United Nations GENERAL ASSEMBLY



31et meeting held on Wednesday, 9 November 1988 at 10 a.m. New York

F IRST COMM ITTEE

FORTY-THIRD SESSION

Official Records*

VERBATIM RECORD OF THE 31st MEET ING

Chairman: Mr, Roche (Cunada)

later: Mr. Batiouk (Ukrainian SSR) (Vice-Chairman)

CON TEN TS

- CONSIDERATION OF **AND ACTION** ON **DRAFT RESOLUTIONS ON DISARMAMENT** ITEMS [51 to 69, 139, 141 and 1451 (continued)

The meeting was called to order ac 10.20 a.m.

AGENDA ITEMS 51 TO 69, 139, 141 AND 145 (continued)

CONS IDERATION OF AND ACT ION ON DRAFT RESOLUT ION8 ON DISARMAMENT ITEMS

Mr. GARCIA ROBLES (Mexico) (interpretation from Spanish): My 8 ta tement today is the fifth and last statement by the Mexican delegation introducing draft resolutions of which it is a co-eponeor. In each of the three of the statements I made I introduced a single draft cenolution, as I shall do in my statement today. In the fourth statement, however, I introduced three draft resolutions at once.

The draft resolution I am introducing today, in document A/C. 1/43/L.60, is entitled "Comprehensive programme of disarmament", and is sponsored by the delegation of Mexico.

The Conference on Disarmament has been dealing with this item since 1980 in an ad hoc committee over which, since 1981, I have been honoured to preside. The last report the Ad Hoc Committee submitted to the Conference on Disarmament, the full text of which can be found in the report of the Conference on Disarmament to the General Assembly, gives a detailed account of the work of the Ad Hoc Committee dur ing the year under review. It beg ins with a brief summary of the Ad Hoc Committee's work and the documentation before it. Reference is then made to the progress made towards harmonizing positions and narrowing areas of disagreement. The report emphas izes, however, that in the short time available it was not possible to reconcile dif ferences on a number of issues, and the Ad Hoc Committee accordingly agreed that it should resume work at the outset of the 1989 session with the firm intention of completing the elaboration of the Programme with a view to its submission to the General Assembly, at the latest, at its forty-fourth session.

That brief introduction is followed by an annex of 36 single-spaced pages aetting forth the element8 of the draft Comprehensive Programme of Disarmament, which are presented in six chapter8 entitled: "Introduction", "Objectives",

W/C'T/ #3/ EAFAT

(Mr. Garcia Robles, Mexico)

"Principles", "Prior i ties", "Measures and stages of implementation", and "Machinery and Procedures". With regard to the first four chapters and the sixth chapter, the Ad Hoc Committee has made considerable prograss. Few issues rema in ou tstanding where the introduction, objectives, principles, prior ities are concerned, so that it is now safe to easy that they are sufficiently in keeping with the Final Document Of the first special session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament to resolve any problem relating to those chapter 8.

The sixth and last chapter of the Comprehensive Programme of Disarmament, "Mach inery and Procedures", with the exception of five words referring to the United Nations Charter, is entirely free from square bracketa. It makes reference to three stages, a first stage, an intermediate stage and a last stage, for the Programme. Arrangements are made for periodic reviews, inter alia, at special sessions Of the General Assembly, of the implement at tion of the measures included in the Var ious atages of the Comprehensive Ptogramme. It Provides that, in addition to periodic reviews at special sessions, there should also be annual reviews Of the Programme's implementation. For that purpose it is suggested that the Secretary-General should annually submit a report to the General Assembly On progress in the implementation of the Programme.

The draft Programme concludes with the following statement:

"At the earliest appropr late time, a world disarmament conference should be convened with universal participation and with adequate preparation.'

(A/43/27, para. 90, annex, p. 300)

The obvious importance of the Comprehensive Programme of Disarmament, shown by the fact that consensus has been achieved in so many areas, as I have briefly illustrated in my statement, is evidence of the wisdom of the firm intention of the Ad Hoc Commit tee, from whose report I have quoted today. In the conclusion to its

(Mr. Garcia Robles, Mexico)

report, the Ad Hoc Committee agreed "that it should resume work at the utset of the 1989 session" in order to complete the elaboration of the Programme for its submission to the General Assembly, at the latest, in the words of draft reaclu tion A/C. 1/43/L. 60, "at its forty-fourth session."

Mr. AZIKIWE (Niger la): In my statement this morning I wish to introduce two draft resolutioner A/C. 1/43/L. 63, "Consideration of the Declaration of the 1990s as the Third Disarmament Decade," and A/C.1/43/L.64, "United Nation8 disarmament f ellowehip, training and advisory services programme", respectively. Draft recolution A/C.1/43/L.63 is being Introduced on behalf of the delegatione of Argentina, Ethiopia, Indones ia, Poland, Zaire and Niger la.

It will be recalled that in the closing years of the first Disarmament Decade the General Assembly, encouraged by the limited achievements of the 1970s in the sphere of diearmament, decided to declare a Second Disarmament Decade and, accordingly, directed the Disarmament Commission, in resolution 34/75 of

11 December 1979, to prepare elements of a draft resolution to be entitled "Declaration of the 1980s as the Second Diearmament Decade. " The annex to recolution 35/46 of 3 December 1980, which embodies that Declaration, reflected the hopes and aspirations of the international community in the sphere of disarmament.

I Wish also to recall that both the recommendations of the Diearmament Commission and the Declare tion itself were adopted by consensus. We are encoutaged that the end of the Second Disarmament Decade gives some hope for optim ism in the disarmament process.

A8 we are all aware, **the** late **1980s** have **witnessed genuine** efforts in the area of nuclear diearmament and are likely to reach another milestone now that appreciable **progress** has been made towards the elaboration of the **chemical-weapons** convention. It is imperative that the current **momentum** in the disarmament process should **be ma in** ta **ined.** Hence the need for **a** third disarmament decade.

