United Nations GENERAL ASSEMBLY



Official Records\*



## VERBATIM RECORD OF THE 16 th MEET ING

Chairman: Mr. Roche (Canada)

## CONTENTS

- GENERAL DEBATE ON ALL DISARMAMENT ITEMS (continued)

\*This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned within one work of the date of publication to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section, room DC2 740, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be assed after the end of the seasion, in a separate fascicle for each Committee

88-63098 7613V (E)

450

Diatr. GENERAL A/C.1/43/PV.16 28 October 1988 ENGLISH

#### The meeting was called to order at 3.10 p.m.

AGENDA ITEMS 51 70 69, 139, 141 AND 145 (continued)

#### GENERAL DEBATE ON ALL DISARMAMENT ITEMS

<u>Mr. MORTENSEN</u> (Denmark) I Let me say first of all, Sir, what a special pleasure it is to see you presiding over this Committee. Your great skill and your dedication to the quest for disarmament are well known. The Danish delegation looks forward to working with you, and I can assure you of our full co-operation in the works ahrad in making your task as easy as possible.

Lamt Tuesday the Ambassador of Greece spoke on behalf of the 12 States members of the European Community. Denmark, of course, fully subscribes to that statement.

In recent years, an aspect of disarmament has been brought to the forefront of our attention in the most tragic way, Many of us had had what we believed to be a reasonable hope of never seeing one particular typo of weapon used again. I am thinking, of cour se, of ohemiaal weapons. The repeated use of such weapons has demonstrated the urgency of reaching early agreement on a complete, global and verifiable elimination of such abhorrent weapons. We are deliberately bringing this issue up as the first one we want to mention in our general statement in the First Committee this year.

We fully suppor t the initiative for an international conference to uphold the norm against use of chemical weapons as embedded in the 1925 Geneva Protocol. We see it as a timely and important initiative that should underline the political will to pursue a global ban on such weapons and thereby give further impetus to the negotiations in the Conference on Disarmament. Denmark signed the 1925 Protocol wi thou treservation. We do not have any chemical weapons, We do not want any. That har always been our policy,

**Reports of the increased proliferation of chemicals** weapons give rise to great concern. All States have an interest in reaching a total ban *on* those weapons. We

RM/2

#### (Mr. Mor tensen, Denmark)

welcome the progress made in the negotiations In the Conference Disarmament recent years, although we note with regret the pace of progress this year fallen ahort of our • npoatatione. We recognize that a number of difficul ties are still outstanding. They do not, however, seeminsurmountable. We were • noouragod by the statement made by the President of France in the general debate in the General Assembly with regard to the abandoning of the notion of insisting on security stocks. We appreciate that gesture on the part of France. All States rhould actively rupport the negotiations on a global ban on chemical weapons.

In that connection we hope that it will be possible again this year for the General Assembly to speak with one voice in rupport of negotiations towards a global ban. As a confidence-building measure in rupport of the negotiations in the Conference on Disarmament, it would, a8 my Foreign Minister stated in his statement in the Assembly's general debate, be an important political signal if all countries were to declare their policy with regard to chemical weapons and state whether or not they possess such weapons.

Denmark har for many years felt a special responsibility for keeping the question of conventional disarmament on the United Nation8 agenda. In our view, conventional disarmament rhould play a prominent role in our deliberations. Thor. is an urgent need for all countries to explore what • aoh oan do to initiate Or facilitate efforts simed at conventional-arms limitation and disarmament.

Although nuclear weapons have the highest potential for destruction, it is conventional weapons that have actually killed million8 of people since the Second World War. The accumulation of arms is not limited to a few States. The conventional-arms build-up is present in a very large number Of countries all over the world, often at the expense of much-needed resources for development. The fact that all States bear a direct responsibility in this field gives the United Nations

### (Mr., Mortensen, Denmark)

a unique role in generating an awareness of the urgency of pursuing conventional disarmament. Ongoing and planned negotiations on conventional disarmament should be encouraged. The regional context seems to be the most practicable for concrete negotiations, such as those nw under preparation in Vienna for the continent to which my country belongs.

The United Nations should, however, be capable of addressing the issue systematically, substantially and with vigour. **Jlobal** negot ia tions on general guidelines and principles for conventional diearmament would be a positive contribution to regional efforts. We urge the Diearmament Commission to continue its substantive consideration of issues related to conventional disarmament. Conventional disarmament is part of the basic agenda for the Conference on Disarmament. The possibility of incorporating that item into its annual agenda could be considered.

We have noted with interest that a number of States, both in this Committee and at the third special session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament, have referred to various aspects of the question of transfers of conventional arms. We sympathize with the idea of looking into the possibilities of setting up some kind of United Nations register with a view to creating greater openness and transparency in this field.

Denmark has consistently euppor ted negotiations on nuclear-arms control and disarmament in relevant forums. The Treaty between the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the United States of America on the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Miss iles was in many ways an important landmark and an important first step twarde further progress in arms control and disarmament, a process that must include an agreement between the United States and

RM/2

#### (Mr. Mor tensen, Denmark)

the Soviet Union on a 50 per cent reduction of their strategic nuclear weapons, a complete ban on chomical weapons, the establishment of conventional stability in the whole of Europe and, in acnjunation with that, tangible and verifiable reduction of American and Soviet land-based nuclear-missile systems of shorter tango a8 wall, While negot ist ion8 proceed there must be full compliance with existing arms-control agreements, in the spirit am well as in the letter. My Government • ttache8 particular importance to the preservation and continued observance Of the 1972 Treaty on the Limitation of Anti-Ballistic Missile Systems.

The Alliance to which my country belongs has repeatedly stated that none Of itS weaponS rhall ever be used except in response to an attaak, For the Alliance, nuclear weaponS aerve exclusively to prevent war. while the ultimate goal should be the total abolition of nuclear arms, it is essential to work towardS reducing the reliance on nuclear de terrance. It remains a firm Danish policy to atr ive towards that end, Increased confidence, openness and predictability among States are important ingredientS in a process Of diearmament and détente. Any blurring of the qualitative distinction between nuclear and conventional weapon8 must be avoided, Through negotiation8 a priority muet be given to eliminating the categories of weapone that are most destabilizing.

The establishment of conventional stability in Europa at lower icvels of arms and forces is essential for reducing the reliance on nuclear weapons. We therefore attach great importance to the coming ingotiations in Vienna on conventional stability. The elimination Of the capacity for surprise attacks and for large-scale offensive operations would be a major step towards increasing the security of Europe,

The Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) remains onr of the most important arms-control agreements reached to date, Tho NPT has had a

#### A/C.1/43/PV.16 5 (a-z)

#### (Mr. Mor tensen, Denmark)

positive effect on internation l peace and security to the benefit of all States. Any emergence of now nuclerr-weapon States is bound to have far-rraching destabilizing effects, triggering unforeseeable developments. Therefore, reports of the nuclear • tiltions of certain countries in different parts of the world are extremely disturbing.

We look torward to the Fourth Review Conference on the NPT in 1990. Denmark, together with other parties to the Treaty, will work activaly to ensure that that occasion will •  $m_{\Box} \approx m_{\Box}$  to preserve and enhance the NPT. A successful conclusion of the Fourth Review Conference on the NPT will provide a strong impetus to efforts with a view to achieving a complete cessation of nuclear tests.

