Distr.: General 24 August 2016

Arabic

Original: English



رسالة مؤرخة ٢٤ آب/أغسطس ٢٠١٦ وموجهة من الأمين العام إلى رئيس مجلس الأمن

أتشرف بأن أحيل إليكم التقرير الثالث لآلية التحقيق المشتركة بين منظمة حظر الأسلحة الكيميائية والأمم المتحدة.

وأرجو ممتنا توجيه انتباه أعضاء مجلس الأمن لهذه الرسالة وللتقرير.

(توقیع) بان کي – مون



رسالة مؤرخة ٢٤ آب/أغسطس ٢٠١٦ وموجهة إلى الأمين العام من فريق قيادة الية التحقيق المشتركة بين منظمة حظر الأسلحة الكيميائية والأمم المتحدة

يتشرف فريق قيادة آلية التحقيق المشتركة بين منظمة حظر الأسلحة الكيميائية والأمم المتحدة أن يحيل التقرير الثالث للآلية عملا بقرار مجلس الأمن ٢٢٣٥ (٢٠١٥).

ويقدم التقرير معلومات مستكملة عن أنشطة الآلية حتى ١٩ آب/أغسطس ٢٠١٦. كما يجمل التقييمات الختامية لفريق القيادة حتى الآن، بالاستناد إلى نتائج التحقيق في تسع حالات مختارة تتعلق باستخدام المواد الكيميائية كأسلحة في الجمهورية العربية السورية.

ويود فريق القيادة أن يشكر الأمين العام للثقة التي وُضعت فيه. ويقدر الفريق الدعم الأساسي الذي قدمته الأمانة العامة بما في ذلك مكتب شؤون نزع السلاح، وإدارة الشؤون السياسية ومكتب الشؤون القانونية، ومسؤولو الأمم المتحدة الذين ساعدوا الآلية في نيويورك وحنيف ودمشق. كما يعرب الفريق عن تقديره للدعم الذي لا يقدر بثمن والذي قدمته قيادة وموظفو منظمة حظر الأسلحة الكيميائية. ويود الفريق أن يقدر حدمات موظفي الآلية الذين قاموا دون كلل وبكفاءة مهنية بإجراء ودعم التحقيق.

ويود فريق القيادة أن يعرب عن امتنانه لأعضاء مجلس الأمن للدعم الذي قدموه للآلية. كما يود الفريق أن يشكر الدول الأخرى الأعضاء في الأمم المتحدة لتوفير المعلومات الأساسية والموارد المالية للآلية أثناء تحقيقاتها. ويود الفريق بالمثل أن ينوه بجميع المنظمات والكيانات والأفراد الذين قدموا المساعدة لعمل الآلية.

ويود فريق القيادة أن يؤكد أنه قام بعمله بشكل موضوعي ومستقل ومهني وفقا للولاية المنوطة به في قرار مجلس الأمن ٢٢٣٥ (٢٠١٥). ويتحمل الفريق وحده المسؤولية عن نتائجه.

(توقيع) فرجينيا غامبا رئيسة آلية التحقيق المشتركة بين منظمة حظر الأسلحة الكيميائية والأمم المتحدة

(توقيع) أدريان نريتاني فريق القيادة

(توقيع) ايبرهارد شانز فريق القيادة

16-14788 2/102

التقرير الثالث لآلية التحقيق المشتركة بين منظمة حظر الأسلحة الكيميائية والأمم المتحدة

یات	المحتو
-----	--------

الصفحة		
٤	مقدمة	أولا –
٥	معلومات أساسية	ثانیا –
٥	أنشطة الآلية	- ثالثا -
٨	الاعتبارات المنهجية	رابعا –
٩	التقييم والنتائج والاستنتاجات	حامسا –
١.	ألف – العناصر المشتركة بين الحالات التي تم التحقيق فيها	
١٦	باء – النتائج المحددة	
۲ ٤	ملاحظات ختامية	سادسا –
Ann	exes*	Page
I.	Methods of work	26
II.	Kafr Zita, 11 April 2014.	31
III.	Kafr Zita, 18 April 2014	42
IV.	Talmenes, 21 April 2014	49
V.	Al-Tamanah, 29-30 April 2014	58
VI.	Al-Tamanah, 25-26 May 2014.	66
VII.	Qmenas, 16 March 2015	74
VIII.	Sarmin, 16 March 2015	81
IX.	Binnish, 24 March 2015	91
X.	Marea, 21 August 2015	98

^{*} المرفقات معممة باللغة التي قدمت بما فقط ودون تحرير رسمي.

أو لا - مقدمة

1 - هذا التقرير مقدم عملا بقرار مجلس الأمن ٢٢٣٥ (٢٠١٥)، الذي أنشأ المجلس بموجبه آلية التحقيق المشتركة بين منظمة حظر الأسلحة الكيميائية والأمم المتحدة وعهد إليها بولاية القيام إلى أقصى حد ممكن بتحديد الأشخاص أو الكيانات أو الجماعات أو الحكومات التي قامت باستخدام المواد الكيميائية، بما فيها الكلور أو أي مادة كيميائية سامة أخرى، كأسلحة في الجمهورية العربية السورية أو التي تولت تنظيم ذلك الاستخدام أو رعايته أو شاركت فيه على نحو آخر، حيثما تُقرِّر بعثة تقصي الحقائق التابعة لمنظمة حظر الأسلحة الكيميائية أو تكون قررت أن المواد الكيميائية، بما فيها الكلور أو أي مادة كيميائية سامة أخرى، قد استخدمت أو يحتمل أن تكون استخدمت كأسلحة في حادث بعينه في الجمهورية العربية السورية.

٢ - وقدم اثنان من التقارير السابقة للآلية، (\$\s\2016\forallow{530}\$) و \$\s\2016\forallow{530}\$) معلومات عن تُهجها المنهجية والأنشطة التي اضطلعت بها في مجال التحقيق في الفترة الممتدة من ٢٠١٦ أيلول/سبتمبر ٢٠١٥ - عند بداية الولاية حتى ١٠ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٦. وبالإضافة إلى ذلك، واظبت الآلية على تقديم إحاطة إلى مجلس الأمن عن التقدم المحرز على أساس شهري.

٣ - ويقدم التقرير الحالي معلومات مستكملة عن الأنشطة حيى ١٩ آب/ أغسطس ٢٠١٦. كما يجمل التقييمات الختامية التي أجراها فريق القيادة حتى الآن، بالاستناد إلى نتائج التحقيق في تسع حالات مختارة من استخدام المواد الكيميائية كأسلحة في الجمهورية العربية السورية. ويتضمن التقرير عشرة مرفقات: مرفق بشأن طرائق العمل ومرفق لكل حالة من الحالات قيد التحقيق على النحو التالى:

- (أ) كفر زيتا، في محافظة حماة، ١١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤؛
- (ب) كفر زيتا، في محافظة حماة، ١٨ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤؛
- (ج) تلمنس، في محافظة إدلب، ٢١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤؛
- (د) تمانعة، في محافظة إدلب ٢٩-٣٠ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤؛
 - (هـ) تمانعة، في محافظة إدلب ٢٥-٢٦ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٤؛
 - (و) قمّين، في محافظة إدلب ١٦ آذار/مارس ٢٠١٥؛
 - (ز) سرمین، فی محافظة إدلب، ۱٦ آذار/مارس ۲۰۱۵؛

16-14788 4/102

- (ح) بنّش، في محافظة إدلب، ٢٤ آذار/مارس ٢٠١٥)؛
- (ط) مارع، في محافظة حلب، ٢١ آب/أغسطس ٢٠١٥.

وتحتوي المرفقات على سرد للأحداث والتفاصيل والنتائج والتقييمات والاستنتاجات التي توصل إليها فريق القيادة حتى الآن.

ثانيا - معلومات أساسية

و سيقود الآلية فريق قيادة من ثلاثة أعضاء، ويتألف من الرئيسة فرجينيا غامبا (الأرجنتين)، ونائبين، أدريان نريتاني (ألبانيا) وإيبرهارد شانز (ألمانيا). ويتحمل النائبان المسؤولية عن العنصر السياسي وعنصر التحقيق للآلية، على التوالى.

7 - وتتكون الآلية من مكتب سياسي مقره في نيويورك، الذي يوفر التحليلات السياسية ويقدم المشورة القانونية ويعنى بالعلاقات مع وسائط الإعلام وإدارة المعلومات؛ ومكتب تحقيقات مقره في لاهاي، يركز على توفير التحليلات الطبية والكيميائية، والاستدلال العلمي الجنائي، والتحليلات المتعلقة بالعتاد العسكري، وغير ذلك من تحليل المعلومات الأحرى ذات الصلة؛ ومكتب لدعم التخطيط والعمليات مقره في نيويورك لتقديم الدعم إلى فريق القيادة، وإلى عنصري السياسة والتحقيق.

٧ - كما قامت الآلية بإنشاء مكتب اتصال في دمشق يعمل به موظف للشؤون السياسية، كنقطة الاتصال الرئيسية مع حكومة الجمهورية العربية السورية، ويقدم معلومات مستكملة و توصيات إلى فريق القيادة بشأن المسائل السياسية الجوهرية.

٨ - ومن أجل كفالة توفر الموارد المناسبة والكافية للآلية لأغراض التحقيق، تم توظيف ست أخصائيين - بمن فيهم مترجمون - من أجل تعزيز فريقها في لاهاي بالخبرة اللازمة لإجراء تحقيق مهني. ويستعان لهذا الغرض بالصندوق الاستئماني الذي أُنشئ من أجل تلبية الاحتياجات المادية والتقنية للآلية.

ثالثا - أنشطة الآلية

٩ - تم تناول الفترة الأولية من عمل الآلية الممتدة من ٢٤ أيلول/سبتمبر إلى ١٣ تشرين الثاني/نوفمبر ٢٠١٥ بإنشاء المكتب، في كل من نيويورك ولاهاي. وحسبما يوضح التقرير

⁽١) أشارت بعثة تقصي الحقائق إلى أن تاريخ الحادث هو ٢٣ آذار/مارس ٢٠١٥، إلا أن الآلية أكدت أن الحادث وقع في ٢٤ آذار/مارس ٢٠١٥، حوالي الساعة ٢:٠٠ مساء.

الأول للآلية (8/2016/142)، قامت الآلية خلال هذا الوقت، بتعيين موظفين من ذوي المهارات والخبرات ذات الصلة؛ وعقدت اجتماعات ومشاورات مع الدول الأعضاء للتخطيط؛ واتخذت التدابير اللازمة لكفالة التراهة والسرية في عملها، بما في ذلك حماية الوثائق والأدلة والشهود؛ وشرعت في تطوير وتنفيذ نظام لإدارة السجلات ضمن نظام قوي لأمن المعلومات ينطبق على جميع المعلومات التي تولدها الآلية أو تحصل عليها؛ وبدأت في حملة إضافية لجمع الأموال من خارج الميزانية لدعم أنشطتها واحتياجاها المادية والتقنية. وفي الكاملة في ١٣ تشرين الثاني/نوفمبر (١٠ ٢ ، قام الأمين العام بإبلاغ بحلس الأمن بأن الآلية ستبدأ عملياها الكاملة في ١٣ تشرين الثاني/نوفمبر (انظر 8/2015/854).

• ١ - وشهدت الفترة الممتدة من ١٣ تشرين الثاني/نوفمبر ٢٠١٥ إلى ٢٩ شباط/ فبراير ٢٠١٦ المرحلة الأولى من التحقيق، التي تتألف من جمع المعلومات والتخطيط لإعداد القضايا. وفي ٢٦ تشرين الثاني/نوفمبر، قامت الآلية ومنظمة حظر الأسلحة الكيميائية بإبرام مذكرة تفاهم بشأن توفير إمكانية الوصول، وتخزين ومعالجة المعلومات، يما في ذلك الأدلة، التي تحصل عليها بعثة تقصي الحقائق والآلية. وبالاستناد إلى مذكرة التفاهم، بدأت الآلية في كانون الأول/ديسمبر عملها بقيام المحققين باستعراض وتحليل المعلومات والأدلة التي حصلت عليها بعثة تقصي الحقائق عن الحوادث التي حققت فيها البعثة وخلصت إلى أن المواد الكيميائية السامة قد استخدمت أو أن من المرجح أن تكون قد استخدمت.

11 - وفي 11 كانون الأول/ديسمبر ٢٠١٥، أبرمت الأمم المتحدة والجمهورية العربية السورية اتفاقا بشأن مركز الآلية من أجل كفالة الاضطلاع بولاية الآلية في الوقت المناسب وبصورة آمنة ومأمونة في البلد. وبعد ذلك بفترة وجيزة، قام فريق القيادة بزيارة دمشق لمناقشة المعايير المتعلقة بتعاونه مع الحكومة لدعم تنفيذ القرار ٢٢٣٥ (٢٠١٥).

17 - وخلال المرحلة الأولى، قام فريق القيادة بوضع أساليب عمل الآلية فيما يتعلق بسير تحقيقاتها، يما في ذلك جمع الأدلة والمعلومات الأخرى ذات الصلة، وتحليل المعلومات والتحقق والتثبت منها. وبت الفريق في منهجية الإبلاغ عن استنتاجاته إلى مجلس الأمن (انظر الفرع "رابعا" أدناه). وبالاستناد إلى المنهجية التي وضعتها الآلية، تم تحديد تسع حالات لمواصلة القيام بتحقيق متعمق فيها، الذي احتتم المرحلة الأولى.

17 - وبدأت المرحلة الثانية في ١ آذار/مارس ٢٠١٦ عندما بدأت الآلية تحقيقها في كل حالة على حدة. وشمل ذلك وضع خطط التحقيق لكل حالة على حدة للمساعدة على توجيه التحقيقات العامة وعملية التخطيط نفسها. وواصلت الآلية جمع معلومات إضافية لم تقدمها بعثة تقصى الحقائق وإحراء المقابلات مع الشهود. وتم القيام بعدة زيارات إلى

16-14788 **6/102**

الجمهورية العربية السورية والمنطقة لدعم التحقيق. ونظرا لجمع مزيد من المعلومات، انتقل التحقيق إلى التحليل والتقييم والتثبت، بينما كان لا يزال يجري تلقي بيانات حديدة.

12 - وطوال فترة ولاية الآلية، واصل فريق القيادة سعيه الحثيث للحصول على معلومات ذات الصلة بالتحقيق من الدول الأعضاء، والمنظمات الدولية، والمنظمات غير الحكومية وغيرها من الكيانات ذات الصلة والأفراد.

01 - وقام فريق القيادة بتوجيه طلبات رسمية للحصول على معلومات إلى ٢٨ دولة عضوا يما في ذلك أعضاء مجلس الأمن والدول في المنطقة وغيرها من الدول الأعضاء ذات الصلة، وقام بزيارة ١١ منها بناء على دعوها. كما قام المحققون بزيارات تقنية. وحلال هذه الزيارات، وفي مكتبيها في نيويورك وفي لاهاي، تلقت الآلية معلومات وإحاطات تقنية ذات صلة بالتحقيق. وقامت الآلية بتحليل واستعراض جميع المعلومات المتاحة لبعثة تقصي الحقائق، بالإضافة إلى المعلومات والمواد التي قامت بجمعها. وشمل ذلك أكثر من ٥٠٠ مصحة من الصور الوثائق والمخطوطات وأكثر من ٢٠٠ مقابلة، وأكثر من ٥٠٠ قطعة من الصور الفوتوغرافية، وأكثر من ٥٠٠ فيديو تم الحصول عليها من مصادر مفتوحة وقدمها الشهود، وأكثر من ٢٠٠ مفحة من تحليلات الطب الشرعي، وأكثر من ٢٠٠ ملف، بما في ذلك المزيد أشرطة الفيديو والصور والتسجيلات الصوتية. وبالنظر إلى أن هذه الكمية الكبيرة من المعلومات التي جمعتها الآلية كانت متاحة باللغة العربية فقط، فقد كان يتعين أن يترجم عدد كبير من الوثائق حتى يتسنى استعراضها. وبالإضافة إلى ذلك، قام المحققون بتسجيل العديد من المقابلات مع الشهود.

17 - وكان فريق القيادة يؤكد باستمرار لحكومة الجمهورية العربية السورية على ضرورة التعجيل بالاستجابة لطلبات الآلية للحصول على المعلومات. وحافظ الفريق على التفاعل المستمر مع الحكومة من خلال الزيارتين اللتين قام بهما إلى دمشق في كانون الاول/ ديسمبر ٢٠١٥ وآب/أغسطس ٢٠١٦، ومن خلال أكثر من ٢٠ من اللقاءات الثنائية مع الممثل الدائم للجمهورية العربية السورية لدى الأمم المتحدة، ومن خلال مكتب الاتصال للآلية في دمشق. كما قام محققو الآلية بأربع زيارات تقنية إلى دمشق.

1٧ - وعقد فريق القيادة أكثر من ١٥٠ لقاء ثنائيا منذ ٢٤ أيلول/سبتمبر ٢٠١٥ مع أعضاء مجلس الأمن والدول الأعضاء الآخرين في كل من نيويورك وفي لاهاي. وعلى الرغم من أن العديد من الدول الأعضاء قدم الدعم التحقيقات التي تجريها الآلية من خلال توفير المعلومات وإحاطات تقنية، فإن الفريق يعرب عن أسفه لأن بعض البلدان في المنطقة لم تساهم بدرجة أكبر في التحقيق.

رابعا - الاعتبارات المنهجية

۱۸ - لاحظ فريق القيادة عدم وجود سوابق للتحقيق في تحديد الجهات التي أقدمت على استخدام المواد الكيميائية كأسلحة، أو تولت تنظيم استخدامها أو رعاية ذلك الاستخدام أو شاركت في ذلك على أي نحو آخر، بخلاف آلية الأمين العام للتحقيق في الاستخدام المزعوم للأسلحة الكيميائية والبيولوجية التي تتوافر لها مبادئ توجيهية وإجراءات (انظر 1/4/561). ولذلك، فقد اعتمد الفريق أساليب عمل الآلية (انظر المرفق الأول).

19 - وكانت البيانات والاستنتاجات الواردة في تقارير بعثة تقصي الحقائق فيما يتعلق باستخدام المواد الكيميائية كأسلحة، بمثابة نقطة الانطلاق لتحقيق الآلية في الحالات التسع. وعلاوة على ذلك، قامت الآلية باستعراض المعلومات والأدلة المتوفرة للبعثة "كما هي" دون استعراض صحة مصادرها أو أي منهجية أو أسلوب للعمل اعتمدته البعثة.

• ٢٠ وكان عمل الآلية ملتزما بمبادئ الحياد والموضوعية والاستقلال وكانت تعمل بوصفها آلية غير قضائية للتحقيق. وقامت الآلية بالتحقيق وسعت إلى تحديد كل فئة من الجهات التي أقدمت على استخدام المواد الكيميائية كأسلحة، أو قامت برعاية ذلك الاستخدام أو تولت تنظيمه أو شاركت فيه على أي نحو آخر.

71 - وبالإضافة إلى توفير المعلومات الأساسية، يسعى التحقيق إلى تقرير العناصر الرئيسية التالية لكل حالة: (أ) التاريخ والوقت؛ (ب) الأحوال الجوية؛ (ج) موقع الارتطام؛ (د) الذخيرة (على سبيل المثال، المخلفات)؛ (هـ) طريقة التنفيذ (على سبيل المثال، الوسائل والتوجيه)؛ (و) الأضرار والآثار (على سبيل المثال، على المباني، والبيئة، والنباتات والحيوانات، وما إلى ذلك)؛ (ز) الآثار الطبية. وقامت الآلية بتقرير العناصر الرئيسية من خلال خطط التحقيق وملفات القضية، وإدراج المعلومات التالية: مواد بعثة تقصي الحقائق (استعراضها وتحليلها بهدف استخلاص المعلومات ذات الصلة بتحقيقات الآلية)؛ والمقابلات مع الشهود والبيانات (التي يتم جمعها حيثما أمكن ذلك كالتسجيلات الصوتية أو شرائط الفيديو أو المخطوطات)؛ والوثائق بما في ذلك التقارير والمستندات الرسمية، والسجلات الطبية والمواد المكتوبة بخط اليد (كالرسومات وقائمة الأسماء)؛ والصور بما في ذلك صور الأقمار الصناعية، والصور الضوئية، والفيديو؛ والخرائط؛ والرسوم البيانية وغيرها من البيانات،

٢٢ - وتم وضع خطط التحقيق لكل قضية للمساعدة على توجيه التحقيق الشامل. وحلال التحقيق، تم إعداد ملفات القضايا لتوثيق تفاصيل المعلومات والأدلة التي تم جمعها، بما في

16-14788 **8/102**

ذلك المعلومات ذات الصلة التي حصلت عليها بعثة تقصي الحقائق. وكانت ملفات القضايا تستلزم تحليل المعلومات التي تم جمعها وتوثيق عملية ودرجة التثبت من مسائل محددة.

77 - وهدف الآلية إلى التثبت من جميع المعلومات. وتم إخضاع المعلومات عند الضرورة، إلى عملية تحليلية منفصلة. وفي كل حالة على حدة، استعانت الآلية بأربعة من معاهد الطب الشرعي والدفاع المعترف بها دوليا، التي سبق لها أن قدمت المساعدة لهيئات الأمم المتحدة. وهذا التحليل، بحكم طبيعته، هو عملية تستغرق وقتا طويلا.

72 - وقام فريق القيادة باستعراض ملفات القضايا التسع والمعلومات والأدلة الواردة فيها والتي أعدها المحققون. وقام الفريق بتقييم المعلومات والأدلة التي تم جمعها، يما في ذلك دقتها ومصداقيتها وموثوقيتها، ودرجة الإثبات والتحليل المستقاة من معاهد الطب الشرعي والدفاع، وتوصل إلى استنتاجاته وتقييماته بتوافق الآراء. وقد استرشد الفريق في القيام بذلك، بالمعايير التالية (انظر 8/2016/142):

- (أ) الأدلة الدامغة (أدلة مقنعة إلى حد كبير لتأييد النتيجة المستخلصة)؛
 - (ب) الأدلة الملموسة (الأدلة القوية جدا لتأييد النتيجة المستخلصة)؛
- (ج) الأدلة الكافية (وجود أدلة ذات طابع موثوق ويمكن الاعتماد عليها حتى يتسنى للآلية التوصل إلى استنتاج مفاده أن جهة ما ضالعة في استخدام المواد الكيميائية كأسلحة).

٢٥ – وعندما كان فريق القيادة يقرر أنه لا توجد أدلة كافية فيما يتعلق بقضية حققت فيها الآلية، كان يقدم تقريرا بذلك.

خامسا - التقييم والنتائج والاستنتاجات

77 - يقتصر دور الألية عملا بولايتها، على التحقيق فقط في الحالات التي تقرر بعثة تقصي الحقائق أن حادثًا معينًا في الجمهورية العربية السورية ينطوي أو يحتمل أن ينطوي على احتمال استخدام المواد الكيميائية كأسلحة، يما في ذلك الكلور أو أي مادة كيميائية سامة. وكانت البعثة قد توصلت إلى مثل هذه القرارات فيما يتعلق بالحوادث التي وقعت في الجمهورية العربية السورية في الفترة من نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤ إلى أيلول/سبتمبر ٢٠١٥.

7٧ - وأدى عدم إمكانية الوصول إلى المواقع الخاضعة للتحقيق بسبب الحالة الأمنية المتردية على الأرض إلى التأثير على الطريقة التي تمكنت بها الآلية من إجراء تحقيقاتها. وكان من شأن القيام بزيارات إلى بعض المواقع أن يؤدي إلى تيسير قدرة الآلية على التأكد من مواقع محددة

تثير الاهتمام؛ وجمع عينات بيئية قابلة للمقارنة؛ وتحديد شهود حدد؛ والتقييم المادي للمواد المثيرة لاهتمامها (كالمخلفات على سبيل المثال).

7۸ - وعلى الرغم من السلطة التي منحت للآلية بموجب قرار بحلس الأمن الأمن ٢٢٥٥ (٢٠١٥)، ولا سيما بموجب الفقرة ٧ منه، فإنه ليس بوسعها إجبار أحد على تقديم معلومات أو وثائق إليها. وبالتالي، فإنها تعتمد على التقديم الطوعي للمعلومات من مختلف المصادر التي توجد في حيازها معلومات ذات صلة. وبالمثل، فإنها لم تجر أي مقابلة إلا مع الأفراد الذين وافقوا على ذلك طوعا ودون أي أجر. وبالنظر إلى الطابع الطوعي لعملية جمع المعلومات، يتعين على الطرفين أن يتفقا على الشروط المحددة للتعاون، التي تتناول السرية، والأمن القومي، وسلامة الأفراد.

79 – وبالإضافة إلى ذلك، تأثرت التحقيقات بالعوامل التالية: (أ) الاضطلاع بالتحقيق، في بعض الحالات، بعد مضي أكثر من عامين على الحادث؛ (ب) عدم وجود نظام تسلسلي لحيازة بعض المواد التي تم استلامها؛ (ج) كون مصدر المعلومات والمواد ذا طابع ثانوي أو عالي؛ (د) بعض المواد الإعلامية بما فيها التي تصور حجم الحادث وطابعه بصورة مضللة؛ (هـ) صعوبة إيجاد مصادر مستقلة للمعلومات يمكن أن توفر فرص الوصول إلى الأفراد والمعلومات.

٣٠ - وتستند النتائج إلى المعلومات التي قامت الآلية بجمعها وتوكيدها على مدى خمسة أشهر وتمثل كمية ونوعية المعلومات التي قامت بجمعها في البيئة السياسية عالية الحساسة التي تحيط بالتراع المستمر في الجمهورية العربية السورية. أما الشروط المشار إليها أعلاه فقد حعلت التحقيق يستغرق وقتا طويلا بشكل استثنائي وتتطلب قدرا كبيرا من بناء الثقة وإيجاد سبل للتواصل مع مختلف مصادر المعلومات.

٣١ - ويحدد هذا التقرير نتائج وتقييمات فريق القيادة واستنتاجاته حتى الآن.

ألف - العناصر المشتركة بين الحالات التي تم التحقيق فيها

٣٢ - حققت الآلية في تسع حالات، ثمان منها تتعلق باستخدام الكلور أو أحد مشتقاته كسلاح، وواحدة تتعلق باستخدام الخردل الكبريتي. وخلال التحقيق الذي أحراه فريق القيادة، وبعد فراغه من استعراض جميع المواد التي جمعتها الآلية، حدد الفريق العناصر المشتركة التالية فيما بين الحالات الثماني المتصلة باستخدام الكلور. وهذه العناصر ينبغي قراءتما مقترنة بالنتائج المحددة.

16-14788

۱ – الكلور

٣٣ - إن الكلور متاح لجميع الأطراف في الجمهورية العربية السورية. وهو مستخدم على نطاق واسع كمطهر وكأحد مركبات تنقية المياه. ويُستخدم أيضا في صناعات مختلفة مثل صناعة اللدائن واللباب والورق ومبيدات الآفات والمستحضرات الصيدلانية. والكلور من المواد الخطرة، ويمكن أن يؤدي التعرض لجرعات عالية منه إلى الموت. وأضعف الفئات في حالة التعرض للكلور هي فئات الرضع والمرضى والمسنين. ولا يخلف الكلور أي أثر يُذكر في جسم الإنسان. ونظرا لخواصه المسببة للتآكل وسُميّته، فلا بد من توفر خبرة فنية ومعدات متخصصة لمناولته بطريقة مأمونة. ولكي يُنقل الكلور، على سبيل المثال، من حاوية وزها طن واحد إلى حاويات أصغر حجما، فلا بد من وجود محطة تعبئة متخصصة.

٣٤ - وتتوقف فعالية الكلور كسلاح على نوع الذحيرة وأساليب نثر المادة الكيميائية وخصائص التضاريس والظروف المناخية السائدة.

97 - وفيما يتعلق بالحالات الخمس المتصلة باستخدام الكلور والعائدة إلى عام ٢٠١٤، فقد كان قطر الاسطوانات الداخلية للذخيرة، التي يُزعم ألها استعملت، يتراوح من ٣٠ إلى و٤٠ سم، وطولها من ١٥٥ إلى ١٧٥ سم. وهذا يشير إلى أن الحد الأدنى التقديري لحجم اسطوانة واحدة هو ١٢٥ لترا. وكانت الأسطوانات ملحومة، ولها صمّام مركزي وسدادة أمان إضافية في أعلاها بعيدا عن مركزها. وهي مخالفة للمعايير الدولية المعمول بها في المنظمة الدولية لتوحيد المقاييس والتي تقتضي تخزين الكلور في اسطوانات غير ملحومة ذات صمام واحد فقط. غير أن هذه الأسطوانات الملحومة (التي تحوي صماما وسدادة أمان) يمكن أن تستخدم لتخزين الكلور في شكله السائل وفقا للمعايير الوطنية المعمول بها في بعض البلدان. ويلاحظ فريق القيادة أن هذه الأسطوانات متاحة بيسر ومتداولة عموما في جميع أرجاء العالم.

