United Nations

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FORTY-THIRDSESSION

Official Records*



FIRST COMMITTEE
20th meeting
hold on
Monday, 31 October 1988
at 10 a.m.
Now York

VERBATIM RECORD OF THE 20 th MEET ING

Chalrmanr Mr. Roche (Canada)

CONTENTS

- GENERAL DEPATE ON ALL DISARMAMENT ITEMS (continued)

The meeting was oalled to order at 10.25 a.m.

AGENDA ITEMS 51 **TO** 69, 139, 141 **AND 145** (continued)

GENERAL DEBATE ON ALL DISARMAMENT ITEMS

Mr. BURWIN (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya) (interpretation from Arabic): My delegation is very pleased to see you, Sir, presiding over this important Connittee. Your well-known talents and experience, together with those of the other officers of the Committee, will undoubtedly contribute to the Connittee's success in fulfilling its tasks,

The First Committee is meeting at a time when there is a glimmer of hope for détente in in terna tional relations and movement towards the solution of certain international problems, such as the termination of the Gulf war, the recognition of the rights of the people of Namibia and the developments in relations between the two super-Powers and the resultant preliminary step towards the elimination of nuclear weapons.

Notwithstanding all those positive developments, tension, hegemonism and racism continue to loom large in certain parts of the world. The Middle East continues to suffer from racist intransigence, supported by one of the States responsible for international security, a State that claims to champion human rights. The Palestinian people - whose only sin is their aspiration to freedom and self-determination - face Zionist racist terrorism In southern Africa, racist acts continue to be the norm. Sc long as those two problems remain unsolved, international peace and security will continue to be threatened.

My country supports the establishment of nuclear-weapon-free zones, The nuclear activities of the two racist regimes - in Palestine and South Africa - are common know ledge, Both régimes have refused to adhere to the Treaty on the Non-Proli fera tion of Nuclear Weapons and have not placed their nuclear facilities

(Mr. Burwin, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)

Agency (IAEA). All this poses a serious threat to the Middle East and AC r ica, and above all impedes the creation of nuclear-weapon-free zones. It is imperative that the nuclear-weapon States and the relevant international organizationa desist from co-operating with those two regimes. The non-nualear-weapon States in the two regions to which I am referring must not be allowed to fall victim to the use or the threat of the use of nuclear-weapons. The wishes of the peoples and the States Of the two regions to establish nuclear-weapon-free zones there must be respected, in the interests of international peace and security, the relaxation of tension, the non-prolifetation of nuclear weapons and, consequently, the cessation of the arms race.

The dumping of toxic or nuclear wastes by some nuclear-weapon States or their corporations in the territories of other States - as has happened in Africa - is an immeral act that poses a serious threat to both the environment and mankind. In this connection, we support the measures called for by the Organization of African Unity, the condemnation of such acts by the IAEA, and the programmee of other international bodies in this sphere. We call upon the Committee to take specific, practical measures to deal with such acts.

My country is in favour of the total destruction of all nuclear and chemical weapons and a comprehensive test ban in all environments. In this regard, we support the efforts of the Movement of Non-Aligned Countrilea.

(Mr. Burwin, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)

We also support the search for ways and means to halt the extension of the arms race into outer space and second the call for the setting up of an international organisation to deal with space matters. We view with great concern the halting pace of the bilateral negotiations between the two super-powers on the question of space. We also note with grave concern the new apace activities recently embarked on by our tain régimes that are notorious for their agqression and racist practices. It is to be feared that those activities will focus on the promotion of destructive designs that are definitely prejudicial to international peace and security and conducive to the stepping-up of the arms race.

My dolega tion notes that there is now an increasing preoccupation with chemical weapons. This is happening at a time when the greatest attention should be focused on those armaments of mass destruction that are most injurious to human beings, namely, nuclear and other overkill weapons. while we support the proposals made with regard to chemical weapons, we believe it is the duty of those Sta tee that possess such weapons to take the initiative by halting their nuclear testing, ridding the world of their nuclear weapons and refraining from threatening the security, safety and environments of others with such weapons.

Jamahiciya for the initiative concerning the convening of an international conference to reaffirm the Geneva Protocol of 1925. The Jamahir iya signed that Protocol on 29 Dscemher 1971, and has no intention of producing any chemical weapons. It completely rejects all that is being said by certain hostile powers concerning the production of chemical weapons, The whole world now knows how such campaigns of diatortion and misinformation have been waged and continue to be used against my country. This latest campaign is nothing new.

(Mr. Burwin, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)

My country alro believes that military barer and military activities in other countries pore a threat to the security and rafety of neighbouring countries.