#### (Mr. Mor tensen, Denmar k)

Strong international support fox the non-proliferation Treaty régime should ensure that the nuclear option never becomes an attractive rolution to perceived security needs. Suspicion and mistrust murt be countered by openness and confidence. The non-proliferation Treaty régime is an important part of building that confidence. We welcome recent accessions to the non-proliferation Treaty and see in this further proof of the importance and vitality of the Treaty, We urge rll States at present outride the non-proliferation Treaty to face their responsibility and join the Treaty.

The Greation of nuclear-weapon-free somes in various parts of the world could be an important contribution to non-proliferation and to the disarmament process in general, especially in areas whore not all countries have acceded to the non-proliferation Treaty. Such somes must take into account the characteristics of each region and be based on arrangements freely arrived at between all the States of the regions concerned. Together with the other Nordic countries, Denmark has engaged in a study on the conditions for a nuclear-weapon-free some in our own part of the world. Any decision in this respect - when we come to that stage - will of course have to be taken in a larger European context and must be seen by all relevant parties as a aontr ibution to the furthering of a process of <u>détente</u> and disarmament in Europe,

An issue closely related to that of non-proliferation is the conclurion of a comprehensive nuclear-test-ban treaty, Over the year s Denmark has urged and supported efforts aimed at the early conclusion of a treaty banning all nuclear tests, in all environments, by all States, and for all time. While not an and in itself a comprehensive test ban would be an important step towards nuclear disermament and would enhance the attraction of the non-proliferation Treaty régime for non-nuclear-weapon States. As a negotiating body attended by all five nuclear-weapon States, the Conference on Disarmament is the forum where

## (Mr. Mor tenaen, Denmar k)

multilateral negotiations on the tort-ban issue should take place. The role of the Conference on Disarmament in negotiating an effective and verifiable comprehensive nuclear-teat ban is important and complementary to any bilateral negotiation8 on the matter,

While the international community must continue to call for a complete ban on nuclear tea tinq, we are encouraged by reverses in the bilateral step-by-step negotiations between the United States and the Soviet Union and we hope as a first step very soon to witness the ratification of the two bilateral threshold treaties. The process leading to the ratification of there two treaties has a significance that goes beyond the ratification. The intensive talks and negotiations as well as the joint verification experiment form part of a larger trust and confidence-building proceas and has marked a qualitative step forward in expanding the area of agreed verification procedures. We welcome this.

Naval armament8 and diearmament have recently been given increased attention. The role of naval forces must be examined in an overall military and political context, In this connection it abould be noted that the principle of undiminished secur i ty has special impor tance with regard to naval disarmament as a consequence of well-known geographical asymmetc ies. Denmar k auppor ta the del ibera tions in the United Nations Disarmament Commiacion on naval armaments and disarmament. Various proposal8 for confidence-building measures have been put forward including that of an international agreement to prevent incidents on the high seas. Openness, transparency and objective information ace important for naval as well as for other diearmament areas. They will serve to create increased confidence and will he a necessary precondi tion for mean inqful disarmament and arms control neqotia tions.

We met not long **ago in this** building for an in-depth **examination of the** whole range of **disormament issues**. Regrettably, we were not able to reach consensus on a final document from the third special **session devoted** to disarmament. It has been

## A/C.1/43/PV.16

#### (Mr. Mor tensen, Denmark)

arid about the third rpaaial session that it was a lost opportunity and a set-back for the United Nation8 in disarmament. That is not thr way we see it.

Much valuable work waa done during the special session. We reached a convergence c.' views and a narrowing of differences that orn and should be built upon in our Cur therwork. The in-depth discussions were a clear illustration of developments since 1978. The shift of • mghrrir towards aonventional dirarmamont, the role of regional disarmament, confidence-building measures, openness, vorification, non-proliferation rnd the urgent need for a global ban on chemical weapons wore manifest throughout the special session.

Multilateral dirarmamont measures are necessary to complement, support and supplement bilateral arm control and dirarmamont measures: what the twelve European Community countries have called a constructive parallulism. Therefore it is natural in a multilateral forum first and foremost to focus attention on disarmament measures in which all States have a role to play, This is true not least in times of raturl bilateral dimarmament negotiations....

In order for our deliberations to have real influence, we must seek aommon ground and strive towards what aould be termed meaningful consensus.

<u>Mr. HOHENFELLNER</u> (Austria): Last year the First Committee took up its deliberations in • partiaularly favourable atmosphere - only a few weeks after the rucorroful September mosting in Warhington between the Secretary of State of the United States and the Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Joviet Union during which both sides agreed in principle to eliminate their intermediate-range and shorter-range missiles.

The work of the forty-third session of the General Assembly and of its First Committee is being held against an even more propitious baakground than the part session. Much hae ahrnged in the year behind us. East-West relations have improved markedly and the arms aontrol process has clearly gained momentum.

#### (Mr. Hohenfellner, Austria)

Looking back, the ratification of the Treaty on the Elimination Of Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles - INF Treaty - was the single most significant event in the field of arms control and disarmament. A whole category of nuclear weapons is being dismantled and parity will be achieved between the United States and the Soviet Union in this category of weapons at zero level. Similarly we all hope that a breakthrough will take place at the Strategic Arms Reduction Talks and that a 50 per cent cut in strategic nuclear weapons will be agreed upon soon. It is par ticularly gratifying, however, to note that a new quality in arms negotiations is evolving. While earlier agreements like the Treaty on the Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms (SALT) were rather aimed at channelling the arms race, we are now witnessing a movement away from arms control towards genuine disarmament.

Noteworthy in this connection is, furthermore, the signing during the Moscow summit meeting of two accords, one calling for advance notice of ballistic missile launches and one that enables each side to measure the size of the other's nuclear explosions.

Austria has welcomed the bilateral efforts as important steps towards nuclear disarmament and considers the progress made so far in the field of verification to be particularly encouraging.

#### (Mr. Hchenfellner, Austr ia)

On-site inspections, once a controversial issue, have now become almost a routine matter, both within the framework of the INF Treaty and in thr context of the Document of the Stockholm Conference on Confidence-Building Measures and Disarmament in Europe,

While wholeheartedly welooming the historic bilateral disarmament endeavours that have allowed us to enter into a period of new flexibility in East-West relations, I would like to state that international security should be of concern to and a joint venture of all nations and peoples. Arms control should therefore take place not only at the bilateral and regional but also at the multilateral levels. Efforts should be mutually supportive and complomentary in nature. Only global co-operation can bring genuine security and lasting peace to the community of nations.

I will now turn to some specific items on the disarmament agenda,

An issue of highest priority and concern to my country is that of nuclear disarmament. Austria, being situated in the heart of a continent with the largest stockpiles of nuclear weapons, follows with particular attention all issues relating to nualear disarmament.

I would like to recall therefore that the Austrian Government has conviatently advocated the renunciation of nuclear testing until the conclusion Of! a nuclear-tes t-ban treaty. Furthermore, Austria has called for the rtr ict observance of existing arms-control agreement, emphasizing that only in thin way can the upward spiral of armsment be halted and reversed.

As far as the verification of compliance with a comprehensive test-ban treaty is concerned - a problem that is considered technically solvable - Austria actively participates in the <u>ad hoc</u> group of scientific experts examining co-operative measures to detect and identity seismic events, The work of this group should help to facilitate the future functioning of a global seismological network, the core of

JB/4

#### (Mr. Hohenfellner, Aus tr ia)

the entire verification machinery. Technological solutions must, however, be accompanied by political will in order ultimately to result in a nuclear-free world, a world rubatantially less dangerous than the one we live in now and less dangerous than the world we would have should the nuclear-arms race continue,

The high degree of attention being devoted to nuclear issues should not result, however, in overlooking the significance of conventional disarmament. This issue is a matter of great concern to Austria, which is surrounded not only by large numbers of nuclear weapons but also by a high concentration of conventional arms. Conventional disarmament should, in our view, be an integral part of the disarmament process, a process which should take place on a global as well as a regional level.

