



# General Assembly

Distr.: General  
2 November 2006

Original: English

---

## Sixtieth session

Agenda items 46, 118, 120, 122, 124, 128, 129 and 136

**Integrated and coordinated implementation of and follow-up to the outcomes of the major United Nations conferences and summits in the economic, social and related fields**

**United Nations reform: measures and proposals**

**Follow-up to the outcome of the Millennium Summit**

**Review of the efficiency of the administrative and financial functioning of the United Nations**

**Programme budget for the biennium 2006-2007**

**Scale of assessment for the apportionment of the expenses of the United Nations**

**Human resources management**

**Administrative and budgetary aspects of the financing of the United Nations peacekeeping operations**

## **Investing in the United Nations: for a stronger Organization worldwide: detailed report**

**Report of the Secretary-General**

**Addendum**

**Procurement reform**

**Corrigendum**

### **1. Table 1**

For the Action item "Restructure the Headquarters Committee on Contracts", the first "Status" entry *should read*

- The secretariat of the HCC is no longer under the control of the Assistant Secretary-General vested with procurement authority and is now attached to the Office of the Under-Secretary-General for Management



**2. Paragraph 66**

The first three sentences *should read*

In 2004 and 2005, the Procurement Service issued a total of 117 tenders with the evaluation based on the best value for money methodology and as a result awarded contracts in a total amount of approximately \$738 million. Out of 117 tenders, successful contractors for 103 cases also had the lowest technically compliant offers, thus making no financial differences between the award on the basis of best value for money and the lowest technically compliant offer. For 14 cases, the Organization awarded contracts on the basis of best value for money and the value of award was higher than the lowest technically compliant offer by approximately \$3.4 million, which represent a higher value business solution.

---