

## **General** Assembly

Distr . GENERAL

**A/44/490**1 September 1989

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

Forty-fourth session **Item** 66 of the provisional **agenda+** 

REVIBW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS AND DECISIONS ADOPTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY AT ITS TENTH SPECIAL SESSION

Letter dated 30 &gust 1989 from the Permanent Representative of the Federal Republic of Germany to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

I have the howner to transmit the English text of a Government statement to be delivered by the Federal Chancellor, Mr. Helmut Kohl, before the German Bundestag on 1 September 1989 to commemorate the outbreak of the Second world War (see annex).

It would be greatly appreciated if the present letter and the attached Government statement could be circulated as an official document of the General Assembly under item 66 of the provisional agenda.

(Signed) Hans Otto BRAUTIGAM

<sup>\*</sup> A/44/150.

## ANNEX

## Statement on the fiftieth anniversary of the outbreak of the Second World War, made on 1 September 1989 by the Chancellor of the Federal Republic of Germany to the Bundestag

Ι

Today in Germany, in Europe and all over the world, we are remembering the outbreak of the Second World War fifty years ago. This imposes a special duty on us as freely elected representatives of the German people. We face this duty with the earnestness that this day demands of us.

Today we are filled with sorrow and with the sense of responsibility that the memory of the Second World War entails. Particular responsibility derives from the fact that the war was unleashed by the criminal régime that was in control of Germany then. We feel sorrow at the untold suffering inflicted on people and nations by Germans and in the name of Germany, and we mourn the many innocent victories from the midst of our own nation.

As the perpetrators themselves intended, the war was a merciless war of racism and destruction. It acquired a dimension of terror that had never been seen before - and should never recur. It was the final outcome of a totalitarian ideology, which, in its fanaticism, idoliaed a single race.

Keeping alive its memory is what we owe to the innocent victims, above all those of the Shoah, the unparalleled genocide of the European Jews, to the Poles, against whom Hitler waged a total war of enslavement and annihilation, to the Sinti and Romanies, and to the many other victims of the National Socialist tyranny.

We mourn the **victims** of **oppression** and deprivation of fundamental rights, which Hitler's dictatorship inflicted first on Germany and then on the **world**! we mourn the innocent **victims** at the battlefronts and at home, as well as the **victims** of **expulsion**.

We also remember the millions of soldiers **from many** nations who perished while prisoners-of-war or who returned home disabled. Who could forget the women who waited in vain for their husbands, and the mothers who waited in vain for their sons! And how many children lost their father or mother!

Remembering the innocent victims means keeping the horror in our minds, keeping it present, as it were. It must always serve as a warning to us. It must not be made light of by false comparisons. Let us guard against thoughtlessly or polemically using words like "fascism" or "resistance" to describe current situations.

There is not only a temptation to make 1 ght of the past. It is also thoughtless and unfeeling to close one's eyes to present-day suffering. Let us remember at this moment those people and nations who are still denied a life in dignity and freedom.

After that world war and the destruction wrought in the period from 1939 to 1945, after Auschwitz and Babi Jar, after Oradour end Lidice, our world could never be the same as before. Traditions and seemingly self-evident truths must therefore be critically examined time and again.

Continuity is only justifiable is it involves a deliberate perpetuation of good, which can never be destroyed. This includes the liberal traditions in the history of our nation. They are the moral fabric from which we shaped the Federal Republic of Germany - the most liberal society that has ever existed on German soil.

To be sure, even after 1945 some incorrigible people who refused to learn spoke out, but they were strongly condemned by the vast majority of survivors and rebuffed once and for all. For the survivors had personally experienced the effects of the former evil doctrines and were only too familiar with their devastating impact.

The evil in history will not survive in the long run. This gives cause for hope. With his fanatical belief in a racial State, Hitler defied all historical experience. But history passed him over. After 12 years his so-called "Thousand-Year Reich" vanished in rubble and ashes.

It is true that too many people in Germany and some abroad were blinded and deceived by that tyrant. But the judgement of the National Socialist dictatorship hinger solely on its crimes, its campaign of destruction and its genocide.

The wounds <code>caused</code> by the Second World <code>War</code> have not yet healed. They are burned into the minds <code>of</code> nations. But they have also branded the people individually, <code>everyone</code> who <code>experienced</code> that period <code>of</code> horror, even as a child. <code>t</code> myself am unable to this day to rid myself <code>of</code> the images that were deeply impressed on my mind in 1939 - I was <code>nine</code> years old then - and in the <code>war years that</code> followed. I still recall the terrible night-time bombing in <code>my home</code> town, the <code>many</code> dead lying in the streets and in demolished houses.

