





# **General Assembly**

Distr. GENERAL

**A/43/685** 10 October 1988

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

Forty-third session Agenda i tomr 65 (e), 67 (cl) and 67 (e)

REVIEW **AND** IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONCLUDING DOCUMENT OF THE TWELFTH SPECIAL **SESSION** OF **THE** GENERAL **ASSEMBLY:** WORLD DISARMAMENT CAMPAIQN

REVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF **THE** RECOMMENDATIONS **AND** DECISIONS ANOPTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY AT ITS TENTH SPECIAL SESSION

Advisory Board on Disarmament Studies

United Nations Institute f O r Disarmament Research

Report of the Secretary-General

#### CONTENTS

		Paragraphs	rage
.1	INTRODUCTION	1 - 2	3
11.	ACTIVITIES OF THE ADVISORY BOARD ON DISARMAMENT STUDIES	3 . 36	3
	A. Situation in the area of disarmament	3 13	3
	B. Role of the Board	<b>14</b> - 18	5
	C. United Nations studies on disarmament	3.9 - 27	6
	D. Implementation of the World Disarmament Campaign	28 <b>- 36</b>	7

### CONTENTS (continued)

			Paragraphs	Page
111.	OF	IVITIES OF THE ADVISORY BOARD IN ITS CAPACITY AS BOARD TRUSTEES OF THE UNITED NATIONS INSTITUTE FOR DISARMAMENT FARCH	37 - 51	Q
	A.	The post of the Director of UNIDIR	37	Ġ
	в.	Report of the Director on the work of the Institute	38 <b>43</b>	10
	c.	Proposed annual budget for 1989	44 - 50	10
	D.	Work programme for 1989	51	1.3
IV.	ОТН	ER BUSINESS	5% - 56	15
Annex	. м	embers of the Advisory Board on Disarmanent Studies		16

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Pursuant to section III of General Assembly resolution 37/99 K of 13 December 1982, the Advisory Board on Diagramment Studier har the following functions:
- (a) To advise the Secretary-General on various aspects of studies and research in the area of arms limitation and disarmament carried out under the auspices of the United Nations or institutions within the United Nations system, in particular on the integration of programme of such studies with a comprehensive programme of diaarmament, once this had boon rtablished!
- (b) To serve as thr Board of Trustees of the United Nation8 Institute for Dirarmamont. Research (UNIDIR);
- (c) To advise the doerotary-General on the implementation of the World Disarmament Campaign;
- (4) At the specific invitation of thr Secretary-General, to provide him with advice on other matters within the area of disarmament and armr limitation,

In its resolution 38/183 0 of 20 December 1983, thr General Assembly, inter alia, requested the Secretary-General to report annually to the General Assembly on the work of the Advisory Board.

7. The Advisory Board on Disarmament Studies held its eighteenth and nineteenth sessions from 26 to 29 April 1988 and from 26 to 30 September 1968 in New York, under the chairmanship of Ambassador Alfonno Garcia Robles of Mexico. The annex lists the present members of the Advisory Board.

#### II. ACTIVITIES OF THE ADVISORY BOARD ON DISARMAMENT STUDIES

#### A. Situation in the area of disarmament

- 3. Following its usual practice, the Board reviewed the situation in the area of disarmament at both sessions.
- 4. At the eighteenth session, the item was introduced by a statement by Mr, Yasushi Akashi, Under-Secretary-General for Disarmament Affairs. After describing positive developments in the relationship between the Union of Soviet Socialist Republic8 and United States of America, he noted that those developments created potential for multilateral progress in arms limitation and disarmament but that there was, at that time, no clear picture of this way forward. With a view to the forthcoming special session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament, the Under-Secretory-General invited the independent views of the Board on what should be the relationship between bilateral and multilateral negotiations, and methods by which multilateral progress could be reinforced.