(Mr. Az ik iwe, Niger ia)

In its preambular paragraphs the draft recolution reaffirms the responsibility of the United Nations in the disarmament process. It further notes the progress in the bilateral disarmament negotiations between the two super-Powers and its positive impact on the attainment of global peace and secur ity.

(Mr. A2 ik iwe, diger ta)

In its operative para phs it expresses the decision of the General Assembly to declare the 1990s as the Third Disarmament Decade, and accordingly directs the Disarmament Commission to prepare at its 1999 substantive session elements for the "Declaration" as was done for the Second Disarmament Decade.

We hope that Member States will endorae the continuation of the current momentum in the disarmament process by adopting the draft resolution by consensus.

I also introduce the draft resolution in document A/C.1/43/L.64, on the United Nations disarmament, fellowship, training and advisory services programme, on behalf of Algeria, Argentina, Ethiopia, German Democra tic Republic, Hungary, Indonesia, Kenya, Liberia, Morocco, New Zealand, Venezuela, Zaire and my own delegation. The fellowship programme has proved to be more successful and uaeful to Member Statee than was envieaged when it was establiahed during the First Special Session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament. The importance that Member States attach to the programme is demonstrated by the fact that there has been more demand for places in the programms than the number available. May I again express our appreciation to all the Governments that have offered assistance to ensure the eucceasful implementation of the programme, which has been so well executed by the Department for Disarmament Affairs.

The draft resolution recognizes the appreciable number of fellows the t have been trained under the programme, and expresses the belief that the forma of assistance ava ilable to Member States under the programme will fac ill ta te better understanding of disarmament issues, It is our belief that the Secretary-General will continue the Implementation of the programme with in ox is t ing resources. We recommend that the draft resolution be adopted without a vote.

Mr. AL-NASSER (Qatar) (interpretation from Arabic): In my statement today I wish to address the question of Israeli nuclear armament under agenda item 69. My deleqation previously broached this subject in its statement dur inq the qeneral debate in this Committee, highlighting the danger inherent in the fact that Israel is stepping up its nuclear programme in suport of its policy of occupation and aggress ion in the req ion. In that eta tement the Permanent Representative of Qatar said that, while the nuclear States were concluding agreements aimed at reducing their nuclear arsenals, there was increase inq evidence that Israel had become the sixth nuclear-weapon Power with launching and delivery capacity, and that Israel, having perfected nuclear missiles, had launched a satellite for intelligence purposes. Israel's nuclear capability has thus attained a new stage in this destructive technology, at a time when the international community is calling for accession to the Non-Proliferation Treaty - the Fourth Review Conference of which will be held in 1990 - and more countries of ve region are acceding to it.

The alarming escalation of Israel's nuclear programme and the reinforcement of its capability to use the vast quantities of nuclear weapons, which it is producing, are developments that endanger peace and security not only in the Middle East, but in the whole wor Id. Israel is violating and contravening the resolutions of the General Assembly and the Security Council, calling upon Israel to place all its nuclear installations under IAEA safeguards until a nuclear-weapon-free zone is established. Isarel is also violating the provisions of those resolutions by developing nuclear weapons and delivery vehicles, thus flouting the will of the international community and disregarding the dangers posed to international peace and security by its policy.

(Mr. Al-Nasset, Qatar)

On 19 September the world was informed that Israel had launched a Satellite into outer space, and the press reported that it was the first step in the development of reconnaisance satellites which would make Isarel less dependent On other States for surveillance and reconnaissance. The press further stated that Isarel was now one of the eight States capable of producing satellite launchers. This was a step towards acquiring the ability to launch its nuclear weapons and aim them at any part of the world. Despite the statements of Israeli officials about the technological and scientific purposes of the launching of the satellite, there is not doubt that its military dimensions are quite clear, not to mention its destabilizing effect in the area and the disruption of the delicate regional balance. These developments indicate Israel's disregard of United Nations resolutions and its intention to resort to all means in order to achieve its aim of preserving what it has gained as a result of aggression and maintaining superior ity through nuclear capability.

Israel has openly declared its policy of wiping out any peaceful nuclear Programme in the area that it perceives as a threat to its nuclear supetiority. One of the obvious objectives of the new satellite is to survey — or, to use a more direct term, to spy on what goes on in the region, and to allow Isarel to be free from any outside commitments, if it sees fit, in perpetrating pre-emptive acts of aggression, such as striking targets in Syria or Iraq or the atomic reactor in Pakistan — a tacget to which it has had an eye for several years, as in the case of the Iraqi reactor several years ago. These acts show that striking at peaceful nuclear programmes is part of Isarel's general policy of nuclear armament.

We therefore call upon the general **Assembly to** reiterate its **request to** Israel to desist from developing, **producing** and stockpiling nuclear weapons, **to make its** nuclear installations **subject** to international safeguards and control and **to accede** to the Non-Proliferation Treaty, to which **most** of the States of the **region**

(Mt. Al-Naeeer , Qatar)

have a lr eady acceded. We are indeed about to hold the Fourth Review Conference on that Treaty, scheduled for 1999; 138 Member States have so far acceded to it, yet its objectives and significance would be greatly undermined if we allow a State such as Israel to contravene the pr inciples of non-proliferation, particularly by developing nuclear weapons and delivery vehicles for each weapons.

Israel could not have developed its present nuclear capability without help from other States. Israel has a long history of military and nuclear co-operation with the racist régime In South Africa.

(Mr. Al-Nasser, Qatar)

A scientific magazine has reported that Israel is helping to design missiles for that régime.

At every session the General Assembly notes with concern the danger s inherent in the collaboration between these régimes, whose nuclear capability poses a threat to the security and stability of the neighbour ing countries.

The Arab States have submitted drart resolution A/C.1/43/L.6, on Israeli nuclear armamant. It contains the considerations that I have aet forth in this staement. My delegation hopes that it will be supported by the Committee when it is put the vote.