Other people still vividly recall the cattle wagons of the "death trains", packed with people-destined for the extermination camps; the battlefields of the war, where millions of soldiers experienced fear, want and death) the seemingly endless processions of emaciated children, women and old people who were fleeing or had been expelled; the trains carrying refugees in which mothers clung to their froren children.

Those who innocently lost their lives then and those who survived the horror - all of them are a warning to us not to forget that man's inalienable dignity must always and everywhere be the yardstick of our actions. The dignity of the weakest must be the touchstone,

Particularly in Germany, the memory of the past must not  $\mathfrak{bo}$  lost. It is a heavy burden for us Germans, but it has also helped us to shapu our society responsibly. And it is the prerequisite for our being able to do so in future, too.

Unlike the period after World War I, after 1945 there was no discussion about war guilt. Hitler had wanted, planned and unleashed the war. There was not and cannot be any doubt about that. We must strongly oppose all attempts to modify this assessment. Veracity as well as political and moral decency demand this of us. Enlightened patriotism also requires it of us. For Hitler's destructive drive was ultimately also directed against the German nation itself: faced with total defeat. he intended to drag it into the abyss with himself. He had spoken of a "national community@', but in reality he wanted to exclude, and not integrate, many sections of the nation. He was obsessed by the notion of race, to which he subjugated everything, even the concept of nation.

He had spoken of "divine providence" but **in** truth he wanted to destroy religious ties and Christian ethics. Europe's ethical culture meant nothing to **him;** his own despotism everything.

Today we can note with gratitude that the Federal Republic **of** Germany, **our** free society, differs radically **from** everything that **the** National Socialist despots aspired to. In **orier** 40 years we have, through joint efforts, built a republic that is committed to **freedom** and peace and enjoys high **esteem** throughout the world. The Federal Republic **of** Germany rests firmly on precisely those values which Hitler deeply abhorred and rabidly **combated**.

ΙI

The men and women who deliberated on our constitution, the Basic Law, in the Parliamentary Council were well aware of this contrast. They acted in the light of their own experience. They had witnessed the <code>rise</code> of National Socialism. But <code>very</code> few of them had ever imagined where Hitler's dictatorship would ultimately lead. Their <code>motto</code> was thus "principiis obsta". For the disaster had .ot started in 1939, but years earlier, even <code>before 1933</code>. The development that could initially have been stopped became ever harder to halt and reverse in the course of time.

The origins of the Second World War also teach us that power, granted for whatever purpose, can only be checked by counterbalances.

We do not in the least diminish the guilt of the National Socialist rulers in stating the following today:

- At home, sections of the social and political **élites** failed. Many had refused **to** support the **democratic Weimar** Republic. Later, quite a few, **some** of them to the very end, harboured the illusion that the fanaticism of the National Socialist rulers could be bridled by compromise and co-operation.

And it is also true that European powers unintentionally assisted a development that in fact favoured Hitler's plans. They had misjudged him. The widespread yearning for "peace in our time" - as Chamberlain put it in 1938 after Munich - was certainly understandable, but it was also a poor counsellor. It was essential then to see through the dictator's plans with a vigilant eye.

Only a comprehensive balance of power **can** reliably guarantee lasting peace. **But** true peace requires **more**. **For** this reason we unreservedly acknowledge **in** our Basic Law "inviolable and inalienable human rights as the basis of **every community**, of peace and **of** justice **in** the world".

The experience of the years between the wars shows that a fair balance is not possible if goodwill exists on one side only!, The developments leading up to World War II taught the community of free nations how important it is to be vigilant. This is still valid today, even though we we now also witnessing fundamental change in the relationship with our neighbours in the East and South-East. We all hope that the encouraging developments of our time will last and be continued. We shall ao everything possible to contribute to this. We Germans are under a special obligation to do so. This stems not least from the Hitler-Stalin pact of 1939. We are aware of the special responsibility that we bear on account of the fact that Hitler invaded Poland after concluding that pact, which many described as satanical. Poland thus became the first victim of the National Socialist war of racism and annihilation.

The accords reached then were a shameful abuse of the independence and territorial integrity of Poland, the Baltic States, Finland and Romania. There was no justification whatsoever for that assault on international law, much less on the right to self-determination. We fully condemn it and the subsequent atrocities.