Let me now turn to a disarmament and security building effort undertaken on a regional level, the Vienna Follow-Up Meeting of the Conference on Security and Co-operation in Europe (CSCE).

The high concentration of conventional forces and armaments in Europe remains at the core of European secur ity concerns. Securing a stable balance of these forces at lower levels thus constitute a special challenge to arms control of forts.

Progress on this issue has beet. elusive to date. The talks in Vienna on mutual and balanced force reductions -- while useful as a means to promote mutual understanding - have in 16 years not yielded tangible results. Mistrust, excessive military secrecy and rigid negotiating postures have proved daunting obstacles to these talks.

During the past few years, however, some of the basic conditions for successful disarmament negotiationa have improved significantly. The common realization of the need to eliminate disparities is one such positive development. Another is the breakthrough *In* the area of verification as well as the general trend towards greater openness in military matters. In view of these tendencies

JB/4

#### (Mr. Hohenfellner, Austria)

and against the background of improved East-West relations, it appears evident to us that for the first time in port-war history there is a real chance for conventional dimarmament.

Austria, therefore, has welcomed the decision of the 23 States members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation (NATO) and of the Warsaw Pact to initiate a new Megotiating process in this field. We note with ratisfaction that the oonrul ta tions on the mandate of these negotiations appear to be near a successful aonolucion and we share the desire to begin the new negotiations before the end of this year. We are grateful that Austr is's offer to hort these talks in Vienna ham been so positively received.

Thrt the new negotiations will take place within the framework of the CSCE process is for us a welcome recognition of the fact that the security interests of all CSCE participants - whether alliance members, neutral or non-aligned - will be affected by their outcome, Toge ther with its partners in the neutral and non-rligned group, Austria continues to work at the Vienna meeting for adequate arrangements for non-participants to be Informed and to express their views on the progress at? those negotiations. We also maintain our position that the integrity of the CSCE process and the aim of the Conference on Security-Building Measurer and Disarmament in Europe, as expressed in its Madrid mandate, have to be preserved, While we accept that conventional dirarmament rhould begin with States members of the alliances, we believe that in the longer term all appects of military security having a bearing on the security of the whole continent should be dealt with by all 35 participating States.

In recent years, confidence-building measures have established themselves as an important complement to disarmament measures. Efforts to reduce military hardware muot be accompanied by steps to change the software in military establishments so that they become more predictable and less threatening. The

### (Mr. Hohenfellner, Aurtr ia)

• uoooa# of the Stoakholm Conference and the enoouraging record of implementation of the Stookholm oonfidenao-building and reourity-building measures have confirmed the usefulness of confidence-building measures as an instrument for strengthening • wurity.

Aurtr la therefore welcomes the agreement at the Vienna Follow-Up Meeting to continue work on confidence-building and security-building measures and is ourrently proparing itself for • ctivr participation in those talks. They should go beyond the improvement of exist ing conf idence-building and securi ty-building measures. Thr potential of there measures for European security is far from rxhaur ted. To continue to increase transparency and reduce military instabilities will require ambitious new confidence-building and security-building measures to be elaborated in close co-ordination with the parallel negotiationa on conventional forcer.

One item in the forefront of disarmament is the question of chemical-weapons disarmament. With deep concern we have taken note of the United Nations reports on the terrible effects of the use of chemical weapons in thr Gulf area. Auatr lais horrified by allegation8 that these weapons were recently used against civilians. The international community must insist that chemical warfare is a violation of international law and must be condemned. The danger of chemical-weapons proliferation underlines the urgent need to ban those weapons on a global scale.

Austria therefore eupports the proposal made by President Reagan in the general debate of this Assembly when he called upon the signatories to the Geneva Protocol of 1925, as well au other concerned States, to convene a conference to consider actions to reverse the ser ious eros ion of this treaty. Austria considers that such a meeting should aim at making a solemn reaffirmation of the commitment

JB/4

## (Mr. Hohenfellner, Austria)

to the non-use of chemical weapons and encourage new accessions to the Protocol, as well as under line the wor Id-wide desire for the speedy conclus ion of the *work* currently being carried out within the framework of thr Conference on Disarmament in Geneva.

#### (Mr. Hohenfellnet, Auetr ia)

The invitation of Prrrfdent Mitterrand to hold such a conference shortly in Paris, and the wide ruppor t this initiative has found in the inter national community, give us the hope that the conference will not only effect the reaffirmation of the Geneva Protocol but also make a strong impact on the purposeful continuation of the Geneva negotiation8 on a chemical-weapons convention.

This convention should lead to the elimination of all existing stocks and facilities for the production of chemical weaponr and thereby significantly enhance inter national security, Agreed verification procedures will, of course, constitute an essential element of the future chemical-weapons convention. The issue is complex, and much work remains to be done, but we must not allow ourselves to doubt that the verification problems can be solved.

The control mechanism abould be devised in a way that ensures the effective and comprehensive implementation of the principle of the non-production of chemical weaponr. For this purpose it seems necessary for all States to provide, at the ear liest poseible moment, relevant information about all chemical-industry facilities considered as potentially falling under the future convention.

Austria's traditional interest in disarmament *affairs* has led us to take up an initiative on the exchange of da ta concerning a chemical-weapons convention. We believe that the timely provision of such information will support the work of the Conference on Disarmament in a substantial way and constitute a confidence-building measure of outatanding importance. In this context, my delegation is grateful for the support t shown to us so far. It seems to us that this session of the General Aeeembly offers a good opportunity to undertake euch an initiative, which could he followed up on a broader basis next year.

Another important event in the field of disarmament was the recent convening of the third **special session** of the General **Assembly** devoted to disarmament. One hundred and thirty-five delegations, among them 24 heads of Sta ta or Gover nment and

#### (Mr. Hohenfellner , Aurtr ia)

49 ministers of foreign affairs, made use of the opportunity to address the Assembly at plenary meetings and to present thrir updated views on disarmament matters, Equally important wore thrir personal contacts, which also helped to enhance mutual understanding

Regrettably, the extensive deliberations of the special session did not result in the adoption of a final document. However, the final draft reflected broad consensus on a number of points in the areas of verification, transparency of military budgets, nuclear disarmament and oonf idence-bu ilding measures. Moreover, the need for increased effectiveness in the rolr of the United Nations in the field of disarmament was recognized during the special session.

In the view of the Austrian delegation the content of the deliberations is by no means lost; indeed, it has added momentum to the diearmament dubate. As tar as the elements of the final draft are concerned, we consider that they deserve turther transment in the immediate future and that they constitute a useful working basis for the debate in the First Committee and in the Conference on Disarmament.

I wish now to speak briefly about the central role of the United Nations in the field of disarmament and about the need for greater effectiveness and relevance in the Organization's disarmament machinery. Let me be more concrete: its various bodies should be related to rach other in a better Way, in order to avoid repetition and duplication of work. The rationalization of the work of the First Committee rhould rued, The time available to the Diearmament Commission for del ibera tion should be spent in a meaningful way and it should concentrate on those issues that are, in the words of the Secretary-General, more likely to lead to multilateral progress, and on the basis of its deliberations the Commission should make specific recommendations to the General Assembly and, through it, to the Conference on Disarmament.