Dame Ann HERCUS (New Zealand): As a newcomer to the First Committee, I have observed the expertise and sense of purpose brought to deliberations by delegations represented here. I judge with some pride that the wider interests of the international amount ty are in good hands.

But the search for peace and secur ity is not the exclusive province or reponsibility of the United Nations, or individual Governments. There is an equally valid role, and equal talent and sk Ills, in non-qovernmental organizations and in individuals that - like us - accept that effort is required if men and women everywhere are to be aware that the search for security is a responsibility for all. In this requard, I am pleased to note the attendance at Committee meetings of New Zealand members of non-qover nmenta 1 organ izations. I hone that, like me, they have found a ttendence a rewarding exper ience.

The task of making the world a safer place continues year-round. But one week, Disarmament Week, provides a focus of opportunity for an exchange of information and views on our disarmament aims and objectives - views that sometimes differ widely.

Draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.48, entitled "Disarmament Week", invites all

States and international and national non-qovernmental organizations to undertake

(Dame Ann Hercus, New Zealand)

such activities and report back to the Secretary-General. New Zealand is pleased to co-sponsor **Ls draft resolution, since we hold high the need for public participation in disarmament activities, Indeed, our Public Advisory Commit tee or Disarmament and Arms Control provides a means through which the New Zealand public can put its views tefore our Minister of Foreign Affairs. The New Zealand Committee also has a mandate to promote greater public undecstanding of disarmament and arms-control matters through making recommendations on funding of appropriate activities. Reports on those activities, in fulfilment of that mandate and as requested in draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.48, will be provided by New Zealand to the Secretary-General.

When I addressed the Committee some three weeks ago the business of preparing and negotiating draft resolutions lay before us. It is not yet over. But in three weeks a commendable effort has been made by a number of delegations to produce broadly acceptable texts in many areas. I know you will be pleased, Mr. Chairman, that your advice to the Committee in this regard has been heeded. For our part, we are grsteful for the extra time you have made available for those negotiations, and for your qu idance in helping us carry them out.

In speak ing today, I am suppor tim my colleague Amassador Bayart of Mongol ia, who introduced draft resolution A/C.1/43/L. 48. New Zealand was pleased to be able to work with his delegation to produce a draft text which brings together many viewpoints. Mongolia and New Zealand are not traditional collaborators in this area. But the spirit in which we have worked to reach common ground exemplifies the spirit which has been evident in this year's First Committee deliberations so far. It is in the same spirit of co-operation that I commend draft resolution A/C.1/43/L. 48 to the Committee, and express the hope that It will be adopted without a vote.

Mr. SHARMA (India) 1 The delegation of India would like to make a few observations on the discussions pertain ing to ohemical and ba ter iological weapons.

Efforts to introduce a ban on the use of ohemical and biological weapons pre-date the birth of the United Na tions. At the turn of the century there already existed a growing sentiment among nations that such weapons should be Legarded as abhorrent and should be totally eliminated. As a first step, the Geneva Protocol was negotiated more than 60 years ago. It came into force in 1925 and prohibited the use in war of asphyx is t ing, poisonous or other gases or bacter iological methods of warfare. The next step came almost 50 years later when, in 1972, a Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production and Stookpil 1 g of Bacteriological (Biological) and Toxin Weapons and on the deetruotion of existing stockpiles was concluded. At that stage India, along with a number of other coun tr ies, expr eased concer n that chemica 1 weapons were not included in that Convention and that their development, production and stookpiling would continue.

It was almost a decade later that negotiations commenced in Geneva in the Committee on Disarmament to develop a convention effea tively to ban chemical weapons. Dur inq recent years these negotiations have gradually progressed and today we can hope they will gain momentum towards a successful conclusion. number of politically complex assues there has been a gradual convergence of views.

At the same time, new ideas have emerged with the growing real iza tion that security must be conceived in **global terms** and has a multidimensional character, An appreciation of these fac tors convinces us that negotia tions should br ing about a global, comprehensive and effectively verifiable convention. While it would prohibit the development, production and stockpiling of chemical weapons and provide for their destruction, positive provisions for actively promoting and enhancing international co-operation in peaceful uses of chemical technology would contr ibute in considerable measure to improved secur ity, To ensure the universal

(Mr. Sharma, India)

character of the convention, we must create a forward-looking organizational structure that, embodies democratlo and non-discr iminatory pr inciples. The principle of equitable quoqraphical and political representation in the execut ive functions is necessary to ensure the international character of such a convention.

The widespread expression of commitment that we have heard in this Room to ach iev ing the goals of such a convention is an encouraging development. We hope that the proposed conference in Paris in January next year will generate the political will required to carry us through the final negotiations in the Conference on Disarmament.

Mr, von STULPNAGEL (Federal Republia of Germany): Today I should like to address an agenda item to which my Government a traches particular importance ohemica 1 weapons.

Over the past year the international community has repeatedly been confronted with the gruesome reality of the uso of chemical weapons in the war between Iran and Iraq. We are also alarmed about reports of the use of chemical weapons against the Kurdish civilian population.

must be understood as an urgent warning to act and to meet our responsibility of providing for the speediest possible elimination of all chemical weapons world-wide. The urgency of the matter is also under 1 ined by recent reports about the proliferation of chemical weapons. The traumatic experience with the ghastliness and the indiscrimina te effects of the use of chemical weapons should remind us that there is no time to be lost, We have to act expeditiously if we do not want to let this historic opportunity slip.

Clearly, the best way to free mankind once and for all from the ecourqa of chemical weapons is the conclusion of a comprehensive and effectively verifiable convention that ensures that all existing chemical-weapons stocks and ohemical-weapons production facilities are eliminated and that any further manufacture, acquisition, storage, transfer and use of these weapons is prohibited, and that this is subject to effective verification. My Government therefore attaches the highest pr ior ity to the ongoing negotiations in Geneva on a comprehens ive, global and effective verification bann ing such weapons.

