



General Assembly

Distr.
GENERAL

A/52/88
5 March 1997

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

Fifty-second session
Item 71 (a) of the preliminary list*

GENERAL AND COMPLETE DISARMAMENT: NOTIFICATION OF NUCLEAR TESTS

Note by the Secretary-General

Pursuant to General Assembly resolutions 41/59 N of 3 December 1986 and 42/38 C of 30 November 1987, a communication, dated 21 February 1997, has been received from Australia and is reproduced in the annex to the present note.

* A/52/50.

ANNEX

Information provided by States

AUSTRALIA

[Original: English]

[21 February 1997]

1. I have the honour to refer to resolution 42/38 C, entitled "Notification of nuclear tests", in paragraph 3 of which the General Assembly requests States that, while not themselves conducting nuclear explosions, possess data on such events to make those data available to the Secretary-General for circulation.
2. In accordance with that request, the Government of Australia has the honour to attach details of nuclear explosions detected by Australia from January to September 1996 (appendix I) as well as an explanatory memorandum (appendix II).
3. In the past the Government of Australia has provided quarterly reports, even if a test was not detected. In view of the adoption and opening for signature of the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty in September 1996, in future the Government of Australia will submit data only if a test is actually detected. The Government of Australia has the honour to request that this advice be included in the report of the Secretary-General under the relevant agenda item of the fifty-second session of the General Assembly.

APPENDIX I

Quarterly reports on presumed underground nuclear explosions^a

Month	Day	Universal time	Locality	Estimated body-wave magnitude ^b	Estimated yield (kilotons) ^c	Sequence number
<u>January-March 1996</u>						
January	27	21 30	Fangataufa, France	5.3	10-40	96/1
February		Nil				
March		Nil				
<u>April-June 1996</u>						
April		Nil				
May		Nil				
June	08	02 56	Lop Nor, China	5.9	40-150	96/2
<u>July-September 1996</u>						
July	29	01 49	Lop Nor, China	4.9	5-20	96/3
August		Nil				
September		Nil				

^a Information in the present bulletin was derived from Australian seismological facilities and from institutions in other countries cooperating in the monitoring of earthquakes and nuclear explosions.

^b Unless otherwise noted, the estimated body-wave magnitude is that published by the United States National Earthquake Information Center and is based on observations of magnitude obtained from around the world, including from Australia.

^c The yields are estimated using empirical equations, but there is no single agreed formula for the determination of yields. The yields estimated from these relations are not sufficiently accurate to determine compliance with international treaties.

APPENDIX II

Explanatory note

1. When a nuclear device is detonated underground, seismic waves radiate out in all directions. In order to establish that an underground nuclear explosion has taken place, pinpoint its location and estimate the size or yield of the blast, seismologists attempt to detect and analyse the several distinct types of seismic waves generated by the blast. Many factors affect the strength and clarity of those seismic waves, particularly the efficiency with which the explosion transmits energy to the surrounding earth. This efficiency is, in turn, dependent on local geological conditions such as the hardness and water content of the rock surrounding the explosion. Knowledge of the path through the earth which the seismic signals have travelled is also important.

2. An international network of seismic stations would add significantly to confidence in the ability to detect and locate the source of underground nuclear explosions, whenever conducted. Australia is actively engaged in the international effort to create such a network and, in addition, has established a number of bilateral links for seismic cooperation.

3. Experts estimate that confidence in an international seismic network would extend to coupled explosions with yields down to about 5 kilotons and possibly as low as 1 kiloton; beyond that, distinguishing nuclear explosions from earthquakes and other seismic "noise" becomes a more difficult task, and supplementary measures may be necessary. Estimating the yield of an underground explosion by remote seismic means is especially difficult given the data currently available. The relationship between seismic signals and yield is not fixed, but is subject to the vagaries of geology and a number of other unknown factors. At the present time we do not have openly available the large and authoritative database of explosions of known yield in various locations and geological conditions necessary to define the relationship with maximum confidence. That is why the footnotes to the table in appendix I of the present report stress that the estimated yields are not sufficiently reliable to determine compliance with international treaties. All such questions are being actively addressed in international forums.
