# United Nations GENERAL ASSEMBLY FORTY-THIRD SESSION

Official Records\*



FIRST COMMITTEE

19th meeting
held on
Friday, 2 8 October 1988
at 3 p.m.
New York

VERBATIM RECORD OF THE 19th MEETING

Chairman: Mr. Roche (Canada)

CONTENTS

- GENERAL DEBATE ON ALL DISARMAMENT ITEMS (continued)

The meeting was called to order at 3.15 p.m.

**AGENDA** ITEMS 51 **TO** 69, 139, 141 **AND** 145 (continued)

GENERAL DEBATE ON ALL DISARMAMENT ITEMS

Mr. PAVLOVSKY (Czechoslovak ia) (interpretation from Russian): Today in its atatement the Czechoelovak delegation would like to expresa its point of view regarding several aspects of our Committee's work, those dealing with regional approaches to diearmament, a treng then ing confidence and br ing ing the military potential of States within the bounds of reasonable sufficiency. The significance of such issues, in our view, is ever greater in view of the development of international relations at the present time, when there is a sharp r iae in the need for the practical and concrete solution of those problems.

We believe that this subject is particularly relevant for States parties to the Conference on Security and Co-operation in Europe (CSCE). Of course the all-European process could be a valuable source of experience for strengthening co-operation and reducing the danger of war and military confrontation in other regions of the wor ld as well,

Moreover, what is characteristic of developments in Europe is a gradual shift from the initial confidence-building measures agreed on at Helsinki to a system of major and verifiable steps in this area. At the basis of this system are the agreements worked out by the Stockholm Conference, We are convinced that a logica 1 continuation of this process would be the mutual elimination of imbalances and asymmetr ies in var lous types of conventional weapons and armed forces of ho th military and political alliances in Europe. As a reault, stability would he achieved regarding the military potential of conventional weapons at a lower level of that potential.

(Mr. Pavlovsky, Csechoslovakia)

I should like to refer once aga in to the proposals in th is area made by the States parties to the Warsaw Treaty in July this year. They provide, inter alia, for a process of reducing • □○○ forces and conventional weapons at the final stage of which the armed forcer and conventional weapons of both sides would become purely defensive in nature.

We believe that the constructive conclusion of the Vienna meeting and the adoption there of a mandate Cot negotiations on disarmament in the area Of conventional weapons and for a fur there in tensification of configure-building measures in Europe in the very near future will become an important atop in placing the relational between East and West on a new footing. We believe that in this way all the experience gained will be used, including the partial results of the Vienna negotiational on reductional in armed forces and arms in central Europe. Such a course of action is all the more necessary since up to now, after decades of suspicion and tenaion, confidence and security in Europe have at 111 not been fully assured. It seems that even the present unprecedented openness in the military activities of States, including the exchange of plane for exercises and the participation of observer a in manoeuvres, is still insufficient to eliminate mutual apprehensions.

The States parties to the Warsaw Treaty have therefore jointly proposed a comparison of the military doctr ines of both military-political alliance6 a0 that they may assure themselves of their defensive nature,

The Czechoalovak Socialist Republic has on numerous occasions reaffirmed its sincere determination to promote constructively the strengthening of the structure of secur ity and co-operation in Europe.

We view as a concrete manifeatation of that approach our proposal on the creation of zones of co-operation, confidence and good neighbourly relations along the lines of contact of Sta tea par ties to the War saw Treaty and member s of the

#### (Mr. Pav lovsky, Csechoslovskia)

North Atlantic Truty Organisation (NATO), made in February of this year. That proposal is based on a combination of military and non-military measures. We are convinced that  $\Leftrightarrow \infty \circ \diamond \bullet$  pproach would place questions of confidence on a broader and more solid foundation.

As regards the military sphere, our proposal is in keeping with the joint position of States parties to the Warsaw Treaty, • ocording to which an integral component of the process of the reduction of levels of armed forces and conventional weapons in Europe must be measures to reduce and climinate the throat of a rurpe ire • ttack. The point is,  $\nearrow \square \square$  • xamplo, that along the line of acontact of the two alliances somes would be created with a lower level of weapons, from which the most dangerous and destabilizing forms of conventional weapons would be withdrawn or limited in quantity. In those somes a level of military potential would be maintained such as to ensure only defence and exclude the possibility of a rurpe ise attack.

Far purposes of the further strengthening of confidence within the framework of the zones, oo-ordinating measures would be oarriad out to limit military

• \*\*DHOH\*\* These could, inter alia, deal with the volume, numbers and length of military • xrroiror and troop movements.

We believe that these proposals will become an integral part of an in-depth and contructive dialogue on the major problems of reducing military tension and of disarmament in Europe.

In the view of our countries, regional measures to limit arm8 and disarmament measures are fully justified as 8 moan8 of strengthening stability and security in any region of the world. In addition they should be considered from the point of view of their political and practical contribution to a global solution to concrete problems of disarmament. Thus we continue to be convinced, for example, that the

(Mr. Pavlovsky, Czechoslovak ia)

namely, nuclear-f ree zones and a nuclear-free cor r i&r in central Europe - would give useful impetus to efforts to reduce thr nuclear threat a8 a whole and would not in any way damage the secur ity of any side whatroaver,

In this connection we should like once again to note that the joint proposal Of Czechoslovak is and the German Democratic Republic on the creation in Central Europa of chemical-weapon-free zones, which was addressed to the Government Of the Federal Republic of Germany, is still extremely relevant. As long as the chemical threat continues to • xi8t we believe that each step, even a partial one, towards reducing it is extremely valuable. The idea of • Stablishing a chemical-free-zone in central Europe is fully in keeping with the efforts aimed at stepping up the conclusion of a global convention on the banning and elimination of such weapons. We consider it primarily as a political measure and a manifestation of good will. Within the framework of the zone, for example, it would be possible immediately to test the proposed verification system of the convention, Moreover, after the conclusion of the global convention the proposed zone would not lore it8 significance as a concrete regional form of the implementation of the convention, a form which would simultaneously • trengthen mutual secur ity and the process of the • limina tion of chemical weapons.

(Mr. Pav lovsky, Czechoslovakia)

Based on our general support for regional approachor to disarmament a8 an integral element of international efforts to make progress in this field, our country welcomer the proposals and initiatives to that end made by other States. We attach great importance to nuclear-free examples and somes of peace in various regions of the earth. In this connection, we regard as highly relevant the problem of strengthening the nuclear-free status of Africa and the convening of an international conference on the Stablishmont of a zone of peace in the Indian Ocean.

Caechoalovakia welcome8 the guideline8 worked out by the United Nations

Diaarmament Commission at its session this year to strengthen confidence-building measures. We believe that in the context of the principles adopted by the Commission, stress abould be placed on strict compliance with the Charter and implementation of the appropriate provisions of the Final Document of the fir st General Assembly special session on disarmament.

We share the conviction that a multilateral approach to the strengthening of aonf idence is required. Here a decisive role could be played by the Organization. We believe that the document agreed upon by the Commission is a contribution to the growth of understanding among States and the creation of an international climate propitious to the achievement of progress in disarmament. In our view, that document will promote concrete negotiations on confidence-building measures, on a regional or a broader scale.

We believe that one of the aims of our work this year la to use the United Nation8 machinery to oo-ordinate effectively the efforts designed to resolve high-priority questions in the nuclear field and the questions related to the objective need to achieve progress on conventional weapons. We think that the question of oonventional weapons, by it8 very nature, requires the use both of regional and of broader multilateral approachem. In our view, this lends increased

#### (Mr. Pav lovsky, Czechos lovak ia)

significance to non-military guarantee 8 of the security of States, and particularly to strict respect for the principle of the non-use or threat of the use of forae and the atrengthming of the international legal order as a whole.