 $^{\text{T7}}$ – وفي حالة واحدة على الأقل، كانت إحدى الاسطوانات تحمل بوضوح معلومات عن الجهة المصنعة، إلى جانب الرمز $^{\text{CL}}_2$ " الذي يدل على وجود الكلور، وهو ما يتوافق مع المعايير الصناعية المعمول بها. وفي معظم الحالات الأحرى، لم يكن ممكنا رؤية هذه التفاصيل للاسطوانة الداخلية.

٣٧ - وهذه الأسطوانات يمكن ملؤها وإعادة ملئها بالسوائل أو بالغاز المضغوط، ولكن ذلك يلزمه وجود معدات ملائمة.

٣٨ - وفيما يتعلق بالحالات الثلاث المتصلة باستخدام الكلور والتي يعود تاريخها إلى عام ١٠٥ ، تشير المعلومات المتاحة لدى الآلية، إلى أن الغلاف الخارجي للذخائر المزعوم استخدامُها كان يحتوي على عدد من أسطوانات الغاز المصنوعة من مركبات الكلوروفلوروكربون المهلجن، والمعدة للاستعمال لمرة واحدة، فضلا عن زجاجات لدائنية يُعتقد ألها كانت تحتوي على برمنغنات البوتاسيوم. وكانت الزجاجات اللدائنية، إضافة إلى سلك التفجير، ملصقة بشريط على العبوات.

97 - وتتوافر أسطوانات الغاز المصنوعة من مركبات الكلوروفلوروكربون المهلجن، والتي يشار إليها عادة بعبوات غاز التبريد، على نطاق واسع لأنها تستخدم في إعادة تعبئة الثلاجات ومكيفات الهواء. إلا أنها عبوات معدة للاستعمال لمرة واحدة، وتتطلب إعادة استخدامها لأغراض أحرى أو إعادة تعبئتها تعديلا تقنيا للصمام. ولا بد من توفر الخبرة التقنية والمعدات اللازمة لتعديل الصمام من أجل إعادة تعبئة العبوات بالسوائل أو بالغاز الضغوط.

0.3 - 0 وكانت الشركة السورية السعودية للكيماويات تمتلك مرفقاً لإنتاج الكلور، يُنتج الصودا الكاوية والكلور السائل، يقع على مسافة 0.7 كيلومترا إلى الشرق من مدينة حلب. وذكرت الحكومة أن جبهة النصرة 0.7 كانت قد استولت على المرفق في آب/ أغسطس 0.7 وأن جبهة النصرة وبعض جماعات المعارضة المسلحة لديها القدرة على نقل الكلور إلى جميع أنحاء البلد. وقدمت الحكومة معلومات تفيد بأن المصنع كان يحتوي، عند الاستيلاء عليه، على نحو 0.7 طن من الكلور. وأكدت الآلية أن حاويات الكلور في هذا المرفق كانت قد نُقلت بعد آب/أغسطس 0.7 ولا تتوافر أي معلومات عن المكان الذي نُقلت إليه الحاويات ولا عن الكيفية التي 0.7

21 - وذكرت الحكومة أيضا أنه يوجد مصنع للبّاب والورق في دير الزور، يحتوي وحدة لإنتاج الكلور. ووفقا لما ذكرته الحكومة، فإن ٥٩ طنا من حمض الهيدروكلوريك و ٣ أطنان من هيبوكلوريت الصوديوم كانت مخزنة في هذا المرفق عندما استولت عليه جماعات معارضة مسلحة في الربع الأول من عام ٢٠١٢. وتتوفر معلومات من مصادر مفتوحة تدّعي بأن مقومات التخزين والأمان بالوحدة قد تمت صيانتها بعد الاستيلاء على المصنع. وهذا يشير إلى أن بعض المواد الكيميائية بقيت مخزنة في المصنع.

16-14788 12/102

⁽٢) بتاريخ ٣٠ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٣، تمّ تصنيف حبهة النصرة كجماعة إرهابية بموجب قرار مجلس الأمن (٢) بتاريخ ٣٠ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٧.

٢ - الطائرات

25 - في معظم الحالات المتصلة باستخدام الكلور، كانت الآلية قد حصلت على معلومات، ولا سيما أقوال أدلى بها شهود، تشير إلى وجود طائرات (مروحيات وطائرات ثابتة الجناحين) تقريبا في نفس زمان ومكان الوقائع قيد التحقيق. وحسب وقت الحادث (نهارا/ليلا)، ذكر الشهود ألهم كانوا قد شاهدوا أو سمعوا صوت الطائرة. وطلبت الآلية مرارا موافاتها بسجلات تحليق الطيران وتقارير الحالة، وغيرها من وثائق القوات الجوية العربية السورية من الحكومة. ولم تُقدم الحكومة هذه الوثائق حتى تاريخه.

27 - وأكدت الحكومة للآلية ألها كانت تسيطر على المجال الجوي السوري حلال الوقائع التي تستعرضها الآلية، إلا ألها ذكرت أيضا أنه، في تلك الحالات التي ربما حدث التحليق فيها تحت مجال تغطية الرادار، فليس بمقدورها تأكيد أو نفي وجود طائرات أخرى تقوم بعمليات في الأجواء السورية. وأكدت الحكومة تحديدا ألها كانت تسيطر على مطار حلب الدولي الذي يضم قاعدة النيرب الجوية (محافظة حلب)، وعلى قاعدة حماة الجوية (محافظة حماة)، ومطار باسل الأسد الدولي، الذي يضم قاعدة حميميم الجوية (محافظة اللاذقية)، وقاعدة أبو الضهور الجوية (محافظة إدلب)، في وقت الوقائع التي حققت فيها الآلية. غير أن الحكومة، خلال الفترة قيد التحقيق، كانت قد فقدت السيطرة على ست قواعد جوية، من الجرية الخوية (محافظة حلب)، وقاعدة منغ الجوية وقاعدة كويرس الجوية وقاعدة الجراح الجوية (محافظة حلب)، وتحديدا فيما يتعلق بقاعدة تفتناز الجوية، فقد أبلغت الحكومة الخليران.

23 - وتحدر الإشارة إلى أن هذه الطائرات تتطلب درجة عالية من الصيانة وتوفر خبرة تقنية معينة وقطع غيار ومعدات من أجل تشغيلها. وإضافة إلى ذلك، فإن قدرات الدفاع الجوي الحديثة للقوات الجوية التابعة للجمهورية العربية السورية تجعل من غير المرجح جدا أن تتمكن أي طائرة من الإقلاع والتحليق في غرب الجمهورية العربية السورية دون أن يتم اكتشافها و/أو تدميرها. وطُلب من الحكومة تقديم أية معلومات تتعلق باستخدام هذه المروحيات من جانب جماعات المعارضة المسلحة، ولكنها لم تُقدَّم أي معلومات حتى تاريخه. وقامت الحكومة بإبلاغ الآلية بأن بعض جماعات المعارضة المسلحة لديها طائرات تُسيَّر من دون طيار وأنه سبق لها أن استخدمتها. غير أنه نظرا لحجم الأجهزة التي يُعتقد أنها استُعملت في الحالات المتصلة باستخدام الكلور، فإن الطائرات الصغيرة المسيّرة من دون طيار التي تستخدمها جماعات المعارضة المسلحة لا يمكن أن تكون هي التي ألقت الأجهزة.

٥٤ - وبعد أن استعرضت الآلية جميع المعلومات التي تم جمعها، لم تحد أي دليل على أن الجماعات المعارضة المسلحة في سرمين كانت تستخدم طائرات مروحية في زمان وموقع الحالات قيد التحقيق.

٣ - البراميل المتفجرة

23 - في جميع الحالات المتصلة باستخدام بالكلور، يوجد ادعاء باستخدام براميل متفجرة. وهذه الأجهزة المبتسرة يُرعم ألها قد صُممت باسطوانات أو عبوات داخلية محشوة إما يمتفجرات أو يمواد كيميائية سامة ضمن غلاف خارجي. ونظرا لأن البراميل المتفجرة يدوية الصنع، فإنه يُعتقد أن حجمها ووزنها يختلفان فيما بينها، ولكن الخبراء، استنادا إلى صور بقاياها، قدّروا أن أوزائها تتراوح ما بين ٣٥٠ و ٤٠٠ كغ. وهذه البراميل المتفجرة المحشوة بالمتفجرات، بما لها من قدرة تدميرية، تخلّف حفرا كبيرة ولا يبقى منها أي شظايا كبيرة من الذخيرة. ومن ناحية أخرى، فالبراميل المتفجرة المحشوة بمواد كيميائية سامة يُتوقع أن تُحدث حفرا أصغر لأنه يرجح عدم احتوائها إلا على شحنة متفجرة كافية لتحطيم الغلاف الخارجي للقذيفة بغية إطلاق المادة الكيميائية، مخلفة بقايا كبيرة. ولم تتمكن الآلية من إيجاد أي معلومات تؤيد النظرية القائلة بأن أساليب الإيصال البرية، من قبيل "مدافع حهنم" و "صواريخ الفيل"، قد استُخدمت لإطلاق هذه الأجهزة فيما يتعلق بالحالات قيد التحقيق. فلم تكن هناك أي تسجيلات أو عينات أو أجزاء من الذخائر في أي من الحالات مدن أن تؤيد الادعاء باستخدام "مدافع حهنم". ويُعتقد بأن البراميل المتفجرة المصممة على هذا النحو، بسبب وزنها، لا يمكن إلقاؤها إلا من طائرات مروحية.

٧٤ - وبعد انتهاء فريق القيادة من استعراض المعلومات والأدلة المتاحة له، فإنه يرى أن القوات الجوية العربية السورية استخدمت أسلحة مبتسرة ألقيت من طائرات مروحية، يما في ذلك أسلحة مصممة على شكل براميل. وتنفي الحكومة حيازها لبراميل متفجرة. ويلاحظ الفريق أنه سيكون من المفيد إجراء دراسات إضافية للموازنة والمقارنة بين مختلف الذخائر المستخدمة في الحالات التسع باستخدام مخلفات من الحالات التي لم تنظر فيها الآلية. وفيما يتعلق بالحالات الثماني المتصلة باستخدام الكلور، فإن احتمال أن تكون الذخائر قد أصابت مواد كيميائية سامة على الأرض هو احتمال وارد في بعض الحالات، ولا سيما أن مخلفات الأجهزة المزعومة في مواقع الضربات التي تمت معاينتها كانت قد نُقلت من تلك المواقع قبل توثيقها (انظر الفقرات ٤٩ - ١٥ أدناه).

16-14788

٤ - نُظم الإنذار المبكر المحلية

43 - أحاط فريق القيادة علما بأن أفراد المجتمع المحلي، في معظم الحالات، كانوا قد أنشأوا نظاما للإنذار المبكر من أجل إطلاق إنذارات باقتراب طائرات مروحية، تشير في بعض الحالات، تحديدا، إلى هجمات مزعومة بمواد كيميائية سامة. وكان ذلك يتم جزئيا عن طريق اعتراض الاتصالات اللاسلكية. وكانت قد صدرت تعليمات للسكان المحليين بالتماس المأوى في الطوابق السفلية في حالة الغارات الجوية وبالالتجاء إلى أماكن معاكسة لاتجاه الريح عند إصدار إنذارات بمجوم كيميائي. وذُكر أن الإنذارات بمجوم كيميائي في بعض الحالات قد أثارت الذعر بين السكان. وفي ثلاث حالات على الأقل، أشار الشهود إلى إطلاق إنذارات كاذبة بمجوم كيميائي، وفي حالتين ادّعي هؤلاء الشهود أن المنازل قد تعرضت للنهب بعد الإخلاء. وفي بعض الحالات، كان الوصف الذي أعطاه القائمون على نظام الإنذار المبكر المحلي لهجوم ادُّعي بأنه شُنَّ بطائرة مروحية هو السجل الوحيد لطريقة إيصال الذحائر بواسطة طائرة مروحية.

الوثائق المقدمة من أطراف أخرى

29 - وجد فريق القيادة أن الكثير من المعلومات المتعلقة بالحُفر والذخائر كان مصدره إما المستجيبون الأوائل وأفراد الخدمات الطبية أو أفرقة الرصد المدعومة دوليا. وكان من الصعب العثور على شهود حدد لديهم معلومات مهمة ومتعلقة بكل حالة على حدة، ولا تستند إلى هذه المصادر.

• ٥ - وفي معظم الحالات، فإن توثيق مواقع الارتطام، بما في ذلك أحذ العينات، لم يتم مباشرة بعد الواقعة ولكن بعد بضعة أيام منها. وعلاوة على ذلك، فإن مخلفات الذخائر المستعملة كان قد تم تفكيكها ونقلها من موقع الارتطام قبل توثيقها. ومن ثم فقد تَعيّن على الآلية إعادة تقييم الصلة بين موقع الارتطام والمخلفات، وهو أمر لم يكن ممكنا في بعض الحالات. ووجدت الآلية أن بعض مواقع الارتطام قد تم تبديلها وأنه لم يكن مِن تطابق بين جميع الحفر وبين مخلفات الذحيرة. وفي بعض الحالات، بدا أن مخلفات محلوبة من أماكن أحرى قد وضعت في مواقع الارتطام المزعومة.

٥١ - وحُمّلت مختلف مقاطع الفيديو التي تظهر التفجيرات ومواقع الارتطام والمخلفات على مواقع التواصل الاجتماعي، ونُشرت أو قدمت إلى الآلية بزعم أن لها علاقة بالوقائع قيد التحقيق. بيد أنه، بعد تحليل شامل للمواد، يما في ذلك التحاليل التي أجريت في معاهد الطب

الشرعي، تبيّن أن بعض اللقطات يُظهر مواقع أو انفجارات مختلفة عن الذحائر المعهودة و/أو أوقات مختلفة. وهذا ما دفع بالآلية إلى التحقيق في مواقع إضافية للارتطام والذحائر.

باء - النتائج المحددة

٥٢ – اقتضى الأمر، في كل حالة من الحالات التسع التي تم التحقيق فيها، النظر في روايات متعددة. وعلاوة على ذلك، فقد كان هناك ادعاءات متعددة بشأن مواقع الارتطام للحالات المتصلة باستخدام الكلور، تعين التحقيق فيها جميعا. ولكن الآلية خلصت إلى أنه في العديد من هذه الحالات، لم يتوفر ما يكفي من المعلومات إلا لموقع واحد للارتطام، أما بخصوص مواقع الارتطام المزعومة الأحرى فكانت شحيحة للغاية، أي لم تتوفر معلومات ذات صلة عن البقايا ولا عن الحفرة أو الارتطام أو الآثار.

٥٣ - وفيما يتعلق بحالات تلمنس (٢٦ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤)، وسرمين (١٦ آذار/ مارس ٢٠١٥)، ومارع (٢٦ آب/أغسطس ٢٠١٥)، فقد توفرت لفريق القيادة معلومات كافية للتوصل إلى استنتاج بشأن الجهات المتورطة.

تلمنس، محافظة إدلب، ٢١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤

30 - درس فريق القيادة المعلومات المتوفرة فيما يتعلق بموقعي الارتطام في تلمنس في ٢١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤. وتجمّع لدى الفريق ما يكفي من المعلومات لاستنتاج أن الواقعة في موقع الارتطام رقم ٢ نجم عن إلقاء طائرة مروحية تابعة للقوات الجوية العربية السورية جهازا ألحق أضرارا بهيكل مبنى إسمنتي تلاه انطلاق مادة سامة أثرت على السكان.

٥٥ - وقد استند هذا الاستنتاج إلى ما يلي:

- كان لأحرار الشام وجبهة النصرة تواجد كثيف حول تلمنس. وذُكر أن كلتا الجماعتين كانتا تسيطر على المدينة. وكانت تلمنس تتعرض بانتظام لقصف مدفعي وغارات جوية قرابة تاريخ ٢١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤ وفي هذا التاريخ. وفي ذلك اليوم كانت المعارك مستمرة بين القوات الحكومية وجماعات المعارضة المسلحة، فضلا عن جبهة النصرة حول القاعدتين العسكريتين في وادي الضيف والحامدية، وكلتاهما على مقربة من تلمنس.
- ذكر شهود أن انطلاق المواد الكيميائية السامّة جاء عقب انفجار برميل متفجر أُلقي من طائرة.

16-14788 **16/102**

- لا تنفي الحكومة ولا جماعات المعارضة المسلحة أن الكلور قد استُخدم في تلمنس في ٢٠١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤.
- ذكرت الحكومة أن (موقع الارتطام رقم ٢) نتج عن القذيفة التي أطلقتها من الأرض جماعة معارضة مسلحة. ولم يتبيّن أن الأضرار الهيكلية تتسق مع هذا الطرح.
- لم تجد الآلية إلا موقعا معقولا واحدا من موقعي الارتطام المزعومين وهو (الموقع رقم ٢).
- عند حدوث الواقعة، كانت الحكومة قد فقدت السيطرة على ست قواعد جوية، من بينها قاعدة تفتناز الجوية (محافظة إدلب). وأبلغت الحكومة الآلية أن ١٥ طائرة مروحية قد تُركت في قاعدة تفتناز الجوية، تُعَدُّ ٩ منها صالحة للطيران.
- استعرض فريق القيادة جميع المعلومات التي جمعت فلم يجد أي دليل على أن الجماعات المعارضة المسلحة في تلمنس كانت تقوم باستخدام طائرة مروحية في زمان ومكان الواقعة.
- على الرغم من أنه لا يمكن تحديد العدد الدقيق للمصابين تحديدا قاطعا، فمن الواضح أن أعدادا كبيرة من الأشخاص تأثرت من جراء مواد كيميائية سامة.

سرمین، محافظة إدلب، ۱٦ آذار/مارس ۲۰۱٥

٥٦ - درس فريق القيادة المعلومات المتوفرة فيما يتعلق بموقعي الارتطام في سرمين في ١٦ آذار/مارس ٢٠١٥. وتجمعت لدى الفريق معلومات كافية للاستنتاج أن موقع الضربة رقم ٢ ناجم عن إلقاء مروحية تابعة للقوات الجوية العربية السورية جهازا أصاب المنزل، وتلاه انطلاق مادة سامة تتطابق خواصها مع خواص الكلور، قضت على سكان المنزل الستة جميعا. وتتطابق بقايا الجهاز مع تركيب برميل متفجر.

٥٧ - وهذا الاستنتاج يقوم على ما يلي:

- أكَّد شهود أن مروحية واحدة على الأقل حلَّقت فوق سرمين في وقت الواقعة.
- تؤيد تحاليل الخبراء وتحاليل الطب الشرعي إفادات الشهود بأن جهازا أو "برميلا متفجرا" أُلقي من طائرة مروحية فأصاب فتحة التهوية لأحد المنازل (موقع الارتطام رقم ٢)، وكان يقطنه وقتذاك أسرة مكونة من ستة أفراد. وتتسق الأضرار مع الأثر الحركي لجهاز أو برميل متفجر ملقى من علو مرتفع، لا من انفجار أو تفجير مواد شديدة الانفجار.

- تُظهر مقاطع فيديو عديدة مأخوذة للموقع رقم٢ عبوات غاز مصنوعة من مركبات الكلوروفلوروكربون المهلجن داخل المنزل، مع مادة أرجوانية اللون على أرضيّته.
- أشارت الحكومة إلى أنه لم تكن تحلّق أي طائرات تابعة للقوات الجوية العربية السورية بتاريخ ١٦ آذار/مارس ٢٠١٥، ولكنها لم تقدم أي معلومات داعمة. غير أن الآلية حصلت على معلومات من مصادر أخرى تؤكد أقوال الشهود بتحليق مروحيات تابعة للقوات الجوية العربية السورية في تاريخ ووقت الواقعة.
- عند حدوث الواقعة، كانت الحكومة قد فقدت السيطرة على ست قواعد جوية، من بينها قاعدة تفتناز الجوية (محافظة إدلب). وأبلغت الحكومة الآلية أن ١٥ طائرة مروحية قد تُركت في قاعدة تفتناز الجوية، تُعَدُّ ٩ منها صالحة للطيران.
- استعرض فريق القيادة جميع المعلومات التي جمعت فلم يجد أي دليل على أن الجماعات المعارضة المسلحة في سرمين قد استخدمت طائرة مروحية في زمان ومكان الواقعة.

مارع، محافظة حلب، ٢١ آب/أغسطس ٢٠١٥

0.0 قام فريق القيادة بفحص المعلومات المتوفرة بشأن الواقعة التي حدثت في مارع في 0.0 آب/أغسطس 0.00، وقرر أن هناك معلومات كافية للاستنتاج بأن تنظيم الدولة الإسلامية في العراق والشام (داعش) 0.0 هو الكيان الوحيد الذي لديه القدرات والإمكانيات والدافع والوسائل لاستخدام الخردل الكبريتي في مارع في 0.0 آب/أغسطس 0.00.

٥٩ - وهذا الاستنتاج استند إلى ما يلي:

- لقد كانت مارع معقلا تقليديا لجماعات المعارضة المسلحة، التي كانت تقاتل القوات الحكومية. وفي ٢١ آب/أغسطس ٢٠١٥، زحف تنظيم الدولة الإسلامية غربا نحو مارع.
- قدم العديد من الشهود، فضلا عن عدد من المصادر الأخرى، معلومات تفيد بأن مارع كانت قد تعرضت لقصف بزهاء ٥٠ قذيفة مدفعية، كان العديد منها محشوا بالخردل الكبريتي، من جهة الشرق أو من الجنوب الشرقي، وهي منطقة خاضعة لسيطرة تنظيم الدولة الإسلامية.

16-14788 **18/102**

⁽٣) بتاريخ ٣٠ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٣، تمّ تصنيف تنظيم الدولة الإسلامية في العراق والشام كجماعة إرهابية بموجب قرار مجلس الأمن ١٢٦٧ (١٩٩٩).

- في ذلك اليوم والأيام التي تلته راجع عدد من الأشخاص المستشفى بأعراض مرتبطة بالتعرض للخردل الكبريتي.
- تلقّت الآلية عددا كبيرا من الصور ومقاطع الفيديو المأخوذة للذخيرة المستخدمة في مارع وقامت بتحليلها. وذكرت أربعة مصادر أن الذخيرة المستخدمة هي قذائف مدفعية من عيار ١٣٠ ملم. وتتسق الصور ومقاطع الفيديو المأخوذة للذخيرة فيما يتعلق بانطلاق سائل لزج قاتم اللون من قذيفة المدفعية.

7٠ - وفيما يتعلق بحالات كفر زيتا (١٨ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٣)، وقمّين (١٦ آذار/ مارس ٢٠١٥)، أو شك فريق القيادة على الحصول على مارس ٢٠١٥)، أو شك فريق القيادة على الحصول على معلومات كافية للتوصل إلى استنتاج بشأن الجهات المتورطة، وهو يوصي بإجراء مزيد من التحقيق في الواقعات الثلاث.

كفر زيتا، محافظة حماة، ١٨ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤

71 - درس فريق القيادة المعلومات والأدلة المتوفرة فيما يتعلق بالحادث الذي وقع في كفر زيتا في ١٨ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤، وخلص إلى أن القوات المسلحة العربية السورية نفذت ضربات حوية في تلك المنطقة في ذلك اليوم. بيد أن فريق القيادة لم يتمكن من تأكيد استخدام البراميل المتفجرة، لأن بقايا الجهاز المتفجر الذي يُدّعى أنه استخدم قد أزيلت ولا يمكن، في المرحلة الحالية، ربطها على وجه اليقين بموقع الارتطام رقم ٢.

٦٢ - ورأى فريق القيادة أن هذه الحالة تستدعى مزيدا من التحقيق.

٦٣ - ويستند هذا التقييم إلى ما يلي:

- في ١٨ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤، كانت جماعات المعارضة المسلحة وجبهة النصرة موجودة في كفر زيتا. وتعرضت هذه المنطقة لقصف مدفعي منتظم وغارات جوية من قبل القوات المسلحة العربية السورية، ونُفذ بعضها في ١٨ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤.
- أكدت الحكومة أن القوات المسلحة العربية السورية نفذت في تاريخ ووقت وقوع هذا الحادث ضربة حوية على مركز مراقبة واستهدفت مرلا كان يستخدم كمستودع للأجهزة المتفجرة. وعندما ضُرب المترل انبعث غاز أخضر سام.
- لا تنكر الحكومة ولا جماعات المعارضة المسلحة أن الكلور قد استخدم في كفر زيتا في ١٨ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤.

- أكدت الآلية موقع ارتطام واحد فقط (الموقع رقم ٢). غير أن الآلية لم تتمكن من تحديد ما إذا كانت حفرة الارتطام ناتجة عن برميل متفجر أم ذخائر أحرى، مثل قذيفة هاون.
- لم يتم العثور على بقايا الذخائر التي ادُّعي استخدامها في مواقع الارتطام المزعومة أو بالقرب منها لأنها أزيلت ونقلت إلى مكان آخر. وعلى الرغم من قيام مصدر مفتوح بنشر عدة صور لتلك البقايا فيما يتعلق بالحادث الذي وقع في ١٨ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤، فإن المعلومات الإضافية عن البقايا لم تكن كافية لإثبات موقع الارتطام.

قمّين، محافظة إدلب، ١٦ آذار/مارس ٢٠١٥

75 - درس فريق القيادة المعلومات المتوفرة فيما يتعلق بالحادث الذي وقع في قمّين في المرارس ٢٠١٥، وخلص إلى أن طائرة عمودية تابعة للقوات المسلحة العربية السورية ألقت جهازا أو برميلا متفجرا في قمّين.

70 - ومع أن فريق القيادة كان على وشك جمع معلومات كافية للتوصل إلى استنتاج بشأن الجهات المتورطة، فإنه لا يمكنه في المرحلة الحالية التوصل إلى استنتاج على وجه اليقين بشأن ما إذا كان الجهاز أو البرميل المتفجر يحتوي متفجرات أو كلور.

٦٦ - ورأى فريق القيادة أن هذه الحالة كانت تستدعي القيام بمزيد من التحقيق.

٦٧ - ويستند هذا التقييم إلى ما يلي:

- استنادا إلى أقوال الشهود، ألقت طائرة عمودية جهازين متفجرين على أطراف منطقة عسكرية في قمّين. لكن لم يتسن التأكد إلا من موقع ارتطام واحد فقط، على النحو الذي ذكره ثلاثة شهود مختلفين، وذلك عن طريق التحليل الجنائي للصور والصور الساتلية.
- تشبه بقايا جهاز عثر عليه بالقرب من حفرة الارتطام بقايا البراميل المتفجرة التي عُثر عليها بالقرب من مواقع ارتطام أحرى، وأبرزها في سرمين. ومع ذلك، لم يكن ممكناً من خلال تحليل البقايا والحفرة تحديد ما إذا كان الجهاز يحتوي متفجرات أو مواد كيميائية سامة.

16-14788 **20/102**

- قُدمت إلى الآلية أوصاف بديلة للحادث، مثل التسرب العرضي للغاز من برميل وقع من مركبة تابعة لإحدى جماعات المعارضة المسلحة، أو استخدام مقاتلي المعارضة "أسطوانة غاز متفجرة" مليئة بالمواد الكيميائية ضد جماعات معارضة مسلحة أحرى. ولم تتمكن الآلية من الحصول على أي معلومات موثوقة لدعم تلك البدائل.
- حصلت الآلية على معلومات تفيد بأن طائرة عمودية مرت فوق قمّين في تاريخ ووقت وقوع الحادث.
- أشارت الحكومة إلى أنه لم تكن هناك أي طلعات جوية للقوات المسلحة العربية السورية في ١٦ آذار/مارس ٢٠١٥ في تلك المنطقة، ولكنها لم تقدم أي معلومات داعمة. غير أن الآلية حصلت على معلومات من مصادر أخرى تؤكد تحليق الطائرات العمودية في تاريخ ووقت الحادث.
- في وقت وقوع الحادث، كانت الحكومة قد فقدت السيطرة على ست قواعد جوية، عما فيها قاعدة تفتناز الجوية (محافظة إدلب). وأبلغت الحكومة الآلية أن ١٥ طائرة عمو دية قد تُركت في قاعدة تفتناز الجوية، منها ٩ طائرات تعتبر قادرة على الطيران.
- استعرض فريق القيادة جميع المعلومات التي جمعت فلم يجد أي دليل على أن جماعات المعارضة المسلحة في قمين كانت تستخدم طائرة عمودية في وقت ومكان الحادث.

بنّش، محافظة إدلب، ٢٤ آذار/مارس ٢٠١٥

7۸ - درس فريق القيادة المعلومات المتاحة بشأن الحادث الذي وقع في بنش في ٢٤ آذار/مارس ٢٠١٥، وتمكن من تأكيد وجود عبوة عليها آثار الكلور أو مادة شبيهة بالكلور. وتلقى معلومات إضافية فيما يتعلق ببقايا غلاف حارجي لجهاز يتسق مع شكل برميل متفجر.