- 5. A comprehensive diaauaai on took place. There was wide recognition that there had been significant improvements in the international climate in certain major respects. The positive review in 1985 of the Trraty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Wespons (General Aaaombly resolution 2373 (XXII), annex), the successful outcome of thr Stockholm Conference on Confidence- and Security-Building Measures and Disarmament in Europe in September 1986 and the entry into force of the Treaty of Rarotonga in December 1986 were given as examples of specific improvements in the multilateral arena. Theo Odd of the Treaty of newattitudes in bilateral relations and the Ovidoaao of progress towards real nuclear disarmament, as illustrated by the conclusion in December 1907 of the Treaty between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles (INT Treaty) were regarded as radical dvanooa in improving the proaprota for further disarmament, particularly nuclear disarmament, between the Soviet Union and the United States.
- 6. At the same time, however, it was noted that armed conflict and dangerous aituations persisted in certain parts of the world where circumstances of regional insecurity xi atod that did not permit the rtablirhment of conditions conducive to disarmament. The reported use of chemical weapons on several occasions had underlined the urgent need to ahiova a complete ban on auch weapons, and there were unsettling developments in the proliferation of certain missiles and transfora of ophiatiaated conventional arms.
- 7. The view was  $oxed{oxed} \begin{picture}(c){\line 10}{\line 10}\begin{picture}(c){\line 10}{\line 10}\b$
- 8. There was general groomont that bilateral and multilateral pprorahos to disarmament were not in contradiction on the contrary, they were mutually complementary. It was observed that perhaps a transition was beginning from which the international community would move into a period of promising developments, also in the field of multilateral disarmament. In this respect, multilateral end groomonta could promote nuclear disarmament and nuclear non-proliferation, achieve an ffoative chemical weapons ban, in due course address outer space issues, and also chieve ffoctive limitations on conventional arms and the transfera of such weapons.
- 9. At the nineteenth session a wide range of views was xproaaod on the outcome of the fifteenth aprcial session of the General Aaaombly, the third special session devoted to disarmament. Opaning the diacuaaion, the Under-Secretary-General stated that, although disappointed that no final document had been doptrd, ho was not discourged. He noted that the special session had boon free from polemics and had boon very pragmatic despite differences of views on a number of issues. Ho also reported that numerous proposals and suggestions had boon put forward, several of which might be raised at the forty-third session of the General Aaaombly.
- 10. Some members hold similar views, while others maintained that thr baonao of an grod final document was a serious setback to the cause of multilateral

disarmamont. Several members observed that the twelfth special session of the Assembly, the second special session devoted to disarmament, had been hold in 1982 in a difficult international climate, whereas the fifteenth poairl session had taken place at a time of significant bileteral progress, thus larding to the conclusion that bilateral advancer between the super-Powers did not automatically load to progress in the sphere of multilateral disarmament.

- 11. Several members commented on the fact that the fifteenth special oaaion had taken place during a period of adjustment to a new phase in international relations which had started with thr bilateral INF Treaty. This situation had perhaps boon accentuated by the positive developments in several regional situations that had occurred in the months immediately following the fifteenth special session. With the benefit of hindright, therefore, it might be seen that the outcome of the fifteenth special session was out of atop with the broader course of international vonta.
- 12. Observing that the pursuit of such a large number of participating States of conaonaua groomont on a wide range of difficult issues was unlikely to moot with ucceaa except at a low common denominator level, severalmembers• xprraard doubts at the value of holding similar special sessions in the future. It was for conaidrration that it would be better to seek alternatives, ruah as, perhaps, sessions devoted to pocific issues appropriately prepared within the framework of the United Nations.
- 13. There had been many proposals and suggestions riafng from the fifteenth peuial session that had found consensus and that must not be lost. They could provide launching points for action by thr General Assembly at its forty-third session.

