United Nations GENERAL ASSEMBLY FORTY-THIRDSESSION Official Records\*



FIRST COMMITTEE 30th meeting held cn Tuesday, 8 November 1988 at 10 a.m. New York

VERBATIM RECORD OF THE 30th MEET ING

Chairman; Mr. Roche (Canada)

CONTENTS

- CONSIDERATION OF AND ACT ION ON DRAFT RESOLUTIONS ON DISARMAMENT ITEMS [51 to 69, 139, 141 and 145] (oontinued)

\*This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned within one week of the date of publication to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section room DC2 750: 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be assued after the end of the session, in a separate fascicle for each Committee

Distr. GENERAL A/C.1/43/PV.30 10 November 1988 ENGLISH

88-63182 8288V (E)

The meeting was called to order at 10.25 a.m.

AGENDAITEMS 51 TO 69, 139, 141 AND 145 (continued)

CONSIDERATION OF AND ACT ION ON DRAFT RESOLUTIONS ONDISARMAMENT ITEMS

The CHAIRMAN: I call on the Secretary of the Committee for **an** announcement.

The SECRETARY: I should like to inform **the members** of the Committee that the following countries have become co-sponsors of the following draft resolutions:

A/C.1/43/L.12: Djibouti

A/C.1/43/L.33: Philippines

A/C.1/43/L.43: Djibouti

A/C.1/43/L.48: Philippines

A/C. 1/43/L.53: Peru

A/C.1/43/L. 59: Liberia

A/C.1/43/L.64: Djibouti and Philippines

A/C.1/43/L.65 and L.66: Djibouti

A/C. 1/43/L.69: Ukrainian SSR, Philippines and Liberia

<u>Mr. HYLTBNIUS</u> (Sweden): I have the honour to introduce draft **resolution** A/C.1/43/L.44, concerning the 1980 Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May Be Deemed to Be **Excessively** Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects together with three Protocols, on non-detectable fragments, on land mines, **booby** traps and other devices, and On incendiary weapons.

### (Mr. Hylteniue, Sweden)

The adoption of that Convention on 10 December 1980 was the result of several. years of preparation. The faot that the Convention entered into force on 2 December 1983 - that is, lees than three years after its adoption - is a very encouraging indiontion of the international community's desire progressively to develop interns tional humani tar Ian law in that field and to g ive it ef fect • The draft resolution ceflects the satisfaction felt at this positive development and also notes the possibility laid down in article 8 of the Convention for reviewing the scope and operation of the Convention and its Protocols and for the setting of further international standard8 relating to other categorier of conventional weapons not covered so far,

The Convention and the three Protocole annexed to it have been acceded to by 28 States parties. In the draft recolu tion **Sta** tea that have not yet become part **ies** to the Convention and the Protocole annexed thereto are urged to exert their best endeavours to do so as early as possible, so that the instruments may ultimately obta in un iver sal i ty of adher ence.

The aponeore of the draft resolution are Australia, Austr is, Belgium, Byelorusaian SSR, Cuba, Denmark, Finland, France, German Democratic Republic, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Netherlande, New Zealand, Nigeria, Norway, USSR, Viet Nam, Yugoslavia and my own delegation, Sweden. On behalf of those sponsors, I should like to express the hope that the draft recolution contained in document A/C. 1/43/L. 44 will be adopted by conceneus.

Speaking on behalf of my own delegation, I should like to make some further remarks. In our view, some categociee of weapons, such se incendiary weapons, should be made the objects of fur thee, epeoif ic ree tr ictions. As has been sugges ted in the United Nations etudy on the naval arms race, a category such as sea mines could be made the object of restrictions in a new prolocol, possibly -

### (Mr. Hy lten ius, Sweden)

but not necessarily - within the framework of the present Convention. As a matter of fact, the Swedish Government has prepared a first draft of a protocol on the use of naval mines, in line with the 1907 Hague Convention on Sea Mines and the 1981 Protocol on Land Mines. My delegation is prepared to hand over that draft to any delegation interested in the matter.

In addition, as pointed out by Sweden at the 25th International Red Cross Conference in 1986 and in the First Committee last year, developments in laser technology should be followed closely. There seems to be a risk of the development of lasers for anti-personnel purposes on the conventional battlefield. It is thus technologically possible to develop and manufacture specific anti-personnel laser weapons, the main effect of which would be to blind the adversary's soldiers permanently.

In this Committee, Sweden has twice distributed an informal paper on the laser issue. The conclusion has been that the matter merits international attention and that an international instrument prohibiting systematic and deliberate use of laser weapons against individuals should be considered.

It is therefore gratifying to note that, as fat as we have understood it, the International Committee of the Red Cross is planning a meetinq of experts on the laser issue in the summer of 1989. We hope that that meeting will contribute to a further development of international humanitarian law in that field.

<u>Mr. RODRIGO</u> (Sri Lanka) : I have the honour of introducing draft resolution A/C.1/43/L. 12, entitled "Prevention of an arms race in Outer space". I am doing so on behalf of the following countries: Argentina, Bangladesh, Brazil, Cameroon, Djibouti, Egypt, Ethiopia, Ghana, India, Indonesia, Iran, Jordan, Malaysia, Mexico, Morocco, Nigeria, Pakistan, Romania, Sudan, Sweden, Uruguay, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yugoslavia, Zimbabwe and my own country, Sri Lanka.

#### (Mr. Rodr igo, Sr i Lanka)

The concept of outer space now rarely evokes automa tic connota tione of the fantaetio, the abstract or the romantic. Despite its remaining mysteries, outer space has, in a real sense, become an environment of increas inq practical, even mundane, relevance to the da <sup>11</sup>Y lives of all human beings. All humanity, therefore, has a stake in the future of this last frontier. That future has yet to be determined. The choice can still be exeraised between pursuinq its potential for peaceful development on the one hand, and, on the other, courting conflicts of a nature and scale that cannot be properly assessed at this relatively early stage of man's foray into space.

The draft resolution in document A/C. 1/43/L.12 represents an effort on the part of its sponsors to promote inter na tional Jo-operation for the achievement of the twin goals of preventing an arm8 race in outer space and ensuring that the vast potential of that domain 's peacefully developed to the benefit of all mank ind. It seeks to build on the readiness of all to contribute to the objective8 we all share. The first, second, third, fourth and twelfth preambular paragraphs, which echo similar paragraphs of last year's resolution 42/33, serve to emphasize those universal hopes.

As in last year's resolution, the draft before you recells, in its fifth, sixth and seventh pteambular paragraphs, the ohligations undertaker under the Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, including the Moor and Other Celestial Bodies, and the relevant paragraphs adopted at the first special **session** devoted to Jisarmament. The eighth and twelfth preambular paragraphs recall the continuing part played by the General Assembly's own resolutions on the prevention of an arms race in outer space and the relevant Paragraphs of the Political Declaration adopted by the Eighth Conference of Heads of State or Government of Non-Aligned Countries, held at Harare that relate to the subject.

#### (Mr. Rodr iqo, Sri Lanka)

The existing legal régime applicable to outer space is one which, in its totality, has undeniably served important functions. It has thus far contributed to preventing an arms race in outer space, and it is a widely held conviction that the riqime should be consolidated and reinforced and that existing agreements, both bilateral and multilateral, require strict and faithful compliance. Paragraph 13 is relevant in that regard. However significant the role played by the legal régime, the pace of technological, strategic and other developments ar is inq from tha early days of man's advent into space has now tended to contribute to a growing recognition that the régime by itself does not guarantee the prevention of an arms race in outer space, nor does it guara.tee against the use of outer space for offensive measures affecting Earth. The draft before you, while certainly ackowledging the important functions served by the régime, reflects the position that further measures are indeed urgently called for. Attention is drawn to the fifteenth and sixteenth preambular paragraphs, as well as to operative paragraphs 3, 4 and 5.