The **Government of** the Federal Republic **of** Germany has on various occasions stated that the 1939 accords are not legally valid for the Federal Republic of Germany. This also **means** that the pact itself and the supplementary accords do not **in** any way justify the ensuing violations of international law by **the** German Reich and the Soviet Union.

The Hitler-Stalin pact was a product of the cynical interaction **of** two dictatorships. One **of** them vanished forever in the inferno that it itself unleashed. The Soviet Union is **now** - 36 years after Stalin's death - in the midst **of** a painful process **of** critical self-analysis in the light of a "new thinking".

The Second World War saw the start of a development that was for say completed after the war. Our fatherlan was divided. For the Germans in the German Democratic Republic and for many peoples in Central, mastern and South-Eastern Europe, the end of the war marked the beginning of a new dictatorship replacing the former, The division of Germany and Europe can be Partly explained but can in no way be justified by the Second World War.

This is why remarks like the **one** made by General **Secretary Gorbachev** here in Bonn last June that the post-war period is coming to an end are a source of hope for all the **people** and nations directly suffering as a result of the division of Europe and Germany - in so far as they imply overcoming the existing situation by 'peaceful means.

Over many generations, divided Poland clung undauntedly to the idea of national unity. Precisely the memory of Poland's fate can help us Germans to bear the burden of division as long as we have not achieved in **free** self-determination the unity and freedom of Germany.

We feel particularly close to the Polish people in the common desire for national self-determination. The recipient of the Peace Prize of the German Bcok Trade, Wladyslaw Bartoszewski, who himself suffered greatly under the National Socialist tyranny, stated on this subject a little while ago: "Overcoming the division of Germany is also in Poland's interest. We seek a democracy to the West of us."

Professor Bartoszewski has signed the Joint Declaration of Polish and German Catholics marking 1 september 1989, entitled "Striving for freedom, justice and peace in Europe'\*. The new Polish Prime Minister, Tadeusz Mazowiecki, has likewise signed the declaration. I gladly take this opportunity to convey our best wishes to Prime Minister Mazowiecki for his difficult post. We want him to be successful, and we want to do everything in our power to assist him in this respect.

There can be no doubt that the current political and social change occurring in countries of the Warsaw Pact holds out the historic prospect of achieving human rights tot all those Europeans who were denied them in the past decades - and hence for all Germans as well.

My Government is firmly resolved to make use of this opportunity. As Konrad Adenauer stated at the <code>Silesians'</code> meeting on 11 June 1961, our aim is "that Europe should some day become a large, common house for all Europeans, a house of freedom".

In the Europe of the future, the main concern must be self-determination and human rights – sovereignty of the people rather than borders or territories. Not sovereign States, but sovereign poeples will one day complete the construction of Europe,

Never again must Europe follow the disastrous path from humanism via nationalism to bestiality, which Grillparzer predicted last century. Dreadful things were done to the Polish people by Germans and in the name of Germany. Who in this country still remembers that the concentration camps **on** Polish soil were also intended to systematically eradicate the **élites** of the Polish nation?

Reconciliation is possible only if we speak the whole truth. Part of the truth is the fact that over 2 million Germans perished as refugees or expellees. The loss of their native region has left deep scars for many millions of our fellow countrymen. This bitter experience must not be suppressed; we want to learn from it. For what is the point in Germans and Poles settling accounts, as some in this country and in Poland unfortunately still do? Coming generations will judge us by what we do today so that they can live in peace and common freedom.

France-German reconciliation and friendship illustrate how deep gulfs that have existed for decades **or** even centuries can be **overcome**. And **our** relationship with the State of Israel and with Jews throughout the world **shows** that even abysses can be bridged.

We seek understanding between the German and Polish peoples. This is our duty, and it accords with the yearning of both nations. Earlier this week, President von Weizsäcker expressed this heartfelt desire in his message to President Jaruzelski of Poland. Now, SO years after the outbreak of the Second World War, the time has come for lasting reconciliation.

We are aware of the bitter feelings that **emaiged** in the war against **Germany** in Poland, in France and later in the Soviet Union, which mourned the death of 20 million people. Most European countries suffered greatly at the hands of the Germans, Today many of them are our partners, indeed our friends.

We are grateful to all those who, after the war and tyranny, reached out their hand in reconciliation – above all the American nation, which at an early **stage** provided generous food aid and **assistance** in reconstruction, thus unforgettably demonstrating active charity and political foresight. Prudent statesmen like President Truman and George Marshall and many private individuals participated in such peace efforts.