79 - وأوشك فريق القيادة أن يحصل على معلومات كافية للتوصل إلى استنتاج بشأن الجهات المتورطة على أساس تسلسل العهدة بالنسبة للبقايا التي عثر عليها والنتائج العامة التي توصلت إليها بعثة تقصي الحقائق. غير أن هناك أوجه عدم اتساق في هذه الحالة، تخضع حاليا لمزيد من التحقيق، يما في ذلك الصلة بين البقايا وموقع أو مواقع الارتطام، والإفادات عن الانفجار، والأفراد المتضررين.

٧٠ - ويستند هذا التقييم إلى ما يلي:

- وفقا لثلاثة شهود، ألقت طائرة عمودية تابعة للقوات المسلحة العربية السورية جهازا أو "برميلا متفجرا" يحتوي مواد كيميائية على بنش ليلا. إلا أن هناك تناقضات فيما يتعلق بتاريخ ووقت وقوع الحادث، ومكان أو أماكن الارتطام، ووصف تعرض السكان المحلين للمواد الكيميائية السمية.
- على الرغم من التناقضات وندرة المعلومات المحيطة بهذه الحالة، تمكنت الآلية من تأكيد بعض العناصر الرئيسية، مثل البقايا التي عثر عليها المسعفون المحليون في حقل زراعي في بنش، والتي تم تسجيلها وتوثيقها لاحقا. وتتسق البقايا التي عُثر عليها في الموقع رقم ١ وهي الغلاف الخارجي وعبوة وزحاجة بلاستيكية مع شكل برميل متفجر. وجرى تحليل العبوة ومحتويات الزحاجة البلاستيكية في أحد المختبرات، ووجدت آثار الكلور أو مادة شبيهة بالكلور في العبوة. كما خلص المختبر إلى أن محتوى الزحاجة البلاستيكية هو برمنغنات البوتاسيوم. وجرى إثبات تسلسل عهدة البقايا.
- لم تتمكن الآلية من الحصول على أي معلومات بشأن انفجار الجهاز. غير أنها تلقت معلومات عن موقع الارتطام، الذي يجري تحليله جنائيا.

٧١ - وفيما يتعلق بحالات كفر زيتا (١١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤) والتمانعة (٢٩ و ٣٠ نيسان/ أبريل ٢٠١٤) والتمانعة (٢٩ و ٣٠ نيسان/ أبريل ٢٠١٤ و ٢٥ و ٢٦ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٤)، وحد فريق القيادة أن هناك تناقضا أو نقصا في المعلومات اللازمة للتوصل إلى استنتاج بشأن الجهات المتورطة، ولا يوصي بإجراء مزيد من التحقيق في هذه الحالات الثلاث.

كفر زيتا، محافظة حماة، ١١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤

٧٢ - درس فريق القيادة المعلومات والأدلة المتوفرة فيما يتعلق بالحادث الذي وقع في كفر زيتا في ١١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤، وخلص إلى أن القوات المسلحة العربية السورية نفذت ضربات جوية في تلك المنطقة في ذلك اليوم. ونجم عن الغارة الجوية انفحار واحد على الأقل.

٧٣ - ولم يتمكن فريق القيادة من تأكيد استخدام البراميل المتفجرة، لأن بقايا الجهاز الذي يُدّعى بأنه استخدم قد أزيلت من الموقع ولا يمكن ربطها بأي من مواقع الارتطام.

16-14788 22/102

٧٤ - وفي حين أن عددا كبيرا من الناس - يصل إلى ١٥٠ شخصا - قد يكونوا تعرضوا للكلور في ١١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤، فقد خلص فريق القيادة إلى أنه لا توجد معلومات كافية في المرحلة الراهنة للتوصل إلى استنتاج بشأن الجهات المتورطة.

٧٥ - ويستند هذا التقييم إلى ما يلي:

- في ١١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤، كانت جبهة النصرة وعدد من جماعات المعارضة المسلحة موجودة في كفر زيتا. وتعرضت هذه المنطقة لقصف مدفعي منتظم وغارات جوية من قبل القوات المسلحة العربية السورية. وكانت هذه الهجمات مستمرة في ١١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤.
- أكدت الحكومة ألها قد استهدفت، في تاريخ ووقت الحادث، مترل أحد قادة جبهة النصرة، الذي تدعي الحكومة بأنه كان يستخدم لصنع الأجهزة المتفجرة المرتجلة وتخزين الكلور.
- اتفق كل من الحكومة وجماعات المعارضة المسلحة على أن مادة الكلور قد استخدمت في كفر زيتا في ١١ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤.
 - لم يتسن للآلية التأكد من أي من مواقع الارتطام الخمسة المزعومة.
- يظهر تسجيلان بالفيديو من مصادر مفتوحة انفجارا في كفر زيتا تسبب فيه جهاز ألقي من طائرة. ويظهر تسجيل آخر بالفيديو انفجارا منفصلا. غير أن الآلية لم تتمكن من تحديد ما إذا كان الانفجار الثاني ناجما عن جهاز ألقي من طائرة أم عن ذخائر أرضية. وعلاوة على ذلك، لم يُعثر على ما يربط الانفجارين بمجمات الكلور على وجه التحديد.
 - أزيلت بقايا الذخائر التي يدعى استخدامها من مواقع الارتطام المزعومة إلى مواقع أخرى.

التمانعة، محافظة إدلب، ٢٩ و ٣٠ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤

٧٦ - حلص فريق القيادة إلى أنه ليس هناك معلومات كافية لتأكيد أو استبعاد احتمال وقوع هجوم كيميائي وأن الأدلة اللازمة للتوصل إلى استنتاج بشأن الجهات المتورطة متناقضة وغير كافية.

٧٧ - ويستند هذا التقييم إلى ما يلي:

- هناك ندرة في المعلومات ذات الصلة بشأن جميع الحوادث التي وقعت في التمانعة. و لم تتمكن الآلية من تحديد حركة الطيران.
- هناك أوجه تضارب في الإفادات التي أدلى ها الشهود، ووصف الأحداث غير متسق. فمن ناحية، وصف بعض الشهود إصابة السكان بسبب استخدام الكلور كسلاح. ومن ناحية أحرى، وصف شهود آخرون الضربات الجوية في التمانعة في شهر نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤ أو في نهايته، وذكروا أنه لم تكن هناك مواد كيميائية في أي من تلك الهجمات.
 - اعتبر الخبراء هذا الحادث ناجما عن هجوم بالذحائر التقليدية.

التمانعة، محافظة إدلب، ٢٥ و ٢٦ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٤

٧٨ - درس فريق القيادة المعلومات والأدلة المتوفرة فيما يتعلق بالحادث الذي وقع في التمانعة يومي ٢٥ و ٢٦ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٤، وخلص إلى أنه لا توجد أدلة كافية للتوصل إلى استنتاج بشأن الجهات المتورطة وطرائق استخدام المواد الكيميائية كأسلحة في هذا الحادث.

٧٩ - ويستند هذا التقييم إلى ما يلي:

- هناك ندرة في المعلومات ذات الصلة بشأن جميع الحوادث التي وقعت في التمانعة. ولم تتمكن الآلية من تحديد حركة الطيران.
- ذكر العديد من الشهود أنه تكرر في فترات غير منتظمة منذ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٤ صدور إنذارات "كاذبة" بشأن أسلحة كيميائية، وأن المواد الكيميائية لم تستخدم قط كأسلحة في التمانعة.
- أفاد شهود آخرون عن "برميل متفجر" غير منفجر تسرب منه الكلور. بيد أنه لا توجد أدلة كافية لتأكيد تلك الشهادة.

سادسا - ملاحظات ختامية

٠٨ - لاحظ فريق القيادة، بعد إنشائه مباشرة، انخفاضا في عدد الادعاءات باستخدام المواد الكيميائية كأسلحة في الجمهورية العربية السورية. بيد أن هذه الادعاءات قد استمرت حلال ولايته، وشملت في الآونة الأخيرة عوامل كيميائية مختلفة، من بينها عوامل مدرجة في قائمة الأسلحة الكيميائية بموجب اتفاقية حظر استحداث وإنتاج وتخزين واستعمال الأسلحة الكيميائية وتدمير تلك الأسلحة.

16-14788 **24/102**

۸۱ – وتشمل الادعاءات باستخدام الأسلحة الكيميائية و/أو المواد الكيميائية السمية المدرجة في الاتفاقية كأسلحة في الجمهورية العربية السورية، التي تلقتها الآلية من الدول الأعضاء في الفترة الممتدة من كانون الأول/ديسمبر ۲۰۱۵ إلى آب/أغسطس ۲۰۱۵ الماسارين (۱۳)، والخردل الكبريتي (۱۲)، وعامل ۷۲ (٤)، والكلور (۱۱)، وغيرها من المواد أو العوامل الكيميائية السمية (۲۱). وتشير المعلومات إلى تورط كل من الحكومة والجهات الفاعلة الأخرى في هذه الحوادث المزعومة.

٨٢ - ويكرر فريق القيادة تأكيد اقتناعه الراسخ بأن استخدام المواد الكيميائية كأسلحة، لأي سبب من الأسباب وفي ظل أي ظرف من الظروف، عمل بغيض تماما. ويؤكد فريق القيادة محددا إيمانه بأن من المهم للغاية محاسبة الأشخاص الذين يستخدمون أو يعتزمون استخدام المواد الكيميائية كأسلحة على أفعالهم.

٨٣ - ويود فريق القيادة أن يعرب عن تقديره للتعاون الكامل المقدم من الدول الأعضاء والمنظمات الدولية والكيانات الأحرى دعما للعمل الذي اضطلع به حتى الآن، يما في ذلك المساهمة المالية السخية التي تلقاها.

٨٤ - وأخيرا، يود فريق القيادة أن يعرب عن تقديره للدعم المقدم من الأمانة العامة،
 ولا سيما مكتب شؤون نزع السلاح، ومن الأمانة الفنية لمنظمة حظر الأسلحة الكيميائية.

Annex I

Methods of work

1. There were no precedents for the investigation into the identification of the perpetrators, organizers, sponsors or those otherwise involved in the use of chemicals as weapons. This is unlike the case for investigating the alleged use of chemical weapons for which there are guidelines and procedures established for use by the Secretary-General's Mechanism for Investigation of Alleged Use of Chemical and Biological Weapons (see A/44/561). Consequently, in the absence of a framework to guide its efforts in fulfilling its unprecedented mandate, the Mechanism has been developing operating guidelines and procedures with the aim of identifying those involved in the use of chemicals as weapons in order to ensure that its work adhered to the principles of impartiality, objectivity and independence.

Overview

- 2. The information obtained by the Organisation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW) Fact-Finding Mission was reviewed "as is" and the conclusions contained in their reports formed the starting point of the work of the Mechanism. The material collected by the Fact-Finding Mission was reviewed and analysed by the Mechanism with a view to extracting information relevant for the identification of the perpetrators, organizers, sponsors or otherwise involved in the use of toxic chemicals as weapons.
- 3. The Mechanism's mandate was implemented in two phases: Phase I information collection and planning for case development, which consisted of reviewing and analysing the Fact-Finding Mission data, mapping of the incidents (i.e., the identification of specific incidents in which the Fact-Finding Mission had carried out an investigation and had determined the use or likely use of chemicals as weapons, screening and prioritization of the incidents (through severity, delivery method and munition, quantity of data and information)) and elaborating an investigation plan and methodology, including evidentiary standards and relevant procedures; Phase II case investigation, which consisted of in-depth analysis of the cases identified during Phase I and continued until sufficient information was gathered, analysed, assessed and corroborated to allow the Mechanism to present its findings to the Security Council.

Information management

- 4. The Mechanism took measures to ensure that its personnel complied with the confidentiality and security protections set out in the memorandum of understanding concluded between the Mechanism and the OPCW on 26 November 2015, concerning the provision of access, storage and handling of information. All personnel were also required to enter into individual confidentiality undertakings.
- 5. In addition, the Mechanism applied the Secretary-General's bulletin on information sensitivity, classification and handling of 12 February 2007 (ST/SGB/2007/6) in relation to the information collected and produced by the Mechanism. Furthermore, relevant sections of the Secretary-General's bulletin on

16-14788 **26/102**

record-keeping and the management of United Nations archives of 12 February 2007 (ST/SGB/2007/5), concerning the creation, management and disposition of records, have been applied by the Mechanism.

6. The Mechanism adopted standard operating procedures and guidelines on information management, as well as the conduct of interviews; collection of evidence and information, including chain of custody forms; and analysis of information.

Collection of information and evidence

- 7. In addition to information and evidence obtained by the Fact-Finding Mission, the Mechanism gathered information from the following:
- (a) The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic and all parties in the Syrian Arab Republic;
 - (b) Other Member States of the United Nations;
- (c) International organizations, international and national non-governmental organizations, other entities and individuals; and
 - (d) Open sources.
- 8. The information and evidence collected by the Mechanism included witness interviews and statements given to other entities (where possible collected as audio and video records or as a transcript); documents including reports, medical records and handwritten material; images including satellite imagery, photographs and videos; infographics and other data. Furthermore, the Mechanism collected forensic analysis, laboratory results and other material such as maps.

Information from the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic

9. At the Mechanism's request, documents and other supporting material such as reports, power point presentations, videos, photos, maps and diagrams were provided by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic on the nine cases. The Government also facilitated interviews with some of the witnesses. Furthermore, the Mechanism met with representatives of the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, including members of their armed forces, during its missions to Damascus.

Information from all parties in the Syrian Arab Republic

10. The Mechanism held meetings with armed opposition groups, during which information on the cases under investigation was provided. One group facilitated an interview with one witness. The Mechanism also met with the National Coalition of Syrian Revolution and Opposition Forces. Furthermore, other parties provided documents to the Mechanism.

Information from Member States

11. The Mechanism received case-specific information from 14 Member States. It collected further material to obtain independent confirmation of the information received or used such information to confirm the Mechanism's information or understanding of the cases under investigation.

Information from international organizations, non-governmental organizations, other entities and individuals

- 12. The Mechanism established a network of contacts in possession of relevant information on the cases under investigation. This included international organizations, non-governmental organizations, the private sector, research organizations, laboratories and institutes, civil society organizations and individuals.
- 13. This network of contacts provided information and facilitated access to witnesses.

Open sources and social media

14. Extensive material is available on open sources and social media related to the cases under investigation. Such material was mainly in Arabic. The Mechanism reviewed videos and other media files available online allegedly documenting the incidents, including the munition used and the remnants thereof, the delivery method and the impact and effects resulting from the use. Open source and social media material deemed central to the investigation was subjected to forensic analysis.

Compiling of the information

- 15. Investigation plans were developed for each case to help guide the overall investigation. During the course of the investigation, case files were prepared to document the details of the information and evidence collected, including any relevant information obtained by the Fact-Finding Mission. The case files included the analysis of the information collected and documented the process and amount of corroboration on specific issues. Annexes II to X of the present report are based on the case files prepared during the investigation.
- 16. In addition to providing the background, the investigation sought to establish for each case the following key elements: (a) date and time; (b) weather conditions; (c) impact location; (d) munition (e.g., remnants); (e) delivery method (e.g., means and direction); (f) damage and effects (e.g., on buildings, environment, flora and fauna); (g) medical effects.

Weather conditions

17. The Mechanism received weather related information for the dates and places under investigation from the World Meteorological Organization (WMO). In providing the information, the WMO stated the following: "The coverage of weather stations across [the Syrian Arab Republic] was very poor during the [periods in question] which makes it extremely difficult to assess weather conditions at the requested locations from observations. One station was close to Kafr Zita [...] so data from that site was used where appropriate. [In addition...] short range forecasts from a world-leading high resolution global atmospheric model have been used [...] These forecasts are initiated from our best estimate

16-14788 **28/102**

(analysis) of the state of atmosphere [...] Satellite imagery [have also been used] to provide supplementary information, notably for weather descriptions."

18. Furthermore, the WMO has informed the Mechanism that while the humidity values and temperature data provided are thought to be relatively accurate (within 2° Celsius for temperature), the wind direction/speed are not as accurate as they can be subject to significant variability on time scales of minutes. Nevertheless, the values indicated in the annexes are believed to be reasonable as 60 minute averages. The times of the sunrise and sunset were taken from http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/grad/solcalc/sunrise.html.

Analysis, verification and corroboration of information

Corroboration and analysis

- 19. The Mechanism aimed to corroborate information. In this connection, identifying circular reporting was important in order to ensure that the corroboration was in fact from separate sources of information.
- 20. As necessary, information material collected by the Mechanism was subjected to a separate analytical process. On a case-by-case basis, the Mechanism engaged four internationally recognized forensic and defence institutes, which had provided assistance to United Nations bodies in the past. These forensic institutes worked on, among other things, verifying whether videos and photographs had been modified or altered, determining the dates and times of when a video or a photograph was taken and verifying the locations depicted. The defence institutes provided analysis of imagery, munitions-related issues, explosions and modelling of the dispersion of chlorine in the atmosphere. The Mechanism also consulted with a ballistic and explosives expert on the imagery of the points of impact.

Analysis and review

21. As part of the analytical process, an analytical/review team was established to ensure the following: (a) technical adequacy of the information collected; (b) consistency in all the cases under investigation; and (c) identification of patterns emerging from the cases under investigation. During this process, the information received was mapped and entered into a database. Several standard tools such as those used for making projections of information against continuous/discontinuous variables and reconstructive evidence-gathering were used.

Assessment

- 22. The Leadership Panel carefully reviewed the material submitted by the investigators. They presented the case files, which included the information collected by 10 August 2016. The Panel weighed the information and evidence collected and came to its assessments, findings and conclusions by consensus.
- 23. The Leadership Panel decided that, in reporting its findings to the Security Council, a sufficient degree of supporting evidence was required; that is, there is evidence of a credible and reliable nature to determine that a party was involved in the use of chemicals as weapons on the date and time of the incident in which the Fact-Finding Mission determined use or likely use. In so doing, the Panel was guided by the following standards:

- (a) Overwhelming evidence (highly convincing evidence to support a finding);
- (b) Substantial evidence (very solid evidence to support a finding); or
- (c) Sufficient evidence (there is evidence of a credible and reliable nature for the Mechanism to make a finding that a party was involved in the use of chemicals as weapons on the date and time of the incident investigated).
- 24. Narratives of the incidents and a summary of the information and evidence collected are contained in annexes II to X. In addition, it contains a summary of the findings and concluding assessment.
- 25. Where the Leadership Panel determined that there was insufficient evidence in relation to an incident investigated by the Mechanism, it has reported accordingly.

Challenges and constraints

- 26. As was the case with the Fact-Finding missions, the lack of access to the locations under investigation due to the dire security situation on the ground affected the manner in which the Mechanism was able to conduct its investigation. Visits to certain locations would have facilitated the ability of the Mechanism to (a) confirm and access specific locations of interest; (b) collect comparative environmental samples; (c) identify new witnesses; and (d) physically evaluate the material of interest to the Mechanism (e.g., remnants).
- 27. Other challenges and constraints include the following factors: (a) the time period that had elapsed since the incident (i.e. in some cases, more than two years since the incident); (b) the lack of chain of custody for some of the material received; (c) the source of information and material was of secondary or tertiary nature; (d) some of the information material, including those depicting the size and nature of the incident, were misleading; (e) finding independent sources of information that could provide access to individuals and information material proved difficult; and (f) the impact locations were not preserved and were compromised by the time they were recorded (e.g., the videos and photographs of the impact locations were taken days after the incident and in many cases after the remnants had been removed from the impact location).

Ethical issues and considerations

28. In conducting its investigation and in particular when conducting its interviews, full consideration was given to the privacy and protection of all individuals concerned. All vital information was kept confidential and the identity of witnesses was protected at all times. An identity number, which was assigned to each witness, was used for the processing of data. The master list with the names of the witnesses was kept secure by the Mechanism. Throughout the investigation, the Mechanism made all efforts to respect religious values and norms, national customs and the personal pressures and traumas associated with exposure to conflict.

16-14788 30/102

Annex II

Kafr Zita, 11 April 2014

Findings of the OPCW Fact-Finding Mission in the Syrian Arab Republic

- 1. The Fact-Finding Mission (FFM) concluded that the information collected constituted "a compelling confirmation that a toxic chemical was used as a weapon, systematically and repeatedly, in the villages of Talm[e]nes, Al-Tamanah, and Kafr Z[i]ta in northern Syria. The descriptions, physical properties, behaviour of the gas, and signs and symptoms resulting from exposure, as well as the response of the patients to the treatment, leads the FFM to conclude, with a high degree of confidence, that chlorine, either pure or in mixture, is the toxic chemical in question." (S/2015/138, page 24, paragraph 29)
- 2. "Kafr Zita and its neighbourhood have been subjected to some 17 attacks involving the use of toxic chemicals, with the first attack occurring on the night of 10 April 2014, and the latest incident being reported to the Mission on 30 August 2014. Because of the frequency of these attacks and constantly living in a war zone, the witnesses had essentially lost their sense of the dates and times of the various incidents. Witnesses informed the Mission that all except one of the attacks (which happened between 1800 and 1900 hours on 11 April 2014) occurred at night." (S/2015/138, page 49, paragraph 5.59)
- 3. The incident on 11 April 2014 between 1800 and 1900 hours is listed in the FFM as the second attack with toxic chemicals in Kafr Zita, with 12 patients (that includes patients from the first incident on 10 April 2014). (S/2015/138, page 50, Table 6)

The Mechanism's investigation

Background

- 4. Kafr Zita (Hama Governorate, Muhradah District) is located 30 km north of Hama, at the administrative border with Idlib District. It is located approximately 8 km west of Morek, which sits at M5 Damascus-Aleppo motorway. Hama city and the Hama Military Airfield are located approximately 30 km south-south-east of Kafr Zita. Muhradah village, at the M56 Damascus-Latakia motorway, as well as the Muhradah dam and hydro-electric power plant located 8 km south.
- 5. According to a 2004 census, the Kafr Zita sub-district had 39,302 inhabitants. Throughout 2014, high movements of internally displaced persons (IDPs) led to considerably higher numbers of people in the sub-district. A report from the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs indicated that over 61,000 people were considered in need of humanitarian assistance in August 2014, out of which 39,500 were IDPs.
- 6. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that it had not been in control of Kafr Zita as of 20 December 2012. The town has since been contested. Throughout 2014, it saw high intensity of conflict, with frequent air strikes, as well as artillery, mortar and rocket fire reported.

- 7. In 2014, Government presence in Idlib consisted of networks of checkpoints and military installations: one running along the M5 between Ma'arrat al-Nu'man and Khan Sheikhoun, and the other along the M4 connecting Latakia to Idlib city. At the time, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic was committed to its obligation to remove its chemical weapon stockpiles to Latakia for maritime removal.
- 8. From spring 2014 onwards, several armed opposition groups concentrated their efforts in Idlib Governorate on cutting Government access to its military bases and Aleppo via the M5. At the time of the first incident, Morek had recently been captured by armed opposition groups, but was contested between the Syrian Arab Armed Forces (SAAF) and armed opposition group.
- 9. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that its troops were located 5 km west of Kafr Zita. Reports indicate that in Muhradah and Al-Suqaylabiyah, National Defense Forces (NDFs) had been established. Most of the immediate neighbouring villages of Kafr Zita have been contested at the time.
- 10. In spring 2014, armed opposition groups and United Nations Security Council designated terrorist organizations, such as the Nusrah Front, and their affiliates were present in Kafr Zita. Some reports indicate that the city had been partly under control of the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) until 6 January 2014.
- 11. Armed opposition groups present included Failaq Al-Sham, an alliance of several groups formed in March 2014, and Jaish Al-Izza, an alliance of several smaller groups most of which were linked to the Free Syrian Army (FSA).
- 12. At the time, alliances of groups were shifting, smaller groups merged into larger ones and blocks started to emerge. The open fighting between different armed opposition groups and United Nations Security Council designated terrorist organizations that characterized the second half of 2014 had not yet begun and most of the groups concentrated on their fight against the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic. However, armed opposition groups were in competition for fighters, resources and influence and often had quite diverging ideologies. Hence, the situation in Kafr Zita, in which many armed opposition groups were present, was volatile.
- 13. Ahrar ash-Sham was active throughout Hama and Idlib in 2014, with several reported activities near the vicinity of Kafr Zita in April. However, the group stated not to have been present in Kafr Zita in April 2014.
- 14. At the time of the events, there were two hospitals in Kafr Zita, referred to as the Western hospital (No. 5) and the Eastern hospital (No. 6). The Eastern hospital, according to the FFM (S/1230/2014, page 25, paragraph 5.56), has been destroyed in an attack later in 2014.

16-14788 32/102

On 30 May 2013, Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) and the Nusrah Front were designated as terrorist groups by the Security Council under resolution 1267 (1999).

Narratives

- 15. The description as provided by the FFM report indicates that on 11 April 2014 between 1800 and 1900 hours, the public was informed about imminent attacks through messages relayed on hand-held radios. Shortly before sunset, a helicopter dropped a barrel bomb containing a cylinder filled with chlorine on Kafr Zita. A gas cloud rose to some 50-60 m high and then settled towards the ground, moving into the direction of the air current. A strong, pungent and chlorine-like smell was noticeable from a significant distance and disappeared after some 30 to 45 minutes. Several Member States provided information supporting this description. Other entities published reports stating that a helicopter or "plane" dropped a barrel purportedly containing chlorine.
- 16. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that on 11 April 2014, the house of a Nusrah Front-commander [name redacted], which was used to manufacture explosives and car bombs, was targeted. Upon impact, the house exploded and the odour of chlorine spread through the town, injuring and killing a number of IDPs in Kafr Zita. Six Nusrah Front-affiliated fighters from a neighbouring village were in the house and died in the attack. Several barrels of chlorine had been stored in the house.
- 17. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic further stated that the Nusrah Front then tried to blame the use of chlorine on the SAAF. To this end, they fabricated a video that portrayed false evidence. Another source supported the view that the video had been staged. In particular, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic and that source claimed that a physician who was a witness to the FFM was involved in the fabrication of evidence.
- 18. The FFM, based on the testimony of another witness, provides an alternative description of the incidents. When a helicopter flew in the direction of Kafr Zita, armed men fired a so-called "hell-cannon" in the direction of the town. Yellow and white smoke emerged, and the witness smelled a bad odour and experienced difficulty breathing. At the hospital, other patients experienced the same symptoms.
- 19. The events received broad media coverage. Some indicated that the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic had attacked Kafr Zita with toxic chemicals, others quoted the Syrian Arab Republic state television that the Nusrah Front-affiliated fighters had used chlorine in an attack against Kafr Zita.

Date and time

- 20. The FFM stated that the attack on 11 April 2014 happened between 1800 and 1900 hours, shortly before sunset. The Mechanism reviewed the FFM witness statements that referred to the 11 April 2014 incident. Two witnesses specifically referred to this incident and confirmed the time. This is corroborated with further witness statements. One witness stated that a few minutes after 1800 hours, several barrel bombs were dropped on Kafr Zita.
- 21. Several sources uploaded videos to the Internet, claiming that these videos show the attack involving "toxic chemicals" in Kafr Zita on 11 April 2014. One of these videos (v01) shows a large explosion shortly before sunset (1903 hours). The end of the evening prayer can be heard. The metadata analysis indicates that this video has indeed been uploaded on 11 April 2014 at 1923 hours local time.

However, the metadata does not contain any indications on when the video has been filmed.

- 22. A second open source video (v02) published on 11 April 2014 (date not confirmed by forensic analysis) is labelled "Kafr Zita The moment of the fall of the explosive barrels carrying toxic materials from helicopters". Although the results of a forensic analysis are pending at the time of this report, there are several strong indications that suggest that the second part of this video seems to show the same explosion as v01. In the first part, it shows a helicopter dropping a device and follows it while falling. However, there appears to be a cut between the view of the falling device and the first image of the explosion. Further forensic analysis to assess how much time passed between the two video segments that appear to have been cut together is pending.
- 23. A third video (v03) shows a different large explosion during daylight. It is labelled as showing an explosive barrel impacting on Kafr Zita. Metadata analysis indicates that it was uploaded on 11 April 2014, 1739 hours local time. Although, again, it cannot be excluded that the video was uploaded on 11 April but filmed before that, these videos provide an indication that several attacks might have happened in Kafr Zita at that date, as indicated by a witness.
- 24. One witness stated to have seen a "hell-cannon being" fired at a helicopter in the direction of Kafr Zita in March or April 2014.
- 25. Another source stated that a spontaneous explosion of a car laden with unidentified explosives resulted in the death of six Nusrah Front-affiliated fighters. The explosion had been accompanied by a strong smell of chlorine in the air and several dozen civilians were poisoned, and some of them killed. The Mechanism could not obtain any information that supports this description.