That outer space has thus far been immune from the armed conflicts that have plagued planet Earth can hardly be consoling. Man's presence in space is of but a moment's duration in relation to the period of his occupation of this planet. We are still at the beginning of what we call the space age. To presume that we have secured its future on the premise that outer space has survived mankind's frequently sullying hand for a few decades is to subscribe to a dangerously beguiling sense of complacency.

Mercifully, the options are still open. We have not yet foreclosed on our future in space. There is much to be done, however, before it is too late to ensure that outer space indeed remains free of conflict and safe from weapons in the midst of the bewilder inq technological developments that are proceeding.

## (Mr. Rodr 1go, Sri Lanka)

Technology is only as good or as bad as the use to which it is put, and t imely a0 tion to pr r-mpt its abuses, in an area a8 yet relatively safe, is important. Paragraphs 10 and 11 reek to ar tioula te rome of our f colings on this matter.

A sense of urgency oan serve as a valuable spur to enoourage praotioal measures, with appropriate and effective provisions for verification, both at the bilateral and thr multilateral level - measures that paragraphs 5 and 6 suggest should be taken. AE,'ddm

## (Mr. Rodr igo, St i Lanka)

The draft – and this is an important new element – acknowledges, in preambular paragraph 20, the complementary nature of bilateral and multilateral negotiations. Fur thermore, it specifically recognizes, in preambular paragraphs 17 and 18, that the vital negotiations between the United States and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics could make a valuable contribution to multilateral objectives, expressing the hope, in the next preambular paragraph, that concrete results will emerge from these bilateral negotiations.

At the multilateral level, as indicated in operative paragraphs 7 and 8, the Conference on Disarmament, as the single multilateral negotiatinq forum, has the Primary role in the negotiations for the prevention of an arms race in outer space. This is not to deny in any way the special responsibility of those with major space capabilities nor to circumscribe or hinder their efforts towards commonly-held goals. Operative paragraph 12, for example, urges the United States and the Soviet Union to pursue intensively their bilateral negotiations in a constructive spirit aimed at reaching early agreement for preventing an arms race in outer space.

This year, in the Conference on Disarmament in Geneva, the A<u>d Hoc</u> Committee concerned examined and identified issues relevant to the prevention of an arms race in outer space and dealt with existing agreements, as weil as existing proposals and future initiatives, on the prevention of an arms race in outer space, as reflected in preambulac paragraph 14. This exercise has contributed to a deeper understanding of a number of problems and to a clearer perception of various positions. **Operative** paragraphs 9 and 11 request the Conference on Disarmament to intensify its consideration of the question in all its aspects.

Operative paragraph 10 is a vital paragraph which would have the Conference on Disarmament, at the beginning of its 1989 session, re-establish an A<u>d Hoc</u> Committee

## (Mr. Rodrigo, Sri Lanka)

with an adegua te mandate to under take negotiations for the conclusion of an agreement or agreements to prevent an arms race in outer space in all its aspects.

Operative paragraphs 14, 15, 16 and 17 are self-explanatory and do not require special comment.

The draft in document A/C.1/43/L.12 builds upon the near-consensus of General Assembly resolution 42/43 of Past year but takes into account and seeks to reflect new developments, including the ongoing discussions between the United States and the Soviet Union and the work in the A<u>d Hoc</u> Commit tee on the Prevention of an Arms Race in Outer Space. The predominant mood in the deaf t is one of hope despite a note of concern.

We have carefully considered draft resolutions under agenda item 59, kindly made available to us by the delegations of China, Italy and the Soviet Union and submitted to the Committee as documents A/C.1/43/L.36, L. 27 and L.59 respectively. As in past years, the non-aligned and neutral co-sponsors of our draft resolution hope to continue negotiations with those who have initiated other drafts, in an effort to reach understanding on a single text that would reflect to the maximum extent possible our individual perceptions as well as, more importantly, our shared goals and objectives. The co-sponsors of the joint draft resolution (A/C.1/43/L.12) remain open to any suggestions that may be made with a view to enhancing its general acceptability.

<u>Mr. BELLINA</u> (Peru) (interpretation from Spanish): It is a great honour for my delegation, on behalf of the co-sponsors, to introduce two draft resolutions. The first, contained in document A/C.1/43/L.71, relates to agenda item 65 (i): "Review and implementation of the Concluding Document of the Twelf th Special Session of the General Assembly: United Nations Regional Centre for Peace, Disarmament and Development in Latin America". It is co-sponsored by Argentina,

## (Mr. Bellina, Peru)

Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Cosca Rica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nepal, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Togo, Uruguay and Venezuela.

The eeoond deaf t reaolution that my delegation is introduoinq is contained in dooument A/C.1/43/L.70 and relates to agenda item 64 (d): "General and Complete Disarmament) Conventional Disarmament". Entitled "Conventional Diaarmament On a regional scale", it is co-sponsored by Bangladesh, Bolivia, Cameroon, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominioan Republic, Eouador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Pakistan, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Romania, Sri Lanka and Uruguay.

As regards the draft resolution on the United Nations Regional Centre for Peace, Disarmament and Development in Latin America (A/C. 1/43/L.71) my delegation is pleased to announce that in May th is year a meet inq was held to prepare for the Conference of Experts on the Strengthaning of Political Co-operation in Latin American and the Caribbean which is to be held from 6 to 9 December in Lima, with the participation of 35 outstanding persons of experience in disarmament. This is in compliance with the mandate given to the regional centre in General Assembly tesolution 42/39 K. The draft resolution in document A/C.1/43/L.71 also recommends that the centre hold two meetinge in 1989 in order to ensure effective and permanent follow-up of the important woe k being done by the regional centre in the context of the World Diearmament Campaign.

On this occasion my delegation would like to express once aga in its aympa thy with and decided support for the United Na tions Regional Centre for Peace and Disarmament in Af r ica and the recently inaugurated United Na tiona Regional Centre for Peace and Disarmament in As ia. It is no coincidence that the peoples of these three regions, facing urgent development needs, have decided to create these regional centres. This is tes timony to the desire of all of us to support the

# A/C. 1/43/PV. 30

## (Mr, Bellina, Peru)

cause of peace. The importance that we the co-sponsors attach to these req ional centres is evidence of our desire to give concrete expression to the diearmament campaign.

We want to educate world public opinion reqarding matters of disarmament and the dissemina tion of documentation, and we wish to make more widely known the desire of Goverments to implement the noble pr inciples of peace and disarmament throughou t the world. We feel bound to acknowledge and support this moat important work. It is particularly important in Latin America, where there has been a desire to promote political and regional co-operation, as well as confidence, understanding and solidarity. In this way we wish to strengthen peace, regional security and development.

We are aware that, given the financial difficulties of the United Nations, the voluntary contributions that Member Sta tea are willing to make to the Regional Centre will be absolutely necessary. Accordingly, my delegation appeals to Member Sta tes, par ticularly our brother Sta tea in La tin America and the Caribbean, to continue to make their valuable contributions to that fund. We should also like to thank those delegations that have already made contributions at the Sixth United Nations Pledging Conference for the War ld Disarmament Campaign.

Draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.71 is the result of broad consultations. In the operative part it reaffirms the mandate of the Regional Centre, which is set forth in GenetaiAssembly resolution 41/60 J, and is consonant with the general objectives of the World Disarmament Campaiqn. At the same time it takes note with satisfaction of the forthcoming Conference of Experts on the Strengthening of Political Co-operation in Latin America and the Calibbean in the fields of peace, disarmament, development and security. As has already been stated, this conference will be held from 6 to 9 December at the headquarters of the centre. At the same

(Mr. Belina, **Peru**)

time, the draft resolution appeals to member countries and to international organizations, both governmental and non-governmental, to make voluntary oontributione to the Regional Centre, and operative paragraph 6 oalls on the Secretary-General to tranemit this appeal to all Member States.