In our opin ion, it will be useful if the Organization continuer to work Out universal guideline8 for disarmament in the field of conventional weapons. We believe that in formulating such guideline8 we should take due account of such factors as the growing danger of conventional weapons, particularly in the light of the increasingly sophistica ted technology and the enermous sums spent on such weapons. We believe that we shall be able to achieve the required consensus On this important question as well.

I would note that we regard as a step in the right direction the proposal for the establishment of a specific system of verification of the transfer of onventional weapons by means of a United Nations register.

In conclusion, I repeat that we are prepared to contribute constructively to a dialogue on the ser ious problems to which I have refer red, and to do everything possible to achieve a consensus on the ways to rolve them.

M.. CHIRU (Panama) (interpretation from Spanish): We are so close to the nuclear abyss and to the total destruction of civilization that it appears we have finally come to realize the ser iousness of our hiator ic responsibil' ty and the urgency of taking decisive steps to achieve disarmament and establish genuine international secur i ty and a just and lae ting peace.

It has been three years since the Geneva Summit Conference where the super-Powers undertook to begin negotiations designed to achieve significant reductionr in their land-based nuclear arsenals and to prevent. an arms race in outer space. During those years we have witnessed a slow but sustained process of

the relaxation of international tensions and an apparently solid shift away from the thinking that has prevailed in the military establishments of the super-Power s with respect to the requirements for their national security.

Thus, it seems that we are enter ing a new stage in international life in which the emergence of a less hostile and aggressive climate in East-West relations is rotting the tone for the rerurgence of multilateralism and the revitalization of the world Organization.

The international machinery ret up 43 year s ago in San Francisco seems to be working once again towards the achievement of its goals - goals that all of us, large and small, rich and poor, must strive to promote and encourage.

Even though the practical results of 'hi8 renewed interest in the

international capacity for dialogue and negotiation has not yet rerponded to the desires or anxieties of an international community threatened both by the spectre.

Of nuclear de8 tr uotion and by the rhadow of or itical paver ty, it is to a cer ta in

xtont canforting to observe that the doctrine  $\square \nearrow$  a balance of terror is tending to become a thing of the past and that a trend towards general and complete disarmament is rtarting to emerge on the horizon.

There positive tr end8 have certainly sor vrd as encouragement to the Secretary-General, Mr. Javier Perez do Cuellar, who har been working silently but effectively to achieve entiafactory solutions to the complex regional conflict8 that have marked the present decade, earning it the questionable record of having witnessed more armed conflicts than any other decade of this century.

This process has made it olear, among other things, that when there is the political will to search for negotiated solutions, no barrier is insurmountable, no under taking is beyond reach.

the same time witnearing the constant manifestations of disdain and contempt for international law that continue to characterize the behaviour of a Power which bears Particular responsibility in the sphere of disarmament and the maintenance of international, peace and security. That behaviour only raises doubts am to whether there really is a ahange of attitude on the part of that • \$\sqrt{10} \cdot \cdot

"It is obvious that international confidence would rest on quicksand if the domestic necessities felt by Governments were • llawrd to override the international obligation8 that they have solemnly undertakan, Without international law respected by all States there can be no stable framework for multilateral co-operation." (A/43/1, p. 12)

This is a matter of major importance both for the attainment of real progrers in the field of arms limitation and dirarmament and for the maintenance Of international peace and • ocur ity.

The United Nationr has made enormous efforts in this dire&ion, efforts that have resulted in the approval of a rot of international instruments enshrining norms and principles for peaceful ooexirtence between States. Now if these are not ecrupulourly respected they would render the international order eatablished in the Charter meaningless and would result in the establishment of the law of the jungle, a at a te in which the use of force or the threat of the use of force would become the standard for licit conduct, while dialogue and rational consultations would become departures from the norm.

Many of those principles and norms of conduct among States are currently being violated in a flagrant and systematic fashion endangering my country's secur ity and threatening the peace and security of a highly sensitive region, the isthmus of Contral America. My country very much regrets that this climate of optimism, which has permeated the tone of the general debate in the Committee this year, is not one which my delegation can rhare. The acu to economic crisis and the burden of external debt are now, despite all the principles of international law, being compounded by open disregard for the Charter and in flagrant violation of bilateral treaties, all this resulting in a ruthless chain of political and economic acts of aggrerrion and a senseless escalation of threats of military force.

The thinking behind this irrational campaign of pressure and acts of aggression has been denounced by my Government in document A/43/216 of 20 Apt il 1988, which has an circulated as an official document of the First Committee. It is a clear expression of hegemonistic designs on the Part of the United States of America and is an unmistakable indication of its desire to perpetuate its military presence within my country's territory, in contravention of the rovereign agreement8 of 1977.

Although the overwhelming United States military presence - not authorized by my country - remains and is resulting in a whole series Of violations Of our sovereignty and political independence, I shall refrain from rehearing this endless list of transgreasions of international law and violations of logic and justice. I wish only to re-emphasize here, first of all, that the presence of United States troops in the territory of the Republic of Panama is author ized by the Panama Canal Treaties of 1977 exclusively for the purpose of protecting and &fending the oceanic waterway, in co-ordination wi th and jointly wi th the Panamanian armed forces.

Secondly, for there legitimate purposes, which will end on the last day Of this century, the United Stater has Btationed in Panama Brigade Number 193 of the recently created Southern Command of the United States armed forcer. According to the provisions of the relevant Treaty, the United Stater shall maintain its armed forcer in Panama at a level which shall not exceed those existing at the time when the Treaty came into force, At present, the number of United States troops a tationed in Panama exceeds those author ized by several thourand. The United States offensive capacity has been Btepped up to an excessive level and has come to represent a threat both to Panamian secur ity and to the security of neighbour ing States.

Thirdly, the military structure known as the Southern Command of the United Sta tee army, arbitrarily deployed on Panamanian soil, is not contemplated or provided for in the Canal Treaties, neither are its activities governed by any accord. This structure is designed for intervention and control of the reat of the Latin Amer ican region, Its attributes run from the northern border of Mexico down to the eouthern tip of Latin America.

Modern society will be able to avert self-destruction only if we fully comply with the international commitments sovereignly entered into by all States, and if we recognize the urgent need to set up a world order based on strict fulfilment of the purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter.

As was so r ightly said in this Committee by the Peruvian Ambassador, Carlos Alzamora:

what is required is détente with international economic justice and respect for human rights and the rights of peoples and without intervention in internal affair s, without economic coercion, without spheres of influence and without nessures of force." (A/C, 1/43/PV. 15, p. 21)

The new • tmorphera of détente will survive only if it doer not result in tacit • greOmant8 to re-impose bloo power politics and spheres of influence, and provided that it prevents a reaffirmation of concepts such as that of the balance Of terror, Such an under standing would only increase the suspicions and fear sof an entente between the powerful and the right to the detriment of the militarily weak and poor.

Mr. TADESSE (Ethiopia): Mr. Chair man, aware though I am of your determination to observe the spirit and letter of rule 110 of the rules of procedure of the General Amrembly, I cannot resist the temptation to congratulate you most warmly upon your election as the Chairman of this highly revered Committee. Cognizant, as we are, of your eminent qualities as an accomplished diplomat and of your country's demonstrated commitment to the cause of disarmament, we have no doubt that our deliberations will lead to concrete results. You may therefore rest arrured of the aontinued co-operation of the Ethiopian delegation in all your worthy • ffortr to infuse new dynamism into the work of our Committee,

diplomatic flurries which have left their mark on international relations, most particularly on the conduct of inter-State discourse and diplomacy. Adversaries who on many occasions did not see eye-to-eye on a variety of crucial issues have had to embark on the path of dialogue. Those who could not do so directly have resorted to what are known as indirect or proximity talks. In many cases the good offices of the Secretary-General have been ured to advantage. In all these meetings no rigid formula of encounter was prescribed. There were the round-table discussions, the fireside chats, quadrilateral meeting and even diplomatic cocktai? parties of the Jakarta variety. In most of these cases the outcome was encouraging, the mort rewarding of them all being the signing and ratification of the Treaty on the Elimination of Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Nuclear Missiles by the two leading nuclear Powers.