#### B. Role of the Board

- 14. At its September session an xtonaivo discussion took place or the role of the Board. There was wide support for the view that now developments in the international situation provided an appropriate opportunity to consider again the functioning of the Board.
- 15. The primary aim of the Board is to provide knowledgeable. xperhnced and independent views to the Secretary-General for him to use as ho sees fit. There was general agreement that the Board rhould continue and trengthon its assistance to the Secretary-General, and thereby to the United Nations, in his efforts to enhance progress in disarmament.
- 16. After a careful consideration of the subject, the Board recalled that by the terms of the present mandate thr Secretary-General was already in a position to invite the Board to provide him with advice on matters within the area of disarmament and arm8 limitation. The Board noted that, recognising the now developments in that area, it was at the disposal of the Secretary-General, should ho desire to avail himself of its advice. The Board believes that in order to reflect this task, in particular in the field of multilateral disarmament within

the frunowork of the United Nations, it would be		to amend the title of
the Board as suggested by many delegations		
as to correspond more • aaurrtoly to the fun	ctions rot out in the	xirting mandate.

- 18. The Board requested the Chairman to bring its driborationr on matters concerning the role of the Board to the attention of the Secretary-General for his consideration.

### C. United Nations studies on disarmament

- 19, At its ightoonth and nineteenth sessions, the Board continued its consideration of the matter of United Nations studies on disarmament.
- 20. At its ightrrnth session, the Board was informed of the conclusion of two studies, namely the study on the climatic and other global iffects of nuclear war (A/43/351, annex) and the rtudy on the aonomic and social consequences of the arms race and military expenditures (A/43/368, annex). Regarding the latter, the Board received an account from Ambassador Constantin Ene of Homenia who was the hairman of the Group of Consultant Experts that prepared the study. The members of the Board noted the action-oriented manner of the study and its conclusions (ibid., sect. V), in particular the recommendation by the Group of Experts to consider further the quertion of conversion (ibid., para. 182). The Board xperred the hope that the General Assembly at its forty-third session would request the Secretary-General to give the rtudy the widest possible dissemination.
- 21, Regarding the study on the climacic and other global effects of nuclear war, the Board noted the fact that the issue had boon dealt with by the xportr in a comprehensive manner, ratting out the scientific evidence of the likely global ffocto of a major nuclear war, Thr Board expressed the hope that the General Assembly at its forty-third session would request the Secretary-General to give the etudy (A/43/351, annex) the widest possible dissemination.
- 22, Following a suggestion made at the eighteenth session in April, Dr, Mark Harwrll of the Qlobal Environment Program, Center for Environmental Research, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, United Etates, was invited to make a presentation at the nineteenth session on the mort research and respond to quirtient from the Board. Dr. Harwell gave his presentation in the context of the rtudy on the climatic and other global effects of nuclear war, a subject in which the Board has taken a keen interest since 1984.

- 23. Dr, Harwell reported that scientific research continued to indicate that a major nuclear xahanqe in the northern hemirphere could lead to dramatic effects.
   specially on agriculture and food upply throughout the world, including countries far from the areas of conflict. mphamimod tho impossibility of storing in advance food rtockm large enough to compensate by more than a minor fraction for the loam of a mingle growing season. Even conservative calculation 6 Indicated that in much circumstances there would be many more casualties from these indirect ffectr of nuclear war than from the direct effects experienced by the combatants themselves.
- 24. Responding to questions from memberm of the Board. Dr. Harwell acknowledged the persistence of many scientific uncertainties but raid that they did not undermine the validity of the conclusions. Came atudiea in China, India, Venemuela and wemtern Africa were also proving ureful in identifying the effects of other types of stress on of comymtemm much as global warming. He of mphamiaed that the scientific community had in general been careful to refrain from drawing policy implications from their studier.
- 25. At its April session, the Board discussed the question of verification. For that purpose the Board was provided with a background paper prepared by the United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research (UNIDIR) and was informed by a representative of the Department for Disarmament Affairs of the symposium of experts held in April at Dagomys, USSR, on the topic of multilateral aspects of verification.
- 26. In the course of their discussion, memberm of the Board mphaaiaod the significance of the verification issue am well am the difficulties involved. In stressing the complexity of the problem, members of the Board particularly underlined the relationship between verification, security and confidence-building. The view war expressed that it war necessary to define specific verification methods for each agreement. The matablimhment of a multilateral mechanism and the possible role of the United Nations in that context was considered by several members as an important issue that should be the subject of a study. The operation of the safeguards mystem of the International Atomic Energy Agency was referred to as an example of such a mechanism.
- 27, At its September session, the Board warn informed of a number of proposals at the fifteenth special session of the General Aemembly, the third devoted to disarmament, that might lead to subjects for United Nations study, viz., multilateral verification, developments in nuclear weapons, and certain aspects of conventional arms transfers.