Finally, Peru wante to make very clear what the Regional Centre! s field of aotion should be. We think that it should be called the "United Nations Regional Centre for Peace, Disarmament and Development in Latin America and the Caribbean', Here I would like to stress not only the valuable contr ibutione made to the Regional Centre by our brothers from the Caribbean but also the dedication and effor ts of many of their representatives in euppor t of peace, diearmament and development.

### (Mr. Bellina, Peru)

As regards the second draft recolution, which we are in troduc inq on this occasion, and which has to do with conventional diearmament on a regional scale, it brings up to date General Assembly resolutions 40/94 A, 41/59 M and 42/38 N. Those resolutions were adopted with no opposing vote by the General Assembly.

Th is draft has also been the aubject of the necessary informal coneul ta tions. The preambular part expresses aupport for regional, subregional and unilateral efforts to reduce armament8 and military expenditures. It is thought that measures at any level, which are in concord with the purposes and pr inciples of the United Na tions Charter and which are the result of wha Lever space is circumstances may exiet in each region, deserve the eupport of the internotional community, because those ef for ts are aimed at s treng then inq mutual conf idence and increas ing the secur ity of the count r is involved.

At the same time, the preamble of the draft we are submitting to the Committee for consideration reaff irms the complementary nature of regional and subregional effor ta in euppor t of peace and disarmament, as opposed to global efforts. At the same time, the preambular part takes into account the Final Document of 1978. That dooumen t says, <u>inter alla</u>, that nothing should stand in the way of the full implementation of conventional disarmament measures. Those measures should be Oar tied out together with measures for nuclear disarmament, which is the top priority in the area of general and complete disarmament.

The final paragraph of the preamble stresses the efforts that have been made by our Orqanization to settle various regional conflicts in a peaceful and lasting manner, It not only constitutes a reaffirmation of the inherent validity of the principles set forth in the United Nations Charter, but also br ings out unden iably the importance of the silent but fruitful work that has been done by the world Organ iza tion, to which we q ive our permanent support. MLG/ala

## (Mr. Bellina, Peru)

That is precisely the spirit of the operative part of the draft resolution now before you. It stresses eatietaction with the conventional disarmament measures that have been adopted jointly or unilaterally by countr is in order to allocate whatever resources are needed to their development and the accial and economic well-be inq of the ir peoples. This reflects not only a manifest rejection of those countries that continue to be involved in a ster ile, mad arm8 race, but also an expression of good will to br inq about regional agreemente on the limitation of arms expenditures.

Operative paragraph 2 is aimed at supporting the efforts that have been made by countries involved in the peaceful settlement of regional conflicts. It also says that those ef for ts should be suppor ted by concrete conventional disarmament measures in the in teree ts of strengthen ing peace and promo tinq development as a matter of urgency.

There is no need for us to comment on operative paragraphs 3 and 4, because our Organ iza tion and our Secre tary-General deserve full support in the del ica ta and patient task that we have assigned to them.

Operative paragraph 6 oon tains an appeal to all coun tr ies outside a q iven region - especially the ma jor producers and suppliers of weapons - to be guided by the will of those countries which, like mine, want to br inq about in a sovereign manner free of any outside interference, regional aqr eements quarance inq peace and security, and promoting their development and the well-being of their peoples.

The Committee has before it two draft recolutions which are the result of broad consultations among the vat **lous** delega tions present here. Both draft resolutions, as contained in **documents A/C. 1/43/L.70** and **A/C. 1/43/L.71**, are, In **our** opinion, uncontroversial. We therefore hope **the t they will be adopted by a** broad **consensus**, <u>Mr. MOHAMMAD</u> (Iraq) (interpretation from Arabia) : I wish to refer to agenda item 69 entitled Israeli nuclear armament.

The Israeli entity's possession of nuolear weapons together with their del ivery vehiolee is now one of the frightening facts which the Middle Eaet has to face. The gravity of the situation is being excerbated by the aggressive and expansionis t policy pursued by the Israeli régime, which is based on flagrant contempt of the will of the international community. Its policy is embodied in the occupa tion of Arab terr i tor ies, the annexation of some of those terr i tar ies and the oontinuation of the most hideous terror ist practice against the Palestinian people in the cocupied territor ies. It denies the Pales tin ian people its inal ienable right to r id i tself of the hegemony of Zion ist cocupa tion, to achieve self-determination and to eetablieh an independent state on its own land.

All the **States** of the region have adhered to the non-proliferation **régime by** acceding to the Non-Proliferation **Treaty** and **by placing** all their **nuclear** inetallatione under the **eafequarde system** of the International Atomio Energy Aqenoy (IAEA). Those which have not acceded to it do not **possess** any **nuclear energy** programmee. Therefore, the nuclear inetallatione of Israel are the only eiquificant installations that have still not been placed under the safeguards régime and under inter national control. This has mede it easy for Israel to use its inetallatione, in co-operation with South Afr ica and cer tain Sta tea well known for supporting that racist entity, to manufacture and produce nuclear weapons.

The Israeli nuclear arsenal poses a grave threat to the Non-Proliferation Treaty regime in the region, encouraging the acceleration and escalation of the arms race and deetabil iz ing the area, bee ides be ing a r ea 1 threat to peace and security. Israel and the circles that collude with it bear the full, grave responsibility for the serious regional implications of Israel's nuclear armament. MLG/ala

(Mr. Mohammad, Iraq)

The fact that the Israeli entity is continuing to defy the will of the international community is due first of all to inability of the international organisations to take the necessary measures to deter it and prevent it from continuing to threaten peace and secur ity.

The draft rerolution oonoerning **Israeli** nuclear armament now **before** the Committee **does** not really provide **for** the integrated **measures** required, but it represents the minimum to which we murt lend our full eupport. Israel has disregarded all the previous recolutione which called upon it to rrnounce the possession of nuclear weapons and place all its nuclear installations under international control. It has rejected the implementation of all those resolutions, including Security Council resolution 487 (1981), the resolutions of the General Assembly and those of the IAEA, the last of which was GC/487, which was adopted last September.

With respect to the issue before us, it is amaz ing to see that oer ta in Sta tee have turned a blind eye to the real and extremely grave dangers posed by Israel's nuclear armament and aggressive policy.

(Mr. Mohammad, I rag)

Certain States defend the position of the Israeli régime and provide political over for it in international forums In an attempt to impede the adoption of resolutions commensurate with the gravity of the situation. There is no better way to express our rejection and condemnation of this approach than by voting in favour of draft recolution A/C.1/43/L.6.

The representative of the Zionist entity has always followed the oouree, oharaoter is tio of that régime, of not dealing directly with the issue in ques tion but dietraoting attention by fooueing on extraneous issues. Thus, he speaks some times of the **s** tockpil ing of oonventional weapons by the Arab Sta tee, disregarding the possession by his entity of conventional weapons, equal in number to the total possessed by all the other oountries of the region. He dieregards, when it suits him, Ieraeli nuclear armaments and its acceler tion of the arms race in the region. At other times he interferes in the internal affairs of certain States and allege8 that this is a logical reason for the international community to oondone the aggressive, terror ist Israeli policy. Then again, the same representative reiterates the ambiguous statement we so often hear that that entity will not be the **f** irst to introduce nuclear weapons into the Middle East, while at the same time it does not deny the possession of nuclear weapons. Did not Vanunu's disclosures relate to the development and production of nuclear weapons? If Israel possesses nuclear weapons, as so clearly stated by Vanunu, what is the meaning of the statement that that entity will not be the **f** irst to introduce nuclear weapon8 into the Middle East? The question arises whether this means that such weapons are **stockpiled** outside the region, in South Africa.

<u>Mr. FAN Guoxiang</u> (China) (interpretation from Chinese) : I am speaking today to introduce the two draft resolutions eponeored by the Chinese delegation: draft recolution A/C.1/43/L.14, on nuclear diearmament, and draft resolution A/C. 1/43/L. 15, on conventional disarmament.