The lessons to be drawn from the dialogues and negotiation8 which have taken place thus far are not difficult to discern. It is abundantly clear that peace initiatives and peace itself have increasingly become contagious and that their domino effect is becoming apparent. Moreover, it is quite obvious that peace

tended to be tenable whenever interested parties demonstrated a degree of political will in favour of it. Furthermore, it is quite clear that the resource8 of the United Nations in the field of peace-keeping and cr iris management are yet to be tapped. Indeed, part events attest not only to the vitality of the United Nations but also to the r • levance of the Charter. It can also be claimed that the reprochement among the leading nuclear Power 8 and their engagement in meaningful negotiations in the field of dirarmament and other related issues augur well for the relaxation of tension at the international level.

Although we shall continue to support bilateral or regional initiatives we would be remiss in our duty if we did not to emphasize the quintossentiality of multilateralism. Whereas we continue to recognize the complementary nature of bilateral, regional and multilateral negotiation on matterr of paramount importance to mank ind, on specific issues which are likely to affect the ultimate and overriding interest of the international community the collective wisdom of the majority should prevail over the focused interest of the few. As we of ten say in my national language:

Thus, diploma to and sta tesmen alike may gr ind their ideas anywhere as long as they bring them home to our United Nations and place them in the service of mankind, Although we tend to lend credence to the grinding mills in motion everywhere, we believe that the one provided by the United Nations is the largest, the most sum tainable, and the most lasting of them all.

Our favourable assessment of the prevailing political climate is tempered by our realistic assessment of the outcome of the fifteenth special session of the General Assembly. Although we had hoped that the realism which had prevailed prior

to the convening of the special session, in par ticular the important strides made by the loading nuclear Power a, would augur well for the conduct and outcome of thr session, our achievements fell far below our expectations. To the dismay of the preponderant majority of States, which had attached particular importance to the adoption by consensus of a final document, rven the moat noble of our ideas fa iled to be regirtered in any form of a valid declaration. That we could not benefit from a propitiour political circumstance with which we were blessed is a matter for regret. None the leer we are heartened to note that the United Nations role in the field of disarmament was underscored and ultimately gained currency in the course of the discussion held dur ing the session.

An issue of utmost concern to mankind as a whole is the complicated quotation of the prevention of an arms race in outer space. It has become all the more crucial in view of the insistence of a nuclear Power on developing the no-called strategic defence initiative - better known as SDI. It is our considered view that such a course of action will ultimately contribute to the militarization of outer space. Consequently, it is our hope that the ongoing bilateral negotiations between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in regard to nuclear disarmament will lead to an early outcome. In this connection we are happy to note that the Conference on Diearmament has eetahliahed an Ad Hoc Committee on outer apace with a view to finding ways and means of strengthening the exieting legal régime and ultimately preventing the spread of the arms race to outer space.

Another issue which has been at the hub of negotiations conducted within the framework of the Conference on Disarmament is the quertion of chemical weapons. In condemning all acts related to the use of chemical weapons we note with a renewed

sense of hope that, at long last, the Conference on Disarmament might adopt a convention on the prohibition of the development, production, stockpiling and use of all chemical weapons and on their destruction.

Inasmuch as we are encouraged by the achievements registered thus far in the sphere of chemical weapons we cannot but express our regret that similar progress has not been attained in the negotiations with regard to the comprehensive test-ban treaty. If the dangerous course the arms race seems to be following is to be aver ted, it is imperative that concrete steps be **under** taken with a view to ensuring a total ban on nuclear tests. This issue therefore remains paramount on the agenda Of the Conference on Disarmament, irrespective of the unhelpful disposition some members of this multilateral forum tend to adopt in this regard.

Perhaps the most effective among the strategies aimed at preventing the spread of nuclear weapons is the establishment of the nuclear-weapon-free zones in various parts of the wor ld. The determination of the peoples of Latin America and the South Pacific to establish such zones has led to the signing of two important treaties, namely the Treaty of Tlatelolco and the Treaty of Rarotonga. We remain firm in our conviction that such arrangements will go a long way towards liberating a vast majority of States from the immediate danger inherent in the physical existence and proximity of such weapons of mass destruction. In this regard we view with sympathy the proposal by the German Democratic Republic regarding the creation of a nuclear-weapon-free corridor in Europe. The creation of such a nuclear buffer zone in the heart of Europe can only enhance the prospect of the maintenance of peace and security in that historically beleaguered continent. It is our considered view that the establishment of such nuclear-weapon-free zones or zones of peace should be encouraged at the global level.

Therefore we attach special importance to the work of the Al-Hoc Committee on the Indian Ocean. In spite of the commendable degree of flexibility demonstrated by the States of the region and the repeated callr of the General Assembly for an early convening of the long-awaited Conference on the Indian Ocean, the aspiration of the peace-loving peopler of that area has yet to be realized. The lack of political will on the part of some has become so institutionalized that even a modest but helpful proportl for the convening of an ordinary meeting of the Ad Hoc Committee in Colombo by the Gover nment of Sr i Lanka could not see the light of the people8 of the region and shoulder their responsibilities regarding the main tonance of in ternational peace and recurl ty.

An issue close to the heart of my delegation is the question of the implrmentation of the Declaration on the Denuclearization of Africa. Although more than two decades have elapsed since Africa expressed its wish to remain free from nuclear weapons, the peoples of the continent continue to be threatened by the danger that the acquisition of nuclear capability by South Africa portends. In drawing the attention of the international community to the ever-existing dangers inherent in the possession of . uch weapon8 of mass destruction by the Pretoria régime, we appeal to all extraregional Powers to desist from any form of nuclear collaboration with that unkind régime.

Just as we are concerned by the degree of allaboration between the apartheid régime and some Western countries, especially in the nuclear field, so we rema in alarmed by the dangeroue tendency to dump nuclear and toxic waste in Africa. The implications of such dumping for human life and the environment are quite obvious. The health hazards to the present inhabitants of the aontinent and to generations

the audacity to impose such a burdrn on a continent which has had more than its share of mirfortuner in the past few yearn, why is it that multinationals of countries that we regard as our partner s within the fir amework of the Lomé Convention have decided to export radioactive waste to our continent? Why is it that those who spoke vehemently on the impact of radioactivity following the Chernobyl incident are oblivious of the consequences of the dumping of radioactive waste on African roil? Why is the merci less exploitation of Africa's uranium compounded by the transfer of nuclear weate to Africa? Why is it that our request for transfer of technology is at times responded to by a transfer of indurtrial warte? Why is it that the power r-that-be take undue advantage of the economic difficulties of the African countries? Why is it that indurtrial and toxic waste deemed unfit for European waatelande is targeted for dumping in the gentle valleys of Africa?

As President John F. Kennedy once said:

"in the final analymis, our most basic link is that we are all human; we all breathe the same air, we all cher ish our children's future."

So why this unkindness to fellow human beings? Why this callous attitude towards people who attempted to "live and let live" in spite of the fact that they had to heal the wounds they sustained on account of colonialism? It is not my intention to provide answers to the nagging questions I have raised. I shall leave that to the objective judgement of the fair-minded. All the same, let me point out that beneath all the unkindnese I have alluded to lies a huge sediment of greed, while we condemn all such acts, we appeal to all the Government8 concerned to use every means in their power to curb such a manifes ta tion of inhumanity.