We have achieved considerable progress in the negotiations, particularly during the past one and a half years. This progress, which has been especially noticeable in the crucial field of verification, makes us confident that an

(Mr. van Stülpnagel, Federal Republic of Germany)

effective convention is within our reach. The structure of a convention is now already in place, but it needs to be fleehed out. The rolling text which provides the basis for our work contains language which is for the most part agreed among the negotia tor 8, but which needs ref ining.

It is now imperative that the momentum of the negotiations be maintained and that the remaining issues, which require a great amount of work on the details, be resolved as expeditiously as possible.

Until auch a global ban on chemical weapons is in force, the international community must clearly and unambiquously demonstrate that it does not wan;: to remain indifferent to blatant violations of the Geneva Protocol and, indeed, to the suffer ings of victims of chemical warfare.

The Security Council has adopted resolutions 612 (1988) and 620 (1988), on the bee is of reports by missions of experts dispatched by the Secretary-General, which affirmed the use of chemical weapons in the oonf lict between Iran and Iraq. The Federal Republic of Germany, as a co-sponaor of those resolutions, was actively involved in their drafting. We call for their full implementation.

Security Council reaculation 620 (1988), which was adopted on 26 August this year, not only vigorously condemns the use of chemical weapons, but also contains a pledge to intensify efforts to end all use of chemical weapons in violation Of international obligations. To this end the resolution makes reference to three very important elementst prompt investigations in response to alleqations of the use of chemical weapons; establishment or etrenqthening of str iot control over the export of chemical products serving for the production of chemical weapons; and effective measures in accordance with the United Nations Charter in the event of future use of chemical weapons.

(Mr. von **Stülpnagel**, Federal Republic **of** Germany)

We are committed to these qoals of Security Council resolution 620 (1988) and are doing everything possible to achieve them.

WI th required to the effective instrument which should be available to the United Nations at all times for investigating alleged violations of the 1925 Geneva Protocol, we fully support the procedures provided for in General Assembliv resolution 42/37 C. We also give our full support to the work of the group of qualified experts which was established by the same resolution with a view to developing quidelines and procedures for international investigations permitting Swift clarifice tion of any suspected use of chemical weapons. We requet that the work has not vet been brought to a successful conolus ion. It is our intention to contribute act ively to the successful completion of this task.

It was Federal Foreign Minister Gensoher who was one of the first, in his statement at this year's session of the General Assembly, on 28 September, to welcome the initiative taken by President Reagan for a conference of the signa tor lea of the 1925 Geneva Protocol. We consider this Conference, which is now to take place at the invitation of the French Government in Par is from 7 to 11 January next year, as a timely and welcome opportunity to reaffirm the necessity for str ict oompl lance by all States with the 1925 Geneva Protocol and other relevant international instruments. We hope that the conference will q ive a strong impetus to the priority objective of br inqing about a comprehensive, qlcbal and effectively verifiable ban on chemical weapons.

Indeed, we must do **everything** in our power to pursue that priority objective and also to **strengthen** all instruments which can be used to prevent the use and peoliforation of chemical **weapons** before a global convention is in force.

(Mr. von Stülpnagel, Federal Republic of Germany)

Having listened to the general debate at this year's session of the First Committee, I feel there is a common des ire to prevent any further use of ohemical weapons, Nearly all speakers have made statements to that effect. The invernational communit, will take us at our word.

Last year's General Assembly resolutions 42/37 A and 42/37 C, dealing with chemical weapons, were adopted by consensus. This year we have two specific follow-up r esolutions on chemical weapons. In co-sponsor inq those two resolutions we wish to underline our strong commitment to a comprehensive convention on chemical weapon:) and to the prevention of any further use of such weapons. This year we again hope that the resolutions will be adopted by consensus. Indeed, their attitude towards the resolutions is a touchs tone of the sincer ity of the commitment of Member States to a qlobal ban on chemical weapons and the renunciation of any use of chemical weapons in violation of international law.

Mr. KALUDJEROVIC (Yuqoslav la): I am particularly pleased to introduce, on behalf of a group of sponsors cansisting of Alger la, Bangladeah, Braz il, Burma, Cuba, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Ghans, India, Indonesia, Islamic Republic of Iran, Kenya, Madagascar, Mexico, Morocco, Niger la, Pak is tan, Peru, Roman la, Sr i Lanka, Sudan, Sweden, Tun is la, Venezuela, Vie t Nam, Yuqoslav ia and Za ire, draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.66, entitled "Report of the Conference on Disarmament".

(Mr. Kaludjerovic, Yugoslavia)

If this year's general debate an disarmament has pin-pointed any single issue of common concern, it is certainly the need for atrengthening the United Nations and multilateralism as a whole in conditions when new prospects are opening up for the solution of the most important questions in the field of disarmament. Of particular significance in this connection is the work of the Conference on Disarmament, the only multilateral negotiating body in this field and an irreplaceable instrument of the international community for negotiations on disarmament. The results of the work of the Conference, however, have so for not been commensurate with its possibilities.

The sponsors of the draft resolution consider that the Conference should he directly involved in negotiations on the most important issues on disarmament that concern the security of all countries. This year's report of the Conference on Disarmament has shown, once again, that there has been no progress in the consideration of those issues. One more year has elapsed, and the Conference continues to he denied the right and authority to negotiate on the most important issues on its agenda despite the efforts of the vast majority of its members. The selective and one-sided approach is not a method for the solving of problems of vital importance to the international community. Their relations with the Conference are a litmus test of the political readiness of countries to contribute and deepen the process of disarmament.

We must not allow the Conference to he side-tracked and made to wait for the completion of bilateral negotiatiops on certain issues. Multilateral efforts and bilateral talks must be complementary. The sponsors of the draft resolution therefore believe that, in view of the current processes in some important fields of disarmament, the Conference will he in a position to reach concrete agreements on disarmament issues to which the United Nations has assigned the greatest priority and urgency.