## A/C. 1/43/PV. 30

## (Mr. Fan Guoxianq, China)

At the forty-ecoond session, last year, the General Accembly adopted by ooneencue the draft recolutione sponsored by the Chinese delegation on nuclear and oonven tional d icarmament, The fact that those two drafte were adopted by consensus showed that they reflected the common aspirations of all countries to nuclear and conventional disarmament and pointed out in an effective way the outstanding problems in regard to the achievemant of nuclear and conventional disarmament. We are now cubmit ting this year's draft recolutions on the bas is of last year 's texts, taking into concideration the development of the dicarmament process in the past year, as well are the views of all other parties.

In the **per iod** einoe the First Committee oonoluded its work **last** year there has been enoouraging progress in the field **of** nuolear disarmament. The United Sta **tea** and the **Soviet** Union have oonoluded, **r atif** led **and** begun to **implemen t** the Treaty on the El im **ina** tion **of** In termed **ia to-Range and Shor ter-Range Miss iles.** The international aommunity universally weloomee **this progress** by the two oountr lee in the field of nuolear diearmament and hoper that they will be able to observe **str iotly and** implement fully that **Treaty**.

Draft recolution A/C.1/43/L. 14 affirms that progress and goee on to point out that the Soviet Union and the United Statee, which are in possession of the largest nuclear ar senals, should further fulfil their special responsibility for nuclear diearmament, take the lead in halt ing the nuclear arms race and enter in to negotiation8 in earnest in order to reach an early agreement on a radical reduction of their nuclear arsenals. At the same time, all countr lee are eer iously concerned about the qualitative arms race between the super-Powers. A reference to th is has been added to the preambular part of draft recolution A/C.1/43/L.14, namely, an expression of the belief that the qualitative aepeot of the arms race needs to be addreaeed along with ite quantitative aspect. NR/**j**1

A/C.1/43/PV.30 23-25

## (Mr. Fan Guoxiang, China)

The importance and necessity of oonven tional diearmament are the eubleot Of the concern and attention of an inoreaeing number of delegations. As we all know, conventional disarmament is a complex problem which has many aepeote. As in the past two year s, the Chinese delegation has taken full note of the oonoer n of all par ties on this question. Draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.15 not only points out that nuclear disarmament has the highest priority but also stresses the dangers to world peace and security caused by ware and conflicte fought with conventional weapons. It points **out** that the military **forces of** all countries should not **be** used other than for the purpose of self -defence and encourages all Sta tee to take appropriate steps to promote progress in conventional diearmament. At the same time, it urges the countries with the largeet military arsenals which bears a special responsibility, and the member States of the two major military alliances to conduct serious nagotiations. It refers to all regions of the world but stresses the importance of Europe, because Europe is the region that has the largest ooncentra tion of arms and forces and also because a new momentum may be generated in the near future in the field of conventional diearmament as a result of the efforts of +.he European countr lee. As compared with resolution 42/38 G, adopted by consensus at the forty-second session of the General Assembly, there are no substantive changes in draft resolution A/C. 1/43/L. 15.

Nuclear disarmament and conventional disarmament remain important priority problems at the present time. The Chinese delegation is submitting these two draft resolutions in the hope that, as with similar draft resolutions in the past, they will be adopted by consensus by the First Committee and also by the General Assembly.

#### A/C. 1/43/PV. 30 26

<u>Mr. GARCIA ROBLES</u> (Mexico) (interpretation from Spanish): I wish today to introduce draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.34, sponsored by Bangladesh, India, Pakistan, Sweden and Mexico, which relates to the study on the climatic and other global effects of nuclear war. That study (A/43/351) was prepared by a Group of Consultant Experts chosen by the Secretary-General, in conformity with the request contained in resolutions 40/152 G of 16 December 1985 and 41/86 H of 4 December 1986.

Members will recall that in those resolutions the General Assembly noted the conclusions of studies published on this subject and recognized the necessity Of systematic research. It therefore requested the Secretary-General, with the assistance of a group of consultant experts, to carry out a study on the climatic and potential physical effects of nuclear war, which would examine, inter alia, its socio-economic consequences.

In response to that request, the Secretary-General transmitted to the General Assembly in document A/43/351 the "Study on the climatic and other global effects of nuclear war". I shall highlight some of its conclusions, which stress the validity of the joint declaration of 21 November 1985 by the leaders of the United States of America and of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics that "a nuclear war cannot be won and must never be fought":

"The scientific evidence is now conclusive that a major nuclear war would entail the high risk of a global environmental disruption. . .."

n....

The direct effects of a major nuclear exchange could kill hundreds of millions: the indirect effects could kill billions". (A/43/351, paras. 22)and 24) MM3/0

## A/C, 1/43/PV, 30

## (Mr. Garcia Roblus, Mexico)

By adopting draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.34, the General Aesembly would express in its presmbular part its grave concern at the oonolucions of the study. In the operative part it would express its appreciation to the Saoretary-General and thy Group of Concultant Experts which assisted him in the preparation of the study and would commend the study and its conclusions to the attention of a 11 Member States and invite them to communicate to the Secretary-General their views on the study before 1 September 1989. Finally, as is traditional in such cases, the Assembly would request the Secretary-General to make the necessary arrangements for the reproduction of the report as a United Nations publication and to give it the widest possible distribution,

<u>Mr. BAYART</u> (Mongolia) (interpretation from French): I wish to introduce draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.48 under agenda item 67 (m), entitled "Disarmament Week". The draft resolution is sponsored by Anqola, Bulgaria, the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czeohoslovak is, the German Democra tio Republic, Japan , the Lao People's Democratic Republic, New Zealand, Papua New Guinea, the Philippines, Samoa, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Viet Nam and Mongolia.

A week devoted to foster inq the objec tives of disarmament was proclaimed 10 years aqo by the General Aeeembly at i ta f ir st special sess ion devoted to diearmament. Since then, the Week has remained as important a8 ever. The States Members of the United Nations continue to view the Week as an excellent opportunity to reaffirm their commitment to strengthening international peace and security and diearmament, and to aler ting wor 1d public opinion about this cause ; that has been shown by the report of the Secretary-Gensral (A/43/508 and Add.1) on Disarmament Week, and by the etatemente made at the First Committee's recent solemn meeting in observance of Disarmament Week by a Vice-President of the General Assembly, the Secretary-General and repreaen ta t ivee of the var ious regional groups.

## A/C. 1/43/PV. 30 28-30

## (Mr. Bayar t, Mongol ia)

Especially in **its operative** part, the **draft r** eaolu **t** ion resembles **resolut icns** of previous years, particularly that adopted at the forty-eeoond session. The **draft resolution** invites **Member States** and international and national non-governmental organiaations to continue to observe and take an active part in **Diearamment** Week and to inform the Secretary-General of the activities undertaken to promote disarmament.

In its *l*'inal paragraph the draft **resolution** requests the Secretary-General to su'mit to the General Assembly at its forty-fourth session a report on the implementation of its provisions.

The sponsor **s** hope the draft resolution can be adopted unanimously, and in **a** spirit of compromise and co-operation, and tak inq into account the positions of **a** number of deleqa tiona, we have, as we did lrst year, made **ser** ious efforts to formulate a new text, with the deletion or substantive modification of several **passages** in the tesolut ion adopted by a major **i** ty of members of the General Assembly at the forty-second session (resolution 42/86 D). Comparing that resolut ion with draft resolution A/C.1/43/L. 48, it is easy to see the differences and the substantial changes made since last year's text.