Naval military activitire, in particular in narrow seas, are bound to create tension, impede civil navigation and negatively affect world tra& and the world e commy. In this context, my delegation fully ouppor to the statement made by the repromentative of Sweden on 17 October 1998, particularly with regard to negotiating the adoption of measures to ourb thr naval activities of vessels equipped with nuclear weapons in instancea where nuclear-weapon States fail to divulge the existence or declare the abrenae of nuclear weapons on board their vessels at any given time. This is a cause of major concern to many States, particularly when nuclear-weapon States exploit international law and invoke the right of innocent passage through the territorial waters of other Stater, or enter their ports. Such a course of action can lead only to loss of confidence. It is high time to renounce such policies of provocation which are definitely out of tune with thir day and age.

My delegation would also like to rupport what was said by the representative of Sweden regarding the fact that the aim of conf idence-bu ilding measures in the naval field is to enhance security through minimizing the risk of incidents and confrontations at sea and to rurure the safety of non-military activities such as navigation and fishing and, may I and, communications, prospecting for miner ala, world trade and maritime transport.

of nuclear diaarmament, such efforts remain rather limited in the face of the huge threats posed by nuclear and other weapons of mass destruction. It is also a fact that peace and security are the concern of all the peoples of the world, and therefore the interests of those peoples should be taken into account. That is to

(Mr. Burwin, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)

say, agreement between the two super-Powers should not be focused on their Own interes .s and nobody else's.

On the other hand, there is the important role of the United Nations and its agencies in dealing wi th the question of disarmament; that role must be consolidated through the renunciation of the narrow parochial attitudes which impede the exercise of the collective will of the overwhelming majority of the international community, as was the arse with the third special session devoted to disarmament.

The future of mankind is in tar dependent and inter twined. Wars, especially nuclear wars, have no boundaries and therefore collective action, emanating from collective responsibility to maintain international peace and security has become an imperative necessity.

Finally, I should like to *refer to* the report of the Ad <u>Hoc</u> Committee on the Comprehensive **Programme** of Disarmament, which states that !

"Disarmament, relaxation of international tension, respect for the right to self-determination and national independence, the peaceful settlement Of disputes., and the strengthening of international peace and security are directly related to each other. Progress in any of these spheres has a beneficial effect on all of them; in turn, tailure in one sphere has negative effects on others." (CD/867, p. 6)

Mr. TEEHANKEE (Philippines): Mr. Chairman, the Philippine delegation congratulates you on your assumption of the chairmanship of the First Committee. It is our hope that under your wise and able leadership, and with the co-operation and support of the Member States, the Committee will fulfil its tamk of resolving the outstanding issues which were left pending from the third special session devoted to disarmament held last June (SSOD III).

(Mr. Teehankee, Philippines)

Summer indeed. Excessive heat, drought, parched crops and weather imbalance the world over have produced flash floods, pollution, unprecedented waste on many shores, and gigantic forest firer. Nature seemed to be sending us alarm signals and warnings that the continued degradation of the environment and tampering with nature may br ing ir rever sible devastation, But the more ominous mersage may he that nations with their continued production and storage of arsenals of super-destructive nuclear arms - enough to kill every man, woman and child on our planet 25 times over - munt redress this form of nuclear terrorism, wherein only one miscalculation on the part of a single political leader or one small computer error could bring about world catas trophe.

And yet, many hopeful signs fill the air, The United Nations peace initiatives, which long eluded resolution, have begun to produce results in Afghanistan, Iran and Iraq, in Namibia and in Angola. Elsewhere, nations and peoples - through their delegations in these halls - are moderating their rhetoric and seriously exploring ways of resolving long-standing conflicts in Kampuchea, in Central America, in the two Korean and in Western Sahara.

For these we have to thank the unrelenting efforts of the United Nations Secretary-General, Mr. Javier Perez de Cuellar, as well as the imp oved relations between the two super-Powers in the wake of the Treaty on the Elimination of Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles - the INF agreement.

(Mr. Techankee, Phil ippines)

In the light of those events, it was all the more regrettable that the third special session devoted to disarmament did not produce a conclusive final document. The Philippines was an active participant in • peoial session. Like the greater ma jot ity of Member States • mmombled at the conference, we had groat hoper that many of the outmtanding issues on disarmament would be resolved, issues such am zones of peace, nuclear-weapon-f roe zones, the naval arms race, the arms race in outer • psoe and a comprehensive teat-ban treaty. The third • pcloial session on disarmament might have provided the multilateral response to the successful concolurion last June of the agreement between the super-Powers on the Treaty on the Elimination of Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles • the INF Treaty. But we may still look at the forty-third ..ession of the General Assembly a8 providing the opportunity to oar ry forward • oms of the laudable and worthy ideas and proporalm • ubmittod at that • peaial session.