(Mr. Kalud jerovic, Yugoslavia)

The draft resolution notes with satisfaction that the Conference has achieved progress in the negotiations on the conclusion of a comprehensive convention on chemical weapons. By its harmonization and adoption the world would be handed a powerful legal weapon in the struggle against possession and use of one of the most lethal weapons of mass destruction.

The sponsors of the draft resolution are convinced that an additional impetus to negotiations on disarmament at all levels is needed today perhaps more than ever before. They are therefore motivated by the desire to render the Conference full support and to reaffirm its role and importance in the process of negotiations on disermamen t. The draft resolution is intended to encourage the future work of the Conference towards negotiations and the adoption of concrete measures on the specific priority issues of disarmament in keeping with the fundamental role of the Conference on Disarmament as identified in the Final Document of the tenth special session of the General Assembly. The sponsors are therefore convinced that, as in the paet, the draft resolution will receive the widest possible support.

Mr. SOULIOTIS (Greece) & On behalf of the 12 members of the European Community, I wish to address agenda item 63, concerning chemical and bateriological (biological) weapons.

The Twelve continue to ace the complete elimination of chemical weapons as one of the central and priority tasks of the international community. To that end, the Twelve attach particular importance to the chemical weapons negotiation under way at the Conference on Diaarmament in Geneva.

They strongly advocate the early establishment of a global, comprehensive and effectively verifiable han on chemical weapons and reaffirm their dedication to the total elimination of those weapons. It is only by means of such a convention that mankind can once and for all be freed from the scourge of chemical weapons.

(Mr. Soul lot la, Greece)

The negotiations for a global ban on chemical weapons have made encouraging progress. Joint efforts can bring closer the resolution of pending problems, including the complex hut fundamental verification issues, in a way acceptable to all. Those of the Twelve who are participants in the Conference will continue vigorously to pureue that goal at the negotiations.

From the outset of the negotiatione, it was clear that reliable verification would be a crucial issue. Substantial progress has been made towards establishing an effective verification system. A recent positive development is the initiation of trial inspections of chemical facilities. It is the hope of the Twelve that those inspectione will help clarify some of the remaining problems in that area and aontribute to their expeditious resolution.

In this context of constructive parallelism between the bilateral and multilateral processes, the Twelve reiterate that they welcome the ongoing discussions between the United States and the Soviet Union on issues related to the prohibit ion of chemical weapons. Those discussions have contributed positively to the negotiating process in the Conference on Disarmament.

The use of these terrible weapons in the Iran-Iraq conflict and the compelling indications of their use against the Kurdish civilian population underline the compelling need for a comprehensive, verifiable and global convention on the elimination of chemical weapons. The Twelve are gravely concerned by the reports of the Secretary-General and confirm their position condemning such use of chemical weapone. They call for respect for the Geneva Protocol of 1925 and other relevant rules of customary international law, They also call for full implementation of Security Council recolutione 632 (1988) and 620 (1988). The Twelve endorse the Security Council's call on States to continue to apply, to establish or to

(Mr. Bouliotis, Greece)

production of chemical weapons. This is particularly so in respect of parties to a conflict when it is established or when there is substantial reason to believe that they have used chemical weapons in violation of international obligations. They commend its decision to consider immediately, taking into account the investigations of the Secretary-General, appropriate and effective measures, in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, should there be any future use of chemical weapons in violation of international law. In that context, the Twelve welcome the consensus accorded to General Assembly resolution 42/37 C which, inter alia, urged all States to be guided in their national policies by the need to curb the spread of chemical weapons, requested the Secretary-General to investigate the reports of chemical weapons use and requested him to develop further technical guidelines and procedures to assist in the timely and efficient investigation of such reports.

The Twelve warmly support the timely **initiarive** of the Fresidents of the United States and France, made before the General Assembly, in calling **for** a conference with the aim of reaffirming the authority of the Geneva Protocol of 1925. We expect the conference at the same time to give a new forceful impetus to the ongoing negotiations at the Conference on Disarmament in Geneva. We welcome the invitation by President Mitterrand to hold such a conference in Paris **from 7 to** 11 January 1989.

The Twelve are encouraged by the results of the second Review Conference of the States parties to the Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production and Stockpiling of Bacteriological (Mirlogical) Weapons and Toxin Weapons and on Their Destruction. They welcome the measures adopted for voluntary confidence-building and look forward to widespread response among States parties, on a continuing basis, as envisaged in the report of the Ad Hoc Committee of Scientific and Technical Experts (BWC/Conf.II/EX.2).

(Mr. Souliot is, Greece)

Several draft resolutions have been submitted concerning the agenda item T am addreseing. The Twelve very much hope that it will prove possible once again to reach concensus on these important issues.

(Mr. Souliotis, Greeoe)

And now, I wish to make some comments on behalf of the Twelve Member States of the European Community on agenda item 67 (a), entitled "Report of the Disarmament Commission", with specific reference to consideration of guidelines for confidence-building measures.

After several years of deliberation in the Disarmament Commission, in which many Member s act ivaly participated, the Diearmament Commission, following the initiative of the Federal Republic of Germany, agreed this year on a set of guidelines for confidence-building measures. The Twelve would like to see the General Assembly endorse theee guidelines and recommend their implamentation by States in accordance with their own particular situation.

In the view of the Twalve, the concept of conf Idence-building is par ticularly important in a world where instances of the use and the three t of use of force still cause concern to the international community. Conf idence-build inq measures have played and will continue to play a considerable role in multilateral disarmament affairs. They are not, of course, a eubstitute for arms control and disarmament. We welcome the fact the t the euppor tive role of conf idence-building measures is now widely accepted.

The positive political climate that resulted from the Waehinqton and Moscow summit meetings, as well a8 from other contacts at the highest levels between the United States of America and the USSR, has created new conditions conducive to the achievement of sign? ficant progress in the process of arms control and disarmamen t and of strengthening peace. In such a climate, confidence-building measures for their part car cer to inly promote the disarmament and arms control process, since their foundation lies in respect for the principles ensht ined in the Charter of the United Nations. Thus, they contribute to preserving international peace and security.