#### D. Implementation of the World Disarmament Campaign

28. The Board's discussion at it6 eighteenth session focused mainly on the special report of the Secretary-General to the General Assembly at its third special session devoted to disarmament on the implementation of the World Dimarmament Campaign since its launching in 1982 (A/S-15/9). In the nmuing exchange of views, members of the Board expressed their satisfaction with the activities cat tied out.

The value of regional conferences, • ominara and meetings of experts on various issues in the field of arms limitation and diaarmament was stressed. Further, the importance of closer contact and co-operation with regional organisations was also • dvooatod,

- 29. Among many other comments, members of the  $\square \square \square \square \square \square$  mphasimed the needfor greater involvement in World Diagram amont Campaign activities of much constitutacies as  $\square \square \square$  durational communities and  $\square \square \square$  lrctod representatives. A view was also hold that the role of the mama media should be increased in order to make the media morr receptive towards campaign  $\square \square \square$  ctivities. The importment of diagementating information in national languages was reiterated.
- 30. In him report to the General Assembly, the Secretary-General noted the guiding role of thr Board in the implomontation of thr Campaign and the value of the Board' a involvement.
- 31, At its nineteenth session, the Board took note of the Secretary-General's report on the implementation of the programme of ctivition of the Campaign in 1988 (A/43/642). In reviewing those ctivitiemr the Director, Publications and World Diaarmunont Campaign Branch, Dopartment for Diaarmamont Affairs, mphasimod that the publication of information materials in several languages and their world-wide dissemination continued to be an important aspect of Campaign ctivities. He drew ttrntion to the various materials that wore published am well am to otivition carried out in preparation for the fifteenth special session, auch am an international competition for a United Nationm diaarmement poster. Regarding the financial situation of the Campaign, the Board was informed that financial resources had been noticeably reduced, which had already Ird to necessary d) uatmonta in various projects. Theme contraints would also ffect the programme of ctivition nviaagod for 1989.
- 32, Noting that the level of contributions from Member States to the World Disarmament Campaign Trust Fund had declined markedly starting in 1985, the Board xproaaod oonoarn that the shortage of funds would have serious implications for further ctivitioa. The Board mphaaiard the need for the continuance of support by Member States in order of auro the continued viability of the Campaign, and in particular the need for voluntary contributions to the Trust Fund so that the objectives of the Campaign could be mucceasfully carried out on a world-wide basis. The importance of contributions by non-governmental organizationa, foundations and trusts, and from other private sources war mphaaiaed.
- 33. At both aamaiona members of the Board xpreaaod intereot in the work of the United Nations Regional Centre for Peace and Dirarmament in Africa, rtabliahed in Lomé, Togo, and the United Nations Regional Centre for Peace, Diaarmament and Devalopment in Latin America, in Lima, Peru, am well am in the progress made in setting up the United Nations Regional Centre for Peace and Diaarmamont in Aria in Kathmandu, Nepal. The Board was informed of the developments and otivitiaa of the three Centres. In that context, the board recommended that the Dopartment for Disarmament Affair8 with the aaiatance of Member States should, in particular, nhaaco the role and promote the work of those Centres in accordance with their mandator.