The most devartating calamity of the century has been the detonation of the bombs in Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Consequently, the paramount endeavour in the

with nuclear • xplaionr. The • mphaeir is not on repentance for mistakes committed in daye gone by but rather on • urtained effort to avoid repeating the • ame evil. In this noble endeavour of protecting mankind • grinet his worst half, the United Nations seems to offer the best alternative. For this very reason we believe that its role in the field of diearmament should be • nhanoed. After all, it is still that world Oryaniaation which embarked on its mission with a determination to • • succeeding generations from the scourge of war. There is nothing more secure than leaving the ultimate fate of marking to the ercred trurt of nationr and in the good and eecure handr of the Unibd Natione.

For our part, we shall do vrrything within our capacity to enable our United Nationm to meet the xigenciee and dictates of the timer. Our revolve to meet that fundamental obligation is trengthened by our knowledge of the immense potential of all Member States, the efficacy of the United Nationm machinery and the continued relevance of the Chartar, It is with this renewed sense of dedication that we hope to contribute our modest mhare to the deliberations of this important Committee.

Mr. IBAÑEZ (Spain) (interpretation from Spanish): Laet week the

Permanent Representative of Greece addreared the Committee on behalf of the twelve member countries of the European Community, end naturally my delegation whole-hear tedly subsert ibes to what was eta ted at that time. On thir occas ion I should like to highlight a number of points to which my Government and my delegation attach priority importance,

In the world of today, international relations are becoming increasingly complex, and the concept of interdependence oan no longer be ignored; on the contrary, we are faced with a clear need to seek in international solidarity and

(Mr. Ibañez, Spain)

co-operation the toolr with which to attack the difficult global problemr afflicting our planet,

Against thin background, my delegation wiehem to reaffirm the oentral role and primary rerponribility of the United Nationr in the field of diearmament and international security. Therefore we are firmly in favour of any action tending to • nhance the ● ffeotiveneme of the mechanisms available ◆□ the United Nations in dealing with theme matters.

It is our conviction that the First Committee and the Disarmament Commission have an • eaential part to play am universal forumm for the discussion of there matters. Similarly, we have held the view for some time now that the Conference on Disarmament in Geneva is the only • frective forum for multilateral negotiations in thim field. Consequently, having taken an active part in its retivitiee am guert observere for many years, we now bemire to take our place an a full member.

# (Mr. Ibanez, Spain)

Our confidence in the multilateral diearmament process wan not undermined by the failure to produce a final document at the third special session devoted to diearmament. The result wan disappointing, but my delegation, instead of seeing it am a failure, considers the effort made by all the delegatione last June to have been extremely encouraging, as wan the progress achieved in many of the thorniest areas, inasmuch am widely divergent positions were brought considerably closer together.

Note should we forget that the work centred throughout on an extremely well-constructed text and, of i ts 67 paragraphs, there wan failure to reach agreement on little more than half a dozen. We believe that that document contains material of extremely high quality and can continue to nerve am a valid basis for work, mince it • xpreoree and symbolizes the progress achieved in our search for a language acceptable to all sides. We are confident that the effort ham not been in vain.

In our opinion, one of the most preening problems at the moment concerns the use of chemical weaponr, in flagrant violation of the 1925 Geneva Protocol. Sad to say, the investigation teams sent by the Secretary-General have confirmed that such weapons have indeed been used in the Iran-Iraq conflict.

This is one of the problem areas on which the international co-operation and multilateral effort manifest in the work of the Geneva Conference on Disarmament can produce most fruitful results. A convention on the univer sal eradication of chemical waapone must be concluded with urgency, and this objective now appears to be attainable. The progress which has been made in this area is encouraging, but my country, which neither poreereee nor intends to possess such weapons, cannot fail to be concerned at the possibility that a delay in arriving at an agreement totally abolishing chemical weapons may result in their proliferation.

#### (Mr. Ibañez, Spain)

Efforts must continue at the Geneva Conference to reach realistic, objective solutions to the problems still outstanding. The future agreement must rest on a foundation of transparency, verification and undiminished security, and must also include a plan for the gradual and balanced destruction of the lethal potential of existing chemical weapons. We welcome as a true sign of progress the decision adopted by the Conference on Disarmament to include in the draft convention a provision for chemical-weapon production facilities to be destroyed, not converted to other uses.

The signing of the convention will provide the ultimate vindication of multilateral negotiations as an effective means of dealing with such matters, and Will in all probability be the first international disarmament agreement abolishing an entire category of weapons negotiated in a multilateral forum.

In this context, my delegation supports the initiative by France and the United States for the convening of an ad hoc international conference, in Paris, to reaffirm the continuing validity of the Geneva Protocol of 1925 and to provide a political boost for the efforts of the Conference on Disarmament in Geneva, to ensure the conclusion as soon as possible of a comprehensive convention on the elimination of chemical weapons.

Another priority for my Government is the need to reduce conventional weapons. It can never be stressed sufficiently that conventional weapons have caused the loss of millions of lives and immeasurable damage and suffering since the end of the Second World war. Nor should we forget the other frightful consequences arising from the awesome scale of world spending on armaments of this type, while sorely needed resources which could be employed to further the well-being of nations are squandered.

# (Mr. Ibañes, Spain)

Spain views with concrrn thr saturation level reached by thir type of weaponry in many regions of the world, in particular Europe, where the marked imbalance of forcesis a source of anxiety for my Covernment. The Signal Signal

My country is active at the Vienna meeting of the Conference on Security and Co-operation in Europe (CSCE) in the quest for a balanced and satisfactory outcome. Our aim there is to achieve conventional stability at lower levels of forces, toge there with an atmosphere of greater trust and transparency, by means Of a dusl negotiating process within the framework of the CSCE, which will begin once the follow-up meeting of the Helsinki process is concluded.

Thus, our • f for ts to guar antee peace and recur i ty in Europa have two interrelated, complementary aspects: the quest for conventional stability, and the deepening and expansion of the confidence-building and secur ity-building measures agreed upon in Stockholm.

If mistrust is to be dispelled, it is essential for all State8 to conduct their military activities in accordance with predictability and transparency. My driegation believes that the United Nation8 can play an important rolr in this respect, and that all State8 should furnish the United Nationr with detailed and standardized data on military spending, a8 doe8 my country, among others.

#### (Mr. Ibañez, Spa in)

Another area in which there is need for greater openness and transparency is that of the international transfer of arms. We must begin by making a clear and careful distinction between legal transfer and legitimate trade in weapons, and illegal arms trafficking. Then the effects of both type8 of activity must be examined separa tely and in depth, Finally, in the light of such studies, measures must be agreed upon at the national, regional, or multilateral level to 8 tablish greater transparency in the arms trade and preventing illegal trafficking.

The principle of effective verification of compliance with arms-control and dirarmament agreements is now generally accepted by the international community. While We agree that verification must be a specific requirement for each treaty or agreement, to be negotiated as such by the parties, there is also tremendous scope for multilateral action, which could greatly facilitate the application of this principle,

The United Na tionr, in particular, has before it an extensive area in which to exercise its potential in regard to human, technical and organizational resources. For example, there is the formulation of a ret of grneral principles acceptable to all, the compilation of a catalogue of measurer, procedures and techniques for use in providing vet if ica tion, and the provision of professional and technical assistance in specific negotiations.

At its last session the Disarmament Commirrion reached a consensus on the adoption of a number of principles relating to verification which furnish an excellent starting point for the final definition of the United Nations role in this area. The principle having been established, we are confident that the Assembly, by adopting the necessary measures an@ with the aid of studies by Government or other experts, will be able to define its role.