Weather conditions

26. The sunset on 11 April 2014 was at 1903 hours. At around 1800 and 1900 hours, the temperature declined from 23°C to 19°C with the relative humidity of 72 to 76 per cent. The wind came from the north-west (310°) at 1 m/s to 2 m/s.

Impact location

Location #1

- 27. A witness stated that a barrel bomb fell on "more than one house" on the west side of the Western (No. 5) hospital. The explosion, which looked "different, the smoke was yellowish orange", could be seen from the Western Field Hospital. In order to corroborate the impact location, the Mechanism established the location of that hospital, as a reference point, from four different sources.
- 28. A witness visited the impact location several days after the incident and recorded the visit. In the video (v04), a GPS Application on a tablet shows the coordinates as N35.372950° E36.589800°, which would match the description as provided by the witness.
- 29. None of the additional witnesses confirmed or provided additional information with regard to this location. The resolution of the satellite imagery available to the Mechanism for this area was too low to identify any signs of the impact. Despite

16-14788 34/102

repeated requests, the Mechanism was not provided with any military satellite imagery for the date and location in question.

Location #2

30. Through forensic analysis of v01, a potential impact area of the explosion at sunset was determined. The location given by the two witnesses is further west. Hence, the crater at location #1 shown in v04 does not result from the air strike in v01, although the explosion and resulting cloud, as well as the time, resemble the description of the witness.

Location #3

31. In v03, an impact with several detonations in series on a wide area can be seen and heard. A yellowish cloud emanates from the impact. The forensic analysis indicates that the impact occurred in the south-west region of Kafr Zita.

Location #4

- 32. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic provided the coordinates of the house of the Nusrah Front-commander targeted on that date (N35.373189° E36.599503°).
- 33. However, none of the videos seem to show this attack, as the coordinates are neither located in the potential impact areas as determined by the video analysis, nor do they match the account of the witnesses. Comparison of satellite images of the house before and after 11 April 2014 shows damage, probably resulting from an explosion.

Location #5

- 34. The witness who spoke about the impact of a hell-cannon described the impact location as "close to the big mosque" and showed it on a map (N35.373642° E36.602564°). Publicly available satellite images on 2 May 2014, few weeks after the attack, show a potential crater approximately 25 m from this location.
- 35. The impact location does not match any of the other locations. It is 200 m next to the Eastern hospital (No. 6).

Munition

36. The determination of the munition was based on witness statements, videos of the explosion and remnants, in addition to available photos.

Location #1

- 37. According to a witness, the remnants from location #1, together with remnants from other incidents, were collected and stored outside of Kafr Zita. In a video (v04) that was filmed on 23 April 2014, the location is shown, which includes coordinates on a GPS Application on a tablet (N35.354700° E36.584417°). Comparing the reference points seen in the video with a map, the GPS coordinates seem credible.
- 38. V04 and other open source videos show remnants said to be from location #1. Those remnants had been moved from the actual impact location to another location

- at the outskirts of the village. Several videos and pictures show remnants that are from Kafr Zita, however, it remains unclear to which incident, date and location they are linked.
- 39. The witness stated that the remnants from location #1 included an outer jacket and an inner cylinder. This description matches the remnants seen in v04. The measurements of the remnants are 160 cm length (157 cm inner cylinder) and 60 cm in diameter (40 cm inner cylinder).
- 40. One journalist also took pictures at the same location 12 days after the attack and published 59 of them. The same remnants can be seen in the pictures, as in the FFM witness' video, and it is likely to have been taken at the same location.
- 41. No further witnesses identified by the Mechanism could provide information to confirm that the remnants in the pictures had been removed from the crater at location #1. The munition could not be linked to the impact location and crater through image analysis either.
- 42. One witness stated that the explosion at location #1 was large and people initially thought it was an attack with a conventional munition. "The smoke was yellowish/orange in addition to the dust caused by the explosion." Another witness stated that the explosion at location #1 was "very big" and that it could be heard from one village to another. This witness described the cloud as being 50-60 m high, spreading quickly because of wind coming from the west.
- 43. A witness said that when the first barrel was dropped about 400 m from where he/she was, it resulted in a massive explosion with yellowish fumes. The witness also stated that these fumes started moving eastward with the wind and smelled like chlorine.
- 44. Reports from two independent entities state that a helicopter dropped a big container that fell between four houses. Other than the witnesses above, these reports state that they exploded without making the usual sound of an explosion, releasing unusual yellow-orange smelly gas within a circle of 500 m.

Location #2

- 45. The descriptions by the witnesses resemble the explosion seen in v01. However, two of them had described the location as location #1.
- 46. The explosion in v01 shows a yellow base of the explosion. According to a forensic examination, the cloud is resulting from the detonation of an "oxygen-deficient military high explosive type (e.g. TNT)" causing a dark cloud. The yellow colour in the base of the cloud is judged to be dust. According to the Mechanism's and independent weapon experts, the explosions seem to result from "advanced military munition with a primary detonation and delayed sub-detonation".

16-14788 36/102

Location #3

- 47. In v03, an impact with several detonations in series on a wide area can be seen and heard. A yellowish cloud emanates from the impact. The Mechanism's munitions experts, in coherence with external independent experts and research institutes, concluded the impact probably resulted from conventional military munition, potentially combined with sub-munitions. The title and description of the video does not indicate any links to chemicals.
- 48. The Mechanism could not exclude that the blast shown on the video originated from ground-based explosives.

Location #4

49. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic has not provided information on the munition used, although this information was sought on numerous occasions. The Mechanism did not receive any information on footage of or information on remnants from this location.

Location #5

- 50. The witness stated that the munition was delivered by a device called "hell-cannon". A drawing of the munition made by the witness resembles a possible projectile, also described by various open sources. An LPG or propane gas cylinder is repurposed and filled with explosives and shrapnel. Welded to the payload is a metal tube (the tail) about the same circumference as the cannon's muzzle.
- 51. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, in accordance with reports from independent entities and open sources, provided information on armed opposition groups using hell-cannons to launch IEDs allegedly filled with explosives, often fertilizer. However, the expert's assessment is that the munition as described by the witness is extremely unlikely to have been filled with chlorine.

Delivery method

Location #1

- 52. One witness saw a helicopter drop a device on 11 April 2014 around 1800 hours. Another witness stated that "monitors informed that a helicopter was dropping barrel bombs" and that, looking from a window, the witness had seen a "barrel bomb coming down". The report of another organization that documented the events refers to a helicopter taking off from Hama military airport and at 1800 hours targeting the western area of the village.
- 53. While there are multiple witness statements regarding a helicopter from different sources, the Mechanism has not been able to independently corroborate by a means other than a witness statement that a helicopter was flying in Kafr Zita between 1900 and 2000 hours.

Location #2

54. V01 showing the detonation around sunset was reviewed for the delivery method. An independent research institute and the Mechanism's munition experts were of the view that "the explosive charges [have been] delivered by dropping from an aircraft". In the video, an object can be seen falling in a rather vertical

- angle, just before the detonation, in the direction of the detonation site. In the video, a sound resembling that of a jet fighter can be heard. However, there are many possible explanations for that sound.
- 55. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic shared their analysis of v01, stating that it showed an air strike with conventional munition.
- 56. V02, which seems to show the explosion at location #2 (seen in v01), also shows a helicopter. However, as the video appears to be cut between the images of the helicopter and the explosion, the helicopter cannot be linked to the explosion through this video.

Location #3

57. An independent analysis of v03 indicates that the munitions were delivered by dropping from an aircraft, as indicated in the title of the video. This video is considered not linked to exposure with chlorine.

Location #4

58. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, while confirming the attack, did not confirm whether it was undertaken through air strikes or land-based attacks.

Location #5

59. "Hell-cannon" is a name used to describe a class of mortar-like improvised firearms. A number of home-made variants have appeared in the Syrian Arab Republic. When fired, the force of the explosion takes the path of least resistance pushing the projectile towards the target at high velocity. Flight stabilizing fins which are part of the tail ensemble ensure the cylinder does not tumble. It is considered extremely unlikely that the projectile described by the witness would have been launched by hell-cannon.

Unclear location

- 60. The Mechanism notes that there are two other witnesses interviewed by a different entity who refer to helicopters in Kafr Zita on that day. One of these witnesses was at home at the time of the incident and heard a helicopter hovering at around 1800 hours. A few minutes later the helicopter dropped several barrels specifically on the western part of Kafr Zita. Another witness, who was not in Kafr Zita at the time of the incident, reported that "the nature of the attacks involved air strikes launched by regime warship helicopters that dropped barrel bombs loaded with chlorine which exploded producing yellowish fumes that smelled like chlorine cleanser". Another entity was quoted by international media referring to a "plane" that dropped a barrel containing chlorine.
- 61. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic provided information related to land operations. In response to attacks against SAAF positions, the SAAF used firepower by means of cannons against armed opposition groups in several locations, including Kafr Zita, resulting in a number of opposition fighters being killed or injured, in addition to vehicles and equipment destroyed.
- 62. V02, which seems to show the explosion at location #2, also shows a helicopter. However, as the video appears to be cut between the images of the

16-14788 **38/102**

helicopter and the explosion, the helicopter cannot be linked to the explosion through this video.

Damage and effects

Location #1

- 63. The size of the crater depicted in v04 was approximately 200 cm deep and 400 cm wide. Forensic analysis of the crater was inconclusive with regard to determining its origin.
- 64. The FFM report refers to a video showing the crater of 3.6 m diameter and 1.4 m depth, with minimal damage to buildings in the vicinity and a screen shot was listed as Appendix 21. However, after thorough analysis, the investigation team concluded that this is a picture of a crater in Talmenes and not Kafr Zita.

Location #4

65. A comparison of satellite images before and after the event on 11 April 2014 showed considerable damage, probably resulting from an explosion.

Medical effects

- 66. Witnesses and other sources indicated that up to 150 patients were treated in the two hospitals on 11 April 2014. Three people died in the aftermath of the events. One hundred patients were treated in the Eastern hospital and 50 in the Western hospital. Several patients were referred to a neighbouring country for further treatment.
- 67. According to a witness, one person died from exposure to toxic substances. The other two died from other injuries.
- 68. External research institute conducted a basic simulation of the dispersion of a chlorine plume in Kafr Zita. The model predicted three deaths in a distance of 50 m from the impact location and 120 affected in a distance of 400 m. The Mechanism used this dispersion model at the potential impact locations in Kafr Zita, to assess the effect on the population.

Location #1

- 69. On satellite images, 30 houses were located within the plume dispersion area (400 m) at location #1. Based on the assumption that an average of four people was in each house close to sunset, the number of 120 people affected might be possible. For this area, 150 patients stands above the expected number of affected people, in particular if it is considered that one witness referred to the location #1 as an uninhabited area.
- 70. However, it is also possible that more people might have been in the village or in the area. Also, same as two of the three deadly injured people, according to the witnesses, did not die of chlorine exposure; some of the patients might have suffered from other injuries and/or anxiety.
- 71. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic and one other source accused armed opposition groups and individuals of fabricating false report on patients. They were "filming and taking photographs of the affected population, including children, with a view to presenting the episode as chemical attack by government

forces." A local physician was allegedly directly involved in preparing fake footage paid by other Member States to fabricate these claims. There is no evidence to support the statement given above.

Location #4

- 72. According to the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, there were injuries and deaths among IDPs, in addition to six Nusrah Front-affiliated fighters. The investigators analysed the effect of the destruction at location #4 considering that toxic chemicals were stored in the building and released with the explosion. Probably the greatest impact would be less than 100 m from the house.
- 73. It is plausible that people inside the house died and that the neighbouring houses were affected causing exposure to civilians. The investigators estimated that approximately 30 houses would be in this perimeter. A dispersion analysis was not possible due to the lack of information on the kind and amount of toxic substances stored in the house.

Location #5

74. The witness saw yellow and white smoke and smelled a bad odour, as never experienced before. The witness and family members experienced difficulty breathing. In a first interview, the witness mentioned to have seen a three-year-old girl exhibiting laboured breathing, secretions and cyanosis in the hospital. In a second interview, the witness referred only to opposition fighters being treated for other injuries. The Mechanism could not gather additional information to corroborate the witness' testimony.

The Leadership Panel's assessment

- 75. The Leadership Panel examined the existing information and evidence regarding the incident in Kafr Zita on 11 April 2014 and determined that the SAAF executed air strikes in that area on that day. At least one explosion resulted from the airstrike.
- 76. The Leadership Panel could not confirm the use of barrel bombs because the remnants of the device allegedly used had been removed from the site and could not be linked to any of the impact locations.
- 77. While a significant number of people up to 150 may have been exposed to chlorine on 11 April 2014, the Leadership Panel determined that there was insufficient information at this stage to draw a conclusion on the actors involved.
- 78. This assessment was based on the following:
 - On 11 April 2014, the Nusrah Front and several armed opposition groups were present in Kafr Zita. This area was subject to regular artillery and air-borne attacks by the SAAF. Such attacks were ongoing on 11 April 2014.
 - The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic confirmed that it had targeted, on the date and time of the incident, the house of a Nusrah Front-commander, which the Government alleges was used to build improvised explosive devices and store chlorine.

16-14788 40/102

- Both the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic and armed opposition groups concurred that chlorine was used in Kafr Zita on 11 April 2014.
- None of the five alleged impact locations could be confirmed by the Mechanism.
- Two open source videos show an explosion in Kafr Zita caused by a device dropped from an aircraft. Another video shows a separate explosion. The Mechanism could not, however, determine if the latter explosion was caused by a device dropped from an aircraft, or by ground based munitions. Furthermore, the two explosions could not be associated with chlorine-specific attacks.
- The remnants of the munitions allegedly used had been removed from the alleged impact locations to different locations.

Annex III

Kafr Zita, 18 April 2014

Findings of the OPCW Fact-Finding Mission in the Syrian Arab Republic

- 1. The Fact-Finding Mission (FFM) concluded that the information collected constituted "a compelling confirmation that a toxic chemical was used as a weapon, systematically and repeatedly, in the villages of Talm[e]nes, Al-Tamanah, and Kafr Z[i]ta in northern Syria. The descriptions, physical properties, behaviour of the gas, and signs and symptoms resulting from exposure, as well as the response of the patients to the treatment, leads the FFM to conclude, with a high degree of confidence, that chlorine, either pure or in mixture, is the toxic chemical in question." (S/2015/138, page 24, paragraph 29)
- 2. "Kafr Zita and its neighbourhood have been subjected to some 17 attacks involving the use of toxic chemicals, with the first attack occurring on the night of 10 April 2014, and the latest incident being reported to the Mission on 30 August 2014. Because of the frequency of these attacks and constantly living in a war zone, the witnesses had essentially lost their sense of the dates and times of the various incidents. Witnesses informed the Mission that all except one of the attacks (which happened between 18:00 and 19:00 on 11 April 2014) occurred at night." (S/2015/138, page 49, paragraph 5.59)
- 3. The incident on 18 April 2014 around 2230 hours is listed in the FFM as the fifth attack with toxic chemicals in Kafr Zita, with 35 patients. (S/2015/138, page 50, Table 6)

The Mechanism's investigation

Background

- 4. Kafr Zita (Hama Governorate, Muhradah District) is located 30 km north of Hama, at the administrative border with Idlib District. It is located approximately 8 km west of Morek and M5 Damascus-Aleppo motorway. Hama city and the Hama Military Airfield are located approximately 30 km south-south-east of Kafr Zita. Muhradah village, at the M56 Damascus-Latakia motorway, as well as the Muhradah dam and hydro-electric power plant, are located 8 km south.
- 5. According to a 2004 census, the Kafr Zita sub-district had 39,302 inhabitants. Throughout 2014, high movements of internally displaced persons (IDPs) led to considerably higher numbers of people in the sub-district. A report from the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs referred to over 61,000 people as considered in need of humanitarian assistance in August 2014, out of which 39,500 were IDP.
- 6. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that it was not in control of Kafr Zita as of 20 December 2012. The town has since been contested. Throughout 2014, it experienced a high intensity of conflict, with frequent air strikes, as well as artillery, mortar and rocket fire reported.

16-14788 42/102

- 7. From spring 2014 onwards, several armed opposition groups concentrated their efforts in Idlib Governorate on attempts to cut Government access to its military bases and Aleppo via the M5. At the time of the second incident, Morek had just been recaptured by the Syrian Arab Armed Forces (SAAF), but was contested between them and armed opposition groups.
- 8. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that its troops were located 5 km west of Kafr Zita. Reports indicate that in Muhradah and Al-Suqaylabiyah, National Defense Forces (NDF) had been established. Most of the immediate neighbouring villages of Kafr Zita have been contested at that time.
- 9. In the first half of 2014, United Nations Security Council designated terrorist organizations, such as the Nusrah Front, and their affiliates were present in Kafr Zita. Some reports indicate that the city had been partly under the control of the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) until 6 January 2014.
- 10. Other factions present included Failaq Al-Sham, an alliance of several armed opposition groups formed in March 2014, and Jaish Al-Izza, an alliance of several smaller groups most of which were linked to the Free Syrian Army (FSA).
- 11. At the time, alliances of groups were shifting, smaller groups merged into larger ones and blocks started to emerge. The open fighting between different armed opposition groups and United Nations Security Council designated terrorist organizations that characterized the second half of 2014 had not yet begun and most of the groups concentrated on their fight against the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic. However, armed opposition groups were in competition for fighters, resources and influence and often had quite diverging ideologies. Hence, the situation in Kafr Zita, in which many groups were present, was volatile.
- 12. Ahrar ash-Sham was active throughout Hama and Idlib in 2014, with several reported activities near the vicinity of Kafr Zita in April 2014. However, the group stated that it was not present in Kafr Zita around that time.
- 13. At the time of the events, there were two hospitals in Kafr Zita, referred to as the Western hospital (No. 5) and the Eastern hospital (No. 6).

Narratives

- 14. The description that emerged from the testimony of witnesses interviewed by the FFM was that on 18 April 2014 around 2230 hours, a helicopter dropped two barrel bombs containing cylinders filled with chlorine.
- 15. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic provided a different description of the events on 18 April 2014. The SAAF conducted an air strike against an observation post of the Nusrah Front or an affiliated group in the north-eastern part of the town. Fighters had launched an improvised explosive device from there, at the tip of which a gas cylinder had been attached. After the rocket had been fired, it emitted an odour and a thick, white smoke. The group exploited the situation and produced videos, alleging that SAAF had fired shells with chlorine gas. At the same time, the SAAF also targeted the house of a person affiliated with a specific armed opposition group that was used as depot for explosive devices. When the house

¹ On 30 May 2013, Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) and the Nusrah Front were designated as terrorist groups by the Security Council under resolution 1267 (1999).

exploded, a noxious, green gas was emitted. The opposition, with the help of a local physician, created false evidence that blamed the chemical attack on the SAAF.

16. A different description provided by another source indicated that the Nusrah Front fighters had used mortar shells filled with chlorine. The Mechanism could not obtain information to support this narrative, including on the impact location, munition and the delivery mechanism used.

Date and time

17. Two witnesses stated that on 18 April 2014 at 2245 hours, two barrel bombs fell on Kafr Zita. Another witness stated that the attack happened around 2300 hours

Weather conditions

18. The sunset on 18 April 2014 was at 1909 hours. The temperature between 2200 and 2300 hours was 19°C to 20°C. The wind varied at 1 m/s.

Impact location

Location #1

- 19. A witness indicated that one barrel bomb impacted within a 50 m radius of the Eastern (No. 6) hospital. The Mechanism identified the location of the Eastern (No. 6) hospital as the reference point in two videos retrieved from open sources. The location was confirmed by a witness and the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic.
- 20. No further information is available on the crater at this impact location and it was not possible to corroborate this information.

Location #2

- 21. A witness stated that one of the two barrels was dropped on the Kafr Zita football field. The day after the incident, the barrel bomb was still in the crater and removed by an "engineering battalion". The Mechanism could not identify any new witnesses who could corroborate this information.
- 22. On 23 April 2014, a witness went to the football field area where the second barrel bomb had reportedly impacted and registered the coordinates at N35.3731667° E036.5973167°. In a satellite image analysis, an anomaly that looks like a crater can be seen.

Location #3

- 23. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic provided the location of the farm targeted by the SAAF at N35.3843222° E36.6145250°.
- 24. Comparison of open source satellite imagery from before and after the event did not show signs of an impact that occurred in this area. An anomaly that looks like a crater was already present in September 2012.

16-14788 44/102

Location #4

- 25. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic provided the location of the house which it had also targeted at N35.3721417° E36.6025000° in front of the Big Mosque.
- 26. Comparison of open source satellite imagery did not show any significant damage after, compared to before 18 April 2014. However, better pictures of a higher resolution would have been required to confirm. The Mechanism has requested high resolution military imagery, but did not receive any.

Munition

Location #1

27. No information.

Location #2

- 28. In the footage from the impact location, no remnants can be seen. A witness stated that all remnants had been moved to another location outside of Kafr Zita. That witness provided a video (v01) of the purported remnants filmed at that location. The device consists of an outer jacket of 114 cm in length and 45 cm in diameter and an inner cylinder of the same (114 cm) length and 30 cm in diameter.
- 29. The title of an open source video (v02) published on 18 April 2014 indicates that it shows an "Engineering Battalion Mohamad" dismantling a barrel bomb filled with chemicals in Kafr Zita at night. According to visual comparison, the remnants resemble those seen in v01.
- 30. V02 shows an outer barrel with an inner cylinder that appears to have been repainted. A blue detonating cord is wrapped around the opening where the valve had been attached. The valve was removed from the cylinder, but it appears that the cylinder, which had an additional safety plug, did not explode. The rest of the device appears intact. Any gas leakage would, therefore, have been from where the valve was attached.
- 31. Both the inner cylinder and outer jacket are metallic, which means that it would require at least four metres of detonating cord to breach the walls of both the inner cylinder and the outer jacket. Tape was used to attach the detonation cord to the surface of the inner cylinder.
- 32. Forensic examination of the video that shows the impact site found that no remnants or remaining fragments can be seen on site, "which suggests the detonation pit is old (>24 hours) when filmed. This fact makes it difficult to assess the size and thereby the cause of the formation of the detonation pit".
- 33. Appendix 19 of the FFM report (\$\frac{S}{2014}/138\$, page 107, Appendix 19) also shows the sketch of an improvised barrel bomb and a screen grab from a video showing a barrel bomb. The picture and sketch show a larger cylinder and smaller containers. Labels added to the pictures indicate that the smaller containers are filled with sulphuric acid. However, there is no connection between the cylinder valve and the sulphuric acid. Accordingly, it does not appear to be an improvised explosive. Furthermore, the cylinder is intact and does not match the remnants shown in other footage from the Kafr Zita on the 18 April 2014 case.

34. Several pictures of remnants are published by an open source in relation to the 18 April incident. The Mechanism could not find additional information to corroborate that the remnants were from the incident of 18 April.

Locations #3 and #4

35. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic has not provided information on the munition used for targeting both locations. The Mechanism could not obtain any other information on the munition used from other sources.

Delivery method

Location #1

36. A witness indicated that one barrel bomb impacted within a 50 m radius of the Eastern hospital (No. 6). No further information could be found.

Location #2

- 37. Witnesses said that they heard about an approaching helicopter through a radio system. One witness specifically referred to the approach of a helicopter at 2245 hours. The attack occurred at night and none of the witnesses stated that they had seen a helicopter.
- 38. While there are witness statements regarding a helicopter, the Mechanism has not been able to independently corroborate that a helicopter was flying in Kafr Zita at 2230 hours.
- 39. A witness took measurements and recorded a video of the crater said to be from 18 April 2014. The crater was 300 cm in diameter and 100-110cm in depth. An external expert stated that this kind of crater could result from a barrel bomb with a cylinder filled with chlorine dropped from a helicopter at high altitude and hitting the ground orthogonally or somewhat obliquely.
- 40. Another external ballistics expert stated that the large detonation pit diameter, in combination with the shallow depth, suggested the munition had detonated at the surface or directly beneath the surface. The large diameter and geometry of the detonation pit suggest a large calibre mortar round (120 mm or more) may have hit and detonated at the filmed site. However, it cannot be excluded that other possible munitions, like a barrel bomb or another type of bomb dropped from helicopters or aircraft, created this crater.

Location #3

41. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic had initially stated that an air strike was directed against this position. As mentioned above, the Mechanism could not obtain any footage showing the impact, and satellite image analysis did not yield any results with regard to signs of an air strike.

Location #4

42. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic did not specify the delivery method for this location. However, the Government provided general information on operations in the area — as a response to attacks against SAAF positions, firepower "by means of canons" was used in several locations, including Kafr Zita. As

16-14788 46/102

mentioned above, the Mechanism could not obtain any footage showing the impact, and satellite image analysis did not yield any results with regard to signs of an air strike.

Damage and effects

Location #1

43. Unknown.

Location #2

- 44. Soil samples from the impact crater in the football field were taken by a witness and handed over to two Member States. The Mechanism reviewed the results of the analysis, which indicated the presence of chlorinated compounds.
- 45. The Mechanism requested a ballistics/explosives expert to analyse the crater images and the expert reported that: "The crater arising is somewhat larger than the practical maximal predicted for [a barrel bomb filled with chemicals] ... the inner gas cylinder is quite heavy and pointed it may be expected to penetrate deeper than the predicted value. There may also possibly have been an additional explosive charge in the bomb. If this would be the additional main contributor to the cratering it would be expected to have been of the order of about 2kg of TNT-equivalent. This is judged to be too much if resulting from detonating cord present in the bomb only, but could well be the result of the chlorine gas streaming out violently from the pressure vessel in bomb after it impacted and penetrated into the ground."

Locations #3 and #4

46. Unknown.

General

- 47. The distance between the locations indicated by different sources leads the Mechanism to consider the possibility that there were more than two targeted places on this date.
- 48. There are contradictions, insufficient information and tampering with impact sites. Therefore, the Mechanism could not reach a conclusion on this event.

Medical effects

- 49. The FFM reports of 35 patients in relation to the incident. No death was recorded. A witness stated that approximately 30 people were affected and went to the hospital. Two other witnesses said that there were around 100 people injured in total. One witness specified that tens of people were suffocating from the impact in the football field and people near the second impact place (close to the Eastern hospital) were suffering from shortness of breath and suffocation, among them medical staff in that hospital.
- 50. Too little information was available on topography, obstacles, locations, population density and characteristics (age, gender, health conditions). Therefore, a simulation of the plume dispersion did not yield tangible results.

The Leadership Panel's assessment

- 51. The Leadership Panel examined the existing information and evidence regarding the incident in Kafr Zita on 18 April 2014 and determined that the SAAF executed air strikes in that area on that day. However, the Leadership Panel could not confirm the use of barrel bombs because the remnants of the device allegedly used had been removed and could not, at this stage, be linked with certainty to impact location #2.
- 52. The Leadership Panel determined that this case merits further investigation.
- 53. This assessment was based on the following:
 - On 18 April 2014, armed opposition groups and the Nusrah Front, were present in Kafr Zita. This area was subject to regular artillery and air-borne attacks by the SAAF, some of which took place on 18 April 2014.
 - The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic has confirmed that on the date and time of the incident, the SAAF conducted an airstrike on an observation post and targeted a house that was used as depot for explosive devices. When the house was struck, a noxious, green gas was emitted.
 - Both the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic and armed opposition groups do not deny that chlorine was used in Kafr Zita on 18 April 2014.
 - Only one impact site (location #2) has been confirmed by the Mechanism. However, the Mechanism could not determine whether the impact crater was caused by a barrel bomb or a different munition, such as a mortar round.
 - The remnants of the munitions allegedly used were not found at or near the alleged impact locations, as they were removed and brought to a different location. While several pictures of remnants are published by an open source in relation to the 18 April incident, the additional information on the remnants has not been to corroborate the impact location.

16-14788 **48/102**

Annex IV

Talmenes, 21 April 2014

Findings of the OPCW Fact-Finding Mission in the Syrian Arab Republic

- 1. The Fact-Finding Mission (FFM) concluded that the information collected constituted "a compelling confirmation that a toxic chemical was used as a weapon, systematically and repeatedly, in the villages of Talm[e]nes, Al-Tamanah, and Kafr Z[i]ta in northern Syria. The descriptions, physical properties, behaviour of the gas, and signs and symptoms resulting from exposure, as well as the response of the patients to the treatment, leads the FFM to conclude, with a high degree of confidence, that chlorine, either pure or in mixture, is the toxic chemical in question." (S/2015/138, page 24, paragraph 29)
- 2. "Talmenes village was attacked with toxic chemicals on two separate occasions, first on 21 April 2014 and again on 24 April 2014." (S/2015/138, page 35, paragraph 5.6)

The Mechanism's Investigation

Background

- 3. Talmenes (Idlib Governorate, Ma'arrat al-Nu'man District) is located 5 km east of the district capital Ma'arrat al-Nu'man, on one of two highways that connect the district capital with villages to the east, including Abu al-Dhuhur and the nearby Airbase.
- 4. According to a 2004 census, Ma'arrat al-Numan District had 58,008 inhabitants, 11,359 of which lived in Talmenes. In 2014, according to the FFM report, around 20,000 people were living in the town, which included several thousand internally displaced persons (IDPs) from other places. According to a report of the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), in August 2014 over 65,500 people were in need of humanitarian assistance in the District, including 23,000 IDP.
- 5. The Nusrah Front¹ and Ahrar ash-Sham were reported to have had a heavy presence around Talmenes and involved in frequent clashes with the Syrian Arab Armed Forces (SAAF). Ahrar ash-Sham claimed that they and Firqa 13 controlled Talmenes, while others claimed that the Nusrah Front had been in control. Failaq al-Sham had presence in the area, and several other armed opposition groups may also have been present, including Sugour al-Sham.
- 6. Several sources, including the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, stated that the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) had largely retreated from Idlib by March 2014. According to this information, ISIL had not been present in Talmenes at the end of April 2014 and their next position was over 30 km away.