#### (Mr. Hohenfellner, Auetr ia)

I wish to refer now to the Conference on Disarmament, which, although an autonomous body of 40 nations, is the trustee of the international community as a wholr, am the single negotiating body of a global nature.

Allow me, in this connection, to re-emphasize a long-standing Austrian oonoer n. As members know, Auatc is is among those Sta tee which Cor several year 8 havr been candidates for full membership in the Conference on Disarmament. Untortunatoly, since the oonoluaione of the second special session on the enlargement of the Conference on Diaarmnment were adopted, no progress has been • ahieved on this question. The international community needs  $\odot$  multilateral nrgotiating forum in which all States, whether members or not, can participate and make their contribution. \*• the political organization of the international. community, the United Nations has to ensure that the Conference on Disarmament continues to have the truet of the international community as a whole in respect of disarmament. Accordingly, the question of wider access by non-membera to the Conference should be kept under active review.

In conclusion I would like to emphasize once more Austria's awareness of the significance of the relationship between the United States and the Soviet Union for the further development of international relations so that a stable order may be created in which problems can be solved in the interests of all the parties concorned. The State Treaty of 1955, which re-established Austria's independence, is tee timony to that philosophy,

Furthermore, Austria has always taken the view that disarmamont is a atop-by-step process by which a global military equilibrium should be established at as low a level as possible.

Accordingly, after the ratification of the Treaty on the Elimination of Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles we are looking forward to the next steps, which should result in further arms reduction and disarmament.

#### A/C, 1/43/PV.10 19-20

### (Mr.Hohenfellner, Austria)

In to bilateral efforts, I would like to stress with equal emphasis the importance of regional and multilateral efforts. They should be complementary and mutually supportive so that disarmament may be able to make the greatest possible contribution to building the structures of • secure and peaceful world as a joint venture on the part of all mankind.

<u>Mr. AKSIN</u> (Turkey): Mr. Chairman, it is a particular pleasure Cor the Turkish delegation to see you presiding over the work of the First Conmittee this year, not least in view of the excellent relations and close ties of friendship between Turkey and Canada, but alro because gour per sonaldedica t ion and Canada's tested commitment to disarmament are tho best augur ies for the success of the work of the Committee at this session. EMS/6

### A/C.1/43/PV.16 21

## (<u>Mr. Aksin, Turkey</u>)

Statements we have heard in the debate, in particular from representatives of countr ies which play a key role in disarmament negotiations, have reflected a new climate of optimism and expectation in international relations. This new mood is due particularly to a tangible improvement in the East-West relationship. Indeed, in the past 12 months encouraging developments in the field of East-West relations, arms control and disarmament have taken place. The dialogue and negotiations between the United States end the Soviet Union have begun to yield concrete results. The conclusion and ratification of ths Treaty on intermediate-range and shorter-r ange nuclear forces - the INF Treaty - was a historic step envisaging the elimination of an entire claae of nuclear weapons. The asymmetrical reduct ions and the intrusive and effective verification arrangements it entails will set a good precedent for future arms-con tr 01 agr eements. We have already witneaaed the beg inning of the implementation of those arr angements.

The conclusion of an agreement between the United States and the Soviet Union on the substantial reduction and limitation of strategic offensive arms will certa inly constitute another fundamental development and be a profoundly encouraging sign for the future of East-West relations and the process of nuclear-arms control. We welcome the postive impact of high-level contacts such as the recent Washington and Moscow summit meet ings on the ongoing process of negotiations between the United States and the Soviet Union on a wide range of issues, including arms control and disarmament. We hope the momentum thus created will be maintained and will continue to contribute to the improvement of international relations. EM8/6

A/C.1/43/PV.16 22

### (Mr. Aksin, Turkey)

The convening last June of the third • pecial session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament provided a welcome opportunity for extensive consideration of thr issues involved in the area of disarmament. That important multilateral • xeCOiacI by identifying various approaches to the disarmament process and the different perceptions, interests and priorities of Member States, confirmed the close relationship between dimarmament and the security concerns of all States and the maintenance of international peace and security, Despi to the lack of final consensus, the progress made at the special session will be useful for future disarmament • ndeavoura.

The agenda of the First Committee this year contains an item on the procedural steps to be taken for the preparation of the fourth review conference of parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons. In that regard, Turkey, as a party to the Treaty, holds the view that strict adherence to tha Treaty is Of vital significance. While the Treaty ham thur far performed its function, the need remains to strengthen further the non-proliferation régime. We therefore urge universal adherence to the Treaty, and hope that the forthcoming review conference will provide an opportunity to encourage greater international co-operation in the field of non-proliferation, am a means of contributing effectively to international eccurity. Similiarly, increaming international co-operation in nuclear safety and the promotion of peaceful uses of nuclear energy should be given firm and univaraal support,

The question of a comprehensive teat ban remain8 one of thr most important iaaura on the nuclear agenda. In this regard, we note with satisfaction the commitment of the two major nuclear-weapon States to pursue their negotiations on limiting and eventually ending nuclear teating through a step-by-step process. We also welcome the commencement of the joint verification exper iment which was agreed upon at the Waahington aummi t in December 1987.

### (Mr. Akain, Turkey)

With regard to nuclear-weapon-free zones, we continue to support the establishment of such zones with the agreement of all States concerned in region9 where nuclear weapons do not exist and where these zones can make a significant Contribution to the prevention of the proliferation of nuclear weapons. However, in regions saturated with nuclear weapons of all categories the establishment of such zones will not enhance security, but on the contrary will create security gaps, unless region-wide *effective* disarmament *measures* are carried out simu/caneously.

We also recognise that nuclear disarmament is only one aspect of the general problem. We view arms control and disarmament as a comprehensive process encompassing nuclear as well as conventional arms control, the prohibition of chemical weapons, and confidence-building and security-building measures. It is not Possible to deal with nuclear weapons in isolation *if* we are seeking enhanced global security. Positive steps taken in the field of nuclear Gisarmament will therefore constitute the first stage in the immense task of establishing a balance of forces at significantly lower levels of armaments. It is essential for the success Of future arms-control efforts to keep in sight the integrated nature of the endeavours in various fields.

In evaluating disarmament measures, wurkey keeps in mind the fact that because of its geostrategic location it has historically experienced threats to its security. Turkey is situated between Europe and Asia, adjacent to one of the largest concentrations of military forces in the world. Turkey also border9 the Middle East, an unetable and sensitive region. These factor a determine the political and military environment of the area. As far as nuclear weapons arc concerned, Turkey is within the range not only of long-range and intermediate-range nuclear systems in Europe and As ia, but also of short-range missiles and nuclear

## A/C.1/43/PV.16

#### (Mr. Aksin, Turkey)

weapons. Consequently - and mindful of our gengraphical location - we have supported in the field of arm control and disarmament those initiatives which hold the chance of being translated into concrete, balanoed and verifiable measures without diminishing the individual or collective security of any country or group of countries.

Attention is now being focused on the imbalances between the conventional forcer of the two alliances. Indeed, Turkey and its Western partners are working to br ing about a newera of conventional arms control. It is no secret that the conventional imbalance is at present one of the mort salient destabilizing features of a divided Europe, and itremains at the core of our security concerns. Since the signing of the INF Treaty, the need to re-establish the conventional-force relationship in Europa har brooms even more vital as the imbalance in this field becomes more pronounced. need to address the quertion of overall balance is nore topical than ever. If there is no progress in the near future in the area of conventional-arms control, further reductions In nuclear forces may prove to be difficult to achieve, since this would tilt the strategic balance dangerously.