(Mr. Sculiotia, Greace)

The Twelve are firmly convinced that the development of confidence-build inquessures and the promotion of more opennese and transparency in the military field are elements central to progress in arms control and disarmament at both the qlobal and the regional levels. The adoption of measures that contribute to greater openness and transparency helps to prevent m isperceptions and m iscalculations of intentions and military capabilities and to further the relaxation of international tensions.

As a concrete example, the results achieved at the Stockholm Conference and the encouraging experience quined so far from the implementation of the Stockholm document have contributed significantly to improving confidence and mutual trust in Europe. As always, the Twelve made every effort to contribute to that result.

We feel that the existing net of provisions can still be improved by promoting more openneee and tr aneparency in the mill tary field. The Twelve, 1 ike many other Europeans, are firmly committed to the new round of confidence- and security-building-measures no totations.

Equally, the Twelve support efforts in other regions - in Latin America, in Africa and in Asia - the t can con tribute to an a tmoephere favourable to regional disarmament measures. We hope that those efforts will succeed.

On the global scale, too, the Twelve are encouraged by the results of the Second Review Confarence of the States Parties to the Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production and S tookyil ing of Bao tet iolog ical (Biolog ical) and Toxin Weapon8 and on Their Destruction. We welcome the measures adopted for voluntary conf idence-bu ilding and lock for ward to a widespread response among State parties.

Much work has gone into dr afting and f inal iz ing the qu idel ines accepted at this year's substantive session of the Disarmament Commission. The Twelve hope that, against the background of a generally improving atmosphere in international

(Mr. Souliotis, Greece)

relations - no small part of which is due to the tireless and successful work of the Secretary-General and his staff - Member States of the United Nations will draw upon those Guidel inese and implement those recommends tions contained therein which they see fit to apply to their specific circumstances.

We fully support the draft recolution in document A/C.1/43/L.49 submitted by the Federal Republic of Germany.

Mr. MARTYNOV (Byelorussian SSR) (interpretation from Russian): Today, the Byelorussian delegation has the honour of introducinq draft resolution A/C. 1/43/L. 38 entitled "Proh ibi tion of the development and manufacture of new types of weapons Of ma88 destruction and new systems Of such weapons". We make the introduction on behalf of the delegations of Angola, Benin, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Czechoslovak is, Ethiopia, the German Democratic Republic, Hungary, the Lao People's Democratic Republic, Mongolia, Mozambique, Poland, Romania, the Syr Ian Arab Republic, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, Vie t Nam and a 1so on behalf of our awn delegation.

The Byelor uss ian SSR, for many year 8 now, has taken a particular interest in this problem. We are convinced that its significance cannot be overestimated. The proqress of science and technology, the emergence of new scientific principles and technologies and the possibility of their military application have all created the danger of the emergence of new forms of weapons of mass destruction that the three characteristics comparable in destructive effect to those of the weapons of mass destruction identified in the definition of such weapons adopted by the United Nations Commission on Conventional Weapons in 1948.

Fur thermore, the problem of preventing the development of new type8 of weapons of mass destruction will become ever more urgent as progress is made towards the elimina tion of en ist ing types of such weapons. In light of that, the Byelorussian SSR and the other sponsors naw believe it appropriate and necessary to work towards

(Mr. Mar tynov, Byelor US8 tan SSR)

agreement on proceduree that aculd be immediately implemented in order to co-ordinate invernational action as and when concrete type8 of such weapons are identifiled.

To those ends, operative paragraph 1 of the resolution reaffirms the need for effective measures to be taken to avoid the emergence of new types of weapons of mass destruction based on new scientific principles and ach ievements.

Paragraph 2 provides for appropr is to procedure that should be made available to the international community. That is to say, the Conference on Disarmament, in the light of its existing priorities, should keep under continuing review, with appropr late expert assistance, the questions of the prohibition of the development and manuface ture of new types of weapons of mass deetrue tion and new systems of such weapon6 with a view to making, when necessary, recommendations on under tak inq specific negotiations on the identified types of such weapons.

In paragraph 3, the General **Assembly** oalls upon all Statee, immediately following the identification **of** any new type of weapon of mass deetruo **tion**, to **commence** negotiation8 on its prohibition and to refrain from any action **that** could adversely affect **the** negotiations.

(Mr. Mar tynov, Byelor uss ian SSR)

The Byelorussian SSR believes that these procedures would be more useful if they were based on agr eement. Therefore, we are now actively engaged in aonsultatione with a number of interested delegatione with a view to achieving appropriate oompromise.

If any changes are made to draft ceeolution A/C.1/43/L. 38 the sponsors will notify the Committee accordingly.

Miss SOLESBY (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland): I have the pleasure to introduce a draft recolution on objective information on military matters. I do so on behalf of the delegatione of Australia, Belgium, Botswana, Bulgar ia, Canada, Czeohoelovakia, Denmark, France, the German Democratic Republic, the Federal Republic of Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Italy, Japan, the Nether lands, New Zealand, Norway, the Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Samoa, Spain, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Turkey, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United States of America and my own delegation.

The Committee has the text before it in the form of document A/C. 1/43/L. 19. However, small changee have been made to that text, and I understand that the revised version will be available tomorrow as document A/C. 1/43/L. 19/Rev.1. It is the rev feed text that I am in troduc inq today.

The list of sponsor a whose names I have just read out is a long one, and I think it shows that the delegations sponsor ing the draft resolution cover a wide apeotrum of countries represented here. My delegation is particularly pleased that support for the ideas embodied In the draft resolution is becoming increasingly widespread.