In this connection I would like to mention Joseph Rovan from France, who wrote this sentence only a few months after being freed from Dachau concentration camp: "The more our enemies have eradicated the traits of the human face, the more we must respect, indeed embellish, those traits in them".

In this last few decades, ground-breaking steps have been taken in achieving reconciliation with Poland. In this **connection**, I would particularly like to mention the diverse initiatives **of** the Churches.

The Warcaw Treaty of 1970, signed by then Chancellor Willy Brandt, constituted a further step in that direction. We shall continue to abide by the letter and spirit of the treaty. In its preamble; Poland and the Federal Republic of Germany express their will to secure a peaceful future for the new generation that has meanwhile grown up, and to establish "durable foundations for peaceful coexistence and the development of normal and good relations".

In the early **1980s**, when Poland was going through a difficult period, the population of the Federal Republic **of** Germany manifested its solidarity with the Polish people by spontaneously providing generous assistance.

I am convinced that the opening-up of Folish society will have a favourable impact on our efforts. The opportunities for understanding between our peoples will improve, the more progress is made towards individual freedom in Poland. True reconciliation depends not just on human will, but also on political circumstances.

Prejudices and distrust will **not persist where** borders can be crossed, **where** information and opinions can be **freely** exchanged and people, especially the young generation, can **meet** each other in conditions **of** freedom.

France-German reconciliation has proved so successful not least because it rests on a common foundation of democracy and the rule of law and because new mutual understanding has evolved through increased contacts and dialogue between the French and the Germans.

IV

Where freedom is lost, peace is soon forfeited - first at home, then not infrequently externally as well.

The Hitler dictatorship and the Second World War warn us time and again about the seductive power **of** extremism or indeed totalitarianism. The danger of extremism is always present, **even** in an open, democratic society.

It is therefore essential for a democratic State to counteract such temptations as zoon as possible. Seen against the background of the National Socialist dictatorship, this means protecting the people through the rule of law from being exposed one day to totalitarianism.

Freedom and democracy are not abstract principles. They affect every individual most directly. His personal freedom and happiness are at stake. Let us together ensure that people always remain conscious of this!

The people must be protected from the ambivalence inherent in a totalitarian dictatorship manifested by temptation and violence, justice and injustice, conformity and coercion. The National Socialist régime entangled people of goodwill in a confusing, diabolical net from which it became increasingly difficult to escape.

The boundaries between good and evil became increasingly blurred. A person's honesty was less and less a guarantee of proper conduct. A black-and-white portrayal of the generations of our parents and grandparents would therefore not do justice to them.

To this day we Germans are painfully aware of the conflicting nature of life during the war unleashed by Hitler. It is one of the tragedies **of** that era that the loyalty and patriotism of millions of people - whether at the front : at home - were misused for criminal purposes.

It is a reflection of the perfidy and perverseness of totalitarian **systems** that they deliberately place people in a **situation** in which there is practically no alternative to either incurring guilt or exposing oneself to danger.

*On* the one hand, there were the soldiers who fought and suffered during the Second World War. Most of them were honestly convinced that they were serving their country faithfully. There were many instances of bravery and human greatness that command deep respect.

Such attitudes do not deserve to be belittled or indeed derided, **for** they are associated with the experience of death, pain and fear - and in many cases tormenting qualms of conscience.

On the other hand, there are the **crimes** committed by the **Nazis.** They cannot be separated from what happened during the war. Many people suffered under this contradiction at that **time**.

When we speak of the destruction left behind by National Socialism, we should also bear in mind the devastation in the minds and hearts of the people. It is an emotional burden not only on those who were faced with this dilemma but also on their children and grandchildren, who themselves must try to form a fair judgement of the generations of their parents and grandparents.

We should beware of making hasty judgements from today's vantage point. Who among us can say with a good conscience that, confronted with such evil, they would have summoned the strength to be martyrs? And who among us can judge what it meant at that time to risk not only one's own life but the lives of one's family as well?

People today **are** no better and no worse than the people **of** that era, but they are not under the compulsion to make decisions in the conditions prevailing under totalitarianism.

We recall with gratitude that even in the darkest period in our history, during the war and dictatorship, the spirit of humanity could not be destroyed. Everywhere there were moving examples of helpfulness, generosity and humanity - across the battle fronts.

There were men and women who offered resistance. Among them were quite a few who at first served the dictator, until they realized that they - no doubt like the majority of Germans - had been duped, betrayed and exploited. They had the strength to turn back, and many of them paid for that with their lives.