That is why Turkey, along with its partners, looks forward to ser ious and substantive negotiations with the Waraaw Pact countries to strengthen stability and secur i ty in Europe at the lowest possible level of armament8 and to eliminate conventional capabilities for surprise attack as well as large-scale offensive action,

In that connection, we look forward to an early agreement in Vienna on the mandate of the conventional-stability talks to be held among the 23 members of the two military #lliances.

#### A/C,**1/43/PV.**16 **25**

## (Mr. Aksin, Turkey)

The success of arms-control initiative8 depends in the first instance on the establishment of an environment of confidence among the parties concerned. Greater openness on defence issues is inc. spensable if uncertainty and insecurity are to be avoided. Transparency and comparability in military matterr, am well as adequate verification, are essential to the building of confidence and security, and to the success of disarmament negotia tionr. The fact that confidence-building measures are gaining growing acceptance in the world is rhown also by the commendable results already achieved on that issue in the framework of the Disarmament Commission. In that connection, we appaal to all member a to make use of the annual United Nations reporting instrument on military budgets as one available means of relaying data on military expenditures. We consider that the statement of the soviet representative on 18 October here in the First Committee contained intereating and positive elements in that respect.

Any progress in the field of diearmdment is directly linked with the security concerns and perceptions of the countries involved. Hence, confidence-building and security-building measures have a primary role to play in thst regard. I should like to underline in that connection the significance of the measures undertaken within the framewor k of the process of the Conference on Security and Co-operation in Europe.

#### (Mr. Aksin, Turkey)

Turkey attaches particular importance to the implementation of the confidence and security-building measures adopted at the 1996 Stockholm Conference. We hope it will soon be possible to agroe on the mandate for future negotiations among the 35 States participating in the Conference on Security and Co-operation in Europe (CSCE), in order to expand the rerult of the Btookholm Conference.

Although • rms-control and othor secur ity-building ondoovour 8 have been largely limited to those in Europa and have bosh drift with in the East-West context, there is a growing need to ontond those offortr to othor region8 of the world in order to reduce tensions and enhance stability at a global lovel. With that consideration in mind, the moventoenth session Of the Islamic Conferance Of Foreign Ministers, hold at Amman, adopted at the initiative of Turkey a resolution aimed at starting a process that would contr ibute to secur ity, poace and stability in the Islamic world,

Subsequently, a group composed of five ominont personalities, which was given the mandate of studying the question of oonffdonoo-building and secur ity-building measures among the Talamic countries held its first mootings here in New York On 30 September and 1 Octobor 1988, The group is expected to submit a report to ths

#### (Mr. Akain, Turkey)

**next** meeting of the Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Organization of the Islamic Conference (OIC),

With modest and practical aims at the initial stage, we hope that gradually we may be able to discuss other aspects of accuri ty and develop a act of measures tailored to the conditiona prevailing in the parts of the globe where the Islamic countries are located.

The alarming resort to chemical weapons in recent warfare has become a cause of great concern to the international community, necessi tilting prompt action for the effective prohibition and elimination of those weapons. Turkey has always stressed on various occasions the growing need to conclude an international convention on the complete and global prohibition and destruction of chemical weapons, with effective and reliable verification systems. We note that progress has been made towards the conclusion of such a treaty by the A<u>d Hoc</u> Committee on Chemical Weapons of the Conference on Disarmament, in which my country participates as an obrsr ver .

We share the view that until such a treaty is completed there is a need to reaffirm the authority of an already existing international instrument on the prohibition of the use of that category of weapons, namely, the 1925 Geneva Protocol, to which Turkey is a signa tory party. we welcome President Reagan's timely proposal for convening an international conference on chemical weapons and France's willingness, as announced by President Mitterrand, to act as hoet to such a conference in Paris. We appreciate the comprehensive exposé made by Ambaeeador Pierre Morel during his statement on the objectives and scope of that <u>ad</u> hoc conference, We also understand the necessity of avoiding misperceptions by underlining that the <u>ad hoc</u> conference should not be interpreted as an exercise that might delay or interfere with the work of the Conference on Disarmament but

#### (Mr. Aksin, Turkey)

should, on the contrary, be seen as an opportunity to give the necessary impetus to the negotiation of the future convention.

<u>Mr. VONGSAY</u> (Leo People's Democratic Republic) (interpretation from French): My delegation would like to congratulate you, Sir, on your • leotiOn to the chairmanship of this important Committee, We are convinced that under your wire and competent leadership our work will be successful.

It is commonplace to say that the work of the First Committee of the General Assembly opens this year under a happy augury, created, we all agree, by the ratification in Moscow lart June of the Soviet-United States Treaty on the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles - the INF Treaty. Some call that international event historic because it is the first time in the history of disarmament that the international community has witnessed the actual destruction of nuclear weapons. The methods of verification  $\bullet$  grow to attest to the unprecedented spirit of openness and tr ann parenoy evidenced by the partice concerned, It is also most encouraging to learn that the two major nuclear Powers, through their negotiators in Geneva, are now intensifying efforts to oonclude an agreement on a 50 per cent reduction of their offensive strategio arsenals within the framework of strict respect for the provisions of the 1972 Treaty on the Limitation of Anti-Ballist - Missile Systems (ABM Treaty).

The fortunate international climate, characterized by a general trend towards dialogue, muturl understanding and co-operation in inter-State relations, has thus actively contributed to the search for a comprehensive, just and lasting political settlement of a number of regional conflicts. The international community muct intensify its efforts to develop and strengthen that positive trend. That is a long-term trek and an extremely difficult one, particularly since the road to general and complete diearnament, the ultimate objective of the international

## (Mr. Vongsay, Lao People's Democratic Republic)

community, is still filled with pitfalla. Those obs tacles and di fficul ties are not insurmountable, but they are, in our opinion, due in part to the manner in which States ooncriva their security. For the overwhelming majority of States Members of the United Nationr, including Laos, security in the nuclear and space era can only be comprehensive and equal for all States and peoples of the world, whatever their size, their level of development or their economic, social and political system. That view, of course, runs counter to the one that advocates deterrence, a most dangerous concept for the survival of mankind to which a handful of States obstinately continue to adhere. In other wordr, peace and secur i ty cannot be maintained and etrenqthrnsd other than through dirarmament, and not by the stockpiling of weapons of mass destruction,

My country considers that the role of the United Nations should be further strengthened in the crucial field of nuclear and conventional disarmament. There is agreement by some that since its creation in 1978, at the first special session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament, the Geneva Conference on **Disarmament**, the only multilateral **regotiating** forum, has achieved only meagre Thus, on the priority items on its agenda, such as the prohibition of results. nuclear tee ting, the cessation of a nuclear-arms race and nuclear disarmament, and the prevention of nuclear war, including all related questions, the Conference on Disarmament in Geneva has been unable to establish auxiliary bodies empowered to enter into genuine negotiations. we would wish that in the interes ta of global secur i ty those who oppose the effective functioning of the Conference on Disarmament might change their minds. Further, the Lao delegation welcomes the progress achieved in negotiations within the framework of the Conference in the field of chemical weapons.