The draft resolution builds upon its predecessor 8. It car ties the subject forward in a way that refects developments dur inq the last year. It reflects the new standards of openness enehr ined in recent agreementa - agreements whose value is clear to all. It also reflects the growing acknowledgement of the idea8 set Out

(Miss Solesby, United Kingdom)

In the draft resolution - ideas of openness and transparency in military matters - and of the contribution those principles make to the enhancement of security.

this item at its session in 1990. We believe that the subject would benefit from more in-depth consideration in A forum that is open to all States. We hope that the deliberation that would take place in the Disarmament Commission would result in A useful study representing A Wick consensus on the item And thur serve as a constructive guide for the future.

We believe that the subject this draft resolution addresses is one whose topical i ty is increasing and whose importance is becoming more widely recognized. For this reason we commend that $\star = 0$ une confidence to the attention of a 11 delegations and hope it will enjoy the support of 0 If countries represented here.

The CHAIRMAN: I thank thr representative of the United Kingdom for her statement, which I found very encouraging.

Mx. NAJARKIN (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) (interpretation from Russian): Draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.19/Rev.i, entitled "Objective information on military questions", which has just been introduced by the representative of the United Kingdom. is the result of consultations between my delegation and the delegation of the United Kingdom. I should like to voice my satisfaction with the successful outcome of those consultations. Since this revised draft resolution

(Mr. Nazar kin, USSR)

takes amount Of the comments we made during those consultations the Soviet delegation is empowered, on behalf of the other sponsors, to declare that draft resolution A/C. 1/43/L, 29 is withdrawn.

We consider that measures of openness and transparency in the military sphere are a substantial factor in the consolidation of trust and the enhancement of the predictability of the actions of States, which constitute one of the components of international security. We are convinced that the process of the development of openness in international affairs as a whole and in the military sphere is heving a favourable impact on the international situation and has a direct impact also on the intensification of negotiations on curbing the arms race.

Of the Tree ty between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet

Socialist Republics on the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and

Shorter-Range Missiles has set new, unprecendented standards of openness and has,
in practice, demonstrated the indissoluble link between openness and disarmament.

Bearing in mind that one of the important aspects of disarmament is the reduction
of military budgets, and acknowledging the leading role of the United Nations in
promoting the solution of this question, the Soviet Union, within the declared
time-limit, when conditions, come about for a realistic comparison of military
expenditures, will proceed to use the existing United Nations system of
standardization of accounts to present details of its military expenditures.

(Mr. Nazar kin, USSR)

I wish to state that the General Secretary of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, Mr. Gorbachev, stated the following on 17 September 1987:

"I think that, with proper efforts, in the next two or three years we shall already be able to compere f igures of interest to us and our par tners which will symmetrically reflect the expenditures of the sides."

The Soviet Union also considers that within the framework of the United

Na tions it is possible to proceed with the elaboration of objiro t ive or i tsr is and

parameters for guaranteeing openness in order to promote the advancement of the

process of real diearmament. Toge ther with the other co-sponsors, we have proposed
the consideration of these questions in the Disarmament Commission at its 1990

session. We are convinced that the emerging trend of enhancement of the role of
the United Nations in international affairs must make itself felt also in the
improvement of openness and the exchange of objective information on milt tary
matterr. This will enhance the capability of the United Nations to promote
international peace and security and will make it a leading force in the
development of international relations breed on true? and co-operation. The Soviet
delrgs tion hopes that the General Assembly will give firm support to further
expansion of opennese in military matters.

In the desire to elaborate a single draft on the question of objective information on military matters, we also took into account your appeal,

Mr. Chairman, to etr ive to ensure that deaf t resolutions abould not duplicate each other, We hops that other delegations will follow our example and will intensify consultations with a view to the elaboration of single drafts on issues where two or more draft recolutions have in the past been presented.

The CHAIRMAN: I thank the representative of the Soviet Union for his statement, which I very much appreciate. The last two statements that we have just

(The Chairman)

heard, from the representa tives of the United Kingdom and the Soviet Union, in my viw, reflect a high point in the First Committee's work this year, for what both representatives told us was that, as a recult of their concellatione on the very important subject contained in the draft resolutions on objective information, it became possible to have a single draft resolution. Indeed, I may say the t this vindicates, if auch were necessary, the emphasis that we have placed in our programme this year on allotting more time for accomplish precisely what we have just a cocomplished. Thus, like Ambaecador Nasarkin, I too hope that this will be an incentive in other ongoing consultations.

Further, it is my hope that the reviaed draft resolution that will emerge from these consultations will be adopted by consensus in the First Committee, for surely thie will make it possible for the work that the United Nations Disarmament Commission will take up on the subject in 1990 to be approached successfully. So So I reiterate my great sense of antiafaction that the accomplishment in thia area has een as significant as it has been.

Mr. CAPPAGLI (Argentina) (interpretation from Spanish): The progress in diearmament made dur ing the past year at the bilateral level has already been recognized on many occasions. The ru-establishment of conetruotive dialogue between the super-Powers contains enormous creative potential, while at the same time generating a new atmosphere of detente and international co-operation.

Never theless, as is pointed out in the Programme of Action of the Final Document Of the Tenth Special Session of the General Assembly, nuclear weapons remain the major danger for mankind and the survival of civilization. Therefore the cessation of the nuclear arms race and nuclear disarmament, like the prevention of nuclear war, still constitute today matters which require priority attention.

On this occasion, as in previous years, the Argentine delegation wishes to

(Mr, Cappagl i, Argantina)

introduce two draft resolutions on different aspects of a fundamental theme in diearmament negotiations.