- 34. At the invitation of the board, representatives of the Special Non-Governmental Organisation Committee on Disarmament at the United Nations Office at Geneva and of the Non-Governmental Organisation Committee on Disarmament in Now York presented their views to the Board at its nineteenth session. In their remarks, the representatives stated that the outcome of the fifteenth special session had mathematical materials and a more imaginative style of presentation of information, The representatives stressed the importance of greater involvement in planning and selection of participants in regional conferences and seminars, thereby making broader use of the expertise vailable among non-governmental organisations. They also prepared their desire for a greater participatory role in United Nations dirarmament activities in general.
- 35. They observed that the World Disarmament Campaign had facilitated a communication network among the community of non-governmental organizations in the field of disarmament and, in that context, welcomed the ortabliohment of United Nations regional centres, which apuld increase activities at the regional level. However, the representatives registered their concern at the fact that many Campaign of ctivition had been restricted owing to insufficient financial resources.
- 36. During the exchange of views, members of the Board noted with satisfaction the improvement of Campaign otivitixr in the pursuit of its three primary purposes: to inform, to educate and to generate public understanding and support for the objectives of the United Nations in the field of arms limitation and disarmament. The Board alro noted that ffortr were being made to carry out the Campaign in all regions of the world in a balanced, factual and objective manner. The Board expressed its appreciation to the representatives for the contributions and constructive ffortr of the community of non-governmental organizations in the area of arms limitation and disarmament and to the Department far Disarmament Affairs for its part in the conduct of the Campaign.
  - III, ACTIVITIES OF THE ADVISORY BOARD IN ITS CAPACITY AS BOAHD OF TBUBTEES OF TUC UNITED NATXONS INSTITUTE FOR DISARMAMENT RESEARCH

### A. The post of the Director of UNIDIR

37. The Board noted that, since its previous report (A/42/611), the Institute's previous Director, Mr. Liviu Bota, had been able to return to Geneva and to report personally to the Secretary-General in New York on his stewardship of UNIDIR. The Board expressed its deep gratitude to all parties who had contributed to a solution to the problem and recorded its warm previous of his leadership of UNIDIR from its inception in 1980 to the conclusion of his service in the port of Director at the end of his tenure.

### B. Report of the Director on the work of the Institute

- 38. In accordance with the provisions of  $\bullet$  rtialo IV, paragraph 2 (i), of the Institute's statute, the Director roportrd to the Board on the Institute's  $\bullet$  CtiVitiO8 and the execution of the work programme.
- 39. The Director roportrd that during the period from September 1987 to September 1988 UNIDIR had continued to fulfil it8 mandate. However, the continuation of its work in a rrtirfactory manner wan strongly dependent on morr reliable and increased flowr of financial resources and the strengthening of it8 small staff.
- 40. The Director stated that, responding to the wirh of the Board, ho had undertaken an norgetic campaign to obtain now voluntary contributions for UNIDIR in order to fund its programme of research activities. Seventeen new donors had itler made or pledged voluntary contributions during the period under review, resulting in the 1989 budget having an assured income that wan 51.73 per cent above the assured income in the 1988 budget.
- 41, The Board was informed that a total of seven publication8 had been issued during the period. Furthermore, a UNIDIR "Newsletter" had been launched and two issues had boon published. Relations with other research institutes were bring developed and xto,?dod and "NIDIR had organized, with the assistance of the Institute of World Economy and International relations of the USSR, a conference of disarmament research institutes at Sochi, USSR, from 22 to 24 March 1988. A number of projects were in hand and were described in more detail in the Director's report.
- 42. At it8 nineteenth session, thr Board approved the report of the Director on the activities of UNIDIR for submission to the General Assembly (A/43/687) and congratulated thr Director and rtaff of the Institute on thr progress reflected therein. The Board recognised the improved quality of the research papers published by UNIDIR and hoped that it would be maintained in the coming years.
- 43, The Board expressed its satisfaction at the growing abilities of UNIDIR to conduct independent research on disarmament-related problems and it8 belief that the potential of the Institute for carrying out research of a specialized or highly export nature should be further encouraged. The Board emphasized the nerd for appropriate financial support in accordance with the Institute's stntute in order to ensure the continued viability and development of the Institute.