One of the most important ideas brought up in $\square \odot \square \blacklozenge \bullet$ ormionm of the General Amoembly is that of oommon \bullet eourity. AC ter 43 years of mtr iving for disarmament, it has become conventional wisdom that the efforts of nations rhould be devoted to the broader goal of common security; but nations will be reluctant to disarm unlemr it is perceived that common recurity is \bullet maured. From the birth of the United Nations, oommon security ham been a major preoccupation of all Member States. The Security Council, the International Court: of Justice, the Economic and Social Council and other bodies of the United Nations were specifically oonoeived and created with the aim of achieving common security,

while the world ham vastly changed during the past 40 year s - indeed the majority of today's 159 United Nations Members were not present at its inception - the Philippines, as one of the original 50 signatories of the United Nations Charter, maintains its abiding faith and belief in the viability and relevance of

(Mr. Teshankee, Phil ippines)

the Charter. Accordingly, the Philippine delegation fully supports the • ffortm aimed at reinforcing or improving the ryntem of collective • oour ity as provided for in the present Charter.

Am was stressed by the Independent Commission on Disarmament and Security
Issues headed by the late Prime Minister of Sweden, Mr. Olof Palme,

"Only through co-operative effortr and policies of interlocking national restraint will all the world's citizens be able to live without fear of war and devautation."

We submit that the concept of common ● eourity can be realized by pursuing three ● ♦□S♦□□₩Ⅲ・﴿

To begin with, there murt be decreared reliance on nuclear arms as a doterrent. Officially, there are only five countries which possess nuclear weapons. The present • ffortm of the world community to prevent an increase in that number and the proliferation of nuclear weaponry mumt be intensified.

In Europe, we mapper to the parallel process of the mutual balanced force reduction. As the continent where two world warm began, am well am the most heavily armed today, Europe's role in dimarmament cannot be over-emphasized.

Undoubtedly there ham been progremm in equity and confidence-building measures, but much still needm to be done about redwing levels of conventional and nuclear forcer there. We agree with the view that short-range tactical or battlrfield

(Mt. Terhankee, Philippines)

nuclear weapons should be eliminated as soon as possible. Modernization of there weapons rhould not be the undesirable consequence of a reduction of in termed is to-range nuclear forces.

Nuclear Weapons and the final negotiation of a comprehensive nuclear teat-ban treaty as crucial to the achievement of common security. Thua, the Philippines has joined other countries in working for the inclusion of an aqenda i tem on holding the Fourth Review Conference of Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons in 1990. We also auppor the early negotiation of a comprehensive nuclear test-ban treaty.

We should caution, however, against a situation where cut-downs in the nuclear arsenal in areas of the Northern Hemisphere, such as Europe up to the Urals and in America, would result in a shift in the arms race to the seas or to certain strategic regions such as the Pacific, South-East Asia or outer space. The stability of these regions, which should be free of nuclear weapons, must be maintained. We consider that the future of the world lies in the seas and in outer space - the two last frontiers of our environment. They must be kept free of nuclear weapons. The sea-bed Treaty, the Treaty on outer space and the Antarctic Treaty should show us the way in which these regions can be kept nuclear-free under United Nations control and surveillance.

The **second** strategy would call for **a** more vigorous implementation of programmes **and** resolutions which have originated in the United Nations.

While nuclear arms remain a perennial threat, the present use of conventional, chemical and biological weapons remains a grave threat to the achievement of common security. These weapons have 'been the subject of countless resolutions in the United Nations General Assembly. Chemical weapons in particular were banned in the

(Mr. Techankee, Phil ippines)

1925 Geneva Protocol and are at present the subject of further negotiations in the Conference on Disarmament. In this connection, we welcome the offer by the French Government to convene in Paris from 7 to 11 January 1989 a conference of States parties to the 1925 Geneva Protocol and of other interested States.

The routine use of chemical weapons in recent wars is a ser tous violation of the Geneva Protocol. There ought to be tighter controls in the implementation of such accords, and we encourage the efforts of the Secretary-General to promote verification in this area, It may be noted that the Philippines, in answer to the Secretary-General's request, has submitted A list of experts whose services are available for purposes of enforcing the ban on chemical weapons,

As for conventional arms, my delegation supports tighter controls On international arms sales, which have served to aggravate local conflicts. We recall that there was a proposal at the third special session devoted to disarmament calling for a scheme to chart or to register the flow of conventional weapons, as a way of instituting accountability for weapon systems. This is already standard practice in some nations, and we urge that it be also implemented internationally.