# A/C, 1/43/PV.30

## (Mr. Bayart, Mongolia)

In i ts preamble the **deaf** t resolu tion refers to new impor ant **developments** in the areas of **arms** limitation and disarmament **efforts** and stresses the vital importance **of** el im ina t inq the three t of a nuclear and oonven tional war , ending the nuclear and conventional arms race and bringing about disarmament. It emphas izes the need for and importance of wor 1d public opinion in support **of hal t** inq and reversing the qlobal arms race in all its aspeots.

The sponsors of **the** draft resolution **have made** all these changes in the **hope** that **it** would enable those who last year had certain **diff** ioulties in **supporting** the draft **resolution on Disarmament Week** to **re-examine** their positions and vote in favour of the draft resolution I **am** now introduoinq. We of **course hope** that all the other States will, as in the past, **give** it their valuable **backing**.

As will be seen from the list of initial sponsors of the draft resolution, this year's reflects the addition of Japan. New Zealand, Samoa, Papua New Guinea and the Philippines. I take this opportunity to express my profound qratitude to the new sponsors and thank them for their very enoouraq inq co-operation. I should 1 ike to say that I was very pleased to work with the representative of New Zealand, in this case my colleague Mr. Fyfe, on drafting the text of the draft resolution, an? I therefore wish to thank most sincerely the delega tion of New Zealand for its co-operation.

Lastly, once again I wish to express the hope that the draft resolution I have just in traduced w ill meet with the unanimous support of the members Of Out Committee and he adouted wi thout A vote.

<u>Mr. RANA</u> (Nepal): It will be recalled that last year the General Assembly adouted without a vote resolution 42/39 D for the establishment of the United Nations Regional Centre for Peace and Disarmament in Asia, with its headquarter a In Ka thmandu, Nepal. My delegation wishes to express its deep appreciation for the honour accorded Nepa 1 by its being designated to serve as the

## (Mr. Rand, Nepal)

venue of the Centre. This was oonf irmed by the Headquarter s agreement and memorandum of under etanding s iqnec? between His Majes ty's Gover nment of Neps 1 and the Department of Diearmament Affairs in June this year.

At this point I do not wish to take much of the Committee's time by recalling other details except to refer to the Secretary-General's report co the current session of the General Assembly about the measures he has taken to make full utilisation of the ewisting United Nations infrast:ucture in Kathmandu with a view to the full employment of available resources.

The inauguration of the Centre is planned for early next year, and that event will coincide with the meeting of representatives of about 15 oountr lee to draw up thr plane and programmes for the Centre.

Draft recolution A/C.1/43/L.68, which, in consultation with other Asian S ta tes, I have the honour to in troduce now, seeks to reaff irm the decis ion of the General Assembly at its forty-second session. It a lso commends the Seccetary-General for his effects so far in implementing the decision and requests him to continue lending all possible assistance to the Centre, Needless to say, the Centre has great potential in enhancing public awareness in Asia, the largest continent both in terms of size and population, on complex matters related to acme oontrol and disarmament, Likewise it can play a very useful role in co-ordinating the et forte of the Asian countr lee in the field of disarmament, thereby contc ibuting to an atmosphere of mutual trust and co-operation.

The draft resolution also recognizes the f inanoial diff iculties facing the United Nations; hence the Centre at present will be totally dependent upon voluntary oontr ibutions. His Majesty's Government of Nepal is committed to render inq all porsible assis tance to the Centre,

Draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.68 also appeals to all Member States of the United Na tions and interes ted organ iza tions to make voluntary oontr ibu tions to enable

## A/C.1/43/PV.30

#### (Mr. Rana, Nepal)

the Centre to function effectively under its mandate. I avail myself of this opportunity to express our deep appreciation to those Member States that have already pledged contributions to the Centre.

My delegation is confident that draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.68 will receive the unanimous support of the Committee.

<u>MS. VAJPAYE</u>E (India) : I have asked to speak to introduce three draft resolutions. The first of these is contained in document A/C.1/43/L.56, entitled "Freeze on nuclear weapons", sponsored by Romania and India.

Statements made in the Committee have again demonstrated that the nuclear-arms race is a source of grave concern to humanity. While we have applauded the Treaty between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics On the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles - the INF Treaty - as a first step on the road to nuclear disarmament, we are convinced that if the arms race is to be arrested and reversed the quest for more and newer weapons has first to be stopped. The production not only of nuclear weapons but also of fissionable material for weapons purposes must be capped.

Our draft resolution, which we have placed before the General Assembly every year since the second special session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament in 1982, seeks a simultaneous and complete stoppage of the production Of nuclear weapons and a cut-off in the production of fissionable material for weapons purposes. This is a practical and readily enforceable measure.

It has been amply demonstrated that verification no longer poses insurmountable problems in the field of nuclear disarmament. The successfully negotia ted INF Treaty is proof of this. Given political will, the proposal contained in this draft resolution can be given effect.

## (Mr. Vajpayee, India)

With a out-off in production of f ieeionable mater ial for weapons purposes, all nuclear facilities will become peaceful and can be made subject to non-discoriminatory international safeguards on a universal basis. Such a development would be a positive step towards the development of a new treaty to replace the discoriminatory Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, a treaty that would give legal effect to the binding commitment of nuclear-weapon Sta tes to eliminate all nuclear weapons and of all non-nuclear-weapon S ta tes not to cross the nuclear weapons threshold, Such a development would also demonstrate the oomplementary and mutually supportive relationship between bilateral and multilateral effor ts in the field of disarmament.

## (Mr. Vajpayee, India)

On behalf Of the delegations of Alger 1a, Argentina, Bangladerh, Bhutan, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Indonesia, Madagascar, Romania, Viet Nam, Yugoslavia and India, I should now like to introduce draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.55, entitled "Convention on the prohibition of the use of nuclear weapons".

For the past eeveral years the General Accembly has been adopt ing resolutions calling for a Prohibition of the use of nuclear weapons. Last year it adopted, by an overwhelming majority, recolution 42/39 C on this eubject. Significantly, two nucl it-weapon States have also • upPorted this draft recolution. It was also f itst submitted by India at the second special session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament, in 1982, and includee as an annex a draft convention on this eubject.

The Conference on Diearmament, the sole multilateral negotiating body, has repeatedly been requested by the General Accembly to undertake :negotiations with thie objective in view. Accordingly we have also cubmitted our draft convention to the Conference on Disarmament for its concideration. It is therefore a matter of conciderable regret that, despite the lapse of so many years, the Conference On Disarmament has not been able to register any progress on this important draft resolution. At the same time no reasons have been advanced as to why such a convention chould not be negotiated. To relate the urgency of preventing nuclear war to that of preventing all ware in general is to deny the special threat that nuclear weapons pose to the survival of mankind. We are cubmitting our draft resolution once aga in to under 1 ine the impor tance of prohibit ing the use of nuclear weapons, in the hope that this body will be able to br ing the weight of its moral authority to bear on the Conference on Dicarmament to persuade it to commence negotiations on this Item.

The principle underlying the draft convention which is attached to draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.55 is baaed on the higheet quiding pr inciples behind international law, as it has been recognized by the General Accembly the the use

## (Mr. Vajpayee, India)

or threat of use of nuclear weapons would be a violation of the Charter of the United Nations and contrary to the laws of humanity. This principle was accepted more than two decades ago in resolution 1653 (XVI) of 1961. Despite the increaeinq concern voiced by people8 all over the world, nuclear ar senals have continued to grow. The INF Treaty has been welcomed, as all reductione in nuclear areenale enhance security, and total elimination would lead to total security against the poecibility of a nuclear holocauet. But it is still true that the number of weapons to be eliminated under that Treaty is less than the number of weapons ded during the lact five year s. The pace of nego tie tions must be a tepped up and prohibition of the use of euch weapons accepted by all nuclear-weapon Sta tee, Humanity rhould not play this game of brinkmanship with ite own survival.

