G</b>	<b>0.0552</b>	<b>0.282</b>	<b>0.0846</b>	<b>0.0846</b>

Member State	PK effective rates in 2006	Regular budget 2007-2009	PK effective rates	
			2007	2008-09
<b>Transition to G</b>				
Trinidad and Tobago	<b>0.0044</b>	<b>0.034</b>	<b>0.0085</b>	<b>0.0102</b>
<b>Level H*</b>				
Bulgaria	0.0051	0.025	0.0075	0.0075
Latvia	0.0045	0.023	0.0069	0.0069
Lithuania	0.0072	0.041	0.0123	0.0123
Poland	0.1383	0.533	0.1599	0.1599
Romania	0.0180	0.085	0.0255	0.0255
Slovakia	0.0153	0.080	0.0240	0.0240
<b>Total H*</b>	<b>0.1884</b>	<b>0.787</b>	<b>0.2361</b>	<b>0.2361</b>
<b>Level H</b>				
Mexico	0.3766	2.257	0.4514	0.4514
Palau	0.0006	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
<b>Total H</b>	<b>0.3772</b>	<b>2.258</b>	<b>0.4516</b>	<b>0.4516</b>
<b>Level I</b>				
Albania	0.0010	0.008	0.0016	0.0016
Algeria	0.0152	0.090	0.0180	0.0180
Argentina	0.2868	0.346	0.0692	0.0692
Armenia	0.0004	0.003	0.0006	0.0006
Azerbaijan	0.0010	0.006	0.0012	0.0012
Belarus	0.0036	0.023	0.0046	0.0046
Belize	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Bolivia	0.0018	0.007	0.0014	0.0014
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0006	0.009	0.0018	0.0018
Botswana	0.0024	0.015	0.0030	0.0030
Brazil	0.3046	0.893	0.1786	0.1786
Cameroon	0.0016	0.010	0.0020	0.0020
Chile	0.0446	0.171	0.0342	0.0342
Colombia	0.0310	0.112	0.0224	0.0224
Congo	0.0002	0.002	0.0004	0.0004
Costa Rica	0.0060	0.037	0.0074	0.0074
Côte d'Ivoire	0.0020	0.010	0.0020	0.0020
Croatia	0.0074	0.067	0.0134	0.0134
Cuba	0.0086	0.070	0.0140	0.0140
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	0.0020	0.008	0.0016	0.0016

Member State	PK effective rates in 2006	Regular budget 2007-2009	PK effective rates	
			2007	2008-09
Dominica	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Dominican Republic	0.0070	0.026	0.0052	0.0052
Ecuador	0.0038	0.030	0.0060	0.0060
Egypt	0.0240	0.094	0.0188	0.0188
El Salvador	0.0044	0.021	0.0042	0.0042
Fiji	0.0008	0.004	0.0008	0.0008
Gabon	0.0018	0.009	0.0018	0.0018
Georgia	0.0006	0.004	0.0008	0.0008
Ghana	0.0008	0.005	0.0010	0.0010
Grenada	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Guatemala	0.0060	0.034	0.0068	0.0068
Guyana	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Honduras	0.0010	0.006	0.0012	0.0012
India	0.0842	0.459	0.0918	0.0918
Indonesia	0.0284	0.192	0.0384	0.0384
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	0.0314	0.191	0.0382	0.0382
Iraq	0.0032	0.016	0.0032	0.0032
Jamaica	0.0016	0.013	0.0026	0.0026
Jordan	0.0022	0.013	0.0026	0.0026
Kazakhstan	0.0050	0.036	0.0072	0.0072
Kenya	0.0018	0.011	0.0022	0.0022
Kyrgyzstan	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Lebanon	0.0048	0.047	0.0094	0.0094
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	0.0396	0.066	0.0132	0.0132
Malaysia	0.0406	0.202	0.0404	0.0404
Marshall Islands	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Mauritius	0.0022	0.012	0.0024	0.0024
Micronesia (Federated States of)	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Mongolia	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Montenegro <sup>b</sup>		0.002	0.0004	0.0004
Morocco	0.0094	0.045	0.0090	0.0090
Namibia	0.0012	0.007	0.0014	0.0014
Nauru	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Nicaragua	0.0002	0.003	0.0006	0.0006
Nigeria	0.0084	0.058	0.0116	0.0116
Pakistan	0.0110	0.063	0.0126	0.0126
Panama	0.0038	0.024	0.0048	0.0048
Papua New Guinea	0.0006	0.003	0.0006	0.0006