The draft resolution on item 67 (k), referring to the cessation of the nuclear-arms race and nuclear disarmament and appear inq in document A/C. 1/43/L.42, has been sponsored by my delegation, with the following co-sponsor s: Bangladeoh, Camoroon, Cos ta Rica, Eouador, the German Demoora tio Republic, Ind ia, Indones ia, Mexico, Roman ia, Sweden, the United Republic of Tanaania and Venezuela. The draft resolution, which br inque up to date the one adopted last year on the same subject, welcomes the progress achieved in this area and reaffirms the complementar ity existing between bilateral and multilateral negotiations.*

The need to halt and reverse the nuclear-arms race in order to prevent the danger of a nuclear war is a question of the greatest importance and a matter of concern for the international community, which is threatened by this danger. This explains the vital interest of the international community in nuclear disarmament negotiations. Untor tunately, the Conference on Disarmament has not yet been able to reachigneement on the establishment of a subsidiary body with an appropriate negotia tinquandate. Accordingly, in the draft resolution the General Acaembly would again request the Conference on Disarmament at the beginning of! its 1989 session to establish an adhoc committee to elaborate on paragraph 50 of the Final Document at 1978, and submit recommendations to the Conference AR to how it could beet initiate multilateral negotiations of agreements, with adequate measures of verification, in appropriate stages, for ceaeation of the qualitative and quantitative improvements and development of nuclear-weapons systems and procaeding to the reduction and elimination of such weapons.

^{*}Mr. Batiouk (Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic), Vice-Chairman, took the Chair.

(Mr. Cappagli, Argentina)

Draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.43, submitted under agenda item 67 (1), relates to the prevention of nuclear war. It is sponsored by my delegation, together with Algeria, Bangladesh, Bulgaria, Brazil, Cameroon, Colombia, Congo, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Egypt, German Democratic Republic, India, Indonesia, Mexico, Morocco, Nigeria, Pakistan, Peru, Romania, Uruguay, Venezuela, Viet Nam and Yugoslavia.

In essence, the draft resolution is similar to the one **adopted** last year on the same subject. However, there are some changes in the preamble, which refers to the progress made in the bilateral field. As in pr ev ious year s, it was not possible to establish at the Conference on Disarmament, an ad hoc Committee to examine procedures designed to secure the avoidance of nuclear war.

In the light of the urgency of the question and the inadequacy or insufficiency of existing measures, the draft resolution I am introducing once again contains a request to the Conference on Disarmament to uniertake, as a matter of the highest priority, negotiations with a view to achieving agreement on appropriate and practical measures that could be negotiated and adopted individually for the prevention of nuclear war and to establish an ad hoc committee on the subject at the beginning of its 1989 session.

My delegation trusts that draft resolutions A/C. 1/43/L. 42 and A/C.1/43/L. 43 will be adopted with the broad support of the General Assembly.

Mr. BOKOV (Bulgar ia): I have the honour to introduce on behalf of its sponsors, draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.21, entitled "Conclusion of effective international arrangements on the strengthening of the security of non-nuclear-weapon States against the use or threat of use of nuclear weapons". The draft resolution is submitted under agenda item 57.

Before proceeding with the introduction of the deaf t resolution, I should 1 ike to avail myself of the opportunity to inform the Committee that in the past few

(Mr. Bakov, Bu lqar ia)

weeks my delegation has under taken ooneul ta tiona with in teres ted delega tions both in Geneva and here in New Yot k in an attempt to agree upon and introduce a single draft resolution on the issue of negative security assurances. As is well known, the General Assembly has traditionally voted on two aeparate draft resolutions on this subject which have many common points. In the view of my delegation, the introduction of one draft resolution of primarily procedural character would crea te, par tioular ly if adopted by consensus, a much more favourable atmosphere in the ad hoc committee on negative secur ity assurances in 1989. The fa ilure of the is attempt is to be regretted since, for our part, the consultations were held with an open mind and in a spirit of qoodwill and compromise.

Draft recolution A/C. 1/43/L. 21, which is sponsored by the delegations of Angola, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czeohoelovakia, the Lao People's Democratic Republic, Mongol la, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, and by mv own delegation, is based on the conviction that nuclear disarmament and the complete elimination of nuclear weapons are essential to the elimination of the threat of war, We believe that pending the achievement of the complete elimination of nuclear weapons, var ious Interim measures should be taken to streng then the secur i ty of non-nuclear-weapon Staten.

Proposals, such as the prohibition of the use of nuclear weapons by an appropriate international convention, the assumption of a policy of non-first use of such weapons by all nuclear-weapon States, and the establishment of nuclear-weapon-free zones, have received widespread international support.

Draft resolution A/C.1/43/L. 21 reflects also the position of the sponsors in regard to the corclusion of an international, legally bind ing instrument q iv ing non-nuclear States reliable, uniform and unconditional assurances rainst the use or threat of use of such weapons on their territories.

(Mr. Rokov, Bulgar la)

While the draft recolution follows basically tha language of last year's General Assembly resolution 42/31 it is worth noting that it contains new elements, such as the recognition that there is a need for a fresh look at the issue of nega tive secur i ty quar an tees, in par ticular by the nuclear-weapon States, in order to overcome the difficulties encountered at the negotie tions in the Conference on Disarmament in pr ev ious year a.

In introducing draft resolution A/C. 1/43/L. 21, we believe that it will play a useful and positive role in stimulating the Conference on Distribution to pursue intensive negotiations with a view to reaching agreement on a common approach on the need to assure non-nuclear-weapon States aga inst the use or threat of use of nuclear weapons.

The CHAIRMAN (interpretation from Russian): Before adjourning the meeting, I call on Mr. Kheradi, Secretary of the Committee.

Mr. KHERADI (Secretary of the Committee): I should like to inform members of the Committee that the follow inq countries have become sponsors of the following draft resolutions:

A/C. 1/4 3/L. 1: That i land A/C. 1/43/L. 22: Honduras

A/C. 1/43/L. 34: the Ukrainian SSR

A/C. 1/43/L. 45: the Syr ian Arab Republic

A/C. 1/4 3/I., 48: Cuba

A/C. 1/43/L. 19: Greece

A/C. I/4 3/L. 51: Thailand

A/C. 1/43/L. 52: Thailand

A/C. 1/4 3/L. 64: Viet Nam and Sierra Leone

A/C. 1/43/L. 66: Rurma and the Sudan

A/C. 1/4 3/L. 67: Hungary and Bulgar ia

The meeting rose at 11.50 a.m.