With the inclusion of a **new** aqonda **item on** the dumping of nuclear and toxin was tea, the attention of the international **community** is also drawn **to** this severe problem. Indeed it deserves the highest priority.

We propose greater openness In the aforementioned measures. As an earnest expression of our concern, the Philippines has for the first time complied with the request for the filling out of the United Nations formula on military budgets for its defence establishment, We urge those coun tries which have not done so similarly to comply with this request by the Secretary-General, in accordance with the United Nations resolution.

(Mr. Techanko o, Phil ippi nes)

Thr third strategy calls for the further strengthening of the role Of the United Nations in verification, monitoring, compliance and peace-keeping.

It is imperative that the United Nations be provided with the structures necessary for the fulfilment of this role. We thus support the proposal Of France for the • rtablirhment of an international satellite monitoring agency. Nations equipped with or having • ooess to • rtelliter are already aware of the manifold benefit to that this trohnology can bring them. Such a facility would • nable the United Nations • ffeotively to monitor arms testing, production and deployment, as well as facilitate peace-keeping operations and or is is management.

We would also rupport ● yatem of sharing wor ld-wide seismological information

for monitor ing nuclear tests, In this connection, we laud the joint ● ffortm of the

Soviet Union and the United States in recent tests to determine limits of nuclear teating. We naturally hope that the greater aim is to conclude a comprehensive nuclear teat-ban tressys

(Mr. Techankee, Phil ippines)

The Philippines has boon unwavering in its support of disarmament since the inception of the United Nations. Any genuine initiative towards that end will always have our support, Thus we view with interest the proposal of General Secretary Mikhail Gorbachev of the Soviet Union to rbolirh foreign military bases by the year 2000, given the premises of good faith and sincerity to ortrblirh a climate of trust and confidence and non-aggression and non-rubvrr sion. The ohiovomant of ruch a move would not only or rurr stability world-wide, but also, Venially, enable countries of South-Eart Asia and the Pacific Basin to proceed unimpeded in their occommon development thrusts. It is time that the orfm of peace, and not war, be ombroad by all countries of our region.

We believe that the ideal of disarmament has Irmady taken root, The Member States of the United Nations now have the opportunity to exercise in Olidarity their collective will and Ochieve its full flowering.

Mr. GURINOVICH (Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic) (interpretation from Russian): Mr. Chairman, I should like to reiterate my congratulations and wishes for every success in thr work of the Committee to you and to the other members of the Bureau.

The geographical situation of a nation • ffectr not only its character, as

Montesquieu argued, but also, to a considerable degree, its deatiny. Life in

Byelorurria has alwayr been closely linked with the hintory of Europe because it is

located virtually in the centre of that continent, The war a of the pact centuries,

the burden of the First World War and foreign intervention, and, above all, the

horrors of the Second World War have put our fortitude and courage to a severe

test, placing thrir crushing weight on our land and its people, That is why we

view issues of European security with ruch intersat.

(Mr. Gur inovioh, Byelorussian SSR)

The oonoept of Europe as a common peaceful home of nations living there is consonant with our aspirations. It gives us satisfaction to see that, under the influence of new political thinking, it is gradually taking root in various areas of life and oo-operation. Today we intend to dwell on the military and political sphere, focusing on what needs to be done to make a oon tr ibu tion towards building a common European home. The challenge here is not to build it over a powder keg but on a solid basis of recurity and multifaceted co-operation.

Only a short while ago Europe became the place where, for the fir at time in history, a grnuine nuclear dimarmament measure was achieved - the Treaty between the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the United States on the Elimination of Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles - INF Treaty. There is historic justice and an objective pattern in this.

The prospects of this breakthrough highlight evrn wore the need to reduce convent tonal armamen ts and armed forces, since the excerrive nature of the threat they pose becomes particularly visible as the shadow cast by the nuclear factor partially contracts.

How can we reduce thie **threat?** How can we achieve a radical nature for diaarmament in Europe that would fundamentally atrenqthen **security** on the continent? In conducting their peace-loving **foreign policies**, the **socialist** countries are trying extremely hard to find answers to these undoubtedly complex issues and to trsnrlate them into specific practical proposals and measurea.

The statement on the negatiation on reduction8 in armed forces and conventional acme in Europe, adopted last July at the Warsaw meeting of the Political Coneul ta tive Committee of the War raw Treaty Organization, develops and elaborates the programme drafted in Budapest and supplemented a year later in Berlin. It contains concrete suggest tione on how to conduct the process of

(Mr. Gurinovich, Byelorussian BSR)

negotiation on dirarmament problems in the all-European context. The objective is to bring about a radical reduction in the level of military confrontation on the entire territory from the Atlantic to the Urals.