## (Mr. Vongsay, Lao People's Democratic Republic)

The American and French proposal for the convening of an international conference with a view to strengthening the authority of the 1925 Geneva Protocol on the prohibition of ohomical weapons in our view deserves to be supported but in no case should this initiative be presented au atrick unduly to delay  $\bigstar$   $\rm$   $\rm$  paody conclusion of a multilateral convention on the complete and effective prohibition Of the development, production and stockpiling of ohomical weapons and on the destruction. Our fear is justified by the faat that one nualrar Power continues with its programme of manufacturing binary weapons. As to nuclear tests, the Lao delegation considers that the speedy conclusion of a general treaty for their prohibition is a matter to which the international community attachra priority importance. Laos agrees with the position that thr prohibition of nuclear tests is an important disarmament measure because it puts an end to the improvement of nuclear weapons and to the development of new types of weapons and because it prevents their proliferation both hor isontally and ver tically.

We also support the proposal made quite recently by a group of countries for the convening of a conference of States parties to the 1963 Treaty on the partial test ban so that it can be changed into a complete ban, But, for the conclusion of a treaty calling for a comprehensive nuclear-tort ban by all States in all environmanta it is desirable that interim measures which could be negotiated bilaterally or trilatrrally be taken as speedily as possible. It is in this spirit that the Leo delegation welcomes the progress made in this field by Soviet-Amrr ican negotiations currently being held in Geneva.

Further, the Lao delegation welcomes and firmly aupports the Soviet proposal am presented in a statement made in this very room a few dayr ago by Deputy Minister for Foreign Affairs Petrovsky about the observation by the Soviet Union, on the basis of reciprocity with the United States, of a moratorium on nuclear

# (Mr. Vongsay, Lao People's Democra tic Republic)

tests for an unlimited or limited period. This is a new proof of fr anknese and political will on the part of this great nuclear Power which, it will he remembered, has already observed a unilateral moratorium of 18 months in the past.

On the question of prevention of an arms race in outer apace, like most States repr earn ted here, we express the ardent wish that multilateral and bilateral effort8 be intensified with a view to guaranteetnq the demilitarization of space which, as the common heritage of mankind, cannot be used except: for peaceful purposes for the good of all peoples. Wh ile recogn iz ing the primordial importance of the nuclear disarmament problem, Laos, like most Member States, considers that the radical reduction of conventional armed forces and armaments and conventional disarmament must be a matter of special concern for the international community, because it is these weapons which have killed and maimod millions of human beings during numerour bloody regional conflicts of which we were witnesses after the Second World War.

In this context my country considers that the detailed programme which the member States of the Warsaw Paot worked out last July on the radical reduction of armed forces and conventional weapons in Europe Erom the Atlantic to the Urals, is indeed likely to strengthen peace and securi ty in this most serve i tive part of the world. It goes without saying that such constructive measures, once implemented, would have a healthy effect in other pacts of the world, although it in true that the example of Europe cannot automatically he applied elsewhere.

As to the creation of zones of peace and denuclearized zones, the Lao delegation wishes to reaffirm its total support for such initiatives. It is imperative that States possessing nuclear weapons scrupulously respect the spirit and letter of regional declarations and agreements through which these various zones were proclaimed to be nuclear free. In the same context the Lao People's

# A/C. 1/43/PV, 16

### (Mr. Vongsay, Lao People's Democratic Republic)

Democratic Republic has never concealed its sincere wish to join the efforts undertaken by the States of South-Eart Asia to transform it into a zone of peace, atability, friendship, co-operation and denuclearization. Thus, during the informal meeting in Jakarta last July which was devoted to the search for a just and lasting political solution to the Kampuohean problem, the head Of the delegation of Laos on behalf of the three countries of Indo-China, put forward a seven-point proposal t o reach those goals.

My country shares the disappointment felt by the majority of States when oonfrontrd with the meagre results achieved by the third special session Of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament held here four morths ago. Indeed, the Final Doaument of that rpecial session would have been adopted without any problem had some States evinced a political will. Despite that, we consider that that special session was most fruitful to the extent that it enabled the international community to become aware, through their constructive and interesting proposals, of the deeply held aspirations of the great majority of Sta tes and peoples to live in a alimate of peace, secur ity and f r iendrhip with each other. That special sess ion also made possible a better under a tanding of the growing in terdependence Of the world today and deepened the conviction that multilateral efforts must be further intensified with a view to deflecting the nuclear danger that Weighs so heavily on the future of mankind.

We know that disarmament, nuclear and conventional, is a measure which can have welcome effects for the well-being of mankind, as was shown clearly during the International Conference on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development, and we wish to see the programme of action put into effect without any further delay. The intensification of efforts and multilateral, bilateral, regional and national measures, must in the final analysis aim at reaching the final goal, which

#### (<u>Mr. Vongsay, Lao People's</u>) Democratic Republic)

is the building of a world free from violence and nuclear weapons. As to Laos, it will spare no effort to make its full contribution to this task.

<u>Mr. BARNET</u>T (Jamaica): The First Committee is meeting in somewhat different circumstances than in the more recent past. However it is still not clear how much more it can accomplish. A mere reduction in the number Of resolutions is obviously an inadequate measure of success. We will need to continue work on a more imaginative use of the Committee in disarmament and arms control and international security.

#### (Mr. Barnett, Jamaica)

We have had occar ion to refer to changes in attitudes, assumptions and perceptions. Inchoate though thry may still be, they may point to another direction/international AAGHOOR But the stubborn facts of geography and theweight of history remain. They can neither be forgotton nor ignored. The evolvingrelations among the major Powers, particularly the two super-Powers, hold out thehope that specific, tangible benefits will accrue to the rest of us.

Unfortunately, thr third special session of thr General Assembly devoted to disarmament did not live up to Its earlier expectations. Notwithstanding the mood flowing from the signature and ratification of the Treaty on the Elimination of Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles - thr INF Treaty - the major Powers did not allow themselves to come to any comprehensive agreement in thr field Of disarmament and arms aontrol that would have allowed the world to have the necessary respite.

Yet, we have not written it off. Some successes were achieved, not the least of which ware the reinforcement of the commitment to specific action on chemical weapons, aconventional arms, nuclear-free somes and a widened realisation that a comprehensive test-ban treaty is necessary and the recognition that the United Nations has a role in verification.

•M. • re mora conscious and more willing nowadays to accorpt that a country's security can no longer be defined in purely military or geo-strategic terms. The implications of environmental degradation, under-development and poverty, Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS), inattention to the observance of basic human rights, illegal drug trafficking and drug abuse suggest that many societies, and perhaps the international community itself, oan be under other more indirat and pervasive threats.

### (Mr. Barnett, Jamaica)

We cannot minimize the constant threat of war, aggression and conflict which the above issues are able to inflame and stimulate, Rut neither can we forget that conflict is inherent in the nature of human society. Consequently, the question remains, as it has always been, how to devise arrangements and institutions to deal with that.

Nuclear weapons and the possibility of any kind of nuclear warfare present an acknowbedgedly different level of threat to current as well as succeeding generations, Hence the prime emphasis on this category of weaponry. We must repeat that nuclear weapons require a new common and shared sensibility: the reduction, indeed the elimination, of the possibility of nuclear war.

This delegation continues to believe that a nuclear-test-ban treaty is absolutely necessary. It is our view that verification is possible and that the means and systems are presently available to monitor adequately a comprehensive test-ban treaty. The argument for continuance of nuclear tests no longer has any real intellectual or practical foundation. Nevertheless we note the negotiations between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on a step-by-step approach to the ultimate goal of a total ban on all nuclear tests as well as their joint verification tests in support of that objective.

At the same time we persist in **the** view that the arms control process must he broadened from nuclear delivery vehicles to a total resources constraint on nuclear weapons through limitations on fissionable materials **for** military **purposes**.