Member State	PK effective rates in 2006	Regular budget 2007-2009	PK effective rates	
			2007	2008-09
Paraguay	0.0024	0.006	0.0012	0.0012
Peru	0.0184	0.083	0.0166	0.0166
Philippines	0.0285	0.083	0.0166	0.0166
Republic of Moldova	0.0002	0.002	0.0004	0.0004
Saint Lucia	0.0004	0.002	0.0004	0.0004
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Serbia <sup>b</sup>	0.0038	0.022	0.0044	0.0044
South Africa	0.0584	0.305	0.0610	0.0610
Sri Lanka	0.0034	0.017	0.0034	0.0034
Suriname	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Swaziland	0.0004	0.003	0.0006	0.0006
Syrian Arab Republic	0.0076	0.017	0.0034	0.0034
Tajikistan	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Thailand	0.0418	0.198	0.0396	0.0396
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.0012	0.006	0.0012	0.0012
Tonga	0.0002	0.001	0.0002	0.0002
Tunisia	0.0064	0.033	0.0066	0.0066
Turkey	0.0744	0.405	0.0810	0.0810
Turkmenistan	0.0010	0.008	0.0016	0.0016
Ukraine	0.0078	0.048	0.0096	0.0096
Uruguay	0.0096	0.029	0.0058	0.0058
Uzbekistan	0.0028	0.009	0.0018	0.0018
Venezuela	0.0342	0.213	0.0426	0.0426
Viet Nam	0.0042	0.029	0.0058	0.0058
Zimbabwe	0.0014	0.009	0.0018	0.0018
<b>Total I</b>	<b>1.3615</b>	<b>5.123</b>	<b>1.0246</b>	<b>1.0246</b>
<b>Level J</b>				
Afghanistan	0.0002	0.002	0.0002	0.0002
Angola	0.0001	0.008	0.0008	0.0008
Bangladesh	0.0010	0.010	0.0010	0.0010
Benin	0.0002	0.002	0.0002	0.0002
Bhutan	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Burkina Faso	0.0002	0.003	0.0003	0.0003
Burundi	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Cambodia	0.0002	0.002	0.0002	0.0002
Cape Verde	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Central African Republic	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001

Member State	PK effective rates in 2006	Regular budget 2007-2009	PK effective rates	
			2007	2008-09
Chad	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Comoros	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.0003	0.004	0.0004	0.0004
Djibouti	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Equatorial Guinea	0.0002	0.003	0.0003	0.0003
Eritrea	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Ethiopia	0.0004	0.004	0.0004	0.0004
Gambia	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Guinea	0.0003	0.002	0.0002	0.0002
Guinea-Bissau	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Haiti	0.0003	0.003	0.0003	0.0003
Kiribati	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Lao People's Democratic Republic	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Lesotho	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Liberia	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Madagascar	0.0003	0.003	0.0003	0.0003
Malawi	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Maldives	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Mali	0.0002	0.002	0.0002	0.0002
Mauritania	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Mozambique	0.0001	0.003	0.0003	0.0003
Myanmar	0.0010	0.006	0.0006	0.0006
Nepal	0.0004	0.004	0.0004	0.0004
Niger	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Rwanda	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Samoa	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Sao Tome and Principe	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Senegal	0.0005	0.005	0.0005	0.0005
Sierra Leone	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Solomon Islands	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Somalia	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Sudan	0.0008	0.010	0.0010	0.0010
Timor-Leste	0.0002	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Togo	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Tuvalu	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001
Uganda	0.0006	0.004	0.0004	0.0004
United Republic of Tanzania	0.0006	0.007	0.0007	0.0007
Vanuatu	0.0001	0.001	0.0001	0.0001

Member State	<i>PK effective rates in 2006</i>	Regular budget 2007-2009	PK effective rates	
			2007	2008-09
Yemen	0.0006	0.009	0.0009	0.0009
Zambia	0.0002	0.002	0.0002	0.0002
<b>Total J</b>	<b>0.0116</b>	<b>0.126</b>	<b>0.0126</b>	<b>0.0126</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0000</b>	<b>100.000</b>	<b>100.0000</b>	<b>100.0000</b>

## Notes:

Effective rates of assessment for peacekeeping operations shown above are calculated according to the system of adjustments adopted in General Assembly resolution 55/235 (see annex I) and are displayed at 4 decimal places.

<sup>a</sup> Included in the report of the Committee on Contributions (A/61/11, table below paragraph 64) for information.

<sup>b</sup> Serbia succeeded to the former Serbia and Montenegro in 2006 and Montenegro was admitted to membership of the United Nations on 28 June 2006.