¹ On 30 May 2013, Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) and the Nusrah Front were designated as terrorist groups by the Security Council under resolution 1267 (1999).

- 7. On 5 March 2014, armed opposition groups launched an offensive in the area. The frontline lay to the west of Talmenes, between the village and the SAAF military base at Wadi Deif; armed opposition groups effectively controlled the territory east of the M5 motorway. By 4 April 2014, armed opposition groups had succeeded in cutting off supply lines to the two SAAF bases of Wadi Deif and Al-Hamadiyah for the second time that year, and laid siege to these bases. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that Wadi Deif had been completely surrounded at the time.
- 8. In April 2014, there were contradicting witness statements about the situation within Talmenes. Some witnesses indicated that the village experienced daily attacks, while others stated that the village had largely been spared. Media and open sources have very few references to attacks in Talmenes. However, there were many reports of clashes in nearby Ma'arrat al-Nu'man.
- 9. It is indicated in the FFM report that the Al-Siddiq Hospital in Talmenes had very limited resources and facilities, offering only basic medical aid. The more severe cases were transferred to hospitals in Bab al-Hawa and Saraqeb.

Narratives

- 10. The FFM report (S/2015/138) stated that on 21 April 2014, between 1030 and 1045 hours, two "barrel bombs" were dropped on the village in the neighbourhood around the "big mosque". They impacted on two residential properties. The people from the neighbourhood sought refuge from the air strike at an olive grove to the east of the village. A honey-wax-to-yellow coloured gas cloud rose from the impact of one of the bombs to a height of some 50-75 m. It was very dense and the smell of the released gas was pungent, irritating and "of chlorine". This cloud settled along with the wind towards the east at a height of some 1-1.5 m above the ground and covered the main escape route to the east. Approximately 200 people were affected and three people died.
- 11. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic confirmed that there was an incident in Talmenes on 21 April 2014. According to their description, an armed opposition group fired a projectile from Ma'ar Shamarin (south of Talmenes) that fell in the centre of the village, close to a residential house which is one of the residential properties mentioned in paragraph 10 above. The impact caused substantial damage and two people died. The Government further said that armed opposition group used this incident to accuse the Syrian Arab Army (SAA) to have fired a projectile armed with chlorine gas. This description did not include any information on the use of chlorine gas or affected people. One witness said to have heard the explosion and smelled an odour like "rotten eggs", but did not see any injured people.
- 12. Another source provided an assessment indicating that an ISIL-firing position for an unguided missile launcher in the area experienced a spontaneous detonation in the course of launching of a live round, releasing an unidentified toxic gas. The explosion allegedly resulted in the death of the missile launcher's operating personnel, the "intoxication" of 83 civilians and the death of livestock. The Mechanism could not obtain any additional information to support this allegation, or even ISIL presence at an operational distance.

16-14788 50/102

Date and time

- 13. A video (v01), provided by a witness, includes eyewitness statements. One of the individuals in the video stated that an "air strike" took place on Monday, 21 April 2014, at around 1100 hours and both munitions landed within 200 m of the "big mosque". According to a forensic examination, the metadata indicated that the video was originally captured on 23 April 2014, but also noted that metadata can be altered. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic confirmed that an incident occurred on 21 April 2014, but did not specify the time.
- 14. On 25 April 2014, an international newspaper published an article about the attack that occurred in Talmenes on 21 April 2014 based on its own investigations.

Weather conditions

15. On 21 April 2014, between 1000 and 1100 hours, the wind in Talmenes was blowing from west to east (250°-270°) at 3 m/s. The temperature was around 19°C to 21°C, with a relative humidity of 74 to 77 per cent.

Impact location

16. According to a witness, the "air strike" hit approximately 200 m from the big mosque. It is unclear whether this testimony refers specifically to the first or the second impact location.

Location #1

17. Two videos handed over by a witness (v02, v03) show an impact site in a courtyard. In one of the videos, a tablet is shown displaying a GPS application with the coordinates N35.6408333° E36.7426167°, approximately 140 m north-east of the mosque.

Location #2

- 18. The same videos (v02, v03) also show the impact location at a house. The coordinates displayed on the tablet are N35.6405500° E36.7418833°, which is approximately 75 m north-north-east of the mosque and approximately 75 m southwest-west of location #1.
- 19. Metadata of the above-mentioned videos do not contain GPS coordinates. Visual comparison of images and satellite pictures carried out by an external forensic institute strongly support that the GPS coordinates displayed in the videos are indeed the sites depicted in it (error 4-8 m). However, they caution, satellite images in higher resolution or other reference images could corroborate the findings, but could also theoretically give a different outcome.
- 20. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic provided the name of the owner of the house that had been targeted in the attack by armed opposition groups that they described. The name corresponds to the name of the owner of the house at location #2. The Government had stated that this person had died in the attack; however, this person was interviewed by the FFM several months after the attack.

Munition

21. The FFM report had quoted witnesses, describing the sound of the falling munition as "whistling". Upon impact, a witness heard a muted, distinct sound, as if there was no explosion or one with very low impact.

Location #1

- 22. V02 and v03, taken two days after impact, show a crater in a backyard, but no remnants. A person is seen taking measurements of the crater (300 cm in diameter and 100 cm in depth), as referred to in the FFM report (\$\frac{8}{2015}/138\$).
- 23. A forensic examination of v02 and v03 concluded that: "[The] detonation site [is] questionable in terms of showing an alleged site for a barrel bomb strike with toxic chemicals." A barrel bomb without a large explosive charge would not penetrate the hard soil to the extent seen. The expert analysis further excludes that a barrel bomb with explosives or other munition has been used, as no traces of shrapnel hits are visible in the surrounding walls and a barrel bomb with corresponding explosive content would cause surrounding walls to collapse. A mortar round, artillery shell or a bomb may have caused a detonation pit of similar size, but there would, most likely, also be traces of shrapnel hits in the surrounding walls and partially or fully collapsed walls due to the damage effect caused by the detonation.
- 24. According to the forensic expert analysis, the crater ("pit") in v02 and v03 is caused by a detonation, but the origin of the detonation is probably an explosive charge of 5 to 10 kg TNT-equivalent buried in the ground.
- 25. A video (v04) by local media shows the same courtyard and crater. There appears to be a cylinder inside containing the deformed remains of the outer jacket of a "barrel bomb", which is lying next to a crater in the courtyard. Forensic examination and image comparison strongly supported that this video showed the same backyard with a crater (location #1) as v02 and v03. Dead animals are seen next to the crater. Metadata of V04 include timestamps that indicate 20 April 2014 as the creation date, one day before the incident. It is noted, that metadata depends on the settings of the recording device used and can be altered.
- 26. The analysis of v04 did not change the analysis of the crater above. The forensic report further stated that the remnants seen in v04 are not likely the carrier of the explosives that caused the crater ("pit"), since the device would have fragmented at the top and sides dispersing into smaller pieces, like the remnants in v04. The munition would only have carried a small amount of explosives and could not have caused a crater of this size. In addition, the bodies of the dead animals seen in v04 look clean and intact, making it highly unlikely that they were in the backyard or at close vicinity when the device causing the crater detonated.
- 27. Another video (v05) provided a witness depicting the same courtyard, but had to be disregarded because it had signs of heavy editing.
- 28. As a result of these inconsistencies, location #1 was disregarded for further investigation.

16-14788 52/102

Location #2

- 29. V02 shows the remnants of a barrel bomb that impacted with the outside kitchen structure of a house. The remnants of the outer jacket are deformed. The remains of an inner cylinder, which has been split at the bottom, can be seen lying adjacent to the remnants of the outer jacket. This split is considered to be caused by either a kinetic or explosive force. The measurements of the inner cylinder are approximately 100 cm in length and 40 cm in diameter. The inner cylinder has a main valve at the centre on top and a safety valve, also on top, but offset from the centre. The main valve is broken off. Given that v02 has been taken two days after the incident, it is possible that the remnants may have been moved from the initial point of impact.
- 30. Samples taken two days after the event at location #2 were provided to an international newspaper. The results of a sample analysis have been published on 29 April 2014, stating that soil samples from Kafr Zita and Talmenes "were found by a chemical warfare expert to contain traces of chlorine and ammonia". A witness indicated that the samples were analysed by an independent expert, however, the details of the analysis and the chain of custody for these samples have not been established.
- 31. Another source had collected samples "in Talmenes at the end of April". This source shared its analysis results, stating that chlorinated compounds, as well as traces of TNT, had been found in the soil and gravel. However, the source cautioned that it had no scientific evidence of the use of chlorine.
- 32. Another witness mentioned the presence of a likely foreign non-governmental organization which also took samples. The Mechanism did not have direct access to any of the samples.
- 33. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that the munition was launched from a land-based delivery system. The munition did not contain chemicals. The Government provided a picture of the type of munition supposedly used at location #2. The munition shown in the photograph has a number of significant differences as compared with the remnants seen in the other pictures and videos related to location #2. That munition is rocket-propelled, with at least eight fins. No remnants of this kind were documented at the site.

Delivery Method

- 34. Three witnesses stated to have seen a helicopter approaching Talmenes on 21 April 2014, between 1000 and 1100 hours. They said that the helicopter carried munitions on external platforms, which they described as "wings".
- 35. In a video (v06) provided by a witness, a person states to have seen an aircraft flying right over the minaret of the mosque. First, it turned east, but then, suddenly, it attacked. This was followed by an explosion which was not very strong.
- 36. In the same video another person said to have seen an aircraft right over the minaret of the mosque dropping a bomb.
- 37. Another witness stated to have heard an aircraft at around 1030 hours and saw a helicopter flying over the village. A detonation occurred approximately 30 minutes later and a cloud of yellow smoke emerged, causing panic among the population.

- 38. Other sources provided their assessment that overflights on 21 April 2014 occurred. There are indications that a helicopter took off from Hama airbase at around 1030 hours, was spotted flying over several villages on the way to Talmenes and allegedly dropped two devices over Talmenes at around 1100 hours.
- 39. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that the impact was caused by a land-based projectile launched by an armed opposition group from the vicinity of Ma'ar Shamarin, which is approximately 3 km from the point of impact.

Damage and effects

- 40. Three witnesses described a 50 to 75-m high yellowish cloud, which was "shaped like a tree". This cloud settled at a height of some 1 to 1.5 m above the ground, over 200 m towards the east in the direction of the wind. People were affected as far as 1 to 1.5 km downwind.
- 41. According to the FFM report, all witnesses described the smell as pungent, irritating and "of chlorine", or similar to household cleaning agents, but much more intense.

Location #2

- 42. The videos from this impact location (v02) show a lot of destruction and damage to the structure of the house. The munition is understood to have impacted a concrete block building and resulted in extensive damage to the structure. Large quantities of rubble and other building debris are visible. As a result, there is not a clear view of the crater; however, a crater-like structure is visible. The video shows yellowing leaves on the trees and dead leaves on the ground.
- 43. The forensic analysis of the destruction at location #2 indicates that it is possible that the structural damage to the building could have been caused by the detonation of a barrel bomb. The large size of the remnants, they argue, would indicate that either the device contained explosives that did not detonate, or that it only contained a small amount of explosives.
- 44. The rocket-propelled munition type indicated by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic is, according to munition experts, almost certainly a conventional high-explosive type. Such an amount of explosives, estimated to be at least 200 kg, would have totally destroyed the house at location #2 and possibly a number of surrounding buildings. The damage seen in the available pictures and footage at location #2 is inconsistent with this assessment.
- 45. One witness referred to animals that had died when the barrel bomb hit at location #2. Another witness reported that the pepper plants in the garden turned yellow and half of them dried out.

16-14788 54/102

Medical effects

- 46. A witness stated that 200 people had been affected by the use of chemicals, many of whom were transferred to other hospitals. Another witness produced a list of 133 patients registered at Talmenes Hospital on 21 April 2014, based on the information of another witness, and also provided four photographs of severely affected patients. The number of injured people in Talmenes was confirmed by one other source. Another witness confirmed that 150 patients from Talmenes had been received at Jarjanaz Hospital that day.
- 47. V06 concludes with chaotic scenes in what appears to be Talmenes Hospital. It includes interviews with people who were identified as hospital staff. One of them stated that the number of casualties from 21 April 2014 went up to 400, although the timeframe is unclear.
- 48. V06 also includes testimonies of those who lived in the two houses that were impacted (locations #1 and #2). Family members reported suffering from choking and heavy coughing, unconsciousness and vomiting blood. One mother described her skin irritation from touching her affected daughter. A young boy had blood and foam coming from the mouth.
- 49. Three persons are reported to have died after referral to other hospitals in a neighbouring country. The "death certificate" of one of the victims at location #2, issued on 25 April 2014, has been obtained by the Mechanism. This document, however, does not include the cause of death. The autopsy report does not provide the cause of death either.
- 50. People used the usual escape route in case of air strikes, eastward into a low-lying olive ground. The wind was blowing from west to east with 3 m/s; according to the data from the World Meteorological Organization (WMO), the direction of the dispersion of the plume is credible. Two external sources provided their results of a chlorine dispersion plume analysis, stating that it was feasible that a chlorine barrel bomb could have affected 200 people, most of them mildly.
- 51. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic indicated that the main impact of the explosion was the destruction of a structure at location #2, which killed the owner (name provided) and his child. However, a witness interviewed by the FFM later identified himself as this person (i.e., the owner of the house and father of the child that died in the attack).
- 52. The launch of a projectile from the ground would not explain the 200 to 300 victims suffering from chlorine exposure. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that it believed the number of victims was incorrect. According to a witness, armed opposition fighters spread the information about the use of chemicals after the explosion, causing panic among the population. The same people also provided face masks to people and told them to leave the village. Despite a smell of "rotten eggs", the witness did not feel any symptoms and did not see any injured people; just those in panic. The witness attempted to enter the hospital, but was denied access. The same witness stated that people who were referred to other hospitals came back to town two days later, with no visible signs of injuries.
- 53. The description of the effect on the population who were evacuated from the town after an air strike and caught up in a toxic plume is consistent with a plume dispersion analysis carried out by two Member States and the weather

information received by WMO. While the exact number of patients could not be definitively established, it is obvious that large numbers of people were affected by toxic chemicals. Several sources shared their analysis of soil samples, which indicated the presence of chlorinated compounds.

- 54. The structural damage at location #2 could result from the detonation of a barrel bomb, but not from a conventional high-explosive type munition. The remnants documented at the location resemble those of barrel bombs, with remnants of an inner cylinder and an outer jacket. No remnants of a rocket-propelled munition were documented at the site. Witness statements provide a clear link between an explosion, the smell of chlorine, a cloud and the effect on the population.
- 55. Witnesses and other sources provided information indicating that helicopter(s) were present; and some said that the helicopters delivered the munition. Only one witness seems to have actually seen a device falling. The testimony of other witnesses and persons interviewed in videos that were provided to the Mechanism differ in their description of the time lapse between observing the "aircraft" and the explosion.

The Leadership Panel's assessment

- 56. The Leadership Panel examined the existing information regarding the two impact locations in Talmenes on 21 April 2014. There is sufficient information for the Leadership Panel to conclude that the incident at impact location #2 was caused by a SAAF helicopter dropping a device causing damage to the structure of a concrete block building house and was followed by the release of a toxic substance which affected the population.
- 57. This conclusion was based on the following:
 - Ahrar ash-Sham and the Nusrah Front had heavy presence around Talmenes. Both were said to have been in control of the town. Talmenes was subject to regular artillery and air force attacks around and on 21 April 2014. On that day there was an ongoing battle between Government forces and armed opposition groups, as well as the Nusrah Front around the two military bases at Wadi Deif and Al-Hamidiyah, both of which are in close proximity of Talmenes.
 - Witnesses stated that the release of toxic chemicals followed the explosion of a barrel bomb dropped from an aircraft.
 - Both the Government of Syrian Arab Republic and the armed opposition groups do not deny that chlorine was used in Talmenes on 21 April 2014.
 - The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that the impact (location #2) was caused by a land-based projectile launched by an armed opposition group. The structural damage was not found to be consistent with this.
 - Only one of the alleged impact sites (location #2) has been found plausible by the Mechanism.

16-14788 56/102

- At the time when the incident occurred, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic had lost control of six airbases, including Taftanaz airbase (Idlib Governorate). The Government informed the Mechanism that 15 helicopters were left behind of at Taftanaz airbase, nine of which were deemed operational.
- The Leadership Panel reviewed all the information gathered and found no evidence that armed opposition groups in Talmenes was operating a helicopter at the time and location of the incident.
- While the exact number of patients could not be definitively established, it is obvious that large numbers of people were affected by toxic chemicals.

Annex V

Al-Tamanah, 29-30 April 2014

Findings of the OPCW Fact-Finding Mission in the Syrian Arab Republic

- 1. The OPCW Fact-Finding Mission (FFM) concluded that the information collected constituted "a compelling confirmation that a toxic chemical was used as a weapon, systematically and repeatedly, in the villages of Talm[e]nes, Al-Tamanah, and Kafr Z[i]ta in northern Syria. The descriptions, physical properties, behaviour of the gas, and signs and symptoms resulting from exposure, as well as the response of the patients to the treatment, leads the FFM to conclude, with a high degree of confidence, that chlorine, either pure or in mixture, is the toxic chemical in question". (S/2015/138, page 24, paragraph 29)
- 2. "The dates recounted are 12, 18, and 30 April 2014, and 22 and 25 May 2014. All attacks, except the one of 22 May 2014, occurred at night. These attacks resulted in more than 150 casualties, and eight of the most severely affected, mostly women and children, died from exposure to lethal doses of the toxic chemical." (S/2015/138, page 20, paragraph 10)
- 3. Among the five dates the witnesses recalled was an incident in the night from 29 to 30 April, resulting in 35 casualties. (S/2015/138, Table 4 on page 43)

The Mechanism's Investigation

Background

- 4. Al-Tamanah (Idlib Governorate, Ma'arrat al-Nu'man District) is located less than 9 km east of the M5 Damascus-Aleppo motorway, on the section between the cities of Hama and Idlib. The FFM reported that, in 2014, approximately 20,000 inhabitants lived in the vicinity of Al-Tamanah, as compared to 7,385 in town and 29,144 in the sub-district in the 2004 census. This included 5,000 to 10,000 internally displaced persons (IDPs). According to a report from the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), in August 2014, 5,500 IDPs were in need of humanitarian assistance in the Al-Tamanah sub-district.
- 5. At the time of the incidents, Al-Tamanah found itself in immediate proximity to the front line. While several armed opposition groups operated from the vicinity of Al-Tamanah, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic held checkpoints and bases along the M5 motorway and Khan Shaykhun in the west of Al-Tamanah.
- 6. The first half of 2014 in Idlib saw clashes between the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic and armed opposition groups around the M5. The armed opposition groups were aiming at and partly succeeding in opening their access to Idlib city and cutting Government supply to their military bases. Morek (approximately 10 km to the south-south-west of Al-Tamanah) had been captured by armed opposition group in February 2014, since then been contested and reportedly recaptured by Government forces on 14 April 2014.

16-14788 **58/102**

- 7. In March and April 2014, armed opposition group operations concentrated on capturing checkpoints along the M5 between Morek, Khan Shaykhun and Ma'arrat al-Nu'man. It seems that different armed opposition groups were at that time joining forces and divided "responsibility" of checkpoints among them. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic sought to maintain M5 access, while also establishing alternative routes to Aleppo and Idlib city.
- 8. In spring 2014, Al-Tamanah was used as a "collective operational base" by several armed opposition groups. United Nations Security Council designated terrorist organizations, such as the Nusrah Front, and those affiliated with them were also present. Witnesses also referred to the presence of the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) in Al-Tamanah; however, following clashes with the Nusrah Front and armed opposition groups, ISIL had largely retreated from Idlib in March 2014.
- 9. Several other armed opposition groups had presence and operations in the area, however, the period was characterized by a high volatility of conflict dynamics, armed opposition group locations and alliances, as well as spheres of influence.
- 10. One of the more influential armed opposition groups was reportedly the Idlib Military Council, which formed part of the Jabhat Thuwar Suriyya since December 2013. There are contradicting statements regarding the presence of other armed opposition groups at the time of the incidents. While some sources report that Jaish Al-Izza, an alliance of several groups formed in 2014, was temporarily headquartered in Al-Tamanah, other sources did not confirm this. Witnesses indicated that Ahrar ash-Sham had presence there as well, but its representatives did not confirm this.
- 11. Two health facilities in Al-Tamanah have been mentioned by witnesses in relation to the incidents under investigation: The Hanin Medical Charity/Point and the 9th Medical Point. In open sources and media reports about patients suffering from exposure to chlorine, the Hanin Medical Point and a medical field facility can be seen. Hanin Medical Point is funded by donations, without the consent of the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic. Due to the limited capacities, severely injured people are often referred to other hospitals.

Narrative

- 12. There are different descriptions of the events in Al-Tamanah. The description emerging from the testimony of FFM witnesses is that in the night between 29 and 30 April 2014, an alert was issued by a flight monitoring observatory of helicopters approaching and potentially bringing chlorine bombs. Two barrel bombs were dropped and 35 patients sought medical attention with symptoms related to chlorine exposure at that day. According to the witnesses, Al-Tamanah was attacked five times with barrel bombs possibly containing chlorine dropped from helicopters in April and May 2014.
- 13. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic denies any military activity of its Forces in Al-Tamanah on that date and provided information to show that the

¹ On 30 May 2013, Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) and the Nusrah Front were designated as terrorist groups by the Security Council under resolution 1267 (1999).

events had been fabricated. In accordance with this, seven witnesses stated that frequent alerts had been issued, but in fact no incidents with chemicals took place. While people sought safety after the warnings, their homes were looted and rumours spread that the events were being staged.

- 14. Based on witness statements, the Mechanism assessed the possibility that a conventional air strike or attack took place and the chemical exposure was wrongly attributed to this. However, no air activity could be established. The witness testimonies of air strikes did not specify a date and the description as such could not be linked to the incident of 29-30 April 2014. Hence, this possibility was disregarded.
- 15. Several witnesses gave testimony of repeated air strikes around or on the dates of the incidents in Al-Tamanah. Information and statistics available to and analysed by the Mechanism did not contain specific data on air strikes in the town, to establish a more accurate picture of the conflict dynamics in the immediate vicinity at the time. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that no military activities were conducted from land or air in Al-Tamanah on the dates of the incidents, but did not provide any records of flight operations to support this statement.

Date and time

- 16. Most of the witnesses were interviewed several months after the alleged incidents. Due to the frequency of alerts and incidents related to military activity, the witnesses' memory of the events might have blurred. Most of them did not give specific dates, but referred to several incidents in a timeframe between March and June 2014.
- 17. Only one witness specifically referred to the incident on 29-30 April 2014, but did not provide a specific time. The same witness said that four people died in this incident and did not mention a second impact location.
- 18. Three witnesses, who did not give any description of the incident on 29-30 April 2014, provided material of unknown source. One witness had second-hand knowledge of two of the five incidents in Al-Tamanah, but did not remember the exact dates. Later that witness provided a USB-stick with information of unknown origin, which was saved in separate folders according to the dates of all the five incidents mentioned by the FFM. Another witness provided the dates of all five incidents reading it from a piece of paper, but did not provide any testimony on the incident on 29-30 April 2014. The latter also provided a video titled "site where second barrel containing toxic chlorine gas was dropped tamanaa 30 April 14".
- 19. Several media reports quoted "local activists" saying that one or several helicopters dropped "two bombs laden with gas" or "explosive devices containing chlorine" on the town of Al-Tamanah in the early hours of the morning of 30 April 2014. Several open source videos show patients being treated in what appears to be Hanin Medical Point and a field medical facility.
- 20. Several witnesses stated that chemical weapons alerts through media or the local early warning systems occurred frequently at non-regular intervals since April or May 2014. Although the majority of witnesses referred to the chemical weapons alerts, issued by a "flight observatory", the exact dates of the warnings remained unclear.

16-14788 60/102

- 21. Seven witnesses stated that after several alerts, no attack actually occurred, and that they had come forward to contest the wide-spread false media reports. The alerts, they said, were false alarms and toxic chemicals had never been used in Al-Tamanah. It remained unclear how they could make such exclusive statements for the whole town at any time. Some of these witnesses stated that after the false chemical alerts, the houses of those who evacuated had been looted.
- 22. When interviewed a second time, two of those witnesses described air strikes in Al-Tamanah in or at the end of April 2014, which they had not mentioned before, but said that chemicals were not involved in any of the attacks. Although no specific dates have been given, the Mechanism investigated the possibility that these statements refer to the incident on 29-30 April 2014, but could not find any links to support that.

Weather conditions

23. In the night from 29 to 30 April 2014, between 2000 and 0100 hours, the wind came from the west (260-300°) and the wind speed declined from 4 m/s to 2 m/s over that period. For the rest of the night, the wind speed from various directions declined from 4 m/s to 2 m/s. From 2000 to 0600 hours, the temperature dropped gradually from 18°C to 13°C and the relative humidity increased from 82 per cent at 2000 hours to 93 per cent at 0600 hours.

Impact locations

Location #1

- 24. The Mechanism endeavoured to establish the location of the impact at a residential house in the north of Al-Tamanah. The exact location was not given and could not be determined from the descriptions and reference points given by the witnesses. No public satellite imagery was available for the time and location in question. Despite repeated requests, no military satellite imagery was made available to the Mechanism either.
- 25. All footage provided by witnesses was showing the interior of the Hanin Medical Point, but not the surrounding area. Two videos (open source) show an impact location between houses that appear uninhabited. The videos do not show enough of the surroundings to establish the exact impact location.

Location #2

26. Another video (available on open sources), which has been provided to the Mechanism by several different sources, shows remnants on an open field. An additional video provided by a witness shows an impact location on an open field with something that looks like remnants of munition. The impact location is next to an unpaved road. Houses that look uninhabited can be seen in the vicinity, some of which are damaged. The video does not show enough of the surroundings to establish of the exact impact location.

Munition

Location #1

- 27. The Mechanism did not obtain any parts, samples or imagery (photos or videos) of the munition or its remnants at location #1. Some remnant fragments can be observed in the videos mentioned previously, but not enough to allow for sufficient analysis.
- 28. The witness described the impact of a barrel and subsequent explosion, as observed from a roof, as follows: "A flame or fire or something yellow went 20-25 m up in the air and disappeared immediately." This is understood to refer to the location #1. The witness did not mention a second impact and stated that four people had died in this attack, although no deaths have been established by the FFM for this incident. The witness did not have a mask and, therefore, was unable to go to the impact location. The witness neither mentioned the smell of chlorine nor was aware of a chemical alert.

Location #2

- 29. The Mechanism did not obtain any parts or samples of the munition or its remnants at location #2. The remnants in the video of location #2 could be interpreted as remnants of a barrel bomb. However, only parts of the outer jacket can be seen, not allowing for a definite analysis either. In the absence of sufficient footage or any description of the remnants, the Mechanism endeavoured to draw conclusions on the munition from the description of the impact.
- 30. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic provided information that on 30 April 2014 a device left by an armed opposition group exploded on an agricultural road west of Al-Tamanah, which led to the death of one citizen. The Mechanism investigated potential links to location #2, but could not find any further information to support this.

Delivery method

31. Despite repeated requests, none of the Mechanism's sources provided information regarding air movements on the night from 29 to 30 April 2014; neither affirmative nor negative. Only the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic provided information and stated that they did not have any military activities from land or air in Al-Tamanah at this date. Witness statements about air strikes around the time could not be linked to the specific night from 29 to 30 April 2014.

Location #1

32. The eyewitness, who stated to have been on the roof, said to have heard a helicopter and the "very loud" sound of a falling barrel. Some interviewees had referred to a distinct whistling sound of barrels that contain chlorine as they fall. The witness statement could not be corroborated with any further information.

Location #2

33. No witness statements or other information was available to either confirm the claim that a second barrel bomb was dropped from a helicopter, or that an improvised explosive device (IED) exploded.