### C. Proposed annual budget for 1989

- 44, In its consideration at the nineteenth session of the proposed annual budget of the Institute for 1989, the Board had before it a draft programme of work and proposed budget document prepared by UNIDIR and the report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions.
- 45. The Board war informed that, as at 28 September 1988, the estimated assured income for 1989 wan as follows:

# Convertible currencies a/

Donor	Status	Amount in local currency	Amount in sus
*Argentina	Pledged	-	3 000
Australia	Pledged	-	14 400
*Bahamas	Received	-	1 000
*Brazil	Pledged	-	2 000
Canada	Pledged	-	20 100
*Cyprus	Received	-	300
*Finland	Pledged	Fmk 200 000	47 100
*Ford Foundation	Pledged	-	50 000
France	Pledged	•	220 000
*Greece	Received	-	5 000
*Hungary	Received	•	1 000
*Indonesia	Received	-	5 000
*Netherlands	Pledged	£ 10 000	4 900
<b>♦N●₩</b> Zeal and	Received	•	<b>5</b> 300
Nnw Zealand	Pledged	-	10 000
Norway	Pledged	-	50 000
*Sri Lanka	Received	-	5 000
*Sweden	Pledged	-	25 000
Sweden	Received	-	25 000
Switzerland	Pledged	SwF 75 000	49 700
*Togo	Pledged	C F A F <b>500</b> 0 0 0	1 600
Union of Soviet	•		
Socialist Republics	Received	-	20 000
Total			565 400

# Non-convertible currencies a/

Donor	Status	Amount in	nount in local currency			Amount in \$US		
• Bulgaria	₽●∭₫⅓⋒₫	leva	40	000	30	500		
*India	Pledged	Rs	100	000	7	300		
*Mongolia	Pledged	Tug	50	000	18	100		
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	O	-	200	000	336	700		
Total					392 me:-	600		
Total assured income	from volunta	ry sources	for	1989	958	000		

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes new donors.

Based on monthly oparational • xohange rate for July 1988.

- 46. The Board noted that there had been significant increase in the number of donors in response to the energetic fund-raising efforts of the Director. The broadened support was reflection of the international community's growing recognition 0f the value of the Institute's work.
- 47. The net income that could be used for calculation of possible subvention was \$565,400 d, according to the terms of the Institute's statute, that sum would permit a maximum subvention Of \$282,700 to be requested. However, mindful that the purpose for which the subvention was envisaged in the statute was towards meeting the costs Of the Director and the staff of the Institute, the Board supported the Director's request for a subvention limited to cover that specific purpose. Accordingly, the Board recommended subvention from the regular budget of thr United Nations in the sum of \$221,100 for 1989. In so doing, the Board took note of thr comments of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions on the draft budget of thr Institute.
- 48. Subject to the General Assembly's approval of the proposed subvention, the total income for 1989 would be \$1,179,100, including the contributions in non-convertible currencies amounting to the equivalent of \$392,600.
- 49. The 8timrt.d rpraditure is summarised 88 follows:

		Tru	st Fund					
		Convertible surrency		Non-convertible currency		<u>Subvention</u>		tal
Regular staff Of the Institute	114	900		-	221	100	336	000
General temporary assistance	6	000		-		-	6	000
Travel Of staff	30	000	41	200	•	-	71	200
Ad hos expert group	107	000	329	800		-	435	800
Contractual services	198	800	19	300		-	a17	100
Fellowship programme	29	700	4	300	•	-	34	000
Acquisition • dmaintenance of office equipment	14	000		<b>-</b>	•		14	000
Reimbursement of programme support costs	25	000		-		-	25	000
Contribution towards liquidation of the deficit		000		_	•	-		000
Total	565	400	392	600	221	100	1 179	100
Income balance	565	400	392	600	221	100	1 179	100

50. The rrtire funding of the three-year project for the Stablish June of a data base on disarmament is provided from the Trust fund for Interest on the United Nations Special Account, in accordance with 8 specific agreement with the Government of Japan. The proposition in 1989 will amount to \$169,500 and thr total over three years will amount to \$585,300.

### D. Work programme for 1989

- 51. At it8 mineteenth session, the board adopted the following work programme:
  - (a) Subprogramme 1: Establishment of a data base on disarmament

The third year of a three-year project to • 8tBbli8h a computerised data base from United Nations documents, governmental statements, parliamentary records and scientific literature on selected security and disarmament issues.