The world welcomed the eta tement eigned by the United Sta tee of America and the Soviet Union which declared that a nuclear war oannot be won and muet never be fought, Our approach in this draft resolution is to transform that underetanding into a legally binding commitment. A convention on the non-use of nuclear weapons has been euqqueeted, with a view to translating this universal concern into concorete action by removing the legal lacung vie-i-vie the poecibility of the use of nuclear weapons. Such a prohibition in legal terms would be a aruoial step forward, leading to a qualitative change in security doctrines and policies, which would make it possible for up to commence meaningful, multilateral negotiations aimed at elimina ting all nuclear weapons f rom the face of the Earth.

Lastly, on behalf of the delegations of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Hungary, Poland, Sri Lanka, Venezuela and Ind ia, I should like to introduce draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.54, entitled "Scientific and technological developments and their impact on international security".

## (<u>Mr. Vajpavee, India</u>)

At the first special session of the General Aesembly devoted to disarmament the threat posed to international peace and eecur ity by the eeoalating arms race wae recoordiaed by the world community. It was agreed that, along with quantita tive measures, qualitative measures in the field of diearmament also needed to be negotiated if the arms race was to be halted. A decade hae passed since the adopt ion of the Final Document of that special sees ion. Dur inq that per iod moe t bilateral and multilateral disarmament efforts have focused primarily on the quantitative expansion of arsenals; the issue of the qualitative arms race has not received the attention it deserves,

Meanwhile there has been a eiqnificant increase in global military spending on research and development. Inoreae inq amoun te of resources, both human and mater ial, are devoted to developing new weapons systems. Such developments cause uncer ta in ty and ineecurity, which in turn contribute to the ecoalation of tension, thereby creating a negative impact on disarmament efforts,

Today the world stande on the threshold of a new arms race. Developments in mak inq qr aduated use of nuclear explosive power, miniatur ization and large-scale computing capabilities using microelectronics, the pose ibilit ties of ta ilor ing materials to suit very specific requirements, fuel and laser technology - all of these will have a oumula t ive impact on the weapons development programme, completely transforming the security environment for the worse. Some of those developments will propel us increas ingly into an au toma ted environment, in which decision-making will become increasingly dependent upon artificial intelligence. With weapons becoming more lethal, more accurate and faster, the t iek of war as a result of accident or miejudgement will become greater.

New technoloq **ies** will inevitably epawn new weapons systems, **as** has been the case throughout history; but these will be more subtle, deadlier and difficult to curb. The **application** of technolog iee in the chemical and nuclear f **ields** in the

## A/C. 1/43/PV. 30 39

## (Mr. Vajpayee, India)

weapons area and their impaot on the qlobal eeour ity environment should be a warning to us. Teohnolcgy always has a double face. We have to ensure that mank ind sees only i to benign aepeot. As we said in our statement earlier, the genie still in the bottle should etay in the bottle leat it take us all hoetaqe through our own folly.

Prevention is better than cure. This is as true in the disarmament ephere as elsewhere. The development and deployment of such eye terns will exercise a neqa tive impact on exieting and future negotiations. Technical problems relating to verification will only become more complex, making arrival at treaties, régimes and conventions increasingly problematic.

At the same time we need to address our eelvee to problems of hunger, pover ty, d isease and the env ironment, which are of global dimensione. This requiree international scientific and technical co-operation on an unprecedented ecale. A number of new developments in frontier areas of electronice, satellite technology, communicatione systems and so on could have immense potential in ver ification technologiee and in alleviating non-military threats to ecourity if they could be used for the benefit of mankind.

Scientific and technological developments muet continue, but they must be cr tented decisively in favour of peaceful uses. We must q ive ecience and technology a human face. Science and technology cannot be allowed to become mastere of war; they must be used to serve humanity. For this, as a first step, we need to assess cor rectly the import for inter national security to of cur rent and potential developments in scientific and technological research. We need to understand the issues in depth and in long-term per spective and evaluate implications in object ives terms. Only then shall we be able to devise strategies to deal effectively with this critical issue. EH/gt

## (Mr. Vajpayee, India)

Our draft resolution A/C. 1/43/L. 54 is a modest proposal to help us beg in our work on this new eubject and to develop a shared perception of problems before we can make concerted efforts to resolve them.

## A/L;.1/43/PV.30 41

<u>Ms. THORPE</u> (Trinidad and Tobago) : On behalf of the sponsor s - Antiqua and Barbuda, the Bahamar, Barbados, Granada, Quyana, Baint Luoir, Saint Vincent and the Grendadines, Trinidad and Tobago and Vanuatu - I have the honour to introduce draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.35 on the "Liability for the illegal tranef er and/or use of prohibited weapons and weapons Or substances which cause unneceeeary human suffering".

History records that from time immemorial conflicts and wars have unfortunately been the ecourge of mankind. Three have continued unabated until the present time, increaring in scope, ferocity, and destructiveness with each oen tury. The international community is now concerned not only with the growing ersenal of nuclear weapons but also with conventional weapons of increasing eoph is tica tion and des true tive capacity.

To some extent the elaboration of various conventions has ca teqor ized the possession or use of these weapons as being prohibited or euch as to Cause unneceeeary human suffer ing, But this in itself is not enough, for in addition there is also the problem of euch weapons being illegally tranaf erred accoss national border s. In the view of the sponsors an in tegral aspect of the d iearmament process muet be the need to cone ider appropriate steps and measures to prevent the illegal transfer and/or use of such prohibited weapon8 or weapon8 of mass deetruction or eubetancea which cause unneceeeary human suffering.

As a first atep, the sponsors are of the view that the Secretary-General should preeent a report for the consideration of the General Assembly. In preparing the report the Secretary-General should focue on the feasibility of ascribing liability for the illegal transfer and/or use of prohibited weapons and weapons or substances which cause unnecessary human suffer ing, and the possibility of eatabl ish inq procedures for inves tiqa t inq those deemed 1 isble and for ensuring compliance and due process.

(Ma. Thorpe, Trinidad and Tobago)

In the process, the Secre tary-General may obta in the views of Member S ta tes, relevant United Nations organs, regional intergovernmental organizations, specialized agencies and non-governmental organizations in consultative statue with the Economic and Social Council.

It is also necessary for the Secretary-General to ensure that adequate facilities and resources be devoted to the trek to ensure a timely and meaningful report.

As I indicated, the sponsors considered the draft recolution to be an element in the furtherance of the diearmament process. In order for what is contemplated in this draft resolution to have some impact and also to provide for a system with a degree of oredibility and effectiveness, there may be need at some s tage to provide for appropr late sanctions to prevent the illegal transfer and/or use of the weapons referred to in this draft recolution. The Secre tary-General ehould take thie factor into account in the preparation of his report. The sponsors are requesting that the Secretary-General submit his report for the consideration of the General Accembly at its forty-fourth session.

Mr. BUTLER (Australia): Speak ing for the delega tions of Aus tral is and New Zealand I have the honour to introduce in the Fir et Committee the draf t resolution in document A/C. 1/43/L.51, entitled "Urgent need for a oomprehena ive nuclear test-ban treaty". It is eponeored by the following States: Australia, Aue tria, the Bahamas, Bachadoe, Brunei Dar ussalam, Cameroon, Canada, Colombia, Costa Rica, Denmark, Fiji, Finland, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Jamaica, Japan, Liber is, New Zealand, Niger is, Norway, Papua New Guinea, the Philippines, Samoa, Singapore, Solomon Islanda, Sweden, Vanuatu and Za ire.

## (Mr. Butler, Auetralia)

May I call particular attention to Canada's eponeorrhip of this draft recolution, acknowledging as I do the presence in the Committee today of representatives of Canadian non-governmental organiza tions.

As in the past, the draft recolution was drafted by Auetralia and New Zealand and then brought to its final form following a proceee of consultation with a small number of other delegations.