A clear task har already been ret for the first phase of the negotiationr - to eliminate imbalances and asymmetries that ourrntly • ximt in the armed forces and armaments of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and the Warsaw Trraty, and thereby to reach balanced, equal levels that would be lower than the present level of either ride.

Furthermore, measures would be undertaken all along to prevent any surprise attack, Effective verification would be instituted that in itself would be an indication of the em % of e of mutual trurt among States. An exchange of sufficiently full and reliable information would be ensured.

Are the objectiver ret out in that statement really terrible? Yes, indeed they are. Given goodwill, a sense of responsibility and realism on both sides, there objectiver could be achieved very quickly - in one to two years. As a follow-up to the agreement reached, it would be possible to move on to further significant cuts in the forces and armament@, on a mutual basis of course.

The problem of bare line date, the star tinq points in calcula tions, proceed ing Cram which it would be possible to address the central issue, a reduction of the armed forces and armamenta in Europe, is also quite soluble. The proposal of the Political Consultative Committee provides for the possibility of an exchange of data before negotiations get under way and verifying them at this stage or in the course of the negotiations. It appears that this approach would preclude a repetition of the unfortune te experience of the Vienna talks on the reduction of armament8 in central Europe, where no progress has been registered for 14 year a already due to the diverging views on base line data.

(Mr. Our inovich, Byelorussian SSR)

Thm ● M.□□■ problem is how to go about ● liminating thr imbalances and mymmutri mm • nd hw to ensure a radical reduction of armaments in Europe. The coirlint countries have a three-phase proposal: it is proposed that immediately following • n • amahangm of base line data • and their verification, imbalances • and mynmne tries bm de termined • nd then • limina ted in the course of one to two year a . that is to say relatively quickly. With these new, • qumlimmd levels, the idea is to begin reducing by 500,000 for each side the forces of NATO and thm Warsaw Treaty. Furthermore, the programme • Imo contains a provision relating to the elimination of the offensive character of military units of NATO • nd the Warsaw Treaty. The socialist countries do not designate all these measures for the third phase only, which provides for further reductions in forces and armaments in Europe. They are prepared from thr very beginning to work to impart • non-of fensive character to NATO and the Warsaw Treaty forces, reducing thr momt dangerous types of offensive weapons. In this context the quantion ● rimem of tac tical nuclear weapons, which are the moat dangerous form of offensive systems. It sould be possible to reach agr • ommn t to out them right away, thum mmking headway towards their total • liminmtion. It is almo possible to consider that question outside the framework of the talks on the reduction of forces and • rvnmmtm in Europe, whose mandate is currently being . Imborated in Vienna. This problem is closelyr elated to the delivery vehicles for these • yntmmm which can be used to carry both nuclear and conventional, non-nuclemmr warheads - that is, the so-called dual-capabilitypurpose ● gtomr,

(Mr. Our inovich, Byelorussian SSR)

There to every reason to believe that • arly • qrmmmcnt will be reached in Vienna with regard to the mandate for the negotiations, which might make it possible to Irunoh the talks this year.

A • ummit meeting of all oountrimm participating in the European process, including, of oour mm, the United Statrm and Canada, to consider hw to move from words to actions in the field of nuclear-arms reductions could be • n important tactor in helping to • pmml up the tank of laying the groundwork for credible amour ity in Europe. Am ham boon aptly pointed out, Europe needs its own Geneva and Reykjavik.

• ppropr late roduction of mili tnty • xpondi tures.

• remember to the coordinate of the coordinate

Action to strengthen stability on the European continent • hould be

upplomontmd by measures to reduce military activities on the • oam and oceans,

reduce military confrontationm in northern Europa and the Arctic and trrnmform the

Mediterranean into a mono of peace • nd co-operation. In this respect, various

propomalm by various countries • ra • vailmblo.

The establishment of a European centre for the roduction of the military threat • nd thm prevention of surprise • ttmok, mm proposed by thm Soviet Union, would contribute to increased • tmbility in Europe and the greater confidence of Europeans in the future. The need for this is recognized in many proposals put forward in both the East mnd the West. We are convinced that if effect wore given to this initiative it would help in metting up a useful structure to increase the reliability of peace in Europe. With the combined • ffortm of all countries perticipating in the European process it • hould be possible to • mtablimh an international centre in one of the European countrimm, in whose work all 35 States perticipating in the European process, without • xooption, would perticipate.