16-14788 62/102

Damage and effects

Location #1

- 34. According to the eyewitness, the building was almost entirely destroyed, with only two walls remaining standing. The surrounding area was also affected. The two videos mentioned above also show a high level of destruction.
- 35. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic has put forward their analysis of these two videos, concluding that the destruction was a result of a conventional munition rather than a chemical munition.
- 36. The Mechanism requested a forensic examination from an independent institute. The findings were inconclusive. A shallow "hole" can be seen; it might have been the result of a conventional barrel bomb with explosives, but an impact from a chemical barrel bomb could not be excluded.
- 37. Additional open source videos are allegedly related to the incident on 29-30 April 2014. Two of those videos were considered showing location #1. Parts of a destroyed house can be seen, together with a crater and something that might be remnants of munition. However, it could not be determined whether these munition parts are linked to the impact, or not. The videos do not show enough of the surrounding areas to determine potential environmental impact.
- 38. The description of the impact by the witness, in addition to the destruction seen in the videos, does rather point to the use of conventional munition (air or land) than to the use of a barrel bomb filled with chlorine, which would have a small explosive charge. The information available, however, is not enough for a thorough analysis.

Location #2

39. The videos do not show a crater or other signs of the impact of the remnants.

Medical effects

- 40. The FFM describes the medical symptoms of patients for all of the five incidents in summary. For the 29-30 April 2014 incident, the FFM reported 35 patients. A witness who provided the dates, number of patients and deaths for each of the five incidents, read it from a piece of paper.
- 41. The eyewitness of the explosion related to location #1 stated that four people died and 70 were injured in this incident, while the FFM report had established only 35 patients and no deaths. Some media reports also referred to 70 people affected by the use of chlorine as a weapon.
- 42. An independent source provided a list of unknown origin with 12 names of people injured with chlorine gas on 29 April 2014. No medical records have been received from the Hanin Medical Point, despite repeated requests. The Mechanism requested medical documentation from referral hospitals, but did not receive any information relevant to the date and incident in question.
- 43. Videos retrieved from open sources and from a witness, respectively, show patients being treated in Hanin Medical Point and in a medical field facility. However, the analysis of these videos did not provide any additional and verifiable

information on the alleged impact site or delivery methods. For that reason, no further forensic analysis has been undertaken.

- 44. Some of the witnesses stated that "nothing happened" in Al-Tamanah and did not see any patients that suffered from chemical exposure. However, these witnesses are not considered to be in a position to make definitive statements for the whole town.
- 45. Without knowing the impact location and how densely the impact area was populated, in addition to the fact that many people had left the village when a chemical weapons alert was issued, insufficient data was available to apply chlorine dispersion models.

Further information

- 46. The incident received broad media coverage. Some international media reported that the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic had used barrel bombs with toxic chemicals.
- 47. Several witnesses reported that local responders (sometimes specified as the "white helmets") established basic early warning systems through local media, volunteers, hand-held radios and mosques. After the first chemical attacks, they provided information about the recommended behaviour in case of air strikes and chemical attacks. In case of chemicals alerts, people were encouraged to move upwind of the point of impact to higher elevations, while in case of conventional attacks people were advised to seek shelter in basements.
- 48. Six witnesses stated that people left the village and that during the evacuation after what they said were false alarms, their own or neighbours' houses were looted. Some referred to people with "masks", potentially gas masks, who were giving face masks soaked in "Coca-Cola" or "Pepsi" to children. Two witnesses referred to rumours in town of people trying to "blame" the Government or stage the incidents.
- 49. A witness described an air strike on the house of an armed opposition group fighter "at the end of April". The next day, people wearing masks were "digging something out of the ground" and filming the scene, saying that Al-Tamanah was hit with toxic chlorine gas. However, the witness did not smell gas or see any injured people. When interviewed before, the same witness had not mentioned this incident.
- 50. Some of the witnesses said that armed men, some of them from Al-Tamanah and some foreigners from other countries, were issuing false chemical alarms saying that the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic military would attack the village with chemicals, sometimes saying chlorine, and telling people to leave the village. These alerts were issued in different ways, from vehicles with microphones, mosques or just telling people in person. Two witnesses mention the same person who was allegedly filming the "staged" scenarios.
- 51. One witness said that people were knocking on the door. People on motorcycles and cars told people to leave the house because a plane was going to attack. Children of different ages were running after them. Apparently the people, which the witness believes to belong to the Nusrah Front, went to the school, took the children out and gave them diapers soaked with a liquid in order to use them as gas masks, claiming that chlorine would be used in the air strike. The witness stayed at home despite the warning and did not smell or see anything.

16-14788 64/102

The Leadership Panel's assessment

- 52. The Leadership Panel determined that there is insufficient information to confirm, or to exclude the possibility of a chemical attack. It further determined that there was contradictory and insufficient evidence to draw a conclusion on the actors involved.
- 53. This assessment was based on the following:
 - There is scarcity of relevant information about the incidents in Al-Tamanah. No flight movements could be established by the Mechanism.
 - There are discrepancies in the statements made by different witnesses and the descriptions of the event are inconsistent. On the one hand, some witnesses described people affected by the use of chlorine as a weapon. On the other hand, other witnesses described air strikes in Al-Tamanah in or at the end of April 2014 and stated that chemicals were not involved in any of the attacks.
 - This incident has been considered by experts to stem from an attack with conventional munition.

Annex VI

Al-Tamanah, 25-26 May 2014

Findings of the OPCW Fact-Finding Mission in the Syrian Arab Republic

- 1. The Fact-Finding Mission (FFM) concluded that the information collected constituted "a compelling confirmation that a toxic chemical was used as a weapon, systematically and repeatedly, in the villages of Talm[e]nes, Al-Tamanah, and Kafr Z[i]ta in northern Syria. The descriptions, physical properties, behaviour of the gas, and signs and symptoms resulting from exposure, as well as the response of the patients to the treatment, leads the FFM to conclude, with a high degree of confidence, that chlorine, either pure or in mixture, is the toxic chemical in question". (S/2015/138, page 24, paragraph 29)
- 2. "The dates recounted are 12, 18 and 30 April 2014, and 22 and 25 May 2014. All attacks, except the one of 22 May 2014, occurred at night." (S/2015/138, page 20, paragraph 10)
- 3. Among the five dates that the witnesses recalled was an incident in the night from 25 to 26 May 2014, without casualties. (S/2015/138, Table 4 on page 43)

The Mechanism's investigation

Background

- 4. Al-Tamanah (Idlib Governorate, Ma'arrat al-Nu'man District) is located less than 9 km east of the M5 Damascus-Aleppo motorway, on the section between the cities of Hama and Idlib. The FFM reported that in 2014 approximately 20,000 inhabitants lived in Al-Tamanah, as compared to 7,385 in town and 29,144 in the sub-district in the 2004 census. This included 5,000 to 10,000 internally displaced persons (IDPs). According to a report from the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), in August 2014, 5,500 IDPs were in need of humanitarian assistance in the Al-Tamanah sub-district.
- 5. At the time of the incidents, Al-Tamanah found itself in immediate proximity to the front line. While several armed opposition groups operated from the vicinity of Al-Tamanah, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic held checkpoints and bases along the M5 motorway and Khan Shaykhun in the west of Al-Tamanah.
- 6. The first half of 2014 in Idlib saw clashes between the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic and armed opposition groups around the M5. The armed opposition groups were aiming at and partly succeeding in opening their access to Idlib city and cutting Government supply to their military bases. Morek (approximately 10 km to the south-south-west of Al-Tamanah) had been captured by armed opposition groups in February 2014, since been contested and reportedly recaptured by Government forces on 14 April 2014.
- 7. In March and April 2014, armed opposition groups' operations concentrated on capturing checkpoints along the M5 between Morek, Khan Shaykhun and Ma'arrat al-Nu'man. It seems that different groups were at that time joining forces and

16-14788 66/102

divided "responsibility" of checkpoints among them. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic sought to maintain M5 access while also establishing alternative routes to Aleppo and Idlib city.

- 8. Several witnesses gave testimony of repeated air strikes around or on the dates of the incidents in Al-Tamanah. Information and statistics available to and analysed by the Mechanism did not contain specific data on air strikes in the town to establish a more accurate picture of the conflict dynamics in the immediate vicinity at that time. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that it did not conduct any military activities from land or air in Al-Tamanah on the dates of the incidents.
- 9. In the first half of 2014, Al-Tamanah was reportedly being used as a "collective operational base" by several armed opposition groups. Witnesses also referred to the presence of the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL)¹ in Al-Tamanah; however, following clashes with the Nusrah Front and armed opposition groups, ISIL had largely retreated from Idlib in March 2014.
- 10. Several armed opposition groups had presence and operations in the area, however, due to the high volatility of conflict dynamics, their locations and alliances (in addition to contradicting reports, most of which do not have the level of detail required), the exact locations and spheres of influence at the date and locations investigated cannot be established with certainty.
- 11. One of the more influential armed opposition groups was reportedly the Idlib Military Council, which formed part of the Jabhat Thuwar Suriyya since December 2013. There are contradicting statements regarding the presence of other armed opposition groups at the time of the incidents. While some sources report that Jaish Al-Izza, an alliance of several groups formed in 2014, was temporarily headquartered in Al-Tamanah, other sources did not confirm this. Witnesses indicated that Ahrar ash-Sham had presence there too, but its representatives did not confirm this.
- 12. Two health facilities in Al-Tamanah have been mentioned by witnesses in relation to the incidents under investigation the Hanin Medical Charity/Point and the 9th Medical Point. In open sources and media reports about patients suffering from exposure to chlorine, the Hanin Medical Point and a medical facility in a tent can be seen. Hanin Medical Point is funded by donations, without consent of the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic. Due to the limited capacities, severely injured people are often referred to other hospitals.

Narratives

13. The description that emerged from the testimony of FFM witnesses was that on the night between 25 and 26 May 2014, two barrel bombs were dropped over Al-Tamanah. One of it failed to explode (location #1), but the impact opened a cylinder inside the barrel which leaked chlorine. The unexploded barrel was found in the morning. The other barrel bomb exploded (location #2), but nobody was affected as it fell in an uninhabited area of the village.

¹ On 30 May 2013, Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) and the Nusrah Front were designated as terrorist groups by the Security Council under resolution 1267 (1999).

14. Similarly to the incident on 29-30 April 2014, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic denies any military activity of its forces in Al-Tamanah on 25 and 26 May and provided information to show that the events had been fabricated. Accordingly, other witnesses stated that frequent alerts had been issued but in fact no incidents with chemicals took place, and while people sought safety after the warnings, their homes were looted. Witnesses stated that they heard rumours that the events were being staged.

Date and time

- 15. Most of the witnesses did not give specific dates, but referred to several incidents between March and July 2014. Only one witness specifically recalled the date of 25-26 May 2014. The same witness stated to have helped dismantle the munition the following day and noticed a strong smell of chlorine. That witness also provided five videos of the excavation of the munition, in addition to five pictures of the remnants in a courtyard.
- 16. Another witness gave the summary of an event that resembled the description of the first witness and likely refers to the same incident, without recalling the exact date, and said that the event occurred around 2300 hours. The interviewee was among a group of people who found the unexploded munition the following morning.
- 17. A third witness described second-hand knowledge about an incident in which one barrel bomb failed to explode but leaked gas.
- 18. One witness had second-hand knowledge of two of the five incidents in Al-Tamanah. The witness did not remember the exact dates, but later provided a USB-stick with information, which was saved in separate folders according to the dates of all the five incidents mentioned by the FFM. The folder "صور البرميل 25-5-2014" contained four pictures and a video of the munition in a courtyard. This witness did not refer to this incident during the interview.
- 19. Another witness provided the dates of all five incidents, reading it from a piece of paper, but did not provide any testimony related to the incident on 25-26 May 2014.
- 20. Seven witnesses from Al-Tamanah said that since April 2014, "false" chemical weapons alerts occurred frequently in non-regular intervals. Several of them stated that no chemical weapons had ever been used in Al-Tamanah.

Weather conditions

21. On the night from 25 to 26 May 2014, between 2000 and 0500 hours, the wind came from the west (280°) at a speed from 3 m/s to 2 m/s (3 m/s at 2000 hours). The temperature dropped gradually from 22°C to 15° C and the relative humidity increased from 79 per cent at 2000 hours to 89 per cent at 0500 hours.

16-14788 **68/102**

Impact location

22. The witness who gave a testimony resembling the events on 25 and 26 May without recalling the date drew a map of the impact location. However, due to the lack of detail and reference points on that map, it did not help to determine the impact location. Another witness drew a map of four impact locations in Al-Tamanah and numbered them 1, 2, 3 and 5, but it is unclear which impact location from the drawing correlates to which incident.

Location #1

- 23. The exact impact location of the barrel that failed to explode could not be determined from the witness statements or footage provided. Four videos showed this impact location (v01-v04). All four videos are filmed in close-ups and the surroundings cannot be seen. These videos were not forensically examined.
- 24. A witness stated that an unexploded barrel fell on a house and mentioned the name of the owner. The exact location of the house could not be determined. No public satellite imagery of the timeframe in question was available to find a potential crater or impact. No military satellite imagery has been made available to the Mechanism despite repeated requests.

Location #2

25. The witness who helped to evacuate the unexploded munition and recalled the exact date stated that another barrel exploded but fell onto a house in an uninhabited area. This witness also provided a video of the impact location. Another witness also provided a video of that impact location. While these two videos are filmed from different angles and have different lengths, they show the same scene. Not enough of the surrounding area can be seen in these videos to help determine the coordinates of the impact location. The witness who helped excavate the munition at impact location #1 but did not remember the exact date mentioned the impact in an uninhabited area too, and said that they searched but could not find this impact location.

Munition

Location #1

- 26. The device at location #1 failed to explode. The witness who helped to excavate it and recalled the exact date provided four videos related to impact location #1: v01 shows the impact site; v02, the dismantling of the barrel; v03, the excavation; and v04, the barrel being loaded onto a pickup truck. That witness also provided five pictures of the munition in a courtyard. Another witness could not remember the dates of the incidents and in the interview could not describe the incident on 25-26 May 2014, but provided a USB-stick that had four pictures and a video of the munition in the same courtyard under a folder named "عبور الدرميل".
- 27. The Mechanism could not independently verify the time and location of these videos and pictures, in particular due to the fact that they were shot up close and there was a lack of surroundings on the videos (i.e., no comparators to do the analysis). The surroundings are not clearly visible in any of the footage.
- 28. V02 shows the unexploded barrel that looks like it landed in a hole approximately 2.5 m deep, buried more than halfway in the soil with its fins up in

- the air (i.e., the rear end of the barrel). Two persons are in the hole, dismantling the munition. Both persons, in addition to other people at the edge of the hole, have protective masks without canisters over their faces (i.e., those "gas masks" would be non-functioning). Also, none of the people are wearing any additional protective gear such as gloves. During the whole dismantling process, the two persons were not using any of the safety measures or equipment that would be required for this activity if hazardous substances were leaking in a confined space like the hole.
- 29. From what can be seen, the unexploded barrel bomb consists of an outer barrel, an inner cylinder, powder that might be explosive and detonation cords. There seems to be a significant amount of the powdery substance between the inner cylinder and the outer barrel. The inner cylinder has two valves, one of which is wrapped in large amounts of detonation cords, fixed with tape. The detonation cords go from the valve of the inner cylinder towards the bottom part of the barrel. It cannot be said if the inner cylinder is empty or filled with anything. A piece of cloth and more of the powdery substance were between the outer barrel and inner cylinder.
- 30. In v03, the barrel is still in the position as described above, but chains were attached. Many people, including children, surround the hole, none of them wearing protective masks or gloves. The barrel is being dragged out of the ground and the hole. When the barrel is pulled out of the hole, the damage of the outer barrel can be seen at the rear end where the fins are. The bottom of the inner cylinder is also visible and no defects or ruptures can be noticed.
- 31. V04 shows people dragging the barrel through a courtyard, up the stairs and loading it on a pickup truck. None of them are wearing any protection. Five pictures provided by this witness show the same munition in a tiled courtyard. It looks like all the powdery substance was removed together with the detonation cords and pieces of cloth.
- 32. The witness who provided the videos described the munition as follows: "On the valve of the inner cylinder was a detonator, which looked like a blue rope or blue strain and goes around the valve to detonate it so the gas could come out; and a yellow-brownish powder (about 50 kg in total) was between the inner cylinder and the outer barrel and on the top of the inner cylinder. It is there to help with the explosion." The unexploded barrel was approximately 1.5 m long and had a cover on the top and bottom, held with screws. The barrel did not contain any markings and was locally made. The cylinder had numbers on the top, "maybe 976". This witness stated that the device fell and impacted with the tail part first, damaging the bottom of the cylinder, and demonstrated this with a self-made drawing. On this drawing, the tails and fins of the device are shown sticking in the ground. However, in the video that the same witness provided, the tail and fin parts are above the ground and the nose-end impacted the ground first.
- 33. The munition in a tiled courtyard shown in the video and pictures provided by another witness matches the one in the videos.
- 34. In an additional open source video (v05), a person that is also seen in the videos discussed above stands next to what is assessed to be the same barrel. The person states that this was the fifth attack on Al-Tamanah with a barrel that contained a substance that they think is chlorine, but that the barrel did not explode when it fell on soft soil. The cylinder inside released the gas slowly over the course of at least three hours. Forensic examination found a time stamp indicating the

16-14788 **70/102**

- uploading date of the video to "YouTube" as 29 May 2014 at 14:23:32 UTC, although it could also have been uploaded on 28 May, two to three days after the alleged incident. However, the analysis can neither establish the date on which the video was filmed nor give information on the location.
- 35. In none of the videos and pictures provided can a fuse or blasting cap be seen. Hence, it cannot be determined from this material how this barrel bomb functioned. The covers on top and bottom mentioned by the witness, held with screws, were not seen in any of the videos or pictures.
- 36. Forensic examination of v05 stated that the munition "seems to be of the same thin metal as seen before in other cases". According to the analysis, which is coherent with the Mechanism's assessment, the explosion of 50 kg of explosives would have destroyed (fragmented into small pieces) the outer jacket. The munition's effects on the surroundings would be more like those of a conventional munition rather than of a munition filled with chemicals. If this amount of explosives detonated, and the inner cylinder had contained chlorine, the chlorine would likely be oxidized which would greatly limit the effect of chlorine gas.
- 37. Also, it is unclear when the gas leakage took place. The witnesses said that upon arrival at the scene, they had to get gas masks because the smell was too strong. However, the gas masks in the videos were missing filter canisters. It is unclear how long after finding of barrel the dismantling, as seen in the video, started.
- 38. The forensic report also stated: "It is judged that the cylinder may leak gaseous substances for shorter or longer times than three hours depending of the content of the cylinder (pure gas, extent of mixing of chemicals) and the damage type and extent."
- 39. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic provided their analysis of v05, in which a person says that the cylinder leaked gas for three hours, stating that the gas in the inner cylinder would be released in seconds due to the pressure, volume, damage, temperature and impact strength. The Mechanism can neither accept nor reject this assessment, as too little is known about the specifics of the container, filling of the cylinder, damage and surroundings to exclude the possibility of a three-hour long leaking process with certainty.

Location #2

40. According to a witness, the second barrel fell onto a house which nobody lived in and exploded. The witness went to location #2 and smelled chlorine, but did not see any remnants. Another witness stated that "they" had searched, but could not find this impact location. The videos from this location show something that looks like remnants, but it cannot be said for sure.

Delivery method

- 41. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that they did not have any military operations on land or air at the time of the incident. Despite repeated requests, none of the sources used by the Mechanism could provide any information regarding air movements on the date in question, neither affirmative nor negative.
- 42. The witness who helped to excavate the munition said that on 25 May 2014, two barrels were dropped. In the open source videos described above, the speaker refers to air strikes by the "Assad" forces.

Location #1

43. Forensic examination of the footage of the munition assessed that the existence of stabilizing fins point towards the barrel bomb being constructed to be dropped from an aircraft. On hard soil, the penetration of the barrel into the ground after being dropped from a high altitude would be very limited and the damage on the barrel would be substantial, which is not the case with the barrel in the video. In soft soil, it could penetrate further down and the impact could have affected the nose/front part of the barrel in such a way as seen of the barrel depicted in the video. A witness mentioned impact in "soft soil"; however, the condition of the soil could not have been determined from the video analysis to assess whether the deep penetration of the barrel into the ground could have resulted from a high-altitude drop.

Location #2

44. There is no further specific information on location #2 with regard to the delivery method.

Damage and effects

Location #1

45. The barrel fell into a hole in the ground and failed to explode.

Location #2

46. The videos from this impact location show a lot of destruction and damage on the houses. No crater can be seen in any of the videos.

Medical effects

47. None.

Further information

- 48. The incident received broad media coverage. Some international media reported that the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic had used barrel bombs with toxic chemicals.
- 49. Several witnesses reported that local responders (sometimes specified as the "white helmets") established basic early warning systems through local media, volunteers, hand-held radios and mosques. After the first chemical attacks, they provided information about the recommended behaviour in case of air strikes and chemical attacks. In case of chemicals alerts, people were encouraged to move

16-14788 72/102

upwind of the point of impact to higher elevations, while in case of conventional attacks people were advised to seek shelter in basements.

- 50. Six witnesses stated that people left the village and that during the evacuation after what they said were false alarms, their own or neighbours' houses were looted. Some referred to people with "masks", potentially gas masks, who were giving face masks soaked in "Coca-Cola" or "Pepsi" to children. Two witnesses referred to rumours in town of people trying to "blame" the Government or stage the incidents.
- 51. A witness described an air strike on the house of an armed opposition group fighter "at the end of April". The next day, people wearing masks were "digging something out of the ground" and filming the scene, saying that Al-Tamanah was hit with toxic chlorine gas. However, the witness did not smell gas or see any injured people. When interviewed before, the same witness had not mentioned this incident.
- 52. Some of the witnesses said that armed men, some of them from Al-Tamanah and some foreigners from other countries, were issuing false chemical alarms saying that the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic military would attack the village with chemicals, sometimes saying chlorine, and telling people to leave the village. These alerts were issued in different ways, from vehicles with microphones, mosques or just telling people in person. Two witnesses mention the same person who was allegedly filming the "staged" scenarios.
- 53. One witness said that people were knocking on the door. People on motorcycles and cars told people to leave the house because a plane was going to attack. Children of different ages were running after them. Apparently the people, which the witness believes to belong to the Nusrah Front, went to the school, took the children out and gave them diapers soaked with a liquid in order to use them as gas masks, claiming that chlorine would be used in the air strike. The witness stayed at home despite the warning and did not smell or see anything.

The Leadership Panel's assessment

- 54. The Leadership Panel examined the existing information and evidence regarding the incident in Al-Tamanah on 25-26 May 2014 and determined that there was insufficient evidence to draw a conclusion on the actors involved and the modality of the use of chemicals as weapons in this incident.
- 55. This assessment was based on the following:
 - There is scarcity of relevant information about all incidents in Al-Tamanah. No flight movements could be established by the Mechanism.
 - Several witnesses stated that since April 2014, "false" chemical weapons alerts occurred frequently in non-regular intervals and that no chemicals had ever been used as weapons in Al-Tamanah.
 - Other witnesses informed of an unexploded "barrel bomb" which leaked chlorine. However, there was insufficient evidence to corroborate these testimonies.

Annex VII

Qmenas, 16 March 2015

Findings of the OPCW Fact-Finding Mission in the Syrian Arab Republic

- 1. The Fact-Finding Mission (FFM) report (S/2015/908, page 84, paragraph 3.8) refers to an incident on the night of 16 March 2015 between 2000 and 2100 hours: "... the occupants of the houses situated in the eastern and north-eastern part of the village, relatively close to the impact point, smelled an odour similar to chlorine-based household cleaning agents, but much more intense." (S/2015/908, page 84, paragraph 3.9)
- 2. "From the 60 or so individuals who arrived from Qmenas to the Sarmin field hospital on 16 March 2015, 40 cases had clinical signs of anxiety, six cases were considered as secondary exposure (one treating physician and five first responders), and 14 patients were considered as directly exposed." (S/2015/908, page 84, paragraph 3.12)
- 3. "In itself, no one source of information or evidence would lend particularly strong weighting as to whether there was an event that had used a toxic chemical as a weapon. However, taken in their entirety, sufficient facts were collected to conclude that incidents in the Syrian Arab Republic likely involved the use of a toxic chemical as a weapon. There is insufficient evidence to come to any firm conclusions as to the identification of the chemical, although there are factors indicating that the chemical probably contained the element chlorine." (S/2015/908, page 151, paragraph 5.19)

The Mechanism's investigation

Background

- 4. Qmenas (Idlib Govenorate, Idlib District) is located 6 km south-east of Idlib city. Sarmin is less than 5 km to the northeast and Saraqib 12 km to the east, the latter located at the junction of the M5 Damascus-Aleppo and M4 Latakia motorways. The airfield of Taftanaz is approximately 13 km linear distance in the north-east.
- 5. In the 2004 census, the village of Qmenas had about 2,700 inhabitants. In August 2014, a report from the Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs recorded high numbers of internally displaced persons (IDPs) in Idlib District. Some sources report that Qmenas still had a large population, as many people from Idlib city had been displaced there and often stayed with relatives, while other sources state that the village had been depopulated due to the proximity to the frontline.
- 6. In 2014, Government presence in Idlib had consisted of networks of checkpoints and military installations: one running along the M5 between Ma'arrat al-Numan and Khan Sheikhoun, and the other along the M4 connecting Latakia to Idlib city.

16-14788 74/102

- 7. In summer 2014, the Nusrah Front¹ started to fight armed opposition groups in Idlib, many of whom it had been fighting alongside against the Government. Those included Jabhat al-Thuwar and Harakat Hazm. The Nusrah Front took control of several regions and towns in Idlib Governorate, in addition to weapons and facilities of the groups it defeated.
- 8. On 15 December 2014, the Nusrah Front, as well as Ahrar ash-Sham, Jund al-Aqsa and fighters from armed opposition groups captured the military bases of Wadi al-Deif and Al-Hamidiyah and thus controlled the M5 motorway north of Morek and several military checkpoints, cutting an important Government access route to Idlib and consolidating their presence in the southern part of Idlib Governorate.
- 9. Qmenas and Sarmin, immediately to the west of Idlib City, were controlled by armed opposition groups and right next to the frontline. Towards the east, Saraqib at the strategic M5-M4 junction and Taftanaz Military Airbase had been under the control of armed opposition groups since November 2012 and January 2013, respectively.
- 10. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic controlled Idlib city and military bases south of Idlib, 4 km west of Qmenas.
- 11. On 24 March 2015, several factions officially announced the formation of Jaish al-Fatah and commenced operations to seize Idlib city, temporarily succeeding on 28 March 2015, after heavy clashes. The founding members of Jaish al-Fatah included Nusrah Front, Ahrar ash-Sham, Jund al-Agsa, Failag al-Sham and others.
- 12. Qmenas served as one of the bases to prepare the Idlib offensive, with the presence of Nusrah Front and other Jaish al-Fatah factions. While some sources stated that Ahrar ash-Sham controlled the village, the group did not confirm that. Witnesses reported of military installations in the village, without specifying the affiliation of fighters or groups.
- 13. A witness stated that armed groups were preparing to attack Idlib "in order to liberate it", and that the incident occurred a few days before the offensive started. According to the same witness, fighters were positioned in Qmenas and on the road to Sarmin awaiting the attack.
- 14. Between 16 March and 20 May 2015, the incident in Qmenas was the first of several incidents reported in and around Idlib city where chemicals were used as weapons, as recorded by the FFM. However, it remained the only incident in Qmenas. The incidents in Sarmin in the same night occurred only two to three hours later.
- 15. Qmenas does not have any health-care facilities; the next available medical facilities are the field hospital in Sarmin and the general hospital in Saraqib.

Narrative

16. The description that emerged from the testimony of FFM witnesses was that a helicopter dropped two items or "barrel bombs" at the edge of a military zone. A few minutes later, the witnesses noticed a chlorine-like odour. Those exposed

¹ On 30 May 2013, the Nusrah Front was designated as a terrorist group by the Security Council under resolution 1267 (1999).

suffered from tearing of the eyes, coughing and breathing difficulties. A chemical alert was issued through a local warning system, causing panic.

- 17. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic denied any air movements in that area that day and provided a different explanation of the exposure of people to chemical substances. The Government reported that Jund al-Aqsa fighters transported barrels filled with an unidentified liquid from underground hollows known as Maghawir al-Dawash, located between Sarmin and Qmenas. One of the barrels fell from the vehicle, releasing gas that affected the fighters and some residents from Qmenas and Sarmin. All suffered from "asphyxiation".
- 18. In the course of the investigation, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic provided information indicating that opposition fighters used a hell-cannon filled with chemicals against other armed opposition groups. Due to the lack of supporting information and inconsistency with the Mechanism's findings, the use of a hell-cannon has been excluded as a viable option.