#### (Mr. Ibañez, Spa in)

This year we have a new item on our agenda, one which appears to be gaining in popularity. I refer to the dumping of nuclear and toxic industrial waste. Spain is a party to the 1972 Kondon Convention on the Prevention of the Contamination Of the Sea by the Dumping of Waste an.1 other Materials. We are, therefore, bound by the current indefinite moratorium on the dumping in the mea of low- and medium-radioactivity nuclear waste, according to the rerolution approved in London in 1985. We are keenly aware of the concern felt by some countries with regard to this problem. It is our belief, however, that this subject - in the form in which it has been mooted - ought not to form part of the agenda of the First Committee.

In fact, the problem of the dumping of nuclear waste was dealt with in a revolution adopted by consensus at the General Conference of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) at i to late 8 to session, in September last. That revolution provide 8 for the establishment of a group of expert 8 to conduct a study and then report to the Director-General and the General Conference of IAEA. The Director-General, Mr. Blix, is also to compile a report on the subject for the coming round of sessions of the General Conference.

Talso find it hard to see how the question of the dumping of toxic indus trial waste falls within the purview of this Committee. Surely this question ought rather to be dealt with by the Second Committee,

The danger of a conflict triggered by accident, which might escalate into a conflict leading to Armageddon is always real in our present nuclear age. We must take all possible steps to prevent this from occurring. Hence, we have no alternative but to continue the search for formulae that will, first of all, curb the nuclear-arms race and will then go on to bring about a gradual reduction of such • r8enal8, with the ultimate aim of sholishing them completely whenever this become8 a real possibility.

The entry into force of the Treaty on the Elimination of Intermediate-Range

(Mr, Ibañez, Spa in)

and Shortor-Range Missiles may well be a historic milestone in this process.

Another major step will undoubtedly be the 50 per cent reduction in the trategic nuclear • r8enal8 of the United States and the Soviet Union, towards which both Power8 are working with the whole-hrarted suppor t of the inter national community.

We sincerely hope that the divergent interpretation 8 of the 1972 Treaty on the Limitation of Anti-Ballirtia Missile Systems will not prove to be a stumbling-block to mutual under standing between the super-Powers and therefore lead to failure in the current negotia tionr.

With the tot81 prohibition of nuclear tests we could be a step closer to curbing the nuclear-arm8 race and reducing arsenals, and therefore to their eventual el imination. On the one hand, new and hopeful possibilities are being opened up by the significant progress announced latrly in the field of seismology. On the other hand, the first cautious steps taken by the two super-Powers may indeed culminate in the ratification of threshold treaties limiting nuclear tests and peaceful nuclear explosions. If limits are placed first on kilotonnage, the next steps might be the limitation of the number of tests, and finally their total prohibition, as circumstances allow.

While we appreciate the effort8 of the countries that have proposed the transformation of the 1963 Treaty partially banning nuclear weapon8 tertr into a fully-f lodged test-ban treaty, it is my delegation's conviction that the step-by-step approach taken by the super-Powers is more suited to the tremendous complexi tie8 involved in complete nuclear disarmament, of which the total prohibition of nuclear tenting is an integral part.

We are similarly convinced that in parallel with there ongoing bilateral processes, the Geneva Disarmament Conference will in the long run have a vital part

#### (Mr. Ibañez, Spain)

therefore our hope and our desire that the Ad Hoc Committee of the Conference on Disarmament that deals with this subject will succeed in obtaining a mandate acceptable to all sides and will begin its work at the earliert possible moment.

Spain wishes to continue its work in politically strengthening both horizontal and vertical non-proliferation. That was the reason for our accession to the Treaty on the Non-Proli ferriton of Nuclear Weapons (NPT).

We heartily welcome the recent signing of the NPT by the Kingdom of Saudi

Arabia and the forthcoming Review Conference on the NPT, in the hope that those two

vont8 will add fresh impetus to the process of the non-proliferation of nuclear weapon8.

It must surely be the duty of our Committee to bring to the realm of practical reality the conviction shared by onr and all that the United Nation8 has a central role and a primary responsibility in the field of disarmament. Indeed, my delegation will spare no effort in pursuing the fulfilment of that aim.

Mr. VARGA (Hungary): As a contribution to the work of the Fir st

Committee the delegation of Hungary wishes to make some remarks concerning the item8 relating to nuclear disarmament and the work of the Conference on Disarmament.

In view of the interdependent nature of the relations among Staten, multilateral  $\infty$ -operation is imperative in finding solutions to the outstanding problem8 of our day.

There is a growing understanding, both inside and outside this Hall, that nuclear-arm8 reduction and nuclear diearmament continue to be the issues of highest priority and the main task of all forum8 dealing with the problems of disarmament. To this end, effort8 are and should be pursued at the regional, bilateral and multilateral levels to lessen the danger of nuclear war, to reduce substantially, and ultimately eliminate, all nuclear weapons.

# (Mr. Varga, Hungary)

We consider that if the momentum created by the Treaty between the United

States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republic8 on the Elimination of

Their Intermedia te-Range and Shor ter-Range Miss iles is sustained, early results can

be a hioved in reducing by 50 per cent the strategic arsenals of the participants

in there talks, while preserving the 1972 Treaty on the Limitation Of

Anti-Ballistic Missile Systems.

Since nuclear disarmament is of high priority, due attention must be paid to those id888 and proposals that might promote the fulfilment of the mandate of the Conference on Disarmament to address, through negotiations, the questions of nuclear disarmament. My drirgation favour8 the reaffirmation of this commitment by the General Assembly at it8 forty-third session.

We are still convinad that the general and complete prohibition of nuclear-weapon tests would be an effective measure towards the halting of the nuclear-arms race. The continuing of testing is at variance with the efforts of the great majority of States to put an end to the improvement of axisting types of nuclear weapons and the development of new ones. In order to prevent that, all nuclear-weapon tests in all environments should be prohibited once and for all.

Taking into account the urgency of the task, we think that all possible avenues should be considered and triled to make early and tangible progress in achieving a comprehensive prohibition of nuclear-weapon tests. The Conference on Disarmament continues to remain the forum to work out a comprehensive nuclear-test-ban treaty.

Efforts for the early achievement of a comprehensive test ban are of importance in themselves. We fully support the full scale, stage-by-stage negotiation8 on nuclear testing, leading to the complete prohibition of nuclear-weapon tests, by the USSR and the United States of America in their bilateral talk8 on this matter.

We are aware of the difficulties encountered in starting substantive work on the comprehensive test-ban treaty in an appropr late organizational framework in the Conference on Disarmament. Yet we believe that differences of view ehould not inevitably impede the start of substantive work, Here we mean the possibility Of preliminary discussion of, and preparatory work on, specific issues and measures that might lead us to the main avenue of an eventual agreement on a comprehensive teat ban. Several proposals have been made to colva the issue outside the Conference on Disarmament. We are convinced that real progress in that field depends on the common determination of countries to succeed and on hard work at the negotiating table.

We also welcome every effort aimed at solving the persisting deadlock on that question in the Conference on Diearmament, That is why my drlega tion is encouraged by the initiative of Caechorlovak la put forward in wor kir g paper CD/863

"to initiate, am a first step towards achieving a nuclear-teat-ban treaty, substantive work on specific and interrelated tort-ban issues, including structure and scope, as well as verification and compliance".

My delegation is of the view that this proposal, which hair horn on the table for quite • ume time on an informal basis and ham been formally presented by now,

• ♦ % % M S ♦ S what aould have been realistically ashieved at this stage and in the Conference on Disarmament.

In the deliberations of our Committee, it would be of paramount importance if the divergent and contradictory views in this respect could be narrowed down to much an extent that they could find a place in a single draft resolution on the aomprehene ive teat-ban issue.