(Mr. Gur inovioh, Byelorussian SSR)

Among its functionm would be the oo-ordination of • fforth to gather and verify information relating to the numerical miranqth of armed forces and armaments on the European oon tinmnt. It would monitor the situation, above all, along the border between the NATO countries and Warsen Pact countries. If necessary, the oentre could dimpatch teams to inspect a mituation that began to give rime to concern. It would dimpatch impection teams to ver ify base-line data relating to European and armaments in Europe. It could also have regional affiliates or national centres, in addition to the European centre.

The idea of a European centre is in keeping with the Secretary-General's proposal on a multilateral nuclear-risk-reduction centre. The orrative potential of thems proposals could also 8xtend to other regions. Cur immagination - without which, inaidentally, it is impossible to build the tutura of the world - paints a picture of a multilateral centre at the United Nations linked by a modern communications system with a network of regional military-rimk-reduction centres. This would significantly enhance stability and promote the emergence of material, practical bases for multilateral preventive diplomacy.

Europe is the continent with the greatest aconcentration of arms. It meens clear, however, that other regions of the world too would stand to gain by launching a process of reducing conventional armament to the levels of reasonable rafficiency. This, of course, should be done taking in to account the specifics of each situation, am provided for in General Assembly resolutions 42/38 G and 42/38 N.

In the European contewt, a preference is frequently voiced for a gradual and. phased progremmion towards thr qual of etrengthening security and reducing arms. It would appear that the met of proposals on zones providing for special régimes in respect of certa in types of armm is in line with this approach. Theme zones could very well become the embryos capable of developing gradually into broader measures on a wider scale encompassing tha entire continent, The proposals of the German

(Mr. Gurinovich, Byelorussian SSR)

Democra tio Republic, Czechoslovak ia, Bulgaria and Roman ia for the establishment of nuclear-weapon-free and chemical-weapon-free sones in Central Europe and the Balkans, am well am the propomal of the Nordic countries for a nuclear-weapon-free zone in Northern Europe, are simed at this objective.

There are alma specific proporalm by the socialist countries On: reducing to a minimum agreed level the concentration of armed forces and armaments in the NATO/Warsaw Treaty face-off zone and the withdrawal from that zone of the most danqueroum types of offensive armaments; establishing a nuclear-free corridor along the NATO/Warsaw Treaty face-off line, a plan put forward by Poland, to reduce arms and increase confidence in Central Europa) and ideas concerning the eventual

8 Stablimhm8nt along the face-off line between the two alliances of a zone of confidence, co-operation and qood-neighbour ly relations.

If a common European home is to be built toque ther, a home of peace and co-operation, the implies an atmosphar a of qood-neighbour liness, confidence and opennemm. In this connection, the Byelorussian SSR notes with satisfaction the successful development at the process of strengthening confidence and security as a tamult of the implementation of agraementr under the 1966 Stockholm Declaration, We would note in passing that it is our conviction that regional processes promoting openneae and confidence are necessary to promote trumt on an even broader international scale. Progress is needed in the process of increasing openness and building confidence. Essentially new measurem to build confidence and increase openneum are called for, and active contacts, joint research and negotiations are required to promote this process, which is an important element of comprehensive security.

(Mr. Gur inovich, Byelor ussian SSR)

which, inter alia, would preclude a surpr ise attack. In this context, the Warsaw Treaty Organisation has proposed 8 group of measures tar the European region. It is also important to develop and expand existing confidence-building measures and extand them to spheres as A. outside their scope. Among other things, the socialist countries have expressed support for the preparation of confidence-building measures in connection with the seas and oceans, including, 88 proposed in the context of the Conference on Confidence- and Security-Building Measures and Disarmament in Europe, 8 number of initiatives to expand confidence-building measures and extend them to cover the independent activities of air and naval forces on the seas, oceans of desir space contiguous to Europe.

Such measures as contacts between militrry personnel and meetings between Ministers Of Defence • d Commanders-in-Chief of the military alliances to consider urgent issues would also promote mutual understanding, confidence rnd openness in the military sphere. Recent meetings between the Ministers of Defence Of the Soviet Union and this United States have demonstrated the feasibility of such new approaches.

A major question with 8 great potential for th8 future in the area Of disermament is that or reasonable sufficiency Of arms. In our view, an understanding of this principle and Ot th8 purely defensive nature of military doctr ine must encompass pari ty and equal security, 8 change in the nature Ot military activities, thr structure of th8 armed forces, th8 deployment of troops, the mandatory reduction Of armaments and armed forces, and strict mutual verification.