Similarly, the slow march towards a chemical-weapons treaty should end soon, The world has seen the consequences of th is slow movement towards a comprehensive and verifiable treaty benning the manufacture, acquisition, stockpiling and use of chemical weapons. The use of these weapons in the last few years has been partially condoned both by failure at the outset to condemn unambiguously their use and by reluctance to work persistently and assiduously enough for a treaty. Here,

**JB/9** 

#### (Mr. Barnett, Jamaica)

as in other cases, time is not on the side of comprehensive verification. Increasing sophistication and complexity as well as the emergence of conflicting industrial interests make such verification increasingly difficult and perhaps unattainable. The prospects for an early treaty seem dimmer than they were a year or so ago. The proposed conference of States Parties to the Geneva Protocol of 1925, which we support, is an implicit recognition of this state of affairs.

The impact of scientific and technological advances on weapons development and on the definition of security *is* a large subject. It cannot be **ignored**. **It seems** clear that however much we may agree on the need to retain and nurture **unfettered** scientific and technological enquiry, there has to be a way to manage the impetuous **flow of** these ideas into the development of **more** and more sophisticated weapons systems. Notwithstanding the fact that the application of these ideas **does** provide economic and developmental sustenance, their ultimate justification, namely the **provision** of more security, is becoming less and less valid. The stage has **almost** been reached where the development of **new** weapons systems has become an end in **itself**, along the way providing employment for scientists, engineers and industrialists and an opportunity to bring their ideas to realisation, and **eventually**to provide the strategic or doctrinal rationale for the development and **use** of their products.

But we know that **our** security, even if defined in the narrowest of terms, is no greater. Something has to be done. The question is still barely open: **can negotiators** keep pace with scientific advances?

In the meanwhile, so-called conventional weapons are still being widely developed and traded. We would hope that the realisation of the drain this produces on the economies of developing countries would induce greater restraint. Here, obviously, the perception of **security is a major obstacle**. The new interest of the super-Powers **in settling regional disputes**, **some of** which they had either

JB/9

#### A/C.1/43/PV.16 39-40

#### (<u>Mr.</u> Barnett, Jamaica)

instigated or bean inrtrumrntal in perpetuating, may provide a necessary stimulus to a curtailment of the trade in convontfonal weapons or the reduction in their build-up. The dele ter ious effects of this waste of resources on economies are too obvious ta need further • Irboration.

Jamaica is rtrongly supp. tive of the central role of the United Nations in thr consideration and adoption of a comprehensive programme of diearmament. The more relaxed relations between the Soviet Union and the United States, while not changing the tundamentalr of the national interests of each, allow new possibilities for the Organization. We are pleased to note that attempts are being made to take advantago of this situation.

These preliminary comments attest to our abiding interest in these issues. Our concerns are those of a developing non-aligned country that recogn izes the perils of this unsafe world. Peace and development are our requirements. <u>Mr. SOMDA</u> (Burkina Faso) (interpretation from French): It giver mereal pleasure to have an opportunity to speak in this Committee's general debate on disarmament, but before dealing with the rubrtanee of that matter I want to addreee rineore congratulations to Mr. Roche on his election as Chairman. Because of the close links of fr iondrhip, solidarity and co-operation between Canadr and Burkina Faso my delegation is happy that he rhould preside over our work, Further, his qurlition as an ominent diplomat and his completer mastery of the problems of disarmament and security augur well for the efficiency and success of our work. My delegation would like to extend congratulatiant to the other officers of the Committee also and to give an assurance of its active co-operation.

Whon the United Nations was boing oatrblirhod its founding fathers set as one of its purposes:

"To maintain international peaao and security, and to that end: to take *faotive* oollootivo measuroo for the provontion and removal of threats to the peace, end for the suppression of aatr of aggression or other breaches of the peace... and to bring about by peaceful means, and in conformity with the principles of justice and international low, adjuotment or settlement of international disputes □□ eituationo which might load •□ • breach of the peace". (United Nations Charter, Chapter I, Article 1)

But what do we see? Those noble objectives were very soon lost right of, rnd free rein was given to the instinct Por domination and violence in the settlement of the many conflicts that broke out in our world - conflicts that were sustained and fed by a frentic erms race.

It was high time for a new wind to blow on mankind, giving renewed hope. The year 1988 has brought that wind, and, as other members have already s tressed, the work of the General Assembly at its forty-third session, especially the work of this Committee, is being carried out in a climate of general detente, pregnant with

## (<u>Mr. Somda, Rur kina Faso</u>)

promise and hope. This distente is due to the many of forts that are being made on the bilateral and multilateral levolr. One of its first manifostationr was the happy ou toome of the nogotir tions botwoen the two super-Powers and the conclus ion Of the Treaty on the Elimination of Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles, which is already beginning to be implomented. Thin, indubitably, is eloquent testimony to the now • pir it that now informs East-West dialogue and is an important s top towards nuclear d irarmamsnt, All peoples have welcomed this approach unanimourly.

However, as was said by my country's Minister for Foreign Affairs in his statement to the General Assembly on 27 September 1988,

". . . there negotiations must be pursued further and in other

### directions." (A/43/PV.7, p. 61)

My dolegation considers that the decision to eliminate intermediate-range nuclear weapons should not be an isolated event; it should rather be an expression of a true change of attitude and approach towards disarmament matter s. Therefore we hope that thr objective of a SO par cent reduction in strategic missiles, agreed upon by the United States and the Soviet Union, will be pursued energetically.

The second element of détente lies in the efforts now being made to settle regional conflicte, which still imperil international peace and security, In the oaao of romo of there conflicts the efforts have already had positive results, and in the case of otherr there is still hope, However, we must be wary of yielding to • xc,ssaivo optimism, because for the international community much remains to he done and many obstacles muot still be overcome before the advent of a world of peace, justice and coal mutual understanding.

Burkina Faso, like most States Members of the United Nations, was greatly disappointed at the failure of the third special session of the General Assembly devoted ta disarmament, but we are open to any effort, bilateral or multilateral,

## A/C.1/43/PV.16 43

## (Mr. Somda, bur kina Faso)

that would make it possible to continue the dialogue and the harmonising of postitions with a view to the achievement of disarmament.

With that in mind, my delegation considers that rll the items on the  $\bigcirc$  gondr  $\bigcirc$  f the First Committee are rrlrvant, and we are quite prepared to make our contribution, albeit modest, on every onr of thorn, since we are convinced Of their importance for disarmament and for the security on our planet,

That being so, I should 1 ike to make a few observations on some of the items. There is no need to deal with all of them since they have been discussed at length by many other member s. I will therefore limit myself to a few points.

My delegation shares the opinion that disarmament is not the responsibility of nuclear Power6 only but is a collective responsibility, a responsibility of the international community. All States will have to be involved in the march for solutions in that field, within the framework of multilateral agreements accompanied by effective verification measures. My delegation would also like to express its concern about nuclear tests, which are still being carried out in view Of the danger6 they present to life and to the eco-system. We are therefore in favour of thr conclusion of a treaty calling for a total ban on nuclear tests and for the establishment of denuclear ized zones in various part6 of the world.