- (b) Subprogramme 2: National security concepts
- Continuation of the rirting series of monographs on national concepts of security, including national approaches to disarmament.
  - (c) Subprogramme 3: UNIDIR fellowship programme

Short-term fellowships for 8 small number Of research scholars from developing countries to work at UNIDIR. Foil98QNIDIR® 量分光色则 % 5分 fourfellowships.

(d) Subprogramme 4: Conventional disarmament in Europe

A conference, originally  $\bullet$  nVi88g@d for 1999, is now planned for January 1989. The conference, on the theme "Conventional disarmament in Europe: option8 and perspectives", will be attended, on  $\bullet$  balanced basis, by diplomats, government officials, scholars and researchers representing variour schools of thought. As the subject will be of interest to the international community et large, UNIDIR will also  $\bullet$  neure substantial participation  $\lozenge \triangle$   $\bullet$  xportx from non-aligned countries and regions other than Europe.

(e) Subprogramme 5: Research guide to the proceedings of the Conference on Disarmament

Research guidon will be written on individual agenda items of the Conference OR Disarmament, identifying the issues involved, tracing the evolution of the discussion, the principal proposals made and the positions of delegations. The guides are intended for the use of diplomats and the research community.

(f) Subprogramme 6: Maintenance and development of co-operation with and among research institutes

Continuation of the publication of the quarterly UNIDIR "Newsletter", begun in 1988, to enhance contacts with and among research institutes and individual ● xportx in various parts of the world,

### (g) Subprogramme 7: Verification in disarmament

This programme will involve two activities:

- (i) Completion of an xirting research project to atablish a comprehensive guide on thr potential of airborne-systems remote-sensing techniques in the field of arms aontrol verification;
- (ii) A research project to laborato a repertory of methods, procedures and techniques concerning verification of the treaties end agreements currently in force.
- (h) Subprogramme &: Disarmament and development

This programme will involve three • ctivitical

- (i) The preparation of a report defining the interrelationship between disarmament and development through an xuninetion of the impact and implications of spending on military technology, and the uxe of financial and human resources, on the development process;
- (ii) The preparation of a report rotting out possible strategies for conversion of resources from military to civilian uses;
- (iii) In co-operation with the Department for Disarmament Affairs, UNIDIR will undertake specific tasks in the monitoring of military xpanditure8 throughout thr world by an xuninrtion of existing publications.
  - (i) Subprogramme 9: Security of third world countries

A research project directed at a comprohonaivo analysis of rocurity of third world countrior and carried out by a group of scholars roproaontrivo of the political and geographical diversity in the third world. The aubject will be approached from a regional perspective as well as in its totality, axmining themilitary-strategic arporta of accurity together with economic or non-military aspects.

(j) Subprogramme 10: Disarmament: problems related to outer space

Following the publication of the first report. in late 1987, the Board authorized UNIDIR in April 1988 to proceed with the second phase. This is being carried out. over two years and will specifically analyse the problems of definition and demarcation in the provention of an arms race in outer space. Some 15 exports from a wide range of regions and countrise with space capabilities are engaged in this project.

### (k) Subprogramme 11: Defensive strategies, defensive postures and disarmament

A symposium will be arranged by UNIDIR in Now York in association with the United Nationr Association of the United States and the Max Planck Society of the Federal Republic of Germany. The participants, including academics, diplomats and military apecializant from diverse countries, will examine the concept of "non-provocative defence" with a view to investigating its feasibility as a disarmament measure ashirving security at lower levels of armaments and its impact on strategic concepts and approachea,