The task of avoiding the further apresd of nuclear weapons occupies an rapecially important place in the vast domain of nualrar disarmament. During its 20 years of operation the non-proliferation régime ham continuoually gained strength and become an international multilateral instrument with the widest adherence. The Hungarian People's Republic, which maintains an unequivocal commitment to the objectives, obligations and measure met forth in the Treaty, has at various international forums repeatedly called upon all Sta tea which for whatever reason have not yet signed that legal instrument to join it without delay. Like many other participants in our general debate, my delegation would like to point out that it is of utmost importance to make progress in the implementation Of article VI of that Treaty.

That is why we consider that the most important measures for the strengthening of the Non-Proliferation Treaty are the • tepn leading to the comprehensive implementation of that Treaty and measures on nuclear disatmament.

At this session the First Committee ham the important task of initiating the appropriate preparatory work for the Fourth Review Conference of the Partiee to the Treaty on the Non-Proli feration of Nuclear Weapons. It is a widely shared view that the forthcoming Review Conference has a crucial role to play in further

strengthening the Treaty and the non-proliferation régime in general. It is our view that the moat feasible way to strengthen the non-proliferation régime is through the adoption of a aeriea of aonoretr nuolear diaarmament measures.

My delegation lays special • mphaaia on the peaceful • ppliaation of nuclear energy, international co-operation in this field, and the provision of security for peaceful nuclear • ativitiea.

Other measurer which in our view oould indirectly have beneficial effects on the rtrrngthening of the non-proliferation régime are the • uooeaaful and early conclusion of the negotiations on the prohibition of radiological weapons and the aonaluaion of an agreement on the prohibition of • ttaoka against nualear power • tationr and other nuclear facilities.

The Conference on Diaarmrment ham • mbarkod on the task of responding to both
• ubjeata. It may be • ppropriate to recall that in 1986 the International Atomic
Energy Agency needed only • everal monthm to work out a Convention on the Early
Notification of a Nualear Aaaident and a Convention on Aaaiatanae in the Case of a
Nualear Aaoident or Radiological Emergency. In turn the Conference on Disarmament
acould acontribute to the safety of peaceful nualear rativations by the early
• Jaboration of an agreement on the prohibition of attacks on nuclear facilities.

The a ctivities of the Conference on Disarmament in recent years have yielded modest, but • ubatantive, progress on both traakm under consideration. The work dare so far provider an appropriate basia for bringing the ongoing negotiations to an early and • uooeaaful oonaluaion,

For years the Conference on Diaarmmant ham been considering with varying intensity the possible solution of the issue of • trengthening the security of non-nuclear-weapon Sta tern. This year a atructured diagrammant took place in the • ubaidirry body of the Conference on Disarmament dealing with this issue. Some new

(Mr, Varga, Hungary)

ideas were explored and a clearer understanding reached on the complicated quea tiona involved. The discussions have also revealed that a fresh pproach to the iaue is required in order to arrive at an agreement on eaurity guarantees that will take into account the security interests of all non-nualear-weapon Stataa.

It would be a valuable first atop in this direction if a mingle draft resolution could be adopted that in turn could encourage the Conference on Disarmament to continue the search for a common approach to the • ubatance Of nrga tive accur i ty assur ances.

The queation of elaborating a convention on the world-wide prohibition of the development, production, stockpiling, transfer and use of ahemiaal weapons has aga in this year rightly commanded the attention of the States par ticipa ting in the Conference on Disarmament.

During the apr ing eraaion of the Conference we noted with interest that a large number of par ticipating Sta tea deemed it neaearry to • xprema at very high governmental levels their resolute Political commitment to the early conaluaion of the chemical weapons convention.

Many delegations responded favourably to the initiative of the delegation of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on multilateral data exchange and other confidence-building measures.

May I recall that the Foreign Minister ot my country had already declared - on 4 February 1988, at the Conference on Disarmament - that Hungary had no atoakpile of chemical weapons or industrial facilities manufacturing such weapons. He also reaf tirmed that his country did not intend to possess such weapons in the future.

(Mr. Varqa, Hungary)

The also reaffirmed that no other country red any kind of chemical weapons or carried out any kind of related activity in the territory of the Hungarian People's Republic. Concurrently the Conference on Disarmament was also informed of key precurmorm which were being • ither produced or consumed in measured quantities for peaceful purporem am well as of the number of chemical plantm involved in Hungary.

In doing • • the Gover nment of Hungary was quided by the conviction that.

opennerm reqarding the possession or non-poererrion of chemical weapons serves to

oontribute to the rtr engthen ing of confidence among States. My Government

continues to believe that it would be beneficial for the purposes of the future

chemical weaponr convention if all Statea, not only those which are members of the

Conference on Dirarmament, would contribute to clear ing the picture as much as

possible by making available appropriate data on the possession or non-possession

of chemical weaponr and the production of certain chemicals relaced to the future

convention. The collection of such data with in the tramework of a global system

seems to be necereary for the eventual normal functioning of! the convention.

We note with satisfaction the growing in terest shown by Sta tee involved in the negotiations on a chemical weapons convention in the initiative of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics for gaining national and international experience in the field of verification of non-production by the civilian chemical. industry of chemicals related to the future chemical weapons convention. Hungary has declared its interest in such an exercise and is ready to co-operate with other States interested in carrying out trial inspections.

We welcome the positive change in the position of France, reflected in the statement by President Mitterrand during the present session of the General

#### (Mt. Varga, Hungary)

Assembly. This move would undoubtedly contribute to the solution of outstanding issues Of the draft convention and help to accelerate the work which remains to be done.

It is our considered opinion that President Reagan's proposal calling for a conference of the signatories of the 1925 Geneva Protocol and the readiness of the French Government to organize such a conference early next year are a commendable initiative which deserves our thorough attention. May I add that my delegation was impressed by the way the representative of France, Ambassador Morel, introduced the subject of the international conference in this Committee on 20 October.

The prevention of an arms race in outer space continues to be one of the paramount issues of international security and disarmament.

As the report of the Conference on Disarmament testifies, much useful work has been done at the 1988 session of the Conference by the Ad Hoc Committee on the Prevention of an Arms Race in Outer Space. During the work carried out in previous years the Ad Hoc Committee had in our view accumulated a sound set of ideas and suggestions which permitted it to devote its attention during this year to proposals and measures to prevent an arms race in outer space.

We cannot but regret that due to the subsisting lack of consensus the Ad Hoc Committee was not able to make substantive progress this year in the achievement of its goals.

We continue to believe it is high time for the Conference on Disarmament and its Ad Hoc Committee on the Prevention of an Arms Race in Outer Space to elaborate concrete measures and international multilateral agreements in order to strengthen and further develop the existing legal régime. We would welcome it if the Ad Hoc Committee could start next year devising a system that would guarantee the safety of satellites in orbit around the Earth, that is, the immunity necessary for their

(Mr. Varga, Hungary)

smooth operation. On the other hand it would also be useful for the Ad Hoc Committee to consider establishing an international system of verification to guarantee the peaceful character of research and activities in outer space.

We are of the view that the Ad Hoc Committee on the Prevention of an Arms Race in Outer Space of the Conference on Disarmament is the most appropriate forum for the elaboration of certain important technical or legal elements of such a future system, like definition or details of verification mechanisms.

We share the view that the <u>Ad Hoc</u> Committee should be helped by experts in this context and we welcome and support all proposals aimed at the establishment with specified mandates of such working bodies of experts within the framework of the Conference on Disarmament.

My delegation shares the view of the Secretary-General, expressed in his statement in the First Committee this morning on the occasion of the opening of Disarmament Week, when he said:

"The current momentum towards peace needs to be maintained and carried forward. Let not the improvement in international relations which we witness at present become another passing phase. More than anything else, it is credible progress in disarmament which will make it certain that we are moving towards a new phase of international affairs, promising a better and safer world for all." (A/C, 1/43/PV, 18, p. 16)

My delegation is hopeful that the political will displayed by delegations in the First Committee will be converted into concrete actions and deeds conducive to fruitful negotiations in the Conference on Disarmament.