In this connection, we must stress that the vart majority of African States have adhered to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, thus ratifying the Declaration embodying their collective decision to make the continent a nuclear-free mone. Unfortunately, the acquisition of nuclear weapons by the recist régime in South Africa conrtituter a real and continuing threat to the security of Africa, particularly to South Africa's neighbouring States - indeed, to the secur i ty of the world a6 a whole - especially mince the racist régime in Pretoria ha6 always adopted an attitude of complete contempt for United Nations decisions. Africa must expect of the international community, and aspecially of

#### (Mr. Somda, Burkina Faso)

the world Organization, thr preparation, adoption and implementation of measures that would guarantee respect for the Declaration on the Denucloar ization of Africa,

Aware of the grave dangers presented by chemical and bacteriological weapons, my country hope6 that negotiations carried out within the framework of the Conference on Disarmament will continue to show notable progress in order that there may be speedy progress towardr a convention on thr complete and effective prohibition of the development, productfon, stockpiling and use of all chemical weapons and on their des truction.

Anothrr point that **my** delegation would **like** to **note is** that relating to the **dumping** by **unscrupulous industr ial concer ns** of their radioactive and toxic wastes in Africa.

# A/C. 1/4 3/PV. 16

#### (Mr. Sonda, Burkina Faso)

The inclusion of this i tom on the First Committee' a agenda was in response to African concerns about these immoral practices, which have been carried out in total contrmpt for African life. These practices threaten the lives of inhabitants Of the areas in question, and were harshly denounced and condemned at the 24th summit meeting of the Organization of African Unity, held at Addis Ababa in May 1988.

Needless to say, Africa want8 discussion of this item at the United Nations to increase the international community's awareness of the grave threat which the storage of ruch waste poses for the developing countries. It hoper that appropriate measures will be taken to put an and to this state of affairs. My delegation joins its brothers and other speakers in calling urgently for the formulation of an international strategy to what these deceitful and inhuman is these.

My delegation would like to refer  $\bullet$  Iro to the results of the International Conference on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development, hold at United Nations Headquarter s from 24 August to 11 September 1997, which demonstrated the clear 1 inks between disarmament and development. The Conference thus highlighted one of the purposes of the United Na tions: "to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom".

Purposes such am thrt should inspire nations to invest the bare minimum of human and aaonomio resources in weaponry. nut what are the facts? Unfortunately, thr reality is that we are very far from attaining that goals two thirds of humanity is languishing in poverty and misery, with barely one third of the resources, while billions of dollars are being swallowed up every year by the arms race. The international community murt bear in mind thr relationship that should exist between disarmament and development and murt work tirelessly to achieve the noble objectives of the United Na tions.

#### (Mr. sonda, Burkina Faro)

It is up to this Committee to make thr appropriate proposals. In thia connection, thr delegation of Burkina Faao is fully prepared to support any

ug9oation or initiative ♦₩ ⓒ ♦ aould lead to diearmament in any form, with a view to rnaur ing the • urvival of mankind.

The United Nations has regained the respect and confidence of its Members; this was underscored by thr recent award of the Nobel Peace Prize to thr United Nations peace-keeping forces. We all know that this redound a to the honour of the Organisation. But our greatest wish should be that in a few years the world will no longer need peace-keeping forces, all States having understood and accepted the fundemental need to work in • oaordanoo with the purposes and pr inciples of the United Nations and to devote all their resources to development and international co-opera tion and to the progroaa and well-being of humanity as a whole.

The CHAIRMAN; I shall now call on those representatives who wish to • peak in exercise of the right of reply. Before doing • o, I would remind aperkera thrt thr Committee will follow the procedure outlined at a privoue meeting, as follows. The number of interventions in the exercise of the right of reply for any delegation at a given moating rhould be limited to two. The first intervention in thr •  $\boxtimes \square \square \square H$  M of thr right of reply for any drigation on any item at a given meeting rhould be limited to 10 minutes, and the • euond intervention ahould be limited to five minutes.

<u>Mr. AL-KETAL</u> (Iraq) (interpretation from Arabic) : I wish to exercise the right of reply to the fabrications made this morning by the representative of I ran. This shows, yet again, that the Iranian régime and its representatives have not yrt come to grips with peace or with the responsibilities they murt aboulder if a just, comprehensive peace between that two oountries is to be entrenched. EMS/11

#### A/C. 1/43/PV. 16 48-50

#### (Mr. Al-Ketal, Iraq)

I wish to make clear the following facts: first, Iran possesses chemical wrapona and the capability of manufacturing them This has been admitted by a number of Iranian official@, ruoh as the Minister responsible for the Revolutionary Guards, who on 2 September arid on Radie Tehrant "We have a group working on the production of chemical, biological and nuclear weapons." That was confirmed only two or three days ago by Hashemi Rafsanjani, who raid on Radio Tehran that Iran WAS trying to enhance its capability to produce chemical, biological and nuclear weapons.

I stress that these spokesmen referred to nuclear weapons. This is being arid, notwithstanding the faot that Iran la a party to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons. How then, in the light of all this, can we view Irar's commitment to its international obligationa?

Secondly, the report of the Secretary-General on the fact-finding mission • ont to both Iran and Iraq confirms that Iran used chemical weapons against Iraqi ci tizens in the southern and northern par ta of Iraq.

Thirdly, the Red Cross, Turkish Government sources, as well an journaliata who visited Northern Iraq after the cease-fire confirm that there is no • videnuo whatsoever of Iraqi use of chemical weapons in the arose in question. RM/12

# A/C.1/43/PV.16

### (Mr, Al-Ketal, Iraq)

Therefore, the fabrications of the tepresentative of Iran are merely fallacious allegationa that are part and parcel of the Zionist-orchestrated oampaign against Iraq. The frenaied atatrment by the representative of Iran, who was carri, " away in making those fabrications, failed to mention the very real threat pored to the whole region by the nualear weapons introduced therein by Zionism. That is certainly a matter worthy of very deep concern by every State in the region, and every other State that valuer the cause of peace and occur i ty in the world.

Lastly, I should like to reiterate that the people of Iraq, who have defended the precepts of their civilization and have triumphed in a vicious war that was imposed upon them for right long years, will continue to fight with the name determination to bring a just and comprehensive peace to the region.

<u>Mr. MASHADI-GHAHVEHCHI</u> (Iclamic Republic of Iran) : I will be brief. I would just like to *refer* to aertain facts. The Islamic Republic of Iran has aaoepted Security Council resolution 596 (1966) and we wish for the speedy implementation of that reaolution. At the present juncture, the negotiations and peace talks are continuing and, in fact, the talks will be resumed in Geneva next work. We hope that the reaolution will be fully implemented.

Therefore, the statement made today by the Ambassador of the Islamic Republic of Iran was not made with any intention of becoming embroiled in mutual recriminations. The issue of chemical weapons, which is an important issue in this Committee, is totally and completely independent of the war. For us, the war is over. For us, the war la finished. But the use of chemical weapons and the issue of chemical weapons will continue and will be one of the most important issues in disarmament for the future.

I would like to emphasize that the issue of chemical weapons is totally independent of the issue of bilateral relations and bilateral issues hetween the

## (Mr. Mashadi-Ghahvehchi, Islamic Republic of Iran)

two countries of Iran and Iraq. Hera, I would like to call upon thr representative of Iraq to read the report of the tram dispatched to the area to investigate the ure of chemical weaponr, the reports of the Secretary-General, the statements of the Security Council and the resolutions adopted by that body, namely, resolutions 612 (1988) and 620 (1988), to see which country has urrd chemical weapons, which country has created a holocaust and the massacre at Halabja, and which country is responsible Car the use of chemical weapons.

In conclusion, I should like to reiterate here that the issue of chemical weapons is not a bilateral concern. It is a universal concern, and St is in that context that our imbassador made his statement this morning and that we are speaking again now.

The meeting rose at 4.55 p.m.