Date and time

19. Three witnesses confirmed the date of the event occurred on 16 March 2015. The indications of the time diverge slightly, but focus around 2000 and 2100 hours. Four Member States provided information that supports the time of the incidents. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic confirmed the date of the incident involving chemicals, but did not provide a time.

Weather conditions

20. The sunset in Qmenas on 16 March 2015 was at 1741 hours. From 2000 to 2100 hours, the temperature was at 10°C with wind from the west (260°) at a speed of 3 m/s. The humidity was at 95 per cent.

Impact location

- 21. One witness stated that both "barrel bombs" impacted inside a military zone; another said that only one impacted inside the military zone and the other one in a residential area.
- 22. The Maghawir al-Dawash hollows are located at the south-west outskirts of the village of Sarmin, near the road to Qmenas. The distance between the hollows and Qmenas is approximately 3 km.

Location #1

- 23. Three witnesses showed the impact location on a map, at the outskirts of Qmenas on the road to Al-Nerab. The differences in the coordinates were minimal.
- 24. In order to corroborate the location, ten images of the alleged impact location were examined by a forensic institute. It concluded that all ten included image content that visually linked the images together and thus could confirm that all the images depict the same place. The metadata examination and visual analysis did not show any sign of manipulation.
- 25. There are no signs indicating that the pictures were altered to include the remnants. Since the pictures were taken two days after the attack, it cannot be ruled out that the remnants could have possibly been placed at the location beforehand.

16-14788 76/102

26. Through visual comparison of the pictures with satellite images, the forensics specialist determined geographical coordinates of this location matching those shown by the witnesses.

Coordinates of location #1 as provided by different sources

Source	Latitude (decimal)	Longitude (decimal)
Witness	N35.882889°	E36.680778°
Witness	N35.882833°	E36.681222°
Witness	N35.882833°	E36.680722°
Forensic institute	N35.882772°	E36.681096°

Location #2

27. Only one witness gave information on the second impact location as N35.882972° and E36.679111°. This is close to location #1, further inside the village. The Mechanism had neither found any additional information to confirm the coordinates for location #2, nor any other information on the barrel bomb that allegedly fell there. Two witnesses stated that a military facility or militarized zone was impacted, and that civilians were affected because the wind had carried the gas to a residential area. The kind of military installation or presence, as well as the military actor, could not be established, apart from the fact that it was not a SAAF facility, but related to an armed opposition or other group.

Munition

- 28. The following considerations are derived from the analysis of location #1, as no further information is available on location #2.
- 29. Witnesses described the remnants of the device as a metallic barrel or drum, with a number of exploded gas canisters. This description matches with pictures analysed by the Mechanism and forensic institutes. The metallic barrel, which is possibly the outer jacket of the bomb, has fins. A small exploded canister of a bluegreenish colour is also visible in the pictures. Regarding authenticity, the forensic analysis of the picture concluded that the results of the examination support that the images have not been manipulated and that the photographs were taken two days after the event.
- 30. Witnesses described a marking on the barrel "IYAD", but this cannot be seen in any of the pictures.
- 31. According to explosive experts, if the barrel had contained high explosives, the outer jacket would most probably have splintered into small pieces and completely destroyed. It is also possible that the explosive filler did not explode, but that would not explain the canisters found.
- 32. The remnants seen in this and other pictures look like the remnants seen in Sarmin (canisters and the outer jacket), from the incident that occurred at the same day.

Delivery method

- 33. Witnesses stated that they heard helicopters and the sound of an explosion which they described as "muted" in comparison with other air-strike impacts. Shortly after, they stated to have received a chemical weapon alert through handheld radios and the loudspeakers of the minarets of the mosques.
- 34. A witness described an intercepted radio communication of two helicopter pilots, allegedly using the call sign "Bravo". According to this testimony, a helicopter took off from Latakia airport around 2100 hours. A few moments after the pilot had informed having "entered the working area"; residents reported the impact of a barrel bomb. This occurred around 2130 hours. From Latakia airbase to Qmenas, a helicopter would take 30 to 33 minutes.
- 35. After reviewing the type of helicopters that are within the SAAF fleet and the distance from Latakia airport to Qmenas, the witness' assessment on the time needed to reach Qmenas from Latakia is considered correct.
- 36. The Mechanism gathered information that a helicopter departed the Bassel al-Assad Airport in Latakia at 2030 hours and passed over Qmenas at 2105 hours, returning to the base at 2130 hours.
- 37. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that there was no flight activity at that day in that area, but did not provide any supporting documentations, such as flight records. The Government did not respond to questions regarding the call signs used.

Location #1

- 38. A defence institute studied the images of the remnants and stated that the outer barrel "has the hallmarks of being dropped from an aircraft. The stabilizing fins are clearly visible as well as a mount for attaching the device to the aircraft. This [barrel bomb] has probably been carried underneath the wings or hull of an aircraft (fixed-wing or helicopter). It is doubtful it was carried by a rocket".
- 39. A ballistic expert and a defence institute studied the crater formed at location #1 with regard to determining the delivery method. The crater on the pictures can be observed on satellite imagery dated after 16 March 2015 at the determined location.
- 40. The ballistics expert concluded that "a bomb, dropped from a helicopter at high altitude, and hitting the ground somewhat obliquely, would be quite likely to create an impact mark looking similar to the one shown in" the crater of location #1. The expert noted that the crater had changed between the moment of impact and when the picture was taken: "On the image it appears that a heavy lorry may have driven across the mark after it was made. It could also be possible that some material was filled back into the hole before the photo was taken, if it had been somewhat deeper, to permit traffic to pass unobstructed."
- 41. The defence research institute concluded that the image of the crater was consistent with an object dropped from high altitude onto a hard surface. It was noted that they could not rule out the possibility that "it was simply a bad road" or that someone had dug a hole. They also noted that there were no obvious signs of a large detonation, therefore, the device either contained a low amount of explosives or the explosive filler did not function properly.

16-14788 **78/102**

42. The Mechanism, with support from several external expert analyses, assessed the possibility that the munition found was launched from a land-based launcher. However, this is considered not feasible.

Location #2

43. No information was available on the second location mentioned by witnesses, which potentially was located in a militarized zone.

Damage and effects

Location #1

- 44. Witnesses stated that the soil around location #1 had partly changed its colour to reddish-pink. Such colouring on the soil is not visible on any of the photos.
- 45. The pictures of the impact location show a visible discolouration of the vegetation. A satellite image vegetation index analysis showed "less healthy" vegetation in the north and east of the crater. While damage and effects would suggest the use of chlorine or other toxic chemicals, the Mechanism could not rule out other possible causes.

Location #2

46. No information was made available on the second location.

Medical effects

- 47. According to witnesses, the ambulances were dispatched to Qmenas after the alerts, but they arrived when all those affected had left the village. One witness stated that some people stopped the ambulances and told them to return, as all patients had already left.
- 48. Three witnesses confirmed the number of patients as described in the FFM report (S/2015/908). According to these statements, Sarmin hospital from 2045 hours onwards, 60 people sought medical assistance; however, the medical staff assessed that only 20 of them presented clinical symptoms related to chemical exposure, while the others presented symptoms related to anxiety and panic. A witness stated that some opposition fighters were exposed and treated by their military units within their area. There were no deaths reported.
- 49. Witnesses confirmed the number of patients and provided some names, however, no medical records were provided, despite several requests.
- 50. The information available on the amount of chlorine, gas and dispersion rate, obstacles and topography was not sufficient for a scientific analysis of the potential chlorine dispersion. With this in mind, the Mechanism used part of the model dispersion model provided by a defense research institute, the established impact location and weather information at the time corroborated to assess whether the number of affected people was in the realm of possible. Noting the lack of information of the exact conditions, this seemed to be the case.
- 51. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that people in both Sarmin and Qmenas had been affected as a result of the car accident with a barrel containing chlorine. The numbers of people affected according to this statement are lower than the numbers provided by the hospital in Sarmin and other witnesses.
- 52. To affect the population of Qmenas, this accident would have had to have occurred on the outskirts of the village of Qmenas. Anywhere further in the

direction of Sarmin, the exposure of Qmenas civilians would be significantly reduced. However, if the car accident was close to Qmenas, the population in Sarmin would not have been exposed to chlorine gas or other toxic gaseous substance, as the direction of the wind was not towards the east and the dispersion would have passed by Sarmin in the south.

The Leadership Panel's assessment

- 53. The Leadership Panel examined the existing information regarding the incident in Qmenas on 16 March 2015 and determined that a SAAF helicopter dropped one device or barrel bomb in Qmenas.
- 54. The Leadership Panel was close to having sufficient information to reach a conclusion on the actors involved, however, at this stage the Panel could not draw a conclusion with certainty as to whether the device or barrel bomb contained explosives or chlorine in this incident.
- 55. The Leadership Panel determined that this case merits further investigation.
- 56. This assessment was based on the following:
 - According to witness statements, a helicopter dropped two devices at the edge
 of a military zone in Qmenas. However, only one impact location as provided
 by three different witnesses could be corroborated through forensic analysis of
 pictures and satellite images.
 - The remnants of a device found near the impact crater resemble the remnants of barrel bombs found near other impact sites, most notably in Sarmin. Nevertheless, from the analysis of the remnants and the crater it was not possible to determine if the device contained explosives or toxic chemicals.
 - The Mechanism was offered alternative descriptions of the event, such as the accidental release of gas from a barrel that fell from a vehicle operated by an armed opposition group, or opposition fighters using a "hell-cannon" filled with chemicals against other armed opposition groups. The Mechanism was unable to obtain any credible information that would support those alternatives.
 - The Mechanism obtained information that a helicopter passed over Qmenas on the date and time of the incident.
 - The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic indicated that there had not been any SAAF flights on 16 March 2015 in the area, but did not provide any supporting information. However, the Mechanism obtained information from other sources, which corroborate the helicopter flights on the date and time of the incident.
 - At the time when the incident occurred, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic had lost control of six airbases, including Taftanaz airbase (Idlib Governorate). The Government informed the Mechanism that 15 helicopters were left behind of at Taftanaz airbase, nine of which were deemed operational.
 - The Leadership Panel reviewed all of the information gathered and found no evidence that armed opposition groups in Qmenas were operating a helicopter at the time and location of the incident.

16-14788 **80/102**

Annex VIII

Sarmin, 16 March 2015

Findings of the OPCW Fact-Finding Mission in the Syrian Arab Republic

- 1. The Fact-Finding Mission (FFM) described two incidents that occurred on 16 March 2015 between 2230 and 2300 hours in Sarmin. (S/2015/908, page 90, paragraph 3.29)
- 2. "Between 3 May and 5 June 2015 the FFM team interviewed 21 individuals who provided accounts and information regarding incidents of alleged use of toxic chemicals as a weapon in and close to this village on 16 March, 23 March, and 26 March 2015, and 16 May 2015." (S/2015/908, page 90, paragraph 3.35)
- 3. "In itself, no one source of information or evidence would lend particularly strong weighting as to whether there was an event that had used a toxic chemical as a weapon. However, taken in their entirety, sufficient facts were collected to conclude that incidents in the Syrian Arab Republic likely involved the use of a toxic chemical as a weapon. There is insufficient evidence to come to any firm conclusions as to the identification of the chemical, although there are factors indicating that the chemical probably contained the element chlorine." (S/2015/908, page 151, paragraph 5.19)

The Mechanism's investigation

Background

- 4. Sarmin (Idlib Governorate, Idlib District) is approximately 7-8 km south-east of the eastern outskirts of Idlib city, on the road to Saraqib. Binnish is approximately 5-6 km north of Sarmin, while the airfield of Taftanaz is 8 km northeast. Qmenas is 5 km to the south-west of Sarmin. The Bassel Al-Assad Airport in Latakia is located approximately 85 km away on the Mediterranean coast.
- 5. In the 2004 census, Sarmin had approximately 14,500 inhabitants. According to the FFM, due to the proximity of the front lines, Sarmin had been depopulated in 2015 to less than 5,000 people. In August 2014, The United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs had identified only 2,500 people in need in Sarmin and no internally displaced persons (IDPs), but a high number of IDPs were recorded in Idlib District. Other statements, however, have indicated that Sarmin still had a large population, and many of the IDPs had relocated there from Idlib.
- 6. In 2014, Government presence in Idlib had consisted of networks of checkpoints and military installations, one running along the M5 between the towns of Ma'arrat al-Numan and Khan Sheikhoun and the other along the M4 connecting Latakia to the city of Idlib.
- 7. In the summer of 2014, the Nusrah Front¹ began to clash with many of the armed opposition groups it had been fighting alongside against the Government

¹ On 30 May 2013, the Nusrah Front was designated as a terrorist group by the Security Council under resolution 1267 (1999).

before. Those included Jabhat al-Thuwar and Harakat Hazm. Consequently, the Nusrah Front took control of several regions and towns in Idlib Governorate, in addition to some of the weapons and facilities of those armed opposition groups.

- 8. On 15 December 2014, the Nusrah Front, as well as Ahrar ash-Sham, Jund al-Aqsa and fighters from other armed opposition groups captured the military bases Wadi al-Deif and Al-Hamidiyah, south of Ma'arat al-Nu'man, and thus gained control of the strategic M5 motorway north of Morek and several military checkpoints. This cut an important access route to Idlib, impeding the ability of Government of the Syrian Arab Republic to resupply its forces within the city and surrounding area.
- 9. By March 2015, Qmenas and Sarmin, immediately to the east of Idlib city, were controlled by armed opposition groups, as was Binnish to the north. Sarmin had been largely controlled by Liwa Dawoud until 2014, when the group's commander defected to join the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL). Some 100 fighters reportedly declined and returned to Sarmin to join other groups. Ahrar ash-Sham did confirm its presence in March 2015. Failaq al-Sham and other factions of Jaish al-Fatah were likely present.
- 10. Further to the east, both Saraqib and Taftanaz Military Airbase were also under control of armed opposition groups from November 2012 and January 2013, respectively.
- 11. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic still controlled Idlib city and the military bases near Almastumah, south of Idlib. Pro-Government paramilitary National Defense Forces (NDFs) also controlled the nearby communities of Fouah and Kafraya, north of Binnish.
- 12. On 16 March 2015, there were two allegations of the use of chemicals as weapons in Sarmin and one in Qmenas. A witness stated that on 16 March 2015, the armed groups located in Qmenas and on the road from Qmenas to Sarmin had been preparing for the attack on Idlib city (which commenced 24 March 2015).
- 13. On 24 March 2015, several groups officially announced the formation of Jaish al-Fatah (elements included the Nusrah Front, Ahrar ash-Sham, Jund al-Aqsa, Failaq al-Sham and several other), which commenced operations to seize Idlib city. The city fell to their control on 28 March 2015.
- 14. By the end of May 2015, the FFM recorded allegations of five incidents of alleged use of chemicals as weapons in Sarmin.
- 15. Sarmin has one primary health-care centre, one private clinic and one field hospital, which was previously supported by the Syrian Arab Red Crescent (SARC), and now also by the Syrian American Medical Society (SAMS).

Narratives

16. The description that emerged from the FFM is that on 16 March 2015 around 2230 to 2300 hours local time, a helicopter dropped two barrel bombs filled with chlorine or chlorine derivative, resulting in the release of chlorine gas. One fell on an open field (location #1). The other fell through the ventilation shaft of a partially built house (location #2). There was a family of six living in the basement of the house, all of whom died in the incident. The population was warned through a local early warning system. Those close to the impact described the odour of chlorine.

16-14788 **82/102**

Twenty-six people were treated in the hospitals of Saraqib and Sarmin after experiencing a feeling of suffocation.

- 17. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic denied any air movements in the area that day and provided a different explanation of the people's exposure to chemical substances. The Government explained that Jund al-Aqsa fighters transported barrels filled with an unidentified liquid from underground hollows known as Maghawir al-Dawash, located between Sarmin and Qmenas. During a car accident, one of the barrels fell from the vehicle (location #3), releasing gas that affected the fighters as well as some residents in both Qmenas and Sarmin. All suffered from "asphyxiation".
- 18. Another description of the events, as given by another source, indicated that an air strike from the Syrian Arab Armed Forces (SAAF) in the vicinity of Sarmin around 2200 hours destroyed depots with conventional ammunition and non-poisonous chemicals. A fire led to the release of "caustic combustion gases" from the chemical agents, which was then used as a pretext for allegations against the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic. The Mechanism could not obtain information to confirm an air strike or the explosion of a munition depot. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that overflights were very common in that period but denied that there were any air operations on 16 March 2015, although they did not provide any documentation to support this.

Date and time

19. Three witnesses confirmed that the two incidents occurred on 16 March 2015 at approximately 2230 hours. No exact time was given for the alleged car accident or air strike on a munition depot.

Weather conditions

20. The sunset in Sarmin on 16 March 2015 was at 1741 hours. From 2200 to 2300 hours, the temperature ranged from 9°C to 10°C. The wind came from the west (260°) with a wind speed of 3 m/s. The relative humidity was at 96 per cent.

Impact location

Location #1

- 21. A witness' statement identified the first impact location in an agricultural field, adjacent to a target of potential military interest, at N35.902407° and E36.729282°.
- 22. Photos and videos from the Sarmin incident were forensically analysed for metadata extraction, image analysis and manipulation. A forensic institute, through image analysis and visual comparison with satellite images, confirmed the impact location.

Location #2

23. Three witnesses identified the house on a map where a device fell and six people died. Through analysis of photos, satellite images and videos the following coordinates were identified as the second impact location: $N35.903257^{\circ}$ and $36.729642E^{\circ}$.

- 24. The forensic institute, through image analysis and visual comparison with satellite images, confirmed the impact location.
- 25. Locations #1 and #2 are 90 metres apart. While no GPS coordinates or time stamp could be obtained from material, the forensic analysis established that all photographs and videos submitted include image content that was linked to at least one other image for the two locations. Nine pictures and seven videos have been analysed by a forensic institute.

Coordinates of location #2 as provided by different sources

Source	Latitude (decimal)	Longitude (decimal)
Witness	N35.903257°	E36.729642°
Witness	N35.903214°	E36.729650°
Witness	N35.903197°	E36.729594°
Forensic analysis	N35.903257°	E36.729642°

Location #3

26. The Maghawir al-Dawash hollows are located at the south-west outskirts of the village of Sarmin, close to a road that links Sarmin to Qmenas (N35.897722° E36.714589°). The exact location of the alleged car accident could not be determined.

Munition

Location #1

- 27. Three witnesses described "a barrel" (parts of the outer jacket) and several "canisters". One of them stated that the canisters looked like those filled with refrigerant gas for refrigerators. That witness also described the odour of chlorine.
- 28. One witness described the outer jacket as a 125-cm long "make-shift" weapon, which was "obvious[ly] locally made". It had three or four iron rollers, which were fixed rollers that could function as wheels. The barrel bomb was made of a thick metal.
- 29. The Mechanism analysed several pictures of the remnants of the munition and submitted several of them for forensic analysis. While no signs of manipulation of the pictures could be found, it appears that the remnants have been moved from the point of impact (crater) to the road.
- 30. The pictures show several exploded canisters, as well as pieces that were most probably parts of the outer jacket ("barrel"). On the outer jacket, "stabilizing fins" and the "wheels" can be seen.
- 31. According to explosive experts, the large size of the remnant parts of the outer jacket, indicate a smaller explosive charge. If the barrel had been filled with large amounts of explosives, it would likely have disintegrated into very small fragments. Theoretically, if a larger explosive charge did not function properly, the size of the remnants could be larger. However, no remaining explosives can be seen in the pictures.

16-14788 **84/102**

Location #2

- 32. A witness said that the size of the munition ("barrel") was 150 cm in height and 60 cm or more in diameter. The witness stated that there were several gas canisters of the kind filled with refrigerant gas used in air conditioners. The canisters' had English inscriptions. The inside of the canisters was of a yellow colour. A video shows the remnants, damage and debris in each room of the house, as described by the witness. This witness had seen this several hours after the attack.
- 33. Several videos and pictures provided by witnesses and retrieved from open sources show the impact location and remnants. This includes videos taken by first responders who tried to enter the house during the night through thick smoke to rescue the family members in the basement, as well as videos showing the impact scene the next day. The device is understood to have fallen into a kitchen area. The outer jacket is visible in the pictures, in addition to a cylinder from a heating system that is not part of the munition. There is a lot of rubble and parts of a collapsed structure, while the dishes and items in the kitchen shelf are in place. In other videos, the kitchen shelves have been emptied, indicating that this video has been taken at an even later stage.
- 34. Some pictures and videos show exploded refrigerant canisters, as described by the witnesses, in addition to a reddish or purple substance on the floor. This is understood to be possibly from potassium permanganate. According to a forensic institute, potassium permanganate would be delivered as a powder. The purple liquid phase would be caused by a secondary effect, such as contact with water.
- 35. The FFM has been provided with samples, which were analysed in an OPCW-designated laboratory to determine whether any chemical substance had been used. Neither the FFM nor the Mechanism was able to establish the full chain of custody for these samples.
- 36. The canisters are HCFC² gas canisters used in different household items, such as refrigerators and air conditioners. Based on the scripts on a canister, it appears that the canisters are manufactured according to United States standard, as non-reusable canisters for disposal after use. The several canisters were produced by different manufacturers. These canisters could have been easily retrieved. However, to refill and repurpose the canisters to be part of the device, some modifications would have been necessary. A Member State provided the analysis that refilling the canisters would bear a high risk and require modification of the valves. For this process, some technical expertise and equipment, including the ability to create conducive conditions, would be required.
- 37. The indentation line, fractures and cuts in the metallic canister seem to be consistent with the use of a detonation cord. A blasting cap, fitted with the fuze, appears to have been taped to the base of the barrel, and the detonation cords fitted to the blasting cap and taped around the cylinders.
- 38. The plastic bottles are believed to be 500-ml-PET bottles filled with potassium permanganate (KMnO4). Mixing with the content of the refrigerant containers upon explosion, the potassium permanganate would have generated the chlorine. As discussed above, the potassium permanganate could have caused the purple colour

2 Hydrochlorofluorocarbon.

- of the soil. Potassium permanganate is used in pharmaceutical products, water treatment, disinfection products and for other civilian purposes; however, chlorine may be produced by the reaction of hydrochloric acid (HCl) with KMnO₄.
- 39. The laboratory analysis did not provide sufficient evidence to confirm the exact composition of the toxic substance used, but strongly supported the use of chlorine or a chlorine derivative. Higher concentrations of chloride were found in the inner surface of the refrigerant cylinders, compared with the exterior surface. This indicates that a chlorine containing substance was in the cylinders, either in the form of chlorine or HCl.
- 40. The presence of bornyl chloride was also found in a piece of wood removed from the affected building. This substance is the product of the reaction of HCl or chlorine (Cl₂) with alpha-pinene, a terpene-based wood ingredient.
- 41. It has been suggested that this could indicate a two-component reaction needed to produce the toxic substances, and other less toxic chemicals are contained in the device and brought to reaction upon impact. This theory has been supported by analysis provided by another source.
- 42. The presence of trinitrotoluene (TNT) was identified in some of the samples. This explosive is not normally found in detonation cords or in the cylinders and the hypothesis of the working model described above would not explain the presence of TNT. Traces of TNT may possibly be present due to contamination of the munition parts during construction. However, this needs additional analysis to verify the presence of explosives to allow a definitive conclusion.

Location #3

43. No further information could be found on a barrel of chemicals that fell from a truck, as indicated by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic.

Delivery method

- 44. Eight witnesses heard at least one helicopter flying over Sarmin between 2230 and 2300 hours. Several of them stated that the helicopter(s) dropped two items. The statements about how much time was between the impacts are slightly diverging. The sound of the falling items was described as a diving fighter jet sound, flowed by a soft explosion.
- 45. One witness heard through a radio communication system used to intercept SAAF communication that a helicopter took off from Latakia airbase. The witness stated that one helicopter was returning to Latakia airbase after having dropped a barrel bomb on Qmenas at approximately 2130 hours. The helicopter flew over Sarmin between 2230 and 2330 hours and dropped two items. The pilot communicated with the base twice about having "executed", with a difference of one minute. Then, the pilot informed the base and said "Sir, the barrels are at the terrorist area".
- 46. One source shared their assessment that a helicopter departed from Latakia (Bassel al-Assad Airport) at 2215 hours and flew over Sarmin around 2250 hours, returning to base at 2325 hours. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that there had not been any SAAF flights from Latakia or other airbases in the

16-14788 **86/102**

region on 16 March 2015, but, despite repeated requests, did not provide any supporting information (e.g., flight plans).

Location #1

- 47. The Mechanism analysed the impact, remnants and crater with a view to find out about the delivery method. Experts agree that the launch of a barrel of the size and kind described above from a land-based cannon or mortar-like launching system is not feasible, and highly unlikely from a rocket-based launcher.
- 48. Asked if the crater could result from the impact of a bomb dropped from a helicopter from high altitude, the expert stated that this was "quite likely". The expert had used the following calculation: A steel barrel bomb of 60 cm diameter and 150 cm length, containing nine pressure tanks/canisters, filled with either hydrochloric acid or chlorine, and weighing approximately 390 kg.
- 49. A defence institute analysed the pictures of the remnants and conveyed its view that it had the "hallmarks of being dropped from an aircraft. Stabilizing fins are clearly visible [...] and also a mount for attaching the device to the aircraft".

Location #2

- 50. A ballistic expert analysis supports the statement of the witnesses, improbable as it sounds, that the device impacted through the ventilation shaft. There is a pale whitish mark on the right side of the shaft, which is likely the impact mark.
- 51. According to another forensic analysis, the deformation of the canisters and the plastic bottles is consistent with a mechanical impact, such as upon impact on the ground, and an explosive rupture, most probably through the detonation cord, set-off by the blasting cap. The sound produced at the impact would not be expected to be as loud as a device filled with explosives.
- 52. Another laboratory states that from the samples, it was "difficult to fathom" that the device was launched from the ground. The weight and location of the remnants would suggest that they fell from a helicopter, as this device would have been too heavy to launch from the ground. In addition, the canisters would have fallen further apart.

Location #3

53. The barrel "with an unidentified liquid" fell from a truck. No further information has been provided on the nature and filling of this barrel or the truck.

Damage and Effects

Location #1

54. According to a witness, the crater was 150 cm wide and 50 cm (or 75 cm) deep, which matches with the crater seen in the pictures, as well as several open source videos. A ballistic expert studied the crater and estimated the size as approximately 250 cm in diameter and less than 70 cm in depth, however, cautioning that matter could have fallen back into it after the actual impact, reducing the depth.

55. Differences in the colour of the grass can be observed around the crater. A satellite image vegetation index analysis shows "less healthy" vegetation at the open field on which the crater is (location #1) next to location #2. It is possible that this could have been caused by chlorine or other toxic chemicals, but it is also possible that there are other causes.

Location #2

- 56. The entry point of the device into the residential house (location #2) was a ventilation shaft. The device fell to the basement of a partially built house, and impacted in the kitchen. In the basement were, apart from the kitchen, three bedrooms and a hall. There is no crater; however, the basement of the house was partially destroyed.
- 57. A ballistic expert stated that the bomb appeared to have impacted onto the kitchen wall. In the expert's view, the fact that objects and shelves appear relatively intact would exclude a major explosion. The structure or vault on its top might rather have collapsed when the kitchen wall was impacted, but it may also have been directly impacted. The damage could have been caused by the device impacting on one end of the ventilation shaft, bouncing against the rock wall, impacting walls and other structures below the upper floor, which when collapsing, pulled with it other parts of the ceiling and possibly a staircase. A defence institute shared this assessment and concluded that it was possible that the damage was caused by the kinetic effect of the impact (i.e., the barrel bomb broke the structure of the building after falling from high altitude).
- 58. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that the cause of the explosion of the house was an accident with a LPG³ (cooking gas) cylinder. However, there is no evidence of fire inside the kitchen, which reduces the probability of an accident with LPG.
- 59. Also, as an expert analysis points out, there was no apparent blackening of the walls, which would be expected in an explosion or detonation of any high explosive. There were only signs of a very minor explosion, such as a detonating cord, having occurred, if any at all. A defence research institute noted that if there had been a large detonation, there should have been (a) more signs of scourging; (b) more damage to the items in the background; and (c) less remnants of the barrel bomb itself.

Medical effects

- 60. According to witnesses, 17 patients were treated by the Sarmin Field Hospital and 11 by the Saraqib Field Hospital. In addition, witnesses stated that 20 civil defence personnel also received first aid treatment as they had suffered secondary exposure. All six members of the family living at location #2 died.
- 61. A witness stated that a man, his wife and their youngest child managed to get out of the house and called for help. The eyewitnesses described an irritating smell, similar to chlorine used as a household cleaning agent but much more intense. This odour immediately induced coughing and a feeling of suffocation among all who were exposed. The three family members were brought to the Sarmin Field Hospital. The woman told first responders that the grandmother and two children

3 Liquified petroleum gas, often referred to as propane.

16-14788 **88/102**

were still in the house. Several first responders tried to rescue them but had to return because they were affected and suffered from symptoms ("suffocation") when they entered the house.