(Mr. Gur inovich, Byelorussian SSR)

These questions are not easy. Joint international effort is called for to deepen the under standing of them • d to reach agreement on them. In particular, the Byelorussian SSR has already proposed multilateral consideration Of and agreement On parameters and Or iteria for the strictly defensive nature of military doctrines and 8 purely defensive structure for armed forces. This could be achieved at th8 United Nations for example, with the help of the Security Council, thr Military Staff Committee • d other bodies.

It is important that the processes loading to 8 reduction of military confrontation untold concurrently, covering 811 possible directions, without let-ups or let-downs, so that efforts on the bilateral, regional and multilateral levels flow together into an single stream leading towards the establishment of credible security. Ultimately, this security must be determined largely not by military trotors, but by trotors pertaining to co-operation among States and nations.

Peace in Europe and comprehensive international security is a realistic, attainable objective. It will be achieved it now political thinking gains ground universally, if each proposal made by either side is received without prejudice and stimulator counterproposals in the interests of peace and co-operation for the benefit of mankind. In other words, we call upon all to think and act with universal human interests in mind.

Mr. JAYA (Brunei Darussalam): It is a groat pleasure, Sir, for my delegation to see you in the Chr ir. You come from a country with which we have warm and cordial relations. We are confident you will guide the work of this Committee to 8 successful conclusion. I also wish to express my delegation's confidence in the other members Of the Bureau.

At the very outset I wish to congratulate the United Nations peace-keeping forces on being awarded the Nobel Peace Prize. It is a source of pride for those

gallant men in blue, the contributing countries and other Members of the United Nations that the work of the United Nations pace-keeping forces is deservedly recognized at the highest level.

This year's meetings of the First Committee take place against the backdrop of improved super-Power relations. This improvement is manifested in the signing of the Treaty on the Elimination of Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Nuclear Missiles - (INF Treaty) - which requires substantial reductions in nuclear weapons, involving the destruction of 859 United States and 1,752 Soviet missiles over three years. We hope the Treaty will pave the way towards nuclear disarmament and have a substantial influence on progress in the implementation of multilateral resolutions, such as those on chemical weapons, conventional disarmament and various regional measures.

The historic agreement between the two super-Powers has indeed contributed to the easing of international tension and is very much in consonance with the confidence-building measures about which this Committee talks so much. We hope that the relations between the United States and the Soviet Union will continue to improve, not only further to ameliorate international tension but also to allay the fears of many nations that the continued arms race and hostility may one day trigger a nuclear war. Although the dictum "a nuclear war cannot be won and must never be fought" (A/40/1070, p. 3) is all too familiar to both parties, an intensification of the arms race would deepen suspicion on both sides. For this reason we heartily welcome the signing of the Treaty and applaud the logical and sensible decision on the part of the United States and the Soviet Union to diminish the danger of a nuclear war.

However, we are still far away from the total elimination of all nuclear weapons. The destruction of all deployed and non-deployed intermediate- and shorter-range missiles will not be sufficient if we do not ensure the end of their

production. In this • deavour, Brunei Darussalam shares with the majority Of the delegations present here the deep concern over the absence of a comprehensive nuclear-test-ban treaty. It is only practical to ban nuclear tests if we do not want nuclear arms to be continually produced. Bilateral • greamnt between the United States and the Soviet Union could, therefore, • nocurrous others to consider banning nuclear tests and would contribute significantly to the elimination of the nuclear arms race. We are confident that both the United States and the Soviet Union will aga in show their leadership by taking appropriate steps that will move in the direction of a comprehensive nuclear test ban treaty. We hope that this committee will intensify its effort in this area during the current • oaaion.

My delrgation also hoper that adequate verification measurer will be instituted to verify non-production of new nuclear weapons. We believe adequate verification of compliance is important, as a matter not only of confidence-building but also of assuring legitimate secur ity interests on both aider.

Another area to which Brunei Darussalam pays par ticular attention is that of chemical weapons. We believe that now is thr time to consider a chemical-weapons convention. We are encouraged by thr substantive and constructive results of the Wd Hoc Committee on Chemical Weapons of the Conference on Disarmament. hope a convention can be agreed upon that will deal with ouch issues as notification of chemical weapons, international systems to monitor inq of storage facilities, methods and organization of dertruction procedures, national implementation and international verification measures.

It is, perhaps, appropriate to remind ourselves at thir juncture of the conclusion of the Secretary-General's report entitled "Chemical and broteriological (biological) weapons and the effects of their possible use", which • tatee, among other things, that chemical rgen ts are potentially unconfined in their • fec ts, in

both space and time, rnd that their large-scale use could conceivably have deleterious and irreversible effects on the balance of nature.