#### IV. OTHER BUSINESS

- 52. The Board's attention wan drawn to the consideration by the Committee on Conferences of the pattrn of meetings of the Advisory Board. In the Committee's report (A/43/32, para. 41) thr Committee "urged the Advisory Board to make greater efforts to avoid mooting during sessions of the Aaaombly in future".
- 53. Since 1986 the Advinory Board has been obliged to schedule the second of its annual sessions in late September, thereby aontravrning General Aaaombly roadution 34/401, paragraph 34, whereby the Aaaombly decided that no aubaidiary organ of the Assembly ahould be permitted to meet at United Nations Hoadquartrra during a regular session of the Aaaembly, unless explicitly authorised by the Assembly. On each occasion authorisation was sought from, and granted by, the Aaarmbly through the Committee on Conferences.
- 54. The problem had arisen from the fact that, at its September session, the Board, acting in its capacity as the Board of Trustees of UNIDIR, had to approve the programme of work and draft budget of UNIDIR for the forthcoming yerr and to recommend to the General Aaaembly an amount for aubvention from the regular budget of the United Nations. The Board had to take into account the recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions and for this reason the Board's consideration could not take place until after the idvisory Committoo had, in early September, reviewed the UNIDIR draft budget and made its commenta.
- 55. In the discussion it was pointed out. that should it be necessary to place the UNIDIR budget before the Advisory Committee at an earlier datr, such action might have to be taken in late May or June in order to meet the schedule of the Advisory Committee, Such an arrangement, however, might make it difficult for the Director of UNIDIR ta obtain a reliable assessment of assured income for the forthcoming year.
- 56. The Board directed the secret&riot to investigate the matter further with a visw to finding a solution and to report to the Board at its next session.

#### ANNEX

# Members of the Advisory Board on Disarmament Studies

Mr. Oluyemi Adeniji Ambassador Embassy of Nigeria Paris , France

Mr, Hadj Benabdelkader Aamout Ambassador Embassy of Algeria toadoa, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Dr. Rolf Björnerstedt The Alva and Gunnar Myrdal Foundation Stockholm, Sweden

Professor James E. Dougherty Department of Politics Saint Joseph's University Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

Mr. Omran El-Shafei Ambassador, former Assistant Foreign Minister Ministry for Foreign Affairs Cairo, Egypt

Mr. Constantin Ene Ambassador Ministry of Foreign Affairs Bucharest, Romania

Mr. Alfonao Garcia Robles Ambassador Permanent Representative of Mexico to the Conference on Disarmament Geneva

Mr. Ignac Golob Ambassador Embassy of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia Vienna. Austria

Mr. A. C. S. Hameed Minister for Foreign Affairs of Sri Lanka Colombo, Sir Lanka Dr. Ryukichi Imai
Ambarrador of Japan to Mexico
Embassy of Japan
Mexico D.F., Mexico

Mr. Boris P. Krasulin
First Deputy Director
International Organisations Department
Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the USSR
Moscow, USSR

Mr, Bjorn Inge Kristvik Director General Royal Norwegian Minirtry of Foreign Affairs Oslo, Norway

Mr. Carlos Lechuga Hevia Ambarrador Permanent Representative of Cuba to the United Nations Geneva

Mr. Liang Yufan Ambassador Bureau of Foreign Affair8 Shanghai, People's Republic of China

Sir Ronald Mason
School of Molecular Science
University of Sussex
Brighton, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Mr. William Eteki Mboumoua Ministry of Foreign Affairs Yaoundé, Cameroon

Proterror Dr. Manfred Mueller Institute for International Relations Academy for Political Science and Legal Studies Potsdam, German Democratic Republic

Mr, Carlos Ortis de Rozas Ambassador of Argentina to France Argentine Embassy Paris, France

Mr, Edgard Pisani Chargé de Mission auprès du Président do la République française Paris, France A/43/685 English Page 18

Mr, Maharajakrishna Rasgotra High Commissioner of India London, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Dr. Friedrich Ruth Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary Ambasciata della Repubblica Federale do Germania Rome, Italy

Ms. Amada Segarra Ambassador Ministry of Foreign Affair8 Qui to, Ecuador

Mr. Agha Shahi
Professor Emeritus of International Relations to Universities in Pakistan
Chairman, Islamabad Counail on World Affairs
Irlamabad, Pakistan

Mr, Tadeuss Strulak Ambassador Permanent Representative of Poland to the United Nations Office and other international organisations Vienna, Austria

Mr. Jayantha Dhanapala, Director of UNIDIR, is an ex officio member of the Advisory Board when acting in its capacity as the Board of Trustees of UNIDIR.

----