Mr. DE ACHA (Bolivia) (interpretation from Spanish): On behalf of my delegation I should like to congratulate you, Sir, on your well-deserved election as Chairman of the First Committee and to wish you every success in the discharge of your duties.

(Mr. de Acha, Bolivia)

We feel that, as the majority of delegations taking part in the debate have stressed, the work of the First Committee is taking place at a time when a fresh wind is beginning to blow in international affairs. It seems that we are witnessing a major period of transition and that we are on the verge of an age of rationality unprecedented in recent times. Consultations and closer relationships between the major Power s have ushered in a new age of common sense which is encouraging and strengthening dialogue and the political will of the peoples to consolidate peace and genuine co-operation.

## (Mr. do Acha, Bolivia)

During the discussions in the First Committee at the last session, we noted, and shared in, the optimism resulting from the climate created by the signature of the Treaty on the Elimination of Intermodiato-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles.

Another cause for optimism was the holding of the third peoial easion of the Qeneral Assembly devoted to disarmament - a sear ion that it was hoped would result in a conrolidation of the prevailing positive trrndr which would contribute to an expansion of the barer for negotiations and the consideration of new possibilities of joint action in the development of a global approach to disarmament. We regret that things did not turn out am we had hoped, because the bilateral disarmament negotiations - important, indeed or ucial, as they may be - tend to be exclusive in nature, whereas the general problem of disarmament, which directly affects the secur ity of all the member s of the international community, calls for a focus within a multilateral framework.

The achievement of our objective must be a common endeavour, which my dolegation shares with others, par ticularly those in the Non-Aligned Movement. The non-aligned countrier have repeatedly expressed this objective, because of their principler of toler ance, international co-operation and the maintenance of peace.

drmonrtratr the importance of multilateral forums for dealing with the question of disarmament. Similarly, we thought it was a good opportunity for us to emphasize the need to halt the arme race.

We are oonvinoed that multilateral diarmament murt be pursued as an essential part of the gereral properr of disarmament, and the United Nations, with its prestige restored, has an important role to play in initiating and supporting thone negotiations. For it is necessary to find a link between global disarmament discussions and bilateral negotiotions,

(Mr. de Acha, Bolivia)

My oountry has a tradition as a peace-loving nation acexiating with its neighbour 8 - and at timer in its history, this has resulted in unjust losses of territory. We wish to express rome view8 with respect to a number of tha most important item8 before us.

The current trend in the arm8 race is not merely continuing but is intensi fying in almost all the qualitative aspects of the improvement of weaponry and the introduction of new weapon8 of maaa des truat ion, thereby increas ing the threat of the use of force in various strategic area6 of the earth. In that connect ion, and desirous of ensuring a climate of confidence for the attainment of rral disarmament, Bolivia concur 8 with the proposals that urge all countries to undertake to stress continuously the positive development of their relations, in order to in ject vitality into déten te and make it a comprehens ive, au then tic, integral process in all its scope.

On this the twentieth anniversary of the opening of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons for signature, we reaffirm the principle of the non-proliferation of such weapons and • xpress the hope that this Treaty will continue to encourage new agreements for the prohibition of the use or the threat of the use of force. The international community must continue to strive to avoid nuclear proliferation, since such proliferation represents a threat to all mankind.

Similarly, this **year** we are commemorating the twenty-fifth **anniversary** of the aignature **of** the partial **test-ban** Treaty. In that connection, we regret that nuclear **tests** are **still** going on and that a **complete** test-ban treaty has **not** yet been achieved.

would help to consolidate the non-proliferation of nuclear weapons and would put a stop to the development, improvement and production of more sophisticated lethal

(Mr. de Acha, Bolivia)

weaponr. Furthermore, it would represent real • vidence of the political will to make progress in nuclear disarmament.

Similarly, within the aontext of our commitment to all peace and disarmament initiatives, we reiterate our support for the declarations of zones of peace and nuclear-weapon-free sones throughout the woe ld. We particularly support the initiative of the accuration of Latin America derigned to declare the South Atlantic • Zone of Peace and Co-operation. We also • upport aimilar initiatives in respect of the Indian Coean, the Mediterranean and Antarotica. The establishment of • ush sones in various perts of the world will  $\mathfrak{DM} \square \mathfrak{M}$  to • trengthen international perce and accurity,

With respect to chemical weapons, it should be emphasized that the use of, and the constant temptation to use, such weaponr in various regional conflicts has given rise to calla for speeding up the drafting of an international convention bann inq the production, development, stockpiling and use of chemical weaponr. The convening of a uonference on this subject is a commendable initiative; we hope that it can succeed in it8 work, thereby lending impetua to the Geneva negotiations,

My delegation believer also that it is urgent to prevent the rpreading of the arms race to outer space. In that connection, we emphasize again that outer space must be free from the use of the use of nuclear weapons. We consider that technology and scientific progress should be directed to the development and progress of people8 and not to the development or use of space for war like purposes. We note with satisfaction that the Conference on Disarmament is focusing it8 work on specific objectives for preventing the spreading of the arms race to outer space. Despite some trends that are impeding this task in the debate8 of the Conference, they must focus on the objective being pursued, so that the Conference can effectively accomplish the task entrusted to it,

## (Mr, de Aoha, Bolivia)

Fur thermore, the question of conventional weapons is also brooming a matter of universal concern. The reduction of aconventional weapons lain the interest of all the countries and regions of the world today. Funds allocated to military activities are being withdrawn from the • M • D resources that could otherwise be allocated to the social and • concern development of the peoples. In that respect, my delegation maintains the conviction, shared by a large majority of Member States, that the limitation and reduction of the world's military expenditure can make a substantial contribution to the progret of the developing acountries. The establishment of an international development fund, with contributions deriving from reacurces now going to the arms race, offer 2 positive prospects for the concern repuditation of the arms race.

In that admiration, owing to the • xce8mive impact upon the economy of the developing countries, the developed countrier bear a responsibility to start a process Of genuine and effective reduction of their armed forces. It would be disappointing if the reduction of nuclear weapone were offset by the strengthening of conventional forces and weapons.

In conclusion, the success of this great work depends on the capacity of all the protagonist8 to harmonize their action8 to achieve the objective for which we have been wor king here for 4 3 year 2 - the preservation of the peace and secur ity of future generationa.

Mr. AYAFOR CHUNGONG (Cameroon): The Cameroon delegation is speak ing again at this stage of our debate on issues related to diaarmament to highlight it8 views on certain item8 on the agenda - specifically item8 63, 64, 65, 66 and 67.

A8 is well known, the work of our Committee a tar ted this year in a more harmonious international climate character ized by a resumed dialogue between the

(Mr. Aya for C hungong, Camrr oon)

and Shor ter-Range Missiles - INF Treaty, an active quest for solutions to local and/or regional contlictr and a rebirth of our Organisation. Hoper have thus once on grin been - coused and expectations are high.

However, this renewed optimism in State-to-State relations will boar no positive and durable fruits if it is not translated into concrete actions, especially with regard to the accordination of ongoing negotiations on peace and international secur ity. Our hoper must not be turned into disillusion. Our expects tions murt find fulf ilment.

It is thus imperative that States not only apply in good faith resolutions of the General Aarrmbly in the field of disarmament, but, additionally, seek to reinforce thr role of thr Organization in this field, while at the same time facilitating thr adoption of further measures to quarantrr the security of States in all aspects.