It therefore goes without raying that serious effort murt be undertaken to ban all chemical weapons. The continued accumulation of chemical weapons means a growing threat to international peace and security and even to the very survival Of mankind.

While we complete in the question of nuclear and chemical weapons, we rhauld not be completent in our effort to reduce conventional weapons. This is because the potential destructiveness of such weapons is becoming greater and greater with the development of more powerful conventional arms. Alarming, too, is the increase in global annual military expenditures, which account for over 80 per ornt of total annual military expenditures.

peace in virious regions of the world, not least South-East Asia. Together with other members of the Association of South-East Asian Nationr (ASEAN), Brunei Darurralam har been working towards the realization of a zone of peace, freedom and neutrality in South-East Asia incorporating all States in the region. We believe the realization of such a zone would constitute a rep forward in lessening rivalry and tension in the region and contribute to regional peace and stability.

However, it is our contention that the present obstacle to the realization of a some of peace, freedom and neutrality murt first be removed. We hope that obstacle in the region will work towards removing that obstacle. We believe that only when the element of throat is removed from the region can the ooun tries have confidence in one another and concentrate their reasurces to improve the economic well-being of the people.

We fully rubaar ibe to the views contained in the Final Document of the International Conference on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development, held in New York from 24 August to 11 September 1987, which • tatedt

"Considering the present resource constraints of both developed and developing ooun tr lea, reduced wor ld military spending could contribute significantly to development. Disarmament can assist the process of development not only by releasing additional resources but also by positively affecting the global economy. It can area to conditions conductor to promo ting equitable economic and technological co-operation and to pursuing the objectiver of a new international economic order.

Real roonomic growth as wall as just and equitable development, and par ticular ly the elimination of poverty, are necessary for a secure and stable environment at the national, regional and international levels. They can reduce tensions and conflict and the need for armament." (A/CONF. 130/39, paraa. 11, 12)

My delegation has no doubts about the validity of those views, but non-adherence to the spit it and in tent at the Final Document render a meaningless the adoption of that document, We appeal to all countries, both developed developing, not only to adopt the Final Document but to make it an action-oriented am possible.

have made groat strides in some • caax but we are still under a real throat of • nuclear holocaust. The arms race continues to grow and numerous deadly nuclear weapons • ra still being produced. It is arid that between 1960 and 1980 world • xpenditutom for military purposes almost doubled in real terms. Today MA are • m timated to be in • xcoaa of \$900 billion a year. In the view of my delegation it is time that our obsession with tha • mm taco was halted. Instead, we should focus our energy, expertise and technology on the elimination of world-wide poverty and • omemia deprivation. If all countries were to co-operate on thin we believe that we could succeed rnd would do juatice to present and future generations.

The CHAIRMANS Before adjourning I ahould like again to draw attention to an important issue on which the First Committee must decide before the end of the first week of November.

On 12 Ootobu the President of the General Assembly, in a letter • ddrrraod to me, transmitted the text of a communiqué from the Chrisman of the Fifth Committee which has been circulated as document A/C, 1/43/4. Am I arid in my brief statement at the 12th meeting of the Committee, on Monday, 24 October, the letter concerns the request to the Main Committera, including this body, to communicate their views to the Fifth Committee on the relevant chapters of the provisions of the medium-term plan for the per iod 1984-1989, which has been • xtonded to 1991, and on the Secretary-General's note containing the draft introduction to the medium-term plan for the period 1992-1997.

After an • xtenaivo exchange of views on the matter with the officers of the committee, I have concluded that I should seek the co-operation of the members of the Group of Friends of the Chairman in formulating • text which could contain a common position of the members of the First Committee. Accordingly, the question

(The Chairman)

Friday, 28 October, and it was understood that a joint effort would be made by the Group to produce a paper by 2 November which will reflect the common position of the Committee as a whole, If the Group of Friends of the Chairman is not able to produce such a formulation by that date, I invite members of the Committee to submit their comments in writing to the Chairman by Friday, 4 November, at 12 noon, so that I may thereafter forward them to the Fi Cth Committee for its consideration as requested.

Finally, I rhould like to remind members that, in accordance with the decision of the Committee, the deadline for submission of draft retaolution under the disarmamen t agenda i terns, that is items 51 to 69, 139, 141 and 145, will expire at 6 p.m., Monday, 31 October,

I call on the Secretary, who wishes to make an announcement,

Mr. KHERADI (Secretary of the Committee) ! I should like to inform the members of the Committee that the delegations of Costa Rica, the German Democratic Republic, Portugal and Samoa have become sponsors of draft recolution A/C.1/43/L.1.

The meeting rose at 11.30 a.m.