Only democracy does not expect people to do anything that is normally beyond their power. It offers them protection from the terrible decision that the National Socialist dictatorship demanded of them: either to become accomplices, which was all too easy, or to show heroic courage.

Thus it is precisely the memory of the Hitler dictatorship that should induce us to resist any movement that promises complete salvation from all the evils of this world. Those who – whatever portents they may invoke – make such a promise are certainly on the road to new disaster. They have learned nothing from experience.

The disasters of our recent history teach us that there can be no middle road between democracy and dictatorship, that there can be no common values and no moral compromise. Freedom and bondage are, after all, as incompatible as fire and water.

**Dictatorship may** deceive and **dazzle**, but only democracy affords a person self-determination. It convinces by virtue **of** its sense of moderation, its soundness, and its predictability. In this prudence lies its greatness — and at the same **time** a reason why **some** see little attraction in it.

Democracy was simply not made for a situation of never-ending rapture but for normal, everyday life. It is not geared to deeds heroic and out of the ordinary but to that which is humane and normal, in the best sense of the word.

Political parties and the right of opposition are manifestations of a living democracy. It is for this very reason that the patties were so bitterly and mercilessly fought by Hitler; the dictator knew very well that once the parties had been removed democracy, too, would be dead.

We should call to mind more frequently that leading politicians of the **post-war** era - such as SPD Chairman Kurt Schumacher and the first Chairman of the CDU, Andreas Hermes - had first-hand experience of the prisons, concentration camps and indeed the death cells of the National Socialist dictatorship.

The conclusion from our knowledge of the period up to 1933 must be that extremism, whether from the right or from the left, can only succeed and gain power if the people turn away from or are indifferent to the democratic patties.

Disaster can hardly be avoided if, in addition, the social and political élite reach out a hand - possibly under the illusion that they will be able to cope with the extremists.

If we nip such developments in the bud, extremism has no chance. But if we treat them as something normal they will pose a threat to democracy. It is never too early to fight such tendencies.

Let us not place too great a strain on our democracy - it is a precious and at the same time fragile asset. Let us not misunderstand t as a panacea for all the hardships and problems of this world.

Let us always and everywhere defend democracy and the rule of law - they alone guarantee freedom and justice for all. They alone protect the individual from the dangers of totalitarianism - and that is why every individual is called upon to make them his personal concern.

V

Justice, respect for the law and legal security are as vital to democracy as the air we breathe. That is the legacy of the German resistance. Those who consistently defend the rule of law will not find them: Ives in the position of one day having to resist those who challenge it.

Restoring justice, respect for the law and legal security was the main object of the resistance. This applies at least to the majority of all those who bravely

rose up against the National Socialist régime. Today, therefore, we pay equal tribute tor

the cabinet-maker Johann Georg Eiser,

Colonel Claus Graf Schenck von Stauffenberg,

the Kreisau Circle around Helmuth James Graf von Moltke,

the White Rose, personified by Lophie and Hans Scholl,

such steadfast people as Julius Lebet and Carl Goetdeler,

and the many others who, on grounds of conscience, courageously opposed tyranny.

We would **not** only be detracting from the German resistance but dangerously distorting the historical **facts** if we were to use the term resistance, which is inseparably linked to dictatorship, arbitrarily in connection with present-day events.

By claiming a monopoly, the National Socialists fiercely combated all rival philosophies. Christians and Socialists, Liberals and trade unionists, Conservatives and Communists were all regarded as enemies. Without the interaction of people of completely different political convictions, we Germans would not have been able to make such an impressive flesh start after 1945,

The moral greatness of resistance is not datermined by its success or failure. The attempt to assassinate Hitler had to be ventured at all events and at any price. Colonel Henning von Ttesckow, who greatly influenced Straffenberg's thoughts and actions from 1943 onwards, found particularly impressive words. Before his death, he described once more the main mative of his action:

"I consider Hitler to be the arch-enemy not only of Germany, but of the whole world. When I appear before the juant seat of God in a few hours' time to account for my acts and omissions, I believe that I shall be able to answer with a clear conscience for what I have done in the struggle against Hitler. Just as God once promised Abraham that he would not destroy Sodom if there were just ten righteous persons in the city, I hope that for out sake God will not destroy Germany."

We owe deep gratitude to the men and women of the German resistance. Great respect is also due to those who, by emigrating, refused to support the despotic rágime or had to flee from it. They included people who then, out of love for their fatherland, combated the Hitlerian dictatorship from abroad. Among them were the writers who attempted to rouse the world through the power c. their words and to draw attention to what was happening in Germany.