It is in this respect that we wish to under line the need to apply the resolutions and other pertinent documentmal already adopted by our Organization, foremost among which are the 1978 Final Document of the first special session devoted to disarmament and the recent Final Document of the International Conference on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development, both of which were adopted by consensus. Three documentr, and notably the latter, emphasize the direct link between disarmament and development, as well as the seriousness of the non-military three to which today compromise the security of States, in particular developing countries. That is why my delegation wishes once again to under line the fact that in developing countries there can be no dirarmament without development, for - and this is no secret - not only are these already poor States obliged to reserve the greater part of their meagre resources for military ends, to the dstr iment of economic development, but misery, the deter iorating terms of trade, famine and the dumping of nuclear and industrial wastes are further threats to their national secur ity.

We ear neatly hope that our Committee, in its deliberations on dirarmament issues, will take due note of the complexity of the problem and the specificity of

(Mr, Ayafor Chungang, Cameroon)

the question of pecce, eourity and disarmament in each region. My delegation hoper that the consensus achieved at the International Conference on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development pertaining to the importance of non-military threatr to the security of States will be maintained and that we shall be able to find ways and means of implementing the Final Document adopted at that Conference.

It is obvious that our ultimate objective continuer to be general and complete disarmament. It is unnecessary to add that the elimination of tension and the reduction of the mistrust and suspicion that generally rut round the military activities of our neighbours remain prerequisites for this. It is for this reason that confidence building is a fundamental ingredient, not only in the prevention of conflictr but also in the attainment of our common objective: fewer arms and more development.

At this stage, permit me to highlight the fact that the adoption of resolution AHG/RES/XXIII on the Lomé Declaration and the programme of action on peace, security and co-operation in Africa at the twenty-third summit of the Head8 of State or Government of the Organization of African Unity (OAU), is an eloquent demonstration of Africa's commitment to the principles of the peaceful settlement of disputea, the reduction of armaments and the promotion of socio-economic development. It is our firm conviction that such regional arrangements contribute greatly to the advancement of confidence, security among States and global development.

This explains why my country, Cameroon, sought the assistance of this

Organization under the pertinent resolution8 of the General Assembly concerning the identification and application of measures aimed at promoting and reinforcing peace, oonfidence, security, disarmament and development in the Central African

#### (Mr. Ayafor Chungong, Cameroon)

rubrrgion. In the view of my delegation, the final aim of this project is not only the Prevention of conflictr but • Iro thr • otting up of subregional machinery for the peaceful rettlement of disputes and the maintenance and strengthening of • ourity and good-noighbourlinera brtwren the States of the rubregion, In addition, the project would facilitate the attainment of the objectives of peace and progress • 88ignad to the newly created Economic Community of Contral African Stater, which includes 10 member Stater, namely Burundi, Cameroon, Chad, the Contral African Republic, Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Rwanda, Sao Tome and Principe and Zaire, with Angola as an observer.

we are greatly • nooutaged by thr • rrirtanac, and support thur far • coorded by many Member Stater of our Organisation and competent institutions of the United Nations to this rubrragional initiative, where • xeaution is • ntrurted to the Long Centre. It is our hope that the united Nations and friendly countries will continue to • uplpr t there Cen tr al Af r loan States in their efforts toward the attainment of disarmament, security and development in their subregion.

(spoke in French)

I should like now to make our comments on the forthcoming Fourth Review Conference of the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, roheduled for 1990. My abuntry, which is a party to the Trraty, popular to all States which are not parties to consider the possibility of dhrring to it without delay, 80 am to strengthen the non-proliferation regime. We hope that the work of the Preparatory Committee will be successful, no that the Fourth Review Conference may have available to it the necessary working documents to facilitate the debater and enable the Conference to achieve positive results. Only then will that Conference be of use to the disarmament cause.

(Mr. Aya for Chungong, Caner oon)

Regarding the queation of the strengthening of the xisting international machinery dealing with disarmament, my country welcomer the more or lass general agreement on this issue during the third special session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament. We shall be actively involved in the consultations on this rubject in order not to lame night of the points agreed on by all States within the framework of Working Group III of that special session devoted to disarmament, which represent the more step in the right direction.

Regarding the question of vet ification, my delegation was a co-sponsor of resolutions 40/152 0 and 41/86 Q, entitled "Verification in all its aspects", which were introduced by Canada and adopted by consensus. We have also presented to the Disarmament Commirrion a working document (A/CN.10/97) on this question, which is clearly a major element in the implementation of agreementr on arms limitation and disarmament. The important report in this connection adopted by the Disarmament Commission should form a basic document in the negotiations on this matter, We support the proporals presented jointly by Canada, France and the Netherlands, which are clearly in line with the resolutions adopted last year on this issue.

As for the question of dumping of nuclear and industrial wastes in Africa, my delegation has emphasized here that everything possible must be done to put an end to this illegal, immoral and dangerous practice. This dumping of nuclear and industrial waste has serious implications for the national secur ity of African States, not to mention the health of their populations and the balance of the ecosystam.

My country condemns all dumping of nuclear and industrial waste in Africa or in other developing countries. It hopes that the international community will respect the unanimous position of Africa on this question as expressed in resolutions CM/Res. 38 (III) and CM/Res.1153 (XLVIII) of the Council of Ministers of the Organization of African Unity (OAU). The competent bodies of the united Nations, and in particular the Secretary-General and the Disarmament Commission, should undertake a serious study of the question of the dumping of nuclear wastes in all of its aspects, including the adoption of a convention banning such dumping.

Finally, I should like to note, as earlier speakers have done, that the United Nations is irreplaceable as an instrument for diminishing both military and non-military threats to the security of States. It is important for each country to reaffirm its faith in the Organization, and for us all to facilitate the conduct of our work within the Committee so that we may achieve tangible results.

The CHAIRMAN: We have now concluded the second week of our work. We have had 102 statements in the general debate, which will be concluded on Wednesday. There will be 145 in all. I am told that this is the highest number of statements in the history of our Committee, and that, in my view, shows the growing interest and indeed concern of States all over the world.

In listening to the statements I have noticed that there is a common characteristic, or set of characteristics, in the debate. It has certainly been, and con tinues to be, a posi tive debate. There are many words of encouragement in it. It is true that there has also been some frankness in it, but this frankness has been given in a business-like way. It has been devoid of hostility. I think that provides a very strong basis for our future action. One representative said to me this week - I know that he was speaking facetiously - that the debate was perhaps not as interesting as in former times when States were attacking one

#### (The Chairman)

enother • ncS it was more interesting to sit and listen to it. We have however recognised that rather than • ctaoking onr • nother, we are nw concentrating on the global problem of too many arms and trying to reach rolutions that this Committee ca. deal with constructively. That, in my view, represents a clear advance on the part of this Committee.

Draft resolutions have boon pouring in all day. As the Committee knowr, that deadline for the submission of draft resolutions is Monday at 6 p.m. We shall be here until that hour, I have only ned the opportunity to take a quick look at the draft rorolutions that are coming in. I have already noted however that there is some improvement in the submission of draft rorolutions. It is apparent that consultation has boon taking place. I want to encourage that consultation 80 that we may have as productive a set of draft rorolutions as possible.

This week was • 180 marked by two • vont8 of concern to this Committee. The Pledging Conference for the World Disarmamen'. Campaign took place here yesterday afternoon, and the Under-Secretary General for Disarmament Affairs told me that ho W18 very pleased with the results of thr Conference, which showed a distinct improvement in the support for thr World Disarmament Campaign. And today, we had thr tenth annual observance of Disarmament Week, at which the Secretary-General was present, for which I know we were all grateful.

I think it has born a good week. Next week we rhall move into thr nrxt phase of our work, following the termination of the general debate. In thr latter part of the week we shall begin consideration of and action On the draft resolutions submitted.

The toughest day8 for th is Committee are blearly ahead.

# (The Chairman)

I want again to thank the officers of the Committee for their consistent input into our work, as well as the members of the Secretariat, those with me at the Chair, all the Conference officers, interpreters, and all thr others whose valuable help so expedites our work in this Committee.

The mooting rose at 5.19 p.m.