- 62. None of the witnesses provided information on how the other family members were finally evacuated. However, in the video material they appear in the hospital. The grandmother is apparently dead and the two other children are unresponsive.
- 63. A witness provided reports signed by medical doctors at the Sarmin hospital, confirming the deaths of the six family members, but not certifying the cause of death.
- 64. In an attempt to estimate the number of people that would likely be exposed to the release of chlorine, a basic simulation exercise of the possible chlorine dispersion was carried out. The exercise presents the probability and severity of injuries, considering the theoretical concentration of this chemical in the atmosphere.
- 65. To assess a more reliable number of casualties, certain aspects, such as position and distance from the terrain, rate of dispersion of the substance at source, urban characteristics and obstacles, topography and actual population density and characteristics (gender, age, pre-existing conditions, etc.) would need to be known. Nevertheless, with this in mind, the Mechanism used part of the model to assess the effect on the population exposed.
- 66. The death of six persons in this case may be explained as exposure that occurred in an underground confined space. As chlorine is heavier than air it would be directed to and remain in the lowest areas where it was released (i.e., the basement).
- 67. The model had predicted a higher number of affected people (91), based on a calculation in the city centre. Applying the predicted chlorine plume to the actual weather conditions and the impact location in the outskirts of the village, a lower number of affected people would be expected. The exact population density in the area at the time of the incident could not be established with certainty, thus, no exact calculation can be made.
- 68. In relation to the incident in which a barrel fell from a truck, it is noted that the accident would have had to happen quite close to Sarmin, in the vicinity of the Maghawir al-Dawash hollows, to cause exposure of any patients in Sarmin. Considering the wind direction, in an accident close to Sarmin on the road to Qmenas, a toxic gas could have been carried to Sarmin and affected people at the outskirts of Sarmin, particularly on its south-western border. However, this could not explain the people exposed in eastern Sarmin.
- 69. This accident allegedly affected the population of Qmenas and Sarmin. In order to affect the population in Qmenas, it would have had to occur near the outskirts of Qmenas, maximal 500 m from the centre of Qmenas. However, in that case it would be impossible for the population in Sarmin to be exposed to chlorine gas or other toxic gaseous substance, as the direction of the wind was not towards Sarmin but towards the south of the village.

The Leadership Panel's assessments

70. The Leadership Panel examined the existing information regarding the two impact locations in Sarmin on 16 March 2015. There is sufficient information for the Leadership Panel to conclude that the incident at impact location #2 was caused

by an SAAF helicopter dropping a device which hit the house and was followed by the release of a toxic substance, which match the characteristics of chlorine, that was fatal to all (6) occupants. The remnants of the device are consistent with the construction of a barrel bomb.

- 71. This conclusion was based on the following:
 - Witnesses confirmed that at least one helicopter flew over Sarmin at the time of the incident.
 - Expert and forensic analyses support witness statements that a device or barrel bomb dropped from a helicopter impacted through the ventilation shaft of a house (impact location #2) inhabited at the time by a family of six. The damage was consistent with the kinetic effect of a device or "barrel bomb" falling from high altitude rather than the explosion or detonation of any high explosive.
 - Multiple videos of the location #2 show HCFC gas canisters inside the house, with a purple substance on the floor.
 - The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic indicated that there had not been any SAAF flights on 16 March 2015, but did not provide any supporting information. However, the Mechanism obtained information from other sources, which corroborate witness statements of SAAF helicopter flights on the date and time of the incident.
 - At the time when the incident occurred, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic had lost control of six airbases, including Taftanaz airbase (Idlib Governorate). The Government informed the Mechanism that 15 helicopters were left behind of at Taftanaz airbase, nine of which were deemed operational.
 - The Leadership Panel reviewed all of the information gathered and found no evidence that armed opposition groups in Sarmin, were operating a helicopter at the time and location of the incident.

16-14788 **90/102**

Annex IX

Binnish, 24 March 2015

Findings of the OPCW Fact-Finding Mission in the Syrian Arab Republic

- 1. "The FFM team interviewed only one person from Binnish, who was a treating physician at the time of the alleged incident." (S/2015/908, page 112, paragraph 3.76) On 23 March 2015 at around 1900 hours, the physician was in the field hospital and was informed of the incident through local early warning methods, including hand-held radios. (S/2015/908, page 112, paragraph 3.78)
- 2. Binnish Field Hospital registered 21 patients related to the incident on 23 March 2014. (S/2015/908, page 112, paragraph 3.79)
- 3. "In itself, no one source of information or evidence would lend particularly strong weighting as to whether there was an event that had used a toxic chemical as a weapon. However, taken in their entirety, sufficient facts were collected to conclude that incidents in the Syrian Arab Republic likely involved the use of a toxic chemical as a weapon. There is insufficient evidence to come to any firm conclusions as to the identification of the chemical, although there are factors indicating that the chemical probably contained the element chlorine." (S/2015/908, page 151, paragraph 5.19)

The Mechanism's investigation

Background

- 4. Binnish (Idlib Governorate, Idlib District) is located 8 km north-east of Idlib. Sarmin is 6 km to the south and Saraqib 12 km to the south-east. The airfield of Taftanaz, under control of armed opposition groups, is 6 km to the north-east.
- 5. In the 2004 census, Binnish had about 21,848 inhabitants. A witness stated that in March 2015, Binnish had a population of approximately 5,000 at the time of the incident, as large numbers of people had been displaced from there. In August 2014, a report from the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs indicated 8,500 internally displaced persons (IDPs) in Idlib District.
- 6. In 2014, Government presence in Idlib consisted of networks of checkpoints and military installations, one running along the M5 motorway between the towns of Ma'arrat al-Numan and Khan Sheikhoun, and the other along the M4 motorway connecting Latakia to the city of Idlib.
- 7. In the summer of 2014, the Nusrah Front¹ began to clash with many of the armed opposition groups it had been fought alongside against the Government before. Those included Jabhat al-Thuwar and Harakat Hazm. Consequently, the Nusrah Front took control of several regions and towns in Idlib Governorate, in addition to some of the weapons and facilities of those armed opposition groups.

¹ On 30 May 2013 the Nusrah Front was designated as a terrorist group by the Security Council under resolution 1267 (1999).

- 8. On 15 December 2014, the Nusrah Front and armed opposition groups, including Ahrar ash-Sham and Jund al-Aqsa, captured the military bases Wadi al-Deif and Al-Hamidiyah, south of Ma'arat al-Nu'man, and thus gained control of the strategic M5 motorway north of Morek as well as several military checkpoints. This cut an important Government access route to Idlib, impeding the ability of the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic to resupply its forces within the city and surrounding area.
- 9. On 23 March 2015, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic controlled Idlib city and the military bases near Almastumah, south of Idlib. Pro-Government paramilitary National Defense Forces (NDFs) also controlled the nearby communities of Fouah and Karfaya, north of Binnish.
- 10. Binnish was largely controlled by the Nusrah Front and Ahrar al-Sham. Failaq al-Sham and other groups were also reportedly present. According to the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, on 23 March 2015, fighters of the Nusrah Front and armed opposition groups had gathered in the western part of Binnish in preparation for the attack on Idlib city, and were targeting checkpoints of the Syrian Arab Armed Forces (SAAF) towards Idlib with mortar fire, to which Government forces responded with artillery.
- 11. On 24 March 2015, several factions officially announced the formation of Jaish al-Fatah. This included elements of the Nusrah Front, Ahrar ash-Sham, Jund al-Aqsa, Failaq al-Sham and several others. Jaish al-Fatah commenced operations to seize Idlib city. The city fell to control of Jaish al-Fatah on 28 March 2015.

Narratives

- 12. The description of events as emerging from the Fact-Finding Mission (FFM) report indicates that on 23 March 2015,² a helicopter dropped a barrel bomb filled with chlorine or chlorine derivative between 1900 and 2000 hours. Chlorine or chlorine derivate was released, affecting 21 people. The Mechanism further investigated the events, and established that the date of the incident was 24 March 2015. Also, two possible impact locations were identified and considered.
- 13. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that no incident took place on either of the dates and that armed opposition groups or their supporters staged the use of chlorine as a weapon with the intention to blame the Government.
- 14. One witness provided hearsay information during an interview about the explosion of a warehouse, in which chemicals were stored. The witness stated that on 22 or 23 March 2015, there had been an explosion around 1930 hours. The witness recounted the testimony of family members. According to them, yellow and white smoke went up to the sky. One family member had breathing difficulties as a result of inhaling something with a distinct smell. The smell was also noticed by another family member. Other people from Binnish told the witness that there had been an explosion in Binnish at a warehouse containing gas cylinders, used to produce "hell-cannons". The neighbourhood, in which this warehouse was reportedly located, in addition to the time of the accident, do not match the date established by the Mechanism.

16-14788 92/102

² FFM referred to the date of the incident as being 23 March 2015, however the Mechanism established the time of the event as 24 March 2015 around 1900 hours.

Date and time

- 15. While the FFM referred to the date of the incident as being 23 March 2015, the Mechanism established the time of the event as 24 March 2015 around 1900 hours.
- 16. Four witnesses indicated that the incident took place around 1900 hours local time on 24 March 2015. According to one witness, the Binnish hospital started receiving patients around 1915 hours. A second witness stated that a telephone call was received from people in Binnish about the attack at 1900 hours.
- 17. Several photo and video files have been submitted for independent forensic analysis. For some of the files, the original metadata including time stamps had been wiped out and could not be determined.
- 18. Several individuals posted information concerning this incident on social media websites, starting around 1930 hours on 24 March 2015. Another source provided its assessment to the Mechanism that confirms the same date and time.
- 19. Two witnesses indicated a different time for the incident on 24 March 2015. One of the two witnesses thought the event might have occurred between 2200 and 2300 hours. Another one had heard military radio communications on a walkietalkie before the attack and during the treatment of patients at the Binnish hospital.

Weather conditions

20. The sunset on 24 March 2015 was at 1748 hours. At 1900 to 2000 hours, the temperature was 11°C. The wind came from the north-west (320°) and the wind speed declined from 3 m/s to 2 m/s. The humidity was at 95 per cent. It was partly cloudy.

Impact location

Location #1

- 21. A witness identified the impact point on 24 March 2015 in an agricultural field on the south-eastern side of Binnish, at the coordinates N35.955286° E36.717797°. Another witness described the same impact location of a barrel bomb in the south-eastern area of Binnish in an agricultural field.
- 22. The location was further corroborated through forensic examination of photographs provided by one of the witnesses. The forensic institute stated that while there was no GPS information in the metadata, comparative image analysis indicates that the pictures were likely taken at the same location.

Location #2

23. A second unexploded barrel bomb has been reported to have landed in a northern neighbourhood by a witness and an independent organization that published this information on open sources. However, there is some discrepancy about the locations, which are over 200 m apart from each other. The witness also indicated that the remnants of this device were buried in a nearby field.

Coordinates of location #2 as provided by different sources

Source	Latitude (decimal)	Longitude (decimal)
Witness	N35.959185°	E36.713626°
Open source	N35.957925°	E36.711673°

- 24. A report published on an open source referred to the same location and remnants, but indicated that the incident occurred on 23 March 2015 at 1430 hours. A video from that date had also been posted, as well as the recovery of the remnants, which was indicated to have taken place on 26 March 2015.
- 25. No additional information is available with regard to the second reported barrel bomb. The Mechanism has been unable to find additional or corroborating information on the second impact location.

Munition

- 26. A witness identified location #1 and described the munition as a barrel bomb, which the witness thought contained six canisters filled with chemicals. The witness estimated the size of the munition as approximately 60 cm in diameter and 150 cm in length. At least one of the canisters remained intact.
- 27. Pictures of the outer jacket of the munition at location #1 were received from a source. Forensic examination is pending at the time of submission of this report. The same source stated that at least one unexploded canister and a plastic bottle with a dark, crystallized liquid recovered from location #1 was in its possession. There was no evidence of remnants of any other canisters.
- 28. The chain of custody for the remnants was attested by the source based on the testimony of a witness and a written confirmation by the organization that had collected the samples.
- 29. The same source also provided a laboratory report on the canister and the content of the plastic bottle. This report indicates that the recovered canister is an HCFC³ gas canister. Although the contents had leaked from a rupture in the bottom, traces of chlorine or a chlorine-like substance had been found on the inside of the canister. The report also concluded that the content of the plastic bottle had been potassium permanganate. The source shared its assessment that the munition consisted of multiple HCFC gas canisters, in addition to several plastic bottles containing potassium permanganate, placed in a large barrel.
- 30. The two other witnesses who were first responders stated that they recovered remnants of both munitions and that they had buried the remnants out of fear of the chemicals they believed it contained. The Mechanism could not confirm the location where the remnants were allegedly buried.
- 31. There is no video documentation of the impact location, dismantling and excavation of munition, remnants or crater. Pictures of the place where the remnants were allegedly buried, including of the outer jacket of the munition, have been submitted to the Mechanism on 19 August 2016 and have been submitted for

3 Hydrochlorofluorocarbon.

16-14788 **94/102**

independent forensic analysis, the results of which are pending at the time of the submission of this report.

Delivery method

- 32. According to three witnesses, a SAAF helicopter dropped barrel bombs with chemicals. Open sources indicated that there were continuous air operations taking place over Binnish during this period, including on 24 March 2015, which was also confirmed by other sources.
- 33. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic informed that no SAAF flight operations took place in the Binnish area on 24 March 2015. The Government did not, however, provide any supporting documentations, such as flight records.
- 34. Two sources shared their assessment that on 24 March 2015 at 1930 hours, a helicopter departed from Bassel Al-Assad Airport in Latakia in the direction of Idlib. It passed over Binnish at 2015 hours and returned to base at 2107 hours.
- 35. However, the specific times referred to above do not correlate with the time of the incident 1900 hours, when first affected people sought medical assistance.

Damage and effects

- 36. Two witnesses had visited the impact location in the agricultural field (location #1) and noted that there was damage to the vegetation manifested by a distinct yellow colour and "dead flora" in the area of the impact. Pictures of the area of damaged foliage were provided to a forensic laboratory which shows that the pictures were taken in Binnish.
- 37. A satellite imagery analysis indicated that there was no crater visible, but a soil distortion that might be related to an impact. A vegetation index analysis showed that, at this location and two areas in the immediate vicinity, the vegetation was less healthy. This could have been caused by chlorine, other toxic chemicals or other factors. The Mechanism obtained photographs of a site where remnants were buried including an outer jacket, a canister and a plastic bottle, but cannot corroborate this site is also the original impact site.

Medical effects

- 38. The Binnish hospital registered 21 patients related to the incident. Ten of these were mild cases, ten were moderate cases and one was identified as a severe case. The clinical examination demonstrated that most of the cases were presented with the following symptoms: coughing, difficulty breathing and drowsiness. There were no deaths reported. Two witnesses confirmed the testimony of the treating physician interviewed by the FFM with regard to the extent and type of symptoms of the patients.
- 39. Two witnesses had indicated that all patients were decontaminated, including washing of the exposed area of skin, outside the hospital, five to 10 m from the emergency room. The physician did not smell chlorine odour emanating from the clothing but was informed of the smell by the patients. The video seems to show patients entering the hospital directly without having been decontaminated.
- 40. A forensic analysis of the plume effect of the chlorine dispersion on the date and time of the incident indicates that the number of the reported injuries is

consistent with the use of chlorine under the prevailing weather conditions. However, this analysis did not take into account the topography and any obstacles, such as houses.

- 41. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic shared their assessment of a video retrieved from an open source. The video, titled "Poisonous chlorine gas leads to suffocation in the countryside of Idlib", shows patients being treated in a health facility. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic indicated that according to their analysis the video was staged.
- 42. Independent forensic analysis indicated that the creation date of the file, based on the information in the metadata, was 29 March 2015 at 0330 hours. However, the creation date for this video likely corresponds with the date on which the modified file was created, not the original file.
- 43. Photographs of the patients taken in the hospital at the time of the incident have been forensically examined, and the conclusion reached is that the pictures were taken in the same place.
- 44. Whether the scenes in the footage are "staged" cannot be determined by video analysis. It is, however, noted that the patients appear relatively unaffected by the typical symptoms. No red eyes, tearing, paleness, sweating, cyanosis or breathing difficulties can be observed from the footage. The patients interviewed in the video show little or no signs of having been exposed to a toxic chemical.
- 45. The structure and extent of video material on the Binnish case shows only the activity at the hospital.

The Leadership Panel's Assessment

- 46. The Leadership Panel examined the available information regarding the incident in Binnish on 24 March 2015 and was able to confirm the existence of a canister with traces of chlorine or a chlorine-like substance. It has further received additional information in relation to remnants of the outer jacket of a device that is consistent with the construction of a barrel bomb.
- 47. The Leadership Panel was close to having sufficient information to reach a conclusion on the actors involved on the basis of the chain of custody on the remnants found and the overall findings of the FFM. However, there are inconsistencies in the case, including the link between the remnants and the impact site(s), accounts of the explosion and affected individuals, which are being further investigated.
- 48. This assessment was based on the following:
 - According to three witnesses, a SAAF helicopter dropped a device or "barrel bomb" with chemicals at night over Binnish. However, there are inconsistencies in relation to the date and time of the incident, the impact location(s) and the description of the exposure to toxic chemicals suffered by the local population.
 - Despite the inconsistencies and scarcity of information surrounding this case the Mechanism has been able to corroborate some key elements such as the remnants recovered by local respondents from an agricultural field in Binnish

16-14788 **96/102**

which were subsequently recorded and documented. The remnants found at location #1, i.e. the outer jacket, a canister and a plastic bottle, are consistent with the construction of a barrel bomb. The canister and the content of the plastic bottle were analysed by a laboratory which found traces of chlorine or a chlorine-like substance in the canister. The laboratory also concluded that the content of the plastic bottle had been potassium permanganate. The chain of custody for these remnants was established.

• The Mechanism could not obtain any information concerning the explosion of the device. Nevertheless it has received information on the impact location, which is being forensically analysed.

Annex X

Marea, 21 August 2015

Findings of the OPCW Fact-Finding Mission in the Syrian Arab Republic

1. "The team can conclude [...] with the utmost confidence that at least two people were exposed to sulfur mustard [...and] it is very likely that the effects of sulfur mustard resulted in the death of an infant." (S/2015/908, page 215, paragraph 4.6)

The Mechanism's investigation

Background

- 2. Marea (Aleppo Governorate, Azaz District), is located 35 km north-east of Aleppo city and 18 km south of the Bab al-Salam border crossing to Turkey. In the 2004 census, Marea had a population of close to 17,000 in the city and 40,000 in the Marea sub-district.
- 3. In July 2015, two coalitions of armed opposition groups (Fatah Halab and Ansar al-Sharia) launched a major offensive against Government forces in western Aleppo city, capturing the Scientific Research Centre to the west of the Jamiyat al-Zahra frontline.
- 4. The Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL), ¹³ which controlled territory to the east, north-east and south-east of Aleppo city, took advantage of the armed opposition groups' engagement with Government forces to advance westward towards Marea. This was of strategic importance due to Marea's location near key routes through Azaz and the Bab al-Salam border crossing.
- 5. By August 2015, Ahrar al-Sham, Failaq Al-Sham and other Free Syrian Armyaffiliated groups had moved reinforcements to Marea to counter ISIL's advance towards the west. Heavy clashes were reported in Tilalyan on the North and Umm Hawsh in the south. However, by 26 August, ISIL had circled Marea by three sides, effectively besieging the city.
- 6. Marea had been a traditional stronghold of armed opposition groups, such as Liwa al-Tawhid, whose leadership originated there. Other armed opposition groups present in August 2015 included Jabhat al-Shamiyah, its faction Thuwar al-Sham, Failaq al-Sham, Ahrar al-Sham, the 101st Infantry Brigade, the Firqa 13 and Jaish al-Thuwar. The Nusrah Front was present in and around Marea, too.
- 7. The Al-Houria Hospital in Marea is supported by a non-governmental organization. It provides mainly emergency health care and transfers severe cases to Tal Rifaat Hospital.

16-14788 **98/102**

¹³ On 30 May 2013, Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) and the Nusrah Front were designated as terrorist groups by the Security Council under resolution 1267 (1999).

Narrative

- 8. The Fact-Finding Mission (FFM) stated that on 21 August 2015 around 1000 to 1100 hours, over the course of approximately one hour and a half, Marea was bombarded by around 50 artillery projectiles. (S/2015/908, page 201, paragraph 3.7)
- 9. Several witnesses, other sources and independent entities supported this description of the events. Accordingly, on 21 August 2015 over 50 artillery projectiles, several of which were filled with sulfur mustard, were fired towards Marea from the east or south-east. On that and the following days a number of people reported to the hospital with symptoms related to exposure to sulfur mustard.
- 10. The Mechanism considered an alternative hypothesis, in which an accident occurred within Marea. That it could either have been an operational incident, for example, while trying to fill munitions with sulfur mustard, or in form of a leakage due to the detonation of a conventional munition. However, no information was found to substantiate this theory, which would also not explain the exposures of the victims.

Date and time

11. Two eyewitnesses stated that Marea was subjected to artillery fire on 21 August 2015. Five other sources stated this occurred on 21 August 2015 between 0930 and 1130 hours, Marea. The Government of the Syrian Arab Republic shared its assessment that the shelling started at 0930 hours.

Weather conditions

12. On 21 August 2015, between 0900 and 1100 hours, the wind came from the west (280°) at a speed of 5 m/s. The temperature increased from 27°C to 32°C and the relative humidity decreased from 90 per cent at 0900 hours to 83 per cent at 1100 hours.

Impact location

Location #1

13. One artillery shell hit a house "close to the vegetable market" in the south-east of Marea. Two witnesses gave the address as Mouabbad Street.

Location #2

14. A different artillery shell fell in the courtyard of a house. An individual who disposed of the shell was exposed to a dark liquid that leaked from that shell.

Other locations

- 15. A witness mentioned several additional impact points of artillery shells across the city, with some landing close to the water reservoir. For those shells, the Mechanism does not have any indications of whether they were filled with sulfur mustard.
- 16. A map provided by an independent organization shows multiple impact sites of artillery shells equally distributed throughout the town. Among those indicated impact points, it is unclear which shells were filled with sulfur mustard.

Munition

Location #1

17. Some witnesses said that their house "had been shelled", but did not provide any further information on the exact type of the delivery method or munition.

Location #2

18. A witness provided pictures of artillery shells, stating that they were 130 mm shells. The witness described that the shell created a small hole in a wall and removed a small piece (10-16 cm) of tarmac.

Location #3

19. A witness said that an unexploded artillery shell landed on a roof of a house in the south-east part of Marea.

General

- 20. Witnesses stated that on 21 August 2015 over 50 artillery shells fell all over the town of Marea. One witness stated that the artillery shelling lasted over one hour with a frequency of one artillery shell per minute Several open sources also refer to the artillery shelling of Marea on 21 August.
- 21. Four other sources stated that the munition used in all these locations were 130 mm artillery shells. These artillery shells are thought to be easily repurposed and filled with different payloads.
- 22. The Mechanism received more than 20 photos and 61 videos of the munition used in Marea from different sources, witnesses and entities. Some of these photos indicate that the agent release method of the munition was improvised and unsophisticated. Forensic examination of the pictures with regard to the munition type was inconclusive. The pictures of the munition show that they had been moved from the impact point to the location where the pictures and videos were taken.

Delivery method

- 23. In relation to 130 mm artillery shells, the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic stated that they had not abandoned nor did any armed opposition group seize 130 mm towed field guns, which were used to launch these munitions, during their retreat from this area in December 2012. The Government stated, however, that ISIL may have had access to such weapons in Northern Iraq, which was under ISIL control. Open sources information shows pictures of ISIL having 130 artillery shells and towed-field guns.
- 24. A witness stated to have seen the launching of artillery shells from a roof. According to this witness, the shelling originated from either Tel Malid (about 5 km in the south-east) or from Al-Sayed Ali, a few hundred metres south of Tel Malid. Another witness stated that ISIL had launched the shells from Hawar al-Nahr (about 5 km in the north-east-east) or Ahtemelat (about 10 km in the north-north-east).
- 25. Several sources, including the Syrian Arab Republic, stated that the shells came from the east.

16-14788 100/102

- 26. The Mechanism attempted to corroborate the direction which the artillery shells came from and requested a forensic institute to conduct imagery analysis, including comparison with satellite imagery. However, this analysis did not yield any tangible results. The forensic institute confirmed that all of the videos and photos analysed had not been tampered with. The Mechanism could not establish a direct link between these images and exposure of people.
- 27. The Mechanism requested additional satellite imagery of the area around Marea to identify a potential source. Some imagery was received on 19 August and analysis is ongoing.

Damage and effects

28. The videos from one of the impact locations show a lot of destruction and damage on the houses. No crater can be seen in any of the videos. One witness described that the artillery shell created a small hole in a wall of a house and removed a small piece (10-16 cm) of tarmac from the wall.

Medical effects

- 29. A family of four persons who were residing in the house at location #1 have been exposed to sulfur mustard. The exposure of two of these family members was confirmed by the FFM. In addition, a witness stated that a family of five was exposed.
- 30. One individual was exposed while removing an artillery shell. There is a video of this individual at the hospital, in which clinical symptoms such as blisters on the left leg can be seen. The person stated that when carrying a shell in order to bury it in the ground and a liquid leaked out of the shell over his/her leg causing the blisters. A witness confirmed the identity of the injured person that appeared in the video. Forensic analysis did not yield any further information.
- 31. Different sources report up to 85 people seeking medical assistance with injuries and symptoms related to sulfur mustard exposure over the course of the next four days. The number of injured have been cross-checked with reports from several sources, who indicated numbers as "at least 10", "50" and "up to 85" casualties. One witness said that 23 people sought medical attention on 21 August 2015, and more than 60 over the next few days.
- 32. The medical effects described by the FFM were consistent with witness statements and reports of independent organizations.
- 33. In order to find more information on the munition and delivery method, the Mechanism undertook several activities to identify additional victims. However, no additional victims have been found to date.

Further information

- 34. Sulfur mustard is a colourless, viscous liquid, odourless in its distilled, pure form. However, if not stabilized properly, it can easily polymerize resulting in a yellow-brown liquid of increasing density with an odour resembling mustard plants, garlic or horseradish.
- 35. Several witnesses, entities and other sources referred to the bad smell in the area (e.g., smell of garlic, rotten eggs, irritating, very bad). Several pictures from different sources show a dark viscous liquid. The examination of the pictures by a forensic institute could neither confirm nor exclude that the liquid on the photo is sulfur mustard.

- 36. Multiple sources suggested that the sulfur mustard in question was undistilled and had been generated through the Levinstein process. According to them, the bad smell (rotten eggs) and colour of the substance (dark green/blue) were consistent with sulfur mustard used by ISIL in other incidents, including in a neighbouring State. The olfactory observation of a rotten smell supports the assessment that the sulfur mustard may have been produced through the Levinstein process. The smell is stronger when undistilled, similar to that of rotten eggs, due to impurities of such reactions.
- 37. Some sources provided information that indicated that ISIL had the capacity to produce sulfur mustard through the Levinstein chemical reaction process.
- 38. The Organizsation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW) confirmed that the sulfur mustard from the Syrian Arab Republic did not contain impurities such as polysulphides, meaning that a different process was used by the Government. The OPCW also reported that the sulfur mustard used by ISIL in northern Iraq on several occasions in 2015 and 2016 was produced through the Levinstein process.
- 39. The Mechanism requested clothing from victims and environmental or certain biomedical samples. However, none were made available to the Mechanism for further analysis, despite repeated requests.
- 40. There is not sufficient information available to draw conclusions on the origin of the sulfur mustard used during this incident.

The Leadership Panel's assessment

- 41. The Leadership Panel examined the existing information regarding the incident in Marea on 21 August 2015 and determined that there is sufficient information to conclude that the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) was the only entity with the ability, capability, motive and the means to use sulfur mustard in Marea on 21 August 2015.
- 42. This conclusion was based on the following:
 - Marea had been a traditional stronghold of armed opposition groups, which were fighting against Government forces. On 21 August 2015, ISIL advanced westward towards Marea.
 - Several witnesses, as well as a number of other sources provided information that Marea was bombarded by around 50 artillery shells, several of which were filled with sulfur mustard, from the east or south-east, an area under the control of ISIL.
 - On that and the following days a number of people reported to the hospital with symptoms related to exposure to sulfur mustard.
 - A large number of photos and videos of the munition used in Marea were received and analysed by the Mechanism. Four sources stated that the munition used was 130 mm artillery shells. The photos and videos of the munition are consistent in relation to the release of a dark viscous liquid from the artillery shell.

16-14788 102/102