Last year, following a similar procees of drafting and consultation, our draft resolution on the urgent need for a comprehensive nuclear-teat-ban treaty was adopted by the General Aerembly by a record number of positive votes, 143. On ly two States voted against it; and there were only 8 abstentions.

The draft resolution introduced today is based on the resolution adopted by the forty-eeoond session of the General Aerembly. It has been amended in part to take account of events which have taken place during the past year, including the ongoing negotiations between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialiet Republics in accoudance with their joint statement of 17 September 1987.

It is the firm aonvic tion of the sponsors of the draft recolu tion that t there is an urgent requirement for a compteheneive nuclear-teat-ban treaty, able to be ver if ted and open to adherence by all Sta tea and which would br inq about an end to all nuclear testing by all States in all environments for all time.

We hold th is view, as is expressed in the third preambular paragraph of the draft recolution, because we know that such a treaty would make a major con tr ibution to ef for te to reduce and ultimately elimina te nuclear arms and be directed to the prevention of any further proliferation of nuclear weapons.

#### A/C.1/43/PV.30 46

## (Mr. Butler, Australia)

The objective of a comprehensive nuclear-teat-ban treaty will be achieved only if the world community agrees to take a practical approach and take oertain concrete a tepa un ila ter ally bilaterally and multilaterally - in particular, in the Conference on Disarmament, Those steps ace precisely desoribed in operative paragraphs 2 and 3 of the draft resolution.

We are aware of other approaches to the objective of br inging about an end to nuclear-teat explosions. But the approach taken in our draft resolution is the only truly comprehensive one. It is also an approach which eschews mere declaration or any attempt to apportion blame for failure in the paet to move speedily towards the objective of an end to all nuclear testing.

For those reasons we hope and believe that our draft resolution will aga in receive the widest possible support in the General Assembly. We commend it to all Member States.

Dame Ann HERCUS (New Zealand): The representative of Australia, Ambassador Richard Butler, has just introduced, on behalf of 28 sponsors, draft resolution A/C. 1/43/L. 51, "Urgent Need for a Comprehensive Nuolaat-Test-Ban Treaty". I am speak inq now, as a co-sponsor of that draft resolution, in order to emphasize New Zealand's conviction that work on a comprehensive nuclear-teat-ban treaty must be commenced immed tately.

For many years the Genera: Assembly has adopted resolutions which have orged the negotiation of a comprehensive test-ban treaty. Last year 14 3 Sta tea Member s voted in favour of the predecessor to the draft resolution now before us. The General Assembly spoke with a strong voice.

But, as yet, negotiations have not commenced. The Conference on Diaarmament has still not agreed on a mandate for a working group on this matter.

That must change. The Conference on Disarmament must begin to meet its full remonsubilities. It must work to bring nuclear test ing to an end. The central

### A/C.1/43/PV.30 47

## (Dame Ann Hercus, New Zealand)

principle underlying the draft resolution is that a nuclear-test-ban treaty is the single step that would, more than any other, slow the remor seless advance of nuclear-weapons development, and reduce the prospect of yet more countries acquir inq nuclear weapons.

For nuclear testing is a trigger for the refinement of nuclear weaponry and for experimentation in new nuclear technolog ies. So "here should be no further delay in the development of a verifiable comprehensive nuclear-test-ban treaty capable of attracting the universal adhsrence of all States.

The United States and the Soviet Union have continued their welcome negotiation6 on nuclear-arms reductions, and on nuclear-teating issues. Because New Zealand believes that any diecuceion between those two Statee, parttoularly in respect of technical matters euch as verification, is of value, we have, in the draft resolution, welcomed their ongoing oontacte.

But New Zealand remains concerned at the agenda and scope of those negotia tions. They do not, in our view, constitute the sort of discussions neceeeary to enable rapid progress towards a compreheneive nuclear-test-ban treaty. Indeed, according to the present framework for those talks, a complete cessa tion of nuclear tee ting would come only as the last step - not a first step, not an urgent step, not an early step, but the very last step.

Anat concerns the New Zealand Government is that the qoal of reducing and eliminating nuclear weapons - a goal of the greatest importance - will only be delayed *if* it is seen as a necessary pre-condition to, rather than the result of, a comprehens ive tes t-ban treaty. So long as testing continuea, we fear the resulting pressures will impede the arms reduction process. We are also concerned at the potential application of nuclear technology to apace-based defensive or offensive s tra teq ies.

## 48

## (Dame Ann Hercus, New Zealand)

So in the draft recolution we stress the importance of the. early canolucion of a comprehens ive tee t-ban treaty - which should, moreover, have the involvement of all the nuclear-weapon Sta tes. We urge the Conference on Disarmament to resume and intensify eubetantive work on all aspects of a nuclear-test-ban treaty right away, at the baginning of the 1989 session.

New Zealand urges all members of the Committee to ooneider this recommendation for a course of action - a means to put the deadlock bahind us and enable substantive work to begin.

We commend the draft resolution to the Committee.

<u>Mr. MARTYN</u>OV (Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic) (Interpretation from Russian): The delegatian of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic wishes to make a few observations about draft resolution A/C.1/13/L.54, subtitled "Scientific and technological developments and their impact on international security", introduced today by the representative of India.

The rapid technological progress that we have witnessed in recent years has now become even greater. While such progress opens up excellent prospects for mankind, it also causes concern, because of the military applications of the fruits of the scientific and technological revolution. The full dimensions of the problem Are seen when we consider the problem of the qualitative improvement of weapons, which is often very rapid, and its consequences for general security. Ser ious concern is also caused by changas in the characteristics of weapons which place them at a new qualitative level. That problem is universal, applying to all categories of weapons.

In particular, the development of third genaration nuclear weapons designed with a whole spectrum of dea th-deal ing characteristics increases the possibility of their use, which is also increased by the soph intication of means of delivery and

## (Mr. Mar tynov, Byelorussian SSR)

targeting. It is easy to predict the further consequences of the perfect inq of ruch weapons, par ticular ly a lower ing of the threshold for the use of nuclear weapons, resulting in an increared threat of global nuclear war. The improvement in the mean8 of delivery of nuclear weapons, • rgeoially when they are given increared accuracy, maker them less a weapon of deterrence and more a means of delivering a nuclear first strife.

(Mr. Martynov, Byelorussian Don)

The development of improved means of delivery also leads to great verification difficulties, a factor which complicates the achievement of any success in negotiations for the reduction of nuclear weapons. The qualitative improvements in military technology threaten not only an acceleration of the arms ram in its existing forms, but its expansion in to new areas. In particular, the potential extension of the arms race into outer space will definitely have an impact on the etrateqic situation. The use of complex computer systems as elements of weapons systems in outer space may make us hostage to electronics. Further mean ingf ul efforts are needed to prevent the development of neu weapons of mass des truction. The increasing possibility of the use of new scientific principles for such ends as a result of technological progress makes this problem increas ingly acu te with every passing year. Moreover, the need for a solution grows steadily as the elimination of other means of mass destruction progresses. The Byelor uss ian SSR intends to unite its longstanding efforts to eliminate such means of mass destruction with those of othur Sta tea to eliminate thie threat.

Conventional weapons, which are frequently called olaeaical, are also qoinq through the same qualitative changes and those ahanges make those weapons no less futuristic than the other kind, And, with the appear ance of so-called smart weapons, the futuristic charaatec of these weapons has already become a contemporary reality,

To sum up, therefore, we find a single common feature. The qualitative changee taking place in the field of military technology are leading to an increased erosion of strategic stability. When one compares this trend with the two other trends which are just as clearly defined in the quantitative area; namely, the build-up of armaments and their geographicnl proliferation, then one

## (Mr. Mar tynov, Byelor uss Ian SSR)

obta ins a picture to which the in terna tional community must find an adequate response. It is increasingly vital to refrain from the use for military purposes of any dirocvrr ies in the scientific and technical spheres.