For most émigrés it was not easy to leave their fatherland, and some of them also found it hard to return later. We are thus all the more grateful to those who

helped build the **Fede:al** Republic **of** Germany. Right up to this day, this very participation greatly assists the present-day **efforts** towards reconciliation and peace.

Let me also recall a man whom I deem to be one of the great heroes of the twentieth century: Raoul Wallenberg. In 1944, at the age of 32, he risked his life to rescue in Budapest hundreds of thousands of Jews threatened with death, In 1945 he was deported to the Soviet Union and has been missing ever since.

In my talks with General Secretary Gorbachev, I drew attention to the uncertain fate of that exceptionally courageous man. I very much hope that in this period of change, in which the depressing legacy of Stalinism is openly being discussed in Warsaw Pact countries, the fate of Raoul Wallenbetg can be clarified in a truly convincing manner. I therefore greatly welcome the fact that the Soviet authorities recently invited relatives of Raoul Wallenbetg to Moscow.

VI

Today, 1 September, I particularly wish to address the young people in Germany. They do **not** hear any blame for the dictatorship and the world war - neither collectively, **because** there is no such guilt, nor individually, because they are too young, Yet they bear responsibility because the past remains with us. No German can escape it. But let us always perceive the burden of history as an opportunity as well. Anyone who is familiar with this century's history has a heightened awareness of the dangers and enticements of our time. Let us also resist the temptation of today scorning patriotism and love of one's fatherland because these values were abused in the National Socialist period. Showing disdain for patriotism would be to unwittingly comply with Hitler's intentions. Colonel General Ludwig Beck, who was involved in the assassination attempt on 20 July 1944, perceived this and once wrote with great alarm; "That man doesn't have a fatherland at all ."

Love **of** one's fatherland and love **of** freedom, patriotism and European consciousness must **never** again **folicw** separate paths **-** this is the conclusion **that** we must draw.

Similarly, it is essential to link virtues like courage, loyalty and dedication inextricably to fundamental moral standards. For example, the soldiers of the Bundeswehr do not swear allegiance to a particular person, but they pledge to defend the values enshrined in our liberal constitution, the Basic Law, promulgated over 40 years ago.

## VII

The founders of the Federal Republic of Germany shaped this, the second German democracy, in the light of the experience of German history. They led our country back to the path of liberal traditions, which neither war nor tyranny had been able to destroy.

We can take pride in our liberal constitution, in which we

acknowledge the absolute precedence of human dignity in all areas of lifer

reject war and force as a political tool as well as any revanchisms a decision that was endorsed by German expellees in the 1950 Stuttgart Char ter;

are committed to the goal of a free and united Germany in a free and united Europe.

It is a manifestation of deep humanity that the authors of our Basic Law granted the victims of political, religious or racial persecution a claim to asylum. The humaneness of a society is demonstrated not only by respect for the freedom and dignity of its own citizens, but also by receptiveness to the victims of force and repression in other countries.

All these decisions paved the way **for** our society gaining recognition as a peace-loving member **of** the world community committed to freedom and justice of a scale that surely nobody would have dared dream of in 1945, at the end of the war and the tyranny. It is gratifying to be able to state this today, 40 years after the founding of the Federal Republic **of** Germany.

We are now witnessing <code>Europe's</code> entry <code>into</code> a new era, and <code>we must be</code> prepared to play a substantial part in shaping it, Europe, indeed the whole <code>of</code> Europe, faces far-reaching change, a radical transformation in the economic and social fields. For the first time since the end <code>cf</code> the war, there is the prospect of emerging from the shadow of the East-West <code>conflict</code>.

The developments occurring on our old continent fascinate people world wide. Which nation could have a greater interest in the advance of freedom than our own? The disintegration of decades-old ossified structures in Europe prompts fresh hope of the unification of our fatherland.

Time is working for, and not against the cause of freedom. Thus on this day of remembrance we also look to the future. Notwithstanding the sorrow that we feel as we recall 1 September 1939, we are aware of our responsibility for coming generations. They will some day judge us by whether we drew the right conclusions from the war and dictatorship and by whether we lived up to the task of ultimately creating a better and more peaceful world.

We envision a future in which the nations of the world are peacefully united in common freedom, and we shall not relax our effort.6 to make that vision come true. Remembering 1 September 1939, we know that this is the most valuable legacy that we can be queath to coming generations.