We wish to reiterate our support for the well-known proposals concerning the inadmissibility of the use of scientific and technical progrees for the production of new generations of weapons of mass deatruction, either nuclear or non-nuclear, or of nrw types and systems of conventional weapons, especially those with high levels of des tr uo tive capability, The technologies whose appl ication to the military sphere must be barred as quiakly as possible are the laser, genetic and • lectromagnetic technologies. Agreement.8 to prohibit the development of such types of weapon6 will naturally require far-reaching verification procedurea.

The time has **come** to **consider measures to** prevent the proliferation of military missile technology,

It is also neoseeary to study the prohibition of the use of battlefield anti-personnel laser weapons which cause blindness. Such a prohibition could take the form of an independent agreement or of a protocol to the Convention on inhumane weapons.

From our point of view, the time has come to aonduot a systematic appraisal of scientific and technological achievements with a view to making early recommendatione for the prevention of the use of new technologies in armamenta, and for the transfer of appropr is te functions to the Uni ted Na tions. A proposal on this subject was made by many delegations at the third special session of the General Assembly devoted to d isarmament. In this connection we deem it important to str ess that the measures to prevent the use of new technologies for arms development would not only not hinder but, on the contrary , would promote

# A/C.1/43/PV.30

## (Mr. Mar tynov, Byelor uss ian SSR)

scientif io and trohnological progress and would promote the establishment of a favourable climate for openness and for peaceful international co-operation to advance technological development,

Draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.54 outliner an approach to the study of this problem for the purpose of determining possible spheres for subsequent agreed actionr by the inter national community. An important positive phenanenon in the draft is the built-in preventive rpproach which maker it possible to find solutions to problems before they get out of control.

On the basis of the foregoing views, the delega tion of the Byelorussian SSR has became a eponaor of the draft resolution and calla on other delega tione to q ive it favourable coneideration.

Me, DEACON (Canada): Canada remains committed to a negotiated and verifiable comprehensive tee t San Treaty. In our view, that can only be achieved through a step-by-step approach which builds on past achievemente and recognizes current realities. Canada therefore welcomed the start in November 1987 of the negottatione between the United Stake and the Soviet Union on testing limitations. We fully support their initial focus on verification, which we hope will lead to the ratification, at an early date, of the threshold test ban and peaceful nuclear explosions Treaties as an important step to the attainment of further limitations on the size and numbers of tests. Meanwhile, Canada will work diligently in support of realistic endeavours at the multilateral level, both within the Conference on Disarmament and in the First Committee, to encourage and aupport th is process.

With this in mind, Canada is again pleased to co-sponsor the draft resolution entitled "Urgent need tot a comprehensive nuclear-test-ban "reaty" sponsored by

## (Me, Deacon, Canada,)

Australia and New Zealand (A/C.1/43/L.51). In our view, it remains one of the most important resolutions before the Committee.

As One of a rmall group of oountr ire involved in its formulation, Canada considers that the resolution must meet three basic or iter is: first, it ehould acourately reflect current realities, both bilateral and multilateral; secondly, it should take full acacunt of recent and prospective developments relating to nuclear testing and, finally, it must establish a realistic path progressing towards the comprehensive teat ban objective. That was Canada's approach to the development of the draft recolu tion. We believe that L.51 fully meets those critiera.

We are very pleased at the degree of support which the draft resolution has received in all quartera. While the present draft has been altered in several respects to retlect eignificant developments in United Statea-Soviet arms control and d iaarmament nego t is tions over the part year, its  $\bullet$  reential thrurt and message remain unchanged. The sponsor s expr  $\bullet$  as the convolution that a Treaty to achieve the prohibition of all nuclear teat explosions by all States, in all environmenta, for all time romaine a matter of fundamental importance and urge that specific immedia te and concrete to ta teps be taken in support of the tobjec tive.

We are of the view that the particular strength of the draft resolution and a pr incipal cause of the s toady increase in support for it in recent year a relates to its effectiveness in defining common ground among a broad range of views and approaches which various countries bring to bear on this issue. The draft resolution in document A/C.1/43/L.51 is a ptoduot of negotiation and compromise. In our view it reflects what is achievable in terms of procide toal steps towards the larger objective. Canada considers that the draft resolution etrikes an appropriate balance. We urge delega tions to signal their continuing support by again voting in favour of the draft resolution. <u>Mr . ARNOUSS</u> (Syr ia) (interpretation from Arabio) : Draft resolution A/C. 1/43/L.6 expresses very olearly the grave threat posed to the Middle East by Israeli nuclear armament. That threat has been stressed in aeveral reports and studies, the latest of which was the annual report of the Institute of Strategic Studies in London, which said that both Israel and South Aft ica now possess nuclear capability, that Israel has at least 100 warheada, that there is a potential for neutron bombs in the Israeli arsenal, that Israel's delivery vehicles include missiles with a range of 400 to 800 kilometres and that it has already undertaken a teat of one of its missiles with a range of 600 to 800 kilometres.

That information was also reported by <u>Davar</u>, an Israeli journal, on 19 October 1988. The day before yesterday - <u>The Washington Post</u> published a report from Tel Aviv, entitled "Why nobody will win the next war in the Mideast", On whether Israel intends to use its secret nuclear capability in the forthooming war in the Middle East,

When we direct the world's attention to the gravity and danger of Israeli nuclear armament we are pointing to the threat it poses to international peace and security. Draft resolution L.6 reflects the fact that Israel has not complied with relevant United Nations resolutions, in particular resolution 42/28 and Security Council resolution 487 (1981), in which the General Assembly and the Security Council call upon Israel to submit all its nuclear facilities to International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) inspection and safeguards, In spite of those appeals Israel is persisting in its programme for the development, production and manufacture of nuclear weapons to bolster its expansionist, aggressive policies with the aim of retaining its grip on the occupied Arab territories, which can only lead to a new kind of arms race,

(Mr. Arnouss, Syr la)

The draft resolution alro reflects resolution GC(XXXII) /RES/487, of 23 September 1988, of the General Conference of the IAEA, which condemned Israel's continued refusal to renounce the possession of nuclear weapons and submit all its nuclear facilities to IAEA safeguards.

Israel, by not acceding to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, by not submitting its installations to inspection by the IAEA, by not renouncing the possession of nuclear weapons and destroying those that it possesses, only proves that it persists in defying the will of the international community. This can lead only to the international community's demanding that Israel cease co-operating with the raoist régime in South Africa and begin to co-operate with the specialized agencies.

We hope that the international community as represented in this Committee will be able to adopt the draft resolution and achieve progress towards the implementation of General Assembly resolutions aiming at the establishment of nuclear-weapon-free zones, inter alla, in the Middle East.

<u>Mr. YAMADA</u> (Japan): I wish to say a *few* words reqarding my delegation's sponsorship of draft resolution A/C.1/43/L.48, concerning Disarmament Week, which was introduced today by Ambassador Bayart of Mongolia.

Japan has oonaistently attached great importance to the purpose to be served by Disarmament Week, and ever **s** ince the inaugura tion of Disarmamen t Week has taken an ac tive part in the observance of that important occasion each year. Japan had the pr ivilege of being a sponsor of the draft resolution on the subject every year up to the thirty-seventh session of the General Assembly. For the past few years, to the regret of my delegation, the language in the dr aft r esolution has been a source of differences among delegations which has prevented Japan from becoming a co-sponsor and made it necessary for the draft resolution to be voted upon.

## (Mr. Yamada, Japan)

This year my delega tion is happy to note that those difficul ties have been removed prior to the submission of the draft resolution, thanks to the intensive consultations and flexible and co-operativo attitude of those concerned. Japan has thus become once again a co-sponsor of the draft resolution, in the earnest hope that it will win the support of all delegationa, and wishes to endorse the appeal made by Ambassador Bayar t of Mongol la to member a of the Committee to join in the constructive search for a consensus.

## The meeting rose at 